

**Environmental and Social Impact Assessment (ESIA) for the
Proposed Prospecting and Exploration Activities on Exclusive
Prospecting License (EPL) No.9240 located northwest of
Helmeringhausen, //Karas Region**

ENVIRONMENTAL ASSESSMENT REPORT: FINAL

ECC Application Reference: APP- 005954

| | |
|---|---|
| Author: Ms. Hilma Nampala | Proponent: Max Kefas Nuseb |
| Reviewer: Mr. Silas David | Contact person: Max Kefas Nuseb |
| Company: Excel Dynamic Solutions (Pty) Ltd | Postal Address: P. O. Box 2649, Walvis Bay |
| Postal Address: P.O. Box 997154, Maerua Mall, Windhoek | Telephone: +264 81 391 8877 |
| Telephone: +264 (0) 61 259 530 | Email: mknuseb6410@gmail.com |
| Email: info@edsnamibia.com | |

August 2025

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

Excel Dynamic Solutions (Pty) Ltd (Consultant) has been appointed by Max Kefas Nuseb (Proponent) to act on their behalf in obtaining an Environmental Clearance Certificate (ECC) for the proposed mineral exploration activities on Exclusive Prospecting License (EPL) No.9240. The project area is located approximately 17 km northwest of Helmeringhausen in //Karas Region, and is 19898.1774 hectares (ha) in size. The proposed site is accessible from the C27 which runs from Helmeringhausen, which connects to the dirt road, leading to the EPL. The EPL overlies (covers) eight (8) farms namely: Farm Ganaams No. 26, Farm Aruab No. 23, Farm Aubures No. 22, Farm Nuas No. 27, Farm Barby No. 26, Farm Heuwelvlakte No. 192, Portion of Farm Wittmanshaar No. 25 and Farm Wittmanshaar No. 25.

The target commodities for the proposed prospecting and exploration activities are **Base & Rare Metals, Dimension Stone, Industrial Minerals, and Precious Metals**.

Prospecting and exploration-related activities are among the listed activities that may not be undertaken without an ECC under the Environmental Impact Assessment (EIA) Regulations. Subsequently, to ensure that the proposed activity is compliant with the national environmental legislation, the project Proponent, appointed an independent environmental consultant, Excel Dynamic Solutions (Pty) Ltd to undertake the required Environmental Assessment (EA) process and apply for the ECC on their behalf.

The application for the ECC was compiled and submitted to the competent authority, Ministry of Environment, Forestry and Tourism (MEFT) as the environmental custodian for project registration purposes. Upon submission of an Environmental and Social Impact Assessment (ESIA) Report and Draft Environmental Management Plan (EMP), an ECC for the proposed project may be considered by the Environmental Commissioner at the MEFT's Department of Environmental Affairs and Forestry (DEAF).

Brief Project Description

Planned Activities: Proposed Exploration Methods

The Proponent intends to adopt a systematic prospecting and exploration approach to the project as follows:

1. Non-invasive Technique:

- **Desktop Study: Geological mapping:** Mainly entails a desktop review of geological maps and ground observations. This includes the review of geological maps of the area, on-site ground traverses and observations, and an update of the information obtained during previous geological studies of the area, and aero-geophysics survey.
- **Lithology geochemical surveys:** Rock and soil samples shall be collected and taken for trace element analysis to be conducted by analytical chemistry laboratories to determine if enough target commodities are present. Also, trenches or pits may be dug depending on the commodity (in a controlled environment e.g., fencing off and labelling activity sites) adopting a manual or excavator to further investigate the mineral potential. Soil sampling consists of small pits being dug where 1kg samples can be extracted and sieved to collect 50g of material. As necessary, and to ensure adequate risk mitigations, all major excavations will both be opened and closed immediately after obtaining the needed samples or the sites will be secured until the trenches or pits are closed. At all times, the farm owners and other relevant stakeholders will be engaged to obtain authorization where necessary.
- **Geophysical surveys:** This will entail data collection of the substrata (in most cases service of an aero-geophysical contractor will be sourced), by air or ground, through sensors such as radar, magnetic, and electromagnetic to detect any mineralization in the area to ascertain the mineralization. Ground geophysical surveys shall be conducted, where necessary using vehicle-mounted sensors or handheld by staff members, while in the case of air surveys, the sensors will be mounted to an aircraft, which then flies over the target area.

2. Invasive Technique:

- **Detailed Exploration Drilling (Invasive Technique):** Should analyses by an analytical laboratory be positive, holes are drilled, and drill samples collected for further analysis. This will determine the depth of the potential mineralization. If necessary new access tracks to the drill sites will be created and drill pads will be cleared in which to set up the rig. Two widely used drilling options may be adopted, these are either Reverse Circulation (RC) drilling and/or diamond drilling. RC drilling uses a pneumatic hammer, which drives a rotating tungsten-steel bit. The technique produces an uncontaminated large-volume sample, which is comprised of rock chips. It is relatively quicker and cheaper when compared to other techniques like Diamond Drilling. However, diamond drilling may also be considered for this exploration program, for better geological control and to perform processing trials. A typical drilling site will consist of a drill-rig, and support vehicles as well as a drill core and geological samples store. A drill core equipment parking and maintenance yard may be set up (including a fuel and lubricants storage facility).

Public Consultation

Public Consultation Activities

Regulation 21 of the EIA Regulations details steps to be taken during a public consultation process and these have been used in guiding this process. The public consultation process assisted the Environmental Consultant in identifying all potential impacts and aided in the process of identifying possible mitigation measures and alternatives to certain project activities. The communication with I&APs about the proposed prospecting and exploration activities was done through the following means in this order to ensure that the public is notified and allowed to comment on the proposed project:

- A Background Information Document (BID) containing information about the proposed exploration activities was compiled and emailed to pre-identified Interested and Affected Parties (I&APs), and upon request to all new registered I&APs.
- Project Environmental Assessment notices were published in New Era (16 & 23 May 2025) and New Era (23 & 27 May 2025), briefly explaining the activity and its locality, inviting members of the public to register as I&APs and submit their comments/concerns.

- A consultation meeting was scheduled and held with the affected landowners on the 11th of August 2025 at 11:00, Helmeringhausen Hall, //Karas Region.
- The issues and concerns raised were noted and used to form a basis for the ESIA Report and EMP.

Potential Impacts identified

The following potential impacts are anticipated:

- **Positive impacts:** Socio-economic development through employment creation (primary, secondary, and tertiary employment) and skills transfer; Opens up other investment opportunities and infrastructure-related development benefits; Produces a trained workforce and small businesses that can serve communities and may initiate related businesses; Boosts the local economic growth and regional economic development and; Increased support for local businesses through the procurement of consumable items such as Personal Protective Equipment (PPE), machinery spare parts, lubricants, etc.
- **Negative impacts:** Potential disturbance of existing pastoral systems; Physical land/soil disturbance; Impact on local biodiversity (fauna and flora); Habitat disturbance and potential illegal wildlife and domestic hunting in the area; Potential impact on water resources and soils particularly due to pollution; Air quality issue: potential dust generated from the project; Potential occupational health and safety risks, Vehicular traffic safety and impact on services infrastructures such as local roads, Vibrations and noise associated with drilling activities may be a nuisance to locals; Environmental pollution (solid waste and wastewater), Archaeological and heritage impact and Potential social nuisance and conflicts (theft, damage to properties, etc.).

The potential negative impacts were assessed, and mitigation measures were provided accordingly.

CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMIMENDATIONS

Conclusions

The potential impacts that are anticipated from the proposed project activities were identified, described, and assessed. For the significant adverse (negative) impacts with a medium rating, appropriate management, and mitigation measures were recommended for implementation by the Proponent, their contractors, and project-related employees.

The public was consulted as required by the EMA and its 2012 EIA Regulations (Sections 21 to 24). This was done via the two newspapers (New Era and The Namibian) used for this environmental assessment. A consultation through a face-to-face meeting with directly affected landowners at the Helmeringhausen Hall, whereby they raised comments and concerns on the proposed project activities.

The issues and concerns raised by the registered I&APs formed the basis for this Report and the Draft EMP. The issues were addressed and incorporated into this Report whereby mitigation measures have been provided thereof to avoid and/or minimize their significance on the environmental and social components. Most of the potential impacts were found to be of medium-rating significance. With the effective implementation of the recommended management and mitigation measures, will particularly see a reduction in the significance of adverse impacts that cannot be avoided completely (from medium rating to low). To maintain the desirable rating, the implementation of management and mitigation measures should be monitored by the Proponent directly, or their Environmental Control Officer (ECO) is highly recommended. The monitoring of this implementation will not only be done to maintain the reduced impacts' rating or maintain a low rating but to also ensure that all potential impacts identified in this study and other impacts that might arise during implementation are properly identified in time and addressed right away too.

It is crucial for the Proponent and their contractors as well as to effectively implement the recommended management and mitigation measures to protect both the biophysical and social environment throughout the project duration. All these would be done to promote environmental sustainability while ensuring a smooth and harmonious existence and purpose of the project activities in the community and environment at large.

Recommendations

The Environmental Consultant is confident that the potential negative impacts associated with the proposed project activities can be managed and mitigated by the effective implementation of the recommended management and mitigation measures and with more effort and commitment put into monitoring the implementation of these measures.

It is, therefore, recommended that the proposed prospecting and exploration activities be granted an ECC, provided that:

- All the management and mitigation measures provided herein are effectively and progressively implemented.
- All required permits, licenses, and approvals for the proposed activities should be obtained as required. These include permits and licenses for land use access agreements to explore and ensure compliance with these specific legal requirements.
- The Proponent and all their project workers or contractors comply with the legal requirements governing their project and its associated activities and ensure that project permits and or approvals required to undertake specific site activities are obtained and renewed as stipulated by the issuing authorities.
- Site areas where exploration activities have ceased are rehabilitated, as far as practicable, to their pre-exploration state.
- Environmental Compliance monitoring reports should be compiled and submitted to the DEAF Portal as per the provision made on the MEFT/DEAF's portal.

Disclaimer

Excel Dynamic Solutions (EDS) warrants that the findings and conclusion contained herein were accomplished following the methodologies outlined in the Scope of Work and Environmental Management Act (EMA) of 2007. These methodologies are described as representing good customary practice for conducting an EIA of a property to identify recognized environmental conditions. There is a possibility that even with the proper application of these methodologies there may exist subject property conditions that could not be identified within the scope of the assessment, or which were not reasonably identifiable from the available information. The Consultant believes that the information obtained from the record review and during the public consultation processes concerning the proposed exploration work is reliable. However, the

Consultant cannot and does not warrant or guarantee that the information provided by the other sources is accurate or complete. The conclusions and findings outlined in this report are strictly limited in time and scope to the date of the evaluations. No other warranties are implied or expressed.

Some of the information provided in this report is based on personal interviews, and research of available documents, records, and maps held by the appropriate government and private agencies. This report is subject to the limitations of historical documentation, availability, and accuracy of pertinent records, and the personal recollections of those persons contacted.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

| | |
|--|-----|
| EXECUTIVE SUMMARY | ii |
| LIST OF FIGURES | x |
| LIST OF TABLES..... | xi |
| LIST OF APPENDICES | xii |
| LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS..... | xii |
| 1 INTRODUCTION | 1 |
| 1.1 Project Background..... | 1 |
| 1.2 Terms of Reference, Scope of Works, and Appointed EA Practitioner | 3 |
| 1.3 Motivation for the Proposed Project | 3 |
| 2 PROJECT DESCRIPTION: PROPOSED EXPLORATION ACTIVITY | 4 |
| 2.1 Prospecting Phase (Non- Invasive Techniques)..... | 4 |
| 2.2 Exploration Phase (Invasive Techniques) | 5 |
| 2.3 Decommissioning and Rehabilitation Phase | 8 |
| 3 PROJECT ALTERNATIVES | 8 |
| 3.1 Types of Alternatives Considered | 9 |
| 3.1.1 The "No-go" Alternative | 9 |
| 3.1.2 Exploration Location | 9 |
| 3.1.3 Exploration Methods | 10 |
| 4 LEGAL FRAMEWORK: LEGISLATION, POLICIES, AND GUIDELINES..... | 16 |
| 4.1 The Environmental Management Act (No. 7 of 2007)..... | 16 |
| 4.2 International Policies, Principles, Standards, Treaties, and Conventions | 26 |
| 5 ENVIRONMENTAL AND SOCIAL BASELINE | 31 |
| 5.1 Biophysical Environment..... | 31 |
| 5.1.1 Climate | 31 |
| 5.1.2 Topography | 32 |
| 5.1.3 Geology | 34 |
| 5.1.4 Soil | 35 |
| 5.1.5 Hydrology, Groundwater Vulnerability to Pollution, and Water Resources | 37 |
| 5.1.6 Flora and Fauna | 38 |
| 5.2 Heritage and Archaeology..... | 41 |
| 5.2.1 Local Level and Archaeological Findings | 41 |
| 5.3 Surrounding Land Uses | 43 |
| 5.4 Socio-Economic conditions | 45 |

| | | |
|--------|---|----|
| 6 | PUBLIC CONSULTATION PROCESS | 45 |
| 6.1 | Pre-identified and Registered Interested and Affected Parties (I&APs) | 46 |
| 6.2 | Communication with I&APs | 46 |
| 7 | IMPACT IDENTIFICATION, ASSESSMENT, AND MITIGATION MEASURES | 48 |
| 7.1 | Impact Identification | 48 |
| 7.2 | Impact Assessment Methodology | 49 |
| 7.2.1 | Extent (spatial scale)..... | 50 |
| 7.2.2 | Duration | 50 |
| 7.2.3 | Intensity, Magnitude/severity..... | 51 |
| 7.2.4 | Probability of occurrence | 51 |
| 7.2.5 | Significance | 52 |
| 7.3 | Assessment of Potential Negative Impacts | 54 |
| 7.3.1 | Disturbance to the grazing areas | 54 |
| 7.3.2 | Land Degradation and Loss of Biodiversity | 54 |
| 7.3.3 | Generation of Dust (Air Quality) | 55 |
| 7.3.4 | Water Resources Use | 55 |
| 7.3.5 | Soil and Water Resources Pollution | 56 |
| 7.3.6 | Waste Generation | 57 |
| 7.3.7 | Occupational Health and Safety Risks | 58 |
| 7.3.8 | Vehicular Traffic Use and Safety | 58 |
| 7.3.9 | Noise and vibrations | 59 |
| 7.3.10 | Disturbance to Archaeological and Heritage Resources | 59 |
| 7.3.11 | Impact on Local Roads/Routes | 60 |
| 7.3.12 | Social Nuisance: Local Property intrusion and Disturbance/Damage | 61 |
| 7.4 | Cumulative Impacts Associated with Proposed Exploration | 61 |
| | Mitigations and Recommendations for Rehabilitation | 62 |
| 8 | RECOMMENDATIONS AND CONCLUSION | 63 |
| 8.1 | Recommendations | 63 |
| 8.2 | Conclusion | 64 |
| 9 | REFERENCES | 65 |

LIST OF FIGURES

| | |
|--|---|
| Figure 1: Locality map for EPL No. 9240..... | 2 |
|--|---|

| | |
|--|----|
| Figure 2: The location of EPL 9240 on the National Mining Cadastre..... | 10 |
| Figure 3: Climate condition around the project area (source: https://weatherandclimate.com/namibia/karas/helmeringhausen) | 32 |
| Figure 4: Landscape and Topographic map of the project area..... | 33 |
| Figure 5: Mount Aruab found within the EPL | 33 |
| Figure 6: A map of the general geology of the project area | 35 |
| Figure 7: shows soil type found within the EPL | 36 |
| Figure 8: Type of soil observed on the EPL..... | 36 |
| Figure 9: Hydrology map – EPL 9240 | 37 |
| Figure 10: Natural Freshwater fountain observed on EPL 9240 | 38 |
| Figure 11: (a) <i>Rhamnus lycioides</i> (b) <i>Asparagus horridus</i> L. (c) <i>Terminalia sericea</i> (d) <i>Gymnosporia putterlickioides</i> Loes (e) <i>Rhigozum trichotomum</i> (f) <i>Ziziphus lotus</i> (g) <i>Ficus cordata</i> Thunb (h) <i>Aloe ferox</i> | 39 |
| Figure 12: Consultation meeting held on 11 August 2025, at Helmeringhausen Farmers Association Hall, //Karas region | 47 |
| Figure 13: Public Consultation notice at Helmeringhausen Farmers Association Hall, //Karas region..... | 47 |

LIST OF TABLES

| | |
|---|----|
| Table 1: Presentation of pitting, and trenching as well as comparison of reverse circulation and diamond drilling methods | 11 |
| Table 2: Applicable local, national, and international standards, policies, and guidelines governing the proposed development | 17 |
| Table 3: International Policies, Principles, Standards, Treaties, and Convention applicable to the project..... | 26 |
| Table 4: Summary of Interested and Affected Parties (I&APs) | 46 |
| Table 5: Summary of main issues raised, and comments received during public meeting engagements | 48 |
| Table 6: Extent or spatial impact rating | 50 |
| Table 7: Duration impact rating | 50 |
| Table 8: Intensity, magnitude, or severity impact rating..... | 51 |
| Table 9: Probability of occurrence impact rating..... | 51 |
| Table 10: Significance rating scale | 52 |
| Table 11: Assessment of the impacts of exploration on grazing areas | 54 |
| Table 12: Assessment of the impacts of exploration on biodiversity | 55 |
| Table 13: Assessment of the impacts of exploration on air quality..... | 55 |
| Table 14: Assessment of the project impact on water resource use and availability | 56 |
| Table 15: Assessment of the project impact on soils and water resources (pollution)..... | 57 |
| Table 16: Assessment of waste generation impact | 57 |
| Table 17: Assessment of the impacts of exploration on health and safety..... | 58 |
| Table 18: Assessment of the impacts of exploration on-road use (vehicular traffic)..... | 59 |
| Table 19: Assessment of the impacts of noise and vibrations from exploration | 59 |
| Table 20: Assessment of the impacts of exploration on archaeological & heritage resources ... | 60 |
| Table 21: Assessment of exploration of local services (roads and water)..... | 60 |
| Table 22: Assessment of the social impact of community property damage or disturbance | 61 |

LIST OF APPENDICES

Appendix A: Copy of the Environmental Clearance Certificate (ECC) Application Form 1

Appendix B: Draft Environmental Management Plan (EMP)

Appendix C: Curricula Vitae (CV) for the Environmental Assessment Practitioner (EAP)

Appendix D: Proof of Public Consultation for EPL 9240

Appendix E: Intention to Grant EPL 9240

Appendix F: Plant species covering EPL 9240

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

| Abbreviation | Meaning |
|--------------|---|
| AMSL | Above Mean Sea Level |
| BID | Background Information Document |
| CV | Curriculum Vitae |
| DEAF | Department of Environmental Affairs, and Forestry |
| EA | Environmental Assessment |
| EAP | Environmental Assessment Practitioner |
| ECC | Environmental Clearance Certificate |
| EDS | Excel Dynamic Solutions |
| ESIA | Environmental and Social Impact Assessment |
| EMA | Environmental Management Act |
| EMP | Environmental Management Plan |
| EPL | Exclusive Prospecting Licence |
| GG | Government Gazette |
| GN | Government Notice |
| I&APs | Interested and Affected Parties |
| MEFT | Ministry of Environment, Forestry and Tourism |
| MIME | Ministry of Industries, Mines and Energy |

| | |
|-----|-------------------------------|
| PPE | Personal Protective Equipment |
| Reg | Regulation |
| S | Section |
| TOR | Terms of Reference |

DEFINITION OF TERMS

| | |
|--|--|
| Alternative | A possible course of action, in place of another would meet the same purpose and need of the proposal. |
| Baseline | Work done to collect and interpret information on the condition/trends of the existing environment. |
| Biophysical | That part of the environment does not originate with human activities (e.g. biological, physical, and chemical processes). |
| Cumulative Impacts/Effects Assessment | About an activity, means the impact of an activity that in it may not be significant but may become significant when added to the existing and potential impacts eventuating from similar or diverse activities or undertakings in the area. |
| Decision-maker | The person(s) entrusted with the responsibility for allocating resources or granting approval to a proposal. |
| Ecological Processes | Processes play an essential part in maintaining ecosystem integrity. Four fundamental ecological processes are the cycling of water, the cycling of nutrients, the flow of energy, and biological diversity (as an expression of evolution). |

| | |
|---|---|
| Environment | As defined in the Environmental Management Act - the complex of natural and anthropogenic factors and elements that are mutually interrelated and affect the ecological equilibrium and the quality of life, including – (a) the natural environment that is land, water, and air; all organic and inorganic matter and living organisms and (b) the human environment that is the landscape and natural, cultural, historical, aesthetic, economic and social heritage and values. |
| Environmental Management Plan | As defined in the EIA Regulations (Section 8(j)), a plan that describes how activities that may have significant environments effects are to be mitigated, controlled, and monitored. |
| Exclusive Prospecting Licence | Is a license that confers exclusive mineral prospecting rights over the land of up to 1000 km ² in size for an initial period of three years, renewable twice for a maximum of two years at a time |
| Interested and Affected Party (I&AP) | Concerning the assessment of a listed activity includes - (a) any person, group of persons, or organization interested in or affected by the activity; and (b) any organ of state that may have jurisdiction over any aspect of the activity. |
| Mitigate | practical measures to reduce adverse impacts. |
| Proponent | as defined in the Environmental Management Act, a person who proposes to undertake a listed activity. |
| Significant impact | means an impact that by its magnitude, duration, intensity, or probability of occurrence may have a notable effect on one or more aspects of the environment. |
| Fauna | All of the animals that are found in a given area. |
| Flora | All of the plants are found in a given area. |
| Mitigation | The purposeful implementation of decisions or activities that are designed to reduce the undesirable impacts of a proposed action on the affected environment. |

| | |
|--|--|
| Monitoring | Activity involving repeated observation, according to a pre-determined schedule, of one or more elements of the environment to detect their characteristics (status and trends). |
| Nomadic Pastoralism | Nomadic pastoralists live in societies in which the husbandry of grazing animals is viewed as an ideal way of making a living and the regular movement of all or part of the society is considered a normal and natural part of life. Pastoral nomadism is commonly found where climatic conditions produce seasonal pastures but cannot support sustained agriculture. |
| Proponent | Organization (private or public sector) or individual intending to implement a development proposal. |
| Public Consultation/Involvement | A range of techniques can be used to inform, consult or interact with stakeholders affected by the proposed activities. |
| Protected Area | Refers to a protected area that is proclaimed in the Government Gazette, according to the Nature Conservation Ordinance number 4 of 1975, as amended |
| Scoping | An early and open activity to identify the impacts that are most likely to be significant and require specialized investigation during the EIA work. Can, also be used to identify alternative project designs/sites to be assessed, obtain local knowledge of the site and surroundings, and prepare a plan for public involvement. The results of scoping are frequently used to prepare a Terms of Reference for the specialized input into full EIA. |
| Terms of Reference (ToR) | Written requirements governing full EIA input and implementation, consultations to be held, data to be produced, and form/contents of the EIA report. Often produced as an output from scoping. |

1 INTRODUCTION

1.1 Project Background

Max Kefas Nuseb (The Proponent) has applied to the Ministry of Industries, Mines and Energy (MIME) for the Exclusive Prospecting Licence (EPL) No. 9240 on the 22nd of December 2022. However, the approval and granting of the EPL requires an ECC, before any proposed prospecting and exploration works may occur.

The EPL covers a total surface area of 19898.1774 ha, located approximately 17 km northwest of Helmeringhausen in //Karas Regions, (**Figure 1**).

The EPL overlays eight (8) farms, namely: Farm Ganaams No. 21, Farm Aruab No. 23, Farm Aubures No. 22, Farm Nuas No. 27, Farm Barby No. 26, Farm Heuwelvlakte No. 192, Portion of Farm Wittmanshaar No. 25 and Farm Wittmanshaar No. 25, as depicted in **Figure 15**.

The target commodities for prospecting and exploration are **Base & Rare Metals, Dimension Stone, Industrial Minerals, and Precious Metals**.

Section 27 (1) of the Environmental Management Act (EMA) (No. 7 of 2007) and its 2012 Environmental Impact Assessment (EIA) Regulations, provides a list of activities that may not be carried out without an EIA undertaken and an ECC obtained. Exploration activities are listed among activities that may not occur without an ECC. Therefore, individuals or organizations may not carry out exploration activities without an ECC awarded to the Proponent.




Figure 1: Locality map for EPL No. 9240

1.2 Terms of Reference, Scope of Works, and Appointed EA Practitioner

To satisfy the requirements of the EMA and its 2012 EIA Regulations, The Proponent appointed EDS to conduct the required Environmental Assessment (EA) process on their (Proponent's) behalf, and thereafter, apply for an ECC for exploration works on the EPL. There were no formal Terms of Reference (ToR) provided to EDS by the Proponent. The consultant, instead, relied on the requirements of the Environmental Management Act (No. 7 of 2007) (EMA) and its EIA Regulations (GN. No. 30 of 2012) to conduct the study.

The application for the ECC (**Appendix A**) is compiled and submitted to the Ministry of Environment, Forestry, and Tourism (MEFT), the environmental custodian for project registration purposes. Upon submission of an Environmental and Social Impact Assessment (ESIA) Report and Draft Environmental Management Plan (EMP) (**Appendix B**), an ECC for the proposed project may be considered by the Environmental Commissioner at the MEFT Department of Environmental Affairs and Forestry (DEAF).

The EIA project is headed by Mr. Nerson Tjelos, a qualified and experienced Geoscientist and experienced EAP. The consultation process and reporting are done by Ms. Hilma Nampala and Reviewed by Mr. Silas David. Ms. Hilma Nampala and Mr. Silas David's CVs are presented in **Appendix C**.

1.3 Motivation for the Proposed Project

The mining industry is one of the largest contributors to the Namibian economy, it contributes to the improvement of local livelihoods. In Namibia, the exploration of minerals is done mainly by the private sector. Exploration activities have a great potential to enhance and contribute to the development of other sectors and their activities do provide temporary employment, and taxes that fund social infrastructural development. The minerals sector yields foreign exchange and accounts for a significant portion of the gross domestic product (GDP). Additionally, the industry produces a trained workforce and small businesses that can serve communities and may initiate related businesses. Exploration activity fosters several associated activities such as the manufacturing of exploration and mining equipment, and the provision of engineering and environmental services. The mining sector forms a vital part of some of Namibia's development plans, namely: Vision 2030 and National Development Plan 6 (NDP6). Mining is essential to the development goals of Namibia in contributing to meeting the ever-increasing global demand for

minerals, and for national prosperity. Successful exploration of EPL No. 9240 would lead to the mining of the target mineral, which would contribute towards achieving the goals of the national development plans.

2 PROJECT DESCRIPTION: PROPOSED EXPLORATION ACTIVITY

Prospecting and exploration of minerals are the first components of any potential mining project. These are carried out to acquire the necessary data required for further decision-making and investment options. These activities are anticipated to last for about three years. The exploration process includes three phases - prospecting, exploration, and the decommissioning of works.

2.1 Prospecting Phase (Non- Invasive Techniques)

2.1.1 Desktop Study

This mainly entails a desktop review of geological maps of the area, on-site ground traverses and observations, and an update, where relevant, of the information obtained during previous geological studies of the area.

2.1.2 Geophysical surveys

Geophysical surveys entail data collection of the substrata by air or ground, through sensors such as radar, magnetic, and/or electromagnetic sensors, to detect and ascertain any mineralization in the area. Ground geophysical surveys shall be conducted, where necessary, using vehicle-mounted sensors or handheld by staff members, while in the case of air surveys, the sensors are mounted to an aircraft, which navigates over the target area.

2.1.3 Lithology geochemical surveys

Rock and soil samples shall be collected and taken for trace element analysis to be conducted by analytical chemistry laboratories to determine the sufficiency of the mineral and the feasibility of mining the mineral. Additionally, trenches or pits may be dug depending on the commodity (in a controlled environment e.g., fencing off and labelling activity sites) adopting a manual or excavator to further investigate the mineral potential.

Soil sampling consists of small pits being dug, where 1kg samples can be extracted and sieved to collect about 50g of material. As necessary, and to ensure adequate risk mitigation, all major excavations will be closed immediately after obtaining the needed samples, or the sites will be

secured until the trenches or pits are closed. The landowner and other relevant stakeholders will be engaged to obtain authorization where necessary.

The Proponent, is therefore, required to ensure that the affected parties are consulted before the commencement of the ground surveys, during the prospecting phase of the proposed project.

2.2 Exploration Phase (Invasive Techniques)

The selection of the potential mineralization model and exploration targets will be based on the local geology, and the trenching, drilling, and assay results of the samples collected. The planned exploration activities are aimed at delineating the mineral deposits and determining whether the deposits are economically feasible mining resources.

No explosives will be used during the exploration phase.

2.2.1 Detailed Exploration (Drilling)

Should analyses by an analytical laboratory yield positive results, holes are drilled, and drill samples are collected for further analysis. This determines the depth of the potential mineralization. If necessary, new access tracks to the drill sites will be created and drill pads at which to set up the rig will be cleared. Two widely used drilling options may be adopted - the Reverse Circulation (RC) drilling method and/or the Diamond (Core) drilling method. The RC drilling method uses a pneumatic hammer, which drives a rotating tungsten-steel bit. RC Drilling produces an uncontaminated large-volume sample, which comprises rock chips. It is relatively quicker and cheaper when compared to other techniques like Diamond Drilling. However, diamond drilling may also be considered for this exploration program, for better geological control and to perform processing trials.

A typical drilling site consists of a drill-rig and support vehicles as well as a drill core and geological samples store. A drill equipment parking and maintenance yard may be set up (including a fuel and lubricants storage facility).

The Proponent is required to ensure that the affected parties are consulted before any exploration work commences within the EPL and the mitigation measures stipulated in the Environmental Management Plan (EMP) must be adhered to.

Other aspects of the proposed exploration operations include:

2.2.2 Accessibility to Site

The EPL is accessible from the C27 which runs from Helmeringhausen, which connects to the dirt roads, leading to the EPL (*refer to Figure 1*). Project-related vehicles will use existing roads to access the EPL. It is also anticipated that, if necessary, new tracks to the different targeted exploration sites within the EPL will be created. The Proponent may need to do some upgrading on the site access roads to ensure that it is fit to accommodate project-related vehicles, such as heavy trucks.

2.2.3 Material and Equipment

The requirements of the exploration program in terms of vehicles (4X4), a truck, water tanks and equipment such as, drill rigs and drilling machines, and a power generator. Equipment and vehicles will be stored at a designated area near the accommodation site or a storage site established within the EPL area.

2.2.4 Services and Infrastructure

- **Water:** Water for the exploration operations on the EPL will be obtained from the nearest existing boreholes, or the Proponent will drill boreholes within the EPL, upon obtaining necessary permits and signed agreements with the landowners or traditional authorities in the area. The estimated monthly water consumptions are 2000 liters. This includes water for drinking, sanitation, cooking, dust control (if necessary), drilling, as well as washing of equipment.
- **Power supply:** Power required during the operation phase will be provided by diesel generators. About 200 liters of diesel will be used per day.
- **Fuel (diesel for generators and other equipment):** The fuel (diesel) required for exploration equipment will be stored in a tank mounted on a mobile trailer, and drip trays will be readily available on this trailer and monitored to ensure that accidental fuel spills are cleaned up as soon as they have been detected/observed. Fuel may also be stored in a bunded diesel bowser on site, and in jerry cans placed on plastic sheeting to avoid unnecessary contamination of soils.

2.2.5 Waste Management

The site will be equipped with secured waste bins for each type of waste (i.e., domestic, hazardous, and recyclable). Depending on the amount generated, waste will be sorted and collected as regularly as possible and taken to the nearest certified landfill site. An agreement will

need to be reached with different waste management facility operators/owners and authorization or permits will be obtained before utilizing these facilities, in the case of production of any hazardous waste.

- **Sanitation and human waste:** Portable ablution facilities will be used, and the sewage will be disposed of according to the approved disposal or treatment methods of the facility manufacturer.
- **Hazardous waste:** Drip trays and spill control kits will be available on-site to ensure that oil/fuel spills and leaks from vehicles and equipment are captured on time and contained correctly before polluting the site.

The waste produced on-site can also be categorized as mineral or non-mineral waste:

- **Mineral Waste:** Consists of solid products of exploration and mineral concentration to acquire the targeted minerals. Mineral waste will potentially be produced throughout the project exploration phase. This waste will be stripped and dumped in allocated areas as stipulated in the EMP.
- **Non-mineral Waste:** Consists primarily of auxiliary materials that will support the exploration phase. This includes but is not limited to items such as empty containers, plastic, etc., and other domestic waste. This waste will be collected, sorted, and taken to the dumpsite as regularly as necessary.

2.2.6 Safety and Security

- **Storage Site:** Temporary storage areas for exploration material, equipment, and machinery will be required at the campsite and/or exploration sites. Security will be supplied on a 24-hour basis at the delegated sites for storage. A temporary support fence surrounding the storage site will be constructed to ensure people and domestic animals are not put at risk.
- **Fire management:** A minimum of basic firefighting equipment, i.e., fire extinguishers will be readily available in vehicles, at the working sites and camps. The exploration crew is required to have the contact details of the nearest fire station at hand in case of a larger scale of fires at the site.
- **Health and Safety:** Adequate and appropriate Personal Protective Equipment (PPE) will be provided to every project personnel while on and working at the site. A first aid kit will be readily available on-site to attend to potential minor injuries.

2.2.7 Accommodation

The exploration crew will be accommodated on the farms, or a campsite will be set up for the exploration crew near the exploration sites. If the accommodation camp is to be set up on a farm, necessary arrangements will be made with the farm owner(s). Exploration activities will take place during daytime only and staff will commute to the exploration site(s) from their place of accommodation if they are not accommodated on site.

2.3 Decommissioning and Rehabilitation Phase

Once the exploration activities on the EPL come to an end, the Proponent will need to put site rehabilitation measures in place. Decommissioning and rehabilitation are primarily reinforced through a decommissioning and rehabilitation plan, which consists of safety, health, environmental, and contingency aspects. An unfavourable economic situation or unconvincing exploration results might force the Proponent to cease the exploration program before the predicted closure. Therefore, it is best practice for the Proponent to ensure the project activities cease in an environmentally friendly manner and the site is rehabilitated.

3 PROJECT ALTERNATIVES

Alternatives are defined as the “different means of meeting the general purpose and requirements of the activity” (EMA, 2007). This section highlights the different ways in which the project can be undertaken, and identifies alternatives that may be the most practical, but least damaging to the environment.

Once the alternatives have been established, these are examined by asking the following three questions:

- What alternatives are technically and economically feasible?
- What are the environmental effects associated with the feasible alternatives?
- What is the rationale for selecting the preferred alternative?

The alternatives considered for the proposed development are discussed in the following subsections.

3.1 Types of Alternatives Considered

3.1.1 The "No-go" Alternative

The “no action” alternative implies that the status quo remains, and nothing happens. Should the proposal of exploration activities on the EPL, be discontinued, none of the potential impacts (positive and negative) identified would occur. If the proposed project is to be discontinued, the current land use for the proposed site will remain unchanged.

This no-go option is considered and a comparative assessment of the environmental and socio-economic impacts of the “no action” alternative, is undertaken to establish what benefits might be lost if the project is not implemented. The key losses that may never be realized if the proposed project does not go ahead include:

- Loss of foreign direct investment.
- About ten (10) temporary job opportunities for community members will not be realized.
- No realization of local business supports through the procurement of consumable items such as Personal Protective Equipment (PPE), machinery spare parts, lubricants, etc.
- Loss of potential income to the local and national government through land lease fees, license lease fees, and various tax structures.
- Improved geological understanding of the site area regarding the targeted commodities.
- Socio-economic benefits such as skills acquisition for local community members would be not realized.

Considering the above losses, the “no-action/go” alternative may not necessarily be considered a viable option for this project, although, in the case where parts of the project site are considered environmentally sensitive and/or protected, one or several sections of the site may be identified as no-go zones.

3.1.2 Exploration Location

The prospecting/exploration location is dependent on the geological setting (regional and local), the economic geology, and the exploration and mining history of the EPL area. Therefore, finding an alternative location for the planned exploration activities is not possible. This means that the mineralization of the target commodities is area-specific, and exploration targets are primarily

determined by the geology (host rocks) and the tectonic environment of the site (an ore-forming mechanism). The tenement has a sufficient surface area for future related facilities, should an economic mineral deposit be defined.

The potential locations of mineral resources nationwide are mapped and categorized by the Ministry of Industries, Mines and Energy as exclusive prospecting licenses, mining licenses and claims, mineral deposit retention licenses, reconnaissance licenses, and exclusive reconnaissance licenses on the Namibia Mining Cadastral Map <https://portals.landfolio.com/namibia/>. Cadastral information on EPL 9240 is shown in **figure 2**




Figure 2: The location of EPL 9240 on the National Mining Cadastre

3.1.3 Exploration Methods

Both invasive and non-invasive exploration techniques are expected to be used for exploration works. If an economically viable discovery is made, the project will proceed to the mining phase upon approval of a mining license. If any other alternative viable exploration methods are found to achieve the purpose more effectively and/or efficiently without aggravating any environmental measures put in place, they can be implemented.

Table 1: Presentation of pitting, and trenching as well as comparison of reverse circulation and diamond drilling methods

| Invasive exploration Method (Alternatives Considered) | Short Description | Justification for selected option |
|---|--|--|
| Pitting and trenching | <p>-Pits and trenches, or to use the old Cornish mining term, costeans, can be a quick, cheap way of obtaining lithological and structural information in areas of shallow cover.</p> <p>-Pitting is usually employed to test shallow, extensive, flat-lying bodies of mineralization. An ideal example of this would be a buried heavy mineral placer.</p> <p>-The main advantage of pitting over a pattern-drill program on the same deposit is that pits can provide a very large volume sample. Large sample sizes are necessary to overcome problems of variable grade distribution, which are characteristic features of such deposits.</p> <p>-Trenches are usually employed to expose steep dipping bedrock buried below shallow overburden and are normally dug across the strike of the rocks or mineral zone being tested (Marjoribanks, 1997).</p> | <p>- Quick, cheap way of obtaining lithological and structural information in areas of shallow cover.</p> <p>-Pits can provide a very large volume sample. Large sample sizes are necessary to overcome problems of variable grade distribution, which are characteristic features of such deposits.</p> <p>-Trenches are an excellent adjunct to RC drilling programs, where the structural data from trench mapping are needed to complement the lithological information obtained from the drill cuttings (Marjoribanks, 1997).</p> |

| Invasive exploration Method (Alternatives Considered) | Short Description | Justification for selected option |
|---|---|---|
| Reverse Circulation (RC) | <p>-Crushed rock is collected in the form of cuttings samples called back within stems contrast to conventional drilling that puts the air inside the stems and cuttings outside. Here the air passes downwards through the annular space between the inner shaft and the outer tube.</p> <p>-Water is often used down the hole to cool the drill bit and reduce dust as well as assist with the transportation of sample bits to the surface.</p> <p>-RC drilling is designed for drilling through and crushing hard rock.</p> <p>-RC is fundamentally different from diamond core drilling, both in terms of equipment and sampling. One major difference is that RVC drilling creates small rock chips instead of a solid core.</p> <p>Furthermore, according to Technidrill (2020), the RC method:</p> <p>-Allows full recovery of samples continuously</p> | <p>-Compared to diamond drilling, RC requires less water. Therefore, RC drilling will put less pressure on the water supply and use.</p> <p>The major differences between RC and diamond drilling are in the rate of penetration and cost per foot. RVC drilling is much faster than diamond core drilling and much less expensive.</p> <p>-Unlike diamond drilling, this process creates rock chips that can be analyzed, rather than a solid, cylindrical piece of rock.</p> <p>-Some types of information, such as structural details, are not possible to obtain in the absence of solid rock. Despite this disadvantage, much valuable information</p> |

| Invasive exploration Method (Alternatives Considered) | Short Description | Justification for selected option |
|---|---|---|
| | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> -Quick installation -There is no contact between the walls and cuttings taken at the bottom. -The penetration rate is fast (Techndrill, 2020) | <p>can still be obtained from the rock chips. For example, the chips are much easier to examine under a microscope. Testing of fluorescence and effervescence is easily accomplished (Earth Science Australia, 2020).</p> |
| Infill drilling | <p>The progress of an exploration project mostly depends on the result of the primary boreholes. Therefore, primary exploration boreholes must intersect high-grade mineralization zones with considerable thickness. On the other hand, the infill boreholes are designed based on obtained results from the primary boreholes (Fatehi, <i>et al.</i>, 2017). Therefore, infill drilling is intended to support an update to a higher classification of the Mineral Resource estimate. The metallurgical test-work results will improve the understanding of blending designs in the exploration</p> | <p>It is for these reasons that RC will be the most preferred method and mainly used. However, the RC drilling would be combined with Diamond drilling where necessary for more reliable data collection and analysis. Diamond drilling would more applicable where deeper holes are required than is possible using RC drilling.</p> |

| Invasive exploration Method (Alternatives Considered) | Short Description | Justification for selected option |
|---|---|--|
| | schedules for the product offtake specifications (Canyon Resources, 2021). | |
| Diamond (Core) drilling | <p>-Diamond core drilling uses a diamond bit, which rotates at the end of the drill rod (or pipe). The opening at the end of the diamond bit allows a solid column of rock to move up into the drill pipe and be recovered at the surface.</p> <p>-The diamond bit is rotated slowly with gentle pressure while being lubricated with water to prevent overheating. As a result, this drilling method is known to use a huge amount of water compared to RC, thus may put pressure on water supply sources.</p> <p>-While the drill cuttings obtained with RC drilling can be analyzed to provide a limited amount of information, the scope of these tests is limited, and their locations are less precise. Core samples, on the other hand, will identify actual veins of materials and give you their precise location (BG Drilling, 2016). Therefore, for accuracy's sake, diamond</p> | <p>-In-fill drilling would also be applied to support an update to a higher classification of the Mineral Resource estimate.</p> |

| Invasive exploration Method (Alternatives Considered) | Short Description | Justification for selected option |
|---|--|-----------------------------------|
| | <p>drilling would provide a better result. In other words, RC results are reliable but may not be accurate.</p> <p>- As diamond is one of the strongest materials in the world, it has no trouble drilling through most surfaces. Therefore, it works well across a wider range of ground types and conditions.</p> <p>-Time-consuming and more effort is required to obtain the drill core. -Low initial investment, but generally more expensive to meters drilled because of the limitation of the speed.</p> | |

The final drilling technique would be determined by the mineralization type. However, based on the information presented in the Table above regarding the detailed exploration methods (drilling), it was found and pre-determined that Reverse Circulation (RC) drilling would be preferable as much as possible given its efficiency in terms of costs, operating speed and environmentally friendly (water demand) compared to Diamond drilling (which not likely to be used for this proposed exploration).

Although RC drilling is known to have its shortcomings, particularly lack of solid drill recovery and inaccuracy, it is usually combined with Diamond drilling for the exploration of some minerals, if the borehole(s) needs to be deeper than what RC can achieve.

4 LEGAL FRAMEWORK: LEGISLATION, POLICIES, AND GUIDELINES

Prospecting and exploration activities have legal implications associated with certain applicable legal standards. A summary of applicable and relevant international policies and Namibian legislation, policies, and guidelines for the proposed development is given in this section (**Table 2**). This summary serves to inform the project Proponent, Interested and Affected Parties, and the decision-makers at the DEAF, of the requirements and expectations, as laid out in terms of these instruments, to be fulfilled to establish the proposed prospecting and exploration activities.

4.1 The Environmental Management Act (No. 7 of 2007)

This EIA was carried out according to the Environmental Management Act (EMA) and its Environmental Impact Assessment (EIA) Regulations (GG No. 4878 GN No. 30).

The EMA has stipulated requirements to complete the required documentation to obtain an ECC for permission to undertake certain listed activities. These activities are listed under the following Regulations:

3.1 The construction of facilities for any process or activities which requires a license, the right of other forms of authorization, and the renewal of a license, right, or other forms of authorization, in terms of the Minerals (Prospecting and Mining Act, 1992).

3.2 other forms of mining or extraction of any natural resources whether regulated by law or not.

3.3 Resource extraction, manipulation, conservation, and related activities.

The Environmental Impact Assessment (EIA) Regulations GN 28-30 (GG 4878) detail requirements for public consultation within a given environmental assessment process (GN 30 S21). The EIA regulations also outline the required details of a Scoping Report (GN 30 S8) and an Assessment Report (GN 30 S15).

Other legal obligations that are relevant to the proposed activities of EPL No. 9240 and related activities are presented.

Table 2:Applicable local, national, and international standards, policies, and guidelines governing the proposed development

| Legislation / Policy / Guideline: Custodian | Relevant Provisions | Implications for this project |
|--|--|--|
| <p>The Constitution of the Republic of Namibia, 1990 as amended:</p> <p>Government of the Republic of Namibia</p> | <p>The Constitution of the Republic of Namibia (1990 as amended) addresses matters relating to environmental protection and sustainable development.</p> <p>Article 91(c) defines the functions of the Ombudsman to include:</p> <p>“...the duty to investigate complaints concerning the over-utilization of living natural resources, the irrational exploitation of non-renewable resources, the degradation and destruction of ecosystems and failure to protect the beauty and character of Namibia...”</p> <p>Article 95(l) commits the state to actively promoting and maintaining the welfare of the people by adopting policies aimed at the:</p> <p>“...Natural resources situated in the soil and on the subsoil, the internal waters, in the sea, in the continental shelf, and in the exclusive economic zone are property of the State.”</p> | <p>By implementing the environmental management plan, the establishment will be conformant to the constitution in terms of environmental management and sustainability.</p> <p>Ecological sustainability will be the main priority for the proposed development.</p> |
| <p>Minerals (Prospecting and Mining) Act (No. 33 of 1992):</p> | <p>Section 52 requires mineral license holders to enter into a written agreement with affected landowners before</p> | <p>The Proponent should enter into a written agreement with landowners before exploring</p> |

| Legislation / Policy / Guideline: Custodian | Relevant Provisions | Implications for this project |
|--|---|---|
| Ministry of Industries, Mines and Energy (MIME) | <p>exercising rights conferred upon the license holder.</p> <p>Section 52(1) mineral license holder may not exercise his/her rights in any town or village, on or in a proclaimed road, land utilized for cultivation, within 100m of any water resource (borehole, dam, spring, drinking trough, etc.) and boreholes, or no operations in municipal areas, etc.), which should individually be checked to ensure compliance.</p> <p>Section 54 requires a written notice to be submitted to the Mining Commissioner if the holder of a mineral license intends to abandon the mineral license area.</p> <p>Section 68 stipulates that an application for an exclusive prospecting license (EPL) shall contain the particulars of the condition of, and any existing damage to, the environment in the area to which the application relates and an estimate of the effect which the proposed prospecting operations may have on the environment and the measures to be taken to prevent or minimize any such effect.</p> <p>Section 91 requires that rehabilitation measures should be included in an application for a mineral license.</p> | <p>their land. On commercial land.</p> <p>An assessment of the impact on the receiving environment should be carried out.</p> <p>The Proponent should include as part of their application for the EPL, measures by which they will rehabilitate the areas where they intend to carry out mineral exploration activities.</p> <p>The Proponent may not carry out exploration activities within the areas limited by Section 52 (1) of this Act.</p> |

| Legislation / Policy / Guideline: Custodian | Relevant Provisions | Implications for this project |
|---|---|--|
| Nature Conservation Amendment Act, No. 3 of 2017: Ministry of Environment, Forestry and Tourism (MEFT) | National Parks are established and gazetted following the Nature Conservation Ordinance, 1975 (4 of 1975), as amended. The Ordinance provides a legal framework concerning the permission of entering a state-protected area, as well as requirements for individuals damaging objects (geological, ethnological, archaeological, and historical) within a protected area. Though the Ordinance does not specifically refer to mining as an activity within a protected area (PA) or recreational area (RA), it does restrict access to PAs and prohibits certain acts therein as well as the purposes for which permission to enter game parks and nature reserves may be granted. | <p>The Proponent will be required to enhance the conservation of biodiversity and the maintenance of the ecological integrity of protected areas and another State land in the Project Site area.</p> <p>The Proponent will also be required to comply with the existing and planned local operational management plans, regulations, and guidelines of the three conservancies.</p> |
| The Parks and Wildlife Management Bill of 2008: Ministry of Environment, Forestry and Tourism (MEFT) | Aims to provide a regulatory framework for the protection, conservation, and rehabilitation of species and ecosystems, the sustainable use and sustainable management of indigenous biological resources, and the management of protected areas, to conserve biodiversity and contribute to national development. | |

| Legislation / Policy / Guideline: Custodian | Relevant Provisions | Implications for this project |
|---|---|--|
| Mine Health & Safety Regulations, 10th Draft: Ministry of Health and Social Services (MHSS) | Makes provision for the health and safety of persons employed or otherwise present in the mineral licenses area. These deal with among other matters; clothing and devices; design, use, operation, supervision, and control of machinery; fencing and guards; and safety measures during repairs and maintenance. | The Proponent should comply with all these regulations concerning their employees. |
| Petroleum Products and Energy Act (No. 13 of 1990) Regulations (2001): Ministry of Industries, Mines and Energy (MIME) | Regulation 3(2)(b) states that “No person shall possess [sic] or store any fuel except under the authority of a license or a certificate, excluding a person who possesses or stores such fuel in a quantity of 600 liters or less in any container kept at a place outside a local authority area” | The Proponent should obtain the necessary authorization from the MIME for the storage of fuel on-site. |
| The Regional Councils Act (No. 22 of 1992): Ministry of Urban and Rural Development (MURD) | This Act sets out the conditions under which Regional Councils must be elected and administer each delineated region. From a land use and project planning perspective, their duties include, as described in section 28 “to undertake the planning of the development of the region for which it has been established with a view to physical, social and economic characteristics, urbanization patterns, | The relevant Regional Councils are IAPs and must be consulted during the Environmental Assessment (EA) process. The project site falls under the Hardap Regional Council; therefore, they should be consulted. |

| Legislation / Policy / Guideline: Custodian | Relevant Provisions | Implications for this project |
|---|--|--|
| | natural resources, economic development potential, infrastructure, land utilization pattern and sensitivity of the natural environment. | |
| Water Act 54 of 1956: Ministry of Agriculture, Fisheries, Water and Land Reform (MAFWLR) | <p>The Water Resources Management Act 11 of 2013 is present without regulations; therefore, the Water Act No 54 of 1956 is still in force:</p> <p>Prohibits the pollution of water and implements the principle that a person disposing of effluent or waste has a duty of care to prevent pollution (S3 (k)).</p> <p>Provides for control and protection of groundwater (S66 (1), (d (ii)).</p> <p>Liability of clean-up costs after closure/abandonment of an activity (S3 (l)). (l)).</p> | <p>The protection (both quality and quantity/abstraction) of water resources should be a priority.</p> <p>The permits and license required thereto should be obtained from MAFWLR's relevant Departments (these permits include Borehole Drilling Permits, Groundwater Abstraction & Use Permits, and when required, Wastewater / Effluent Discharge Permits).</p> |
| Water Resources Management Act (No 11 of 2013): Ministry of Agriculture, Fisheries, Water and Land Reform (MAFWLR) | <p>The Act provides for the management, protection, development, use, and conservation of water resources; provides for the regulation and monitoring of water services, and provides for incidental matters. The objects of this Act are to:</p> <p>Ensure that the water resources of Namibia are managed, developed, used, conserved, and protected in a manner</p> | |

| Legislation / Policy / Guideline: Custodian | Relevant Provisions | Implications for this project |
|--|--|--|
| | consistent with, or conducive to, the fundamental principles set out in Section 66 - protection of aquifers, Subsection 1 (d) (iii) provide for preventing the contamination of the aquifer and water pollution control (S68). | |
| National Heritage Act No. 27 of 2004: Ministry of Education, Innovation, Youth, Sport, Arts, and Culture (MEIYSAC) | To provide for the protection and conservation of places and objects of heritage significance and the registration of such places and objects; to establish a National Heritage Council; to establish a National Heritage Register; and to provide for incidental matters. | The Proponent should ensure compliance with this act's requirements. The necessary management measures and related permitting requirements must be taken. This is done by consulting with the National Heritage Council (NHC) of Namibia. The management measures should be incorporated into the Draft EMP. |
| The National Monuments Act (No. 28 of 1969): Ministry of Education, Innovation, Youth, Sport, Arts, and Culture (MEIYSAC) | The Act enables the proclamation of national monuments and protects archaeological sites. | |
| Soil Conservation Act (No 76 of 1969): Ministry of Agriculture, Fisheries, Water | The Act makes provision for the prevention and control of soil erosion and the protection, improvement, and conservation of soil, vegetation, and water supply sources and resources, | Duty of care must be applied to soil conservation and management measures must be included in the EMP. |

| Legislation / Policy / Guideline: Custodian | Relevant Provisions | Implications for this project |
|---|---|--|
| and Land Reform (MAFWLR) | through directives declared by the Minister. | |
| Forestry Act (Act No. 12 of 2001: Ministry of Environment, Forestry and Tourism (MEFT)) | The Act provides for the management and use of forests and forest products. Section 22. (1) provides: “Unless otherwise authorized by this Act, or by a license issued under subsection (3), no person shall on any land which is not part of a surveyed even of a local authority area as defined in section 1 of the Local Authorities Act, 1992 (Act No. 23 of 1992) cut, destroy or remove - (a) vegetation which is on a dune or drifting sand or a gully unless the cutting, destruction or removal is done to stabilize the sand or gully; or (b) any living tree, bush or shrub growing within 100 m of a river, stream or watercourse.” | The proponent will apply for the relevant permit under this Act if it becomes necessary. |
| Public Health Act (No. 36 of 1919): Ministry of Health and Social Services (MHSS) | Section 119 states that “no person shall cause a nuisance or shall suffer to exist on any land or premises owned or occupied by him or of which he is in charge any nuisance or other condition liable to be injurious or dangerous to health.” | The Proponent and all its employees should ensure compliance with the provisions of these legal instruments. |
| Health and Safety Regulations GN | Details various requirements regarding the health and safety of labourers. | |

| Legislation / Policy / Guideline: Custodian | Relevant Provisions | Implications for this project |
|---|--|---|
| 156/1997 (GG 1617): Ministry of Health and Social Services (MHSS) | | |
| Public and Environmental Health Act No. 1 of 2015: Ministry of Health and Social Services (MHSS) | The Act serves to protect the public from nuisance and states that no person shall cause a nuisance or shall suffer to exist on any land or premises owned or occupied by him or of which he is in charge any nuisance or other condition liable to be injurious or dangerous to health. | The Proponent should ensure that the project infrastructure, vehicles, equipment, and machinery are designed and operated in a way that is safe, or not injurious or dangerous to public health, and that the noise and dust emissions which could be considered a nuisance remain at acceptable levels. Public and environmental health should be preserved and remain uncompromised. |
| Atmospheric Pollution Prevention Ordinance (1976): Ministry of Health and Social Services (MHSS) | This ordinance provides for the prevention of air pollution and is affected by the Health Act 21 of 1988. Under this ordinance, the entire area of Namibia, apart from East Caprivi, is proclaimed as a controlled area for section 4(1) (a) of the ordinance. | The proposed project and related activities should be undertaken in such a way that they do not pollute or compromise the surrounding air quality. Mitigation measures should be put in place and implemented on-site. |

| Legislation / Policy / Guideline: Custodian | Relevant Provisions | Implications for this project |
|---|--|--|
| Hazardous Substance Ordinance, No. 14 of 1974: Ministry of Health and Social Services (MHSS) | The ordinance provides for the control of toxic substances. It covers manufacture, sale, use, disposal, and dumping as well as import and export. Although the environmental aspects are not explicitly stated, the ordinance provides for the importing, storage, and handling. | The Proponent should handle and manage the storage and use of hazardous substances on site so that they do not harm or compromise the site environment |
| Road Traffic and Transport Act, No. 22 of 1999: Ministry of Works and Transport (Roads Authority of Namibia) | The Act provides for the establishment of the Transportation Commission of Namibia; for the control of traffic on public roads, the licensing of drivers, the registration and licensing of vehicles, the control and regulation of road transport across Namibia's borders; and for matters incidental thereto. Should the Proponent wish to undertake activities involving road transportation or access to existing roads, the relevant permits will be required. | Mitigation measures should be provided for, if the roads and traffic impact cannot be avoided, the relevant permits must be applied for. |
| Labour Act (No. 6 of 1992): Ministry of Justice and Labour Relations (MJLR) | Ministry of Labour, Industrial Relations and Employment Creation is aimed at ensuring harmonious labour relations through promoting social justice, occupational health and safety, and enhanced labour market services for the benefit of all Namibians. This ministry insures the effective implementation of the Labour Act No. 6 of 1992. | The Proponent should ensure that the prospecting and exploration activities do not compromise the safety and welfare of workers. |

4.2 International Policies, Principles, Standards, Treaties, and Conventions

The international policies, principles, standards, treaties, and conventions applicable to the project are listed in **Table 3** below.

Table 3: International Policies, Principles, Standards, Treaties, and Convention applicable to the project

| Statute | Provisions | Project Implications |
|---------------------------|--|---|
| Equator Principles | <p>A financial industry benchmark for determining, assessing, and managing environmental and social risk in projects (August 2013). The Equator Principles have been developed in conjunction with the International Finance Corporation (IFC), to establish an International Standard with which companies must comply to apply for approved funding by Equator Principles Financial Institutions (EPFIs). The principles apply to all new project financings globally across all sectors.</p> <p>Principle 1: Review and Categorization</p> <p>Principle 2: Environmental and Social Assessment</p> <p>Principle 3: Applicable Environmental and Social Standards</p> <p>Principle 4: Environmental and Social Management System and Equator Principles Action Plan</p> <p>Principle 5: Stakeholder Engagement</p> <p>Principle 6: Grievance Mechanism</p> | <p>These principles are an attempt to: ‘...encourage the development of socially responsible projects, which subscribe to appropriately responsible environmental management practices with a minimum negative impact on project-affected ecosystems and community-based upliftment and empowering interactions.’</p> |

| Statute | Provisions | Project Implications |
|---|--|---|
| | <p>Principle 7: Independent Review</p> <p>Principle 8: Covenants</p> <p>Principle 9: Independent Monitoring and Reporting</p> <p>Principle 10: Reporting and Transparency</p> | |
| <p>The International Finance Corporation (IFC) Performance Standards</p> | <p>The International Finance Corporation's (IFC) Sustainability Framework articulates the Corporation's strategic commitment to sustainable development and is an integral part of the IFC's approach to risk management. The Sustainability Framework comprises IFC's Policy and Performance Standards on Environmental and Social Sustainability, and IFC's Access to Information Policy. The Policy on Environmental and Social Sustainability describes IFC's commitments, roles, and responsibilities related to environmental and social sustainability.</p> <p>As of 28 October 2018, there are ten (10) Performance Standards (Performance Standards on Environmental and Social Sustainability) that the IFC requires project Proponents to meet throughout the life of an investment. These standard requirements are briefly described below.</p> | <p>The Performance Standards are directed toward clients, guiding how to identify risks and impacts, and are designed to help avoid, mitigate, and manage risks and impacts as a way of doing business sustainably, including stakeholder engagement and disclosure obligations of the Client (Borrower) concerning project-level activities. In the case of its direct investments (including project and corporate finance provided through financial intermediaries), IFC requires its clients to apply the Performance Standards to manage environmental and social risks and impacts so that</p> |

| Statute | Provisions | Project Implications |
|---------|---|---|
| | <p>Performance Standard 1: Assessment and Management of Environmental and Social Risks and Impacts</p> <p>Performance Standard 2: Labour and Working Conditions</p> <p>Performance Standard 3: Resource Efficient and Pollution Prevention and Management</p> <p>Performance Standard 4: Community Health and Safety</p> <p>Performance Standard 5: Land Acquisition, Restrictions on Land Use, and Involuntary Resettlement</p> <p>Performance Standard 6: Biodiversity Conservation and Sustainable Management of Living Natural Resources</p> <p>Performance Standard 7: Indigenous Peoples/Sub-Saharan African Historically Underserved Traditional Local Communities</p> <p>Performance Standard 8: Cultural Heritage</p> <p>Performance Standard 9: Financial Intermediaries (FIs)</p> <p>Performance Standard 10: Stakeholder Engagement and Information</p> <p>A full description of the IFC Standards can be obtained from</p> | <p>development opportunities are enhanced. IFC uses the Sustainability Framework along with other strategies, policies, and initiatives to direct the business activities of the Corporation to achieve its overall development objectives.</p> |

| Statute | Provisions | Project Implications |
|---|---|---|
| | http://www.worldbank.org/en/projects-operations/environmental-and-social-framework/brief/environmental-and-social-standards?cq_ck=1522164538151#ess1 | |
| The United Nations Convention to Combat Desertification (UNCCD) 1992 | <p>Addresses land degradation in arid regions with the purpose to contribute to the conservation and sustainable use of biodiversity and the mitigation of climate change.</p> <p>The convention's objective is to forge a global partnership to reverse and prevent desertification/land degradation and to mitigate the effects of drought in affected areas to support poverty reduction and environmental sustainability United Nations Convention.</p> | The project activities should not be such that they contribute to desertification. |
| Convention on Biological Diversity 1992 | <p>Regulate or manage biological resources important for the conservation of biological diversity whether within or outside protected areas, to ensure their conservation and sustainable use.</p> <p>Promote the protection of ecosystems, and natural habitats, and the maintenance of viable populations of species in natural surroundings.</p> | Removal of vegetation cover and destruction of natural habitats should be avoided and where not possible minimized. |
| Stockholm Declaration on the Human | It recognizes the need for: “a common outlook and common principles to inspire and guide the people of the world in the | Protection of natural resources and prevention of any form of pollution. |

| Statute | Provisions | Project Implications |
|--|--|----------------------|
| Environment, Stockholm (1972) | preservation and enhancement of the human environment. | |

Relevant international Treaties and Protocols ratified by the Namibian Government

- Convention on International Trade and Endangered Species of Wild Fauna and Flora (CITES), 1973.
- Convention on Biological Diversity, 1992.
- World Heritage Convention, 1972.

5 ENVIRONMENTAL AND SOCIAL BASELINE

The proposed exploration program will be undertaken in specific environmental and social conditions. The understanding of these conditions helps in identifying the sensitive environmental features that may need to be protected through the implementation of certain management and mitigation measures. The summary of selected physical, biological and social baseline information of the project area is provided below as per the site visit conducted by the Environmental consultant on the 11th of August 2025 and relevant published reports and books.

The climatic conditions of the project area is described using the available nearest data for the area obtained from the Weather and climate website.

5.1 Biophysical Environment

5.1.1 Climate

Climate has a major influence on the proposed exploration activities on the EPL. An understanding of climatic conditions helps to determine the appropriate and/or inappropriate times to conduct exploration activities.

Helmeringhausen has a Subtropical desert climate (Classification: BWh) and typically receives about 18.53 mm of precipitation annually with 35.42 rainy days (9.7% of the time). Annual high temperature is 40.9°C while low temperature is at -1.02°C. Warmest month is January at 35.01°C while the coldest is at 9.47°C in July. February is the wettest month receiving 66.83 mm of rain. The lowest average relative humidity is at 20.69% in October. **Figure 3** shows the climate condition around Helmeringhausen as the closest to the EPL.

| Month | Jan | Feb | Mar | Apr | May | Jun | Jul | Aug | Sep | Nov | Oct | Dec | Year |
|---------------------------------------|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|-------------------|------------------|------------------|-------------------|------------------|
| Record high °C (°F) | 39.88 (103.78) | 39.88 (103.78) | 39.88 (103.78) | 34.77 (94.59) | 32.72 (90.9) | 29.65 (85.37) | 29.65 (85.37) | 33.74 (92.73) | 38.85 (101.93) | 40.9 (105.62) | 40.9 (105.62) | 39.88 (103.78) | 40.9 (105.62) |
| Average high °C (°F) | 35.01 (95.02) | 34.24 (93.63) | 32.99 (91.38) | 28.78 (83.8) | 25.72 (78.3) | 21.08 (69.94) | 21.18 (70.12) | 23.76 (74.77) | 28.06 (82.51) | 30.94 (87.69) | 33.05 (91.49) | 34.7 (94.46) | 29.13 (84.43) |
| Daily mean °C (°F) | 31.1 (87.98) | 30.58 (87.04) | 29.36 (84.85) | 25.09 (77.16) | 21.81 (71.26) | 16.82 (62.28) | 16.57 (61.83) | 18.68 (65.62) | 23.2 (73.76) | 26.37 (79.47) | 28.61 (83.5) | 30.46 (86.83) | 24.89 (76.8) |
| Average low °C (°F) | 23.97 (75.15) | 24.09 (75.36) | 23.01 (73.42) | 18.82 (65.88) | 15.66 (60.19) | 10.34 (50.61) | 9.47 (49.05) | 10.72 (51.3) | 14.61 (58.3) | 17.57 (63.63) | 19.68 (67.42) | 22.06 (71.71) | 17.49 (63.48) |
| Record low °C (°F) | 12.27 (54.09) | 14.31 (57.76) | 12.27 (54.09) | 8.18 (46.72) | 6.13 (43.03) | 0.0 (0) | 1.02 (33.84) | -1.02 (30.16) | 2.04 (35.67) | 2.04 (35.67) | 9.2 (48.56) | 10.22 (50.4) | -1.02 (30.16) |
| Average precipitation mm (inches) | 41.93 (1.65) | 66.83 (2.63) | 38.83 (1.53) | 17.22 (0.68) | 13.89 (0.55) | 3.38 (0.13) | 4.35 (0.17) | 2.3 (0.09) | 6.48 (0.26) | 6.49 (0.26) | 4.99 (0.2) | 15.63 (0.62) | 18.53 (0.73) |
| Average precipitation days (≥ 1.0 mm) | 6.41 | 8.0 | 6.04 | 3.16 | 1.86 | 0.46 | 0.56 | 0.84 | 1.86 | 1.58 | 1.3 | 3.34 | 2.96 |
| Average relative humidity (%) | 26.85 | 29.93 | 28.57 | 31.73 | 30.69 | 35.36 | 33.87 | 28.06 | 23.79 | 21.76 | 20.69 | 22.98 | 27.85 |
| Mean monthly sunshine hours | 11.83 | 11.72 | 11.75 | 11.41 | 11.07 | 10.89 | 11.0 | 11.32 | 11.67 | 11.74 | 13.89 | 14.14 | 11.87 |

Figure 3: Climate condition around the project area (source: <https://weatherandclimate.com/namibia/karas/helmeringhausen/>)

5.1.2 Topography

The EPL is primarily defined by the Nama-Karoo Basin landscape. This vast, level plateau dominates the EPL area. Sedimentary rocks that originated in the Nama and Karoo basins, both of which were in the same area, serve as the foundation for the terrain. Dolomite sills weather locally to form massive, rounded boulders; karst formed locally on Nama limestones and surficial calcretes, (Mendelsohn J. M., 2023). The elevation of the EPL lies between 1037 - 1981 meters (masl). **Figure 4** below illustrates the elevation and landscape map of the project area and **Figure 5** illustrates the Aruab Mountain which is located in the northern section of the EPL.




Figure 4: Landscape and Topographic map of the project area



Figure 5: Mount Aruab found within the EPL

5.1.3 Geology

Local Geology: Within the licence footprint, basement and Sinclair units dominate, with discrete younger cover patches. A central felsic body is mapped as Sinclair intrusives quartz porphyry; it forms the principal felsic exposure in the interior of the licence area and locally cuts or abuts Sinclair metavolcanic units (Brown, 2009). To the north-west and along the central trend, mafic metavolcanic rocks of the Guperas cycle are present, and are accompanied by Barby basalt, andesite, felsite and agglomerate covering a broad area of the license (Brown, 2009); (Miller, 2019). Mafic intrusive bodies occur as patches of Kumbis Gabbro, norite and gabbro-norite within the northern and central parts of the licence, representing the local mafic intrusive suite of the Sinclair terrane (Schreiber, 2023). Granitic exposures are extensive in the south-central part of the license and are mapped as Rooikam Granite; additional granite domains occur near the eastern margin, consistent with the regional Nubib–Rooikam granite association (Schreiber, 2023). Sedimentary outcrops include Aubures Quartzite with associated conglomerate and shale in the eastern licence sector, as well as mapped Guperas conglomerate lenses in proximity to the metavolcanic rocks (Kasbohm, 2014); (Panzik, 2015). Neoproterozoic carbonates are present locally as Zaris Subgroup Mooifontein Limestone outside and near the southern perimeter of the license area, with minor Quaternary alluvium occupying drainage lines; within the licence, recent surficial deposits occur but are discontinuous and thin where shown (Hall, 2013). **Figure 6** below illustrates the main lithology map for the EPL.




Figure 6: A map of the general geology of the project area

5.1.4 Soil

Lithic Leptosols: Dominates the EPL, which accommodates very shallow soils over hard rock or highly calcareous material, but also deeper soils that are extremely gravelly and/or stony, (Mendelsohn, 2003). **Figure 7** below is a map of the soil types that covers the EPL and **Figure 8** shows the Lithic Leptosols soil observed within the EPL area.

It is notable that during the prospecting and exploration phase of the project, soil sampling may be conducted. *Therefore, the Soil Conservation Act (No 76 of 1969) should be taken into account to ensure that soils are conserved in a way that does not promote soil erosion.* (Refer to the EMP).




Figure 7: shows soil type found within the EPL



Figure 8: Type of soil observed on the EPL

5.1.5 Hydrology, Groundwater Vulnerability to Pollution, and Water Resources

The project area is dominated by rock bodies with little groundwater potential. The texture of the bedrocks is partially permeable and hold little groundwater in the aquifer. Due to the fractured nature of the rock bodies, the EPL is prone to moderate groundwater pollution.

An ephemeral river runs through the EPL, connecting to the Konkiep river (a minor river in the Fish River catchment) which runs southward, draining into the Orange River. **Figure 9** shows the map of hydrology and groundwater pollution vulnerability in the EPL.

In case of considering groundwater abstraction from onsite water sources, the Proponent should obtain an abstraction permit, if necessary, as required under the Water Act No. 54 of 1956 (enforced), and the Water Resources Management Act, No. 11 of 2013. The mitigation measures outlined in EMP, to avoid or minimize groundwater pollution should strictly be adhered.




Figure 9: Hydrology map – EPL 9240



Figure 10: Natural Freshwater fountain observed on EPL 9240

5.1.6 Flora and Fauna

5.1.6.1 Flora

The EPL area is dominated by the low-Statured Shrubs classified as dwarf shrubs are low-growing shrubs that attain heights of less than one metre. **Appendix F** shows the plant species with their respective conservation status found within the EPL area.

It is important to note that the EPL area is covered by some endemic and protected plant species. To conserve this endemic and protected plant species, the mitigation measures outlined in the EMP must be adhered to.

According to T. Miller, a farmer and Ecologist residing on farm Aruab, over 50 plant species and 51 grass species have been observed in the vicinity of the EPL area within the last decade. Most plant species are not endemic in the area. **Figure 11** shows some of the plant species which was observed during site assessment on EPL 9240.




Figure 11: (a) *Rhamnus lycioides* (b) *Asparagus horridus* L. (c) *Terminalia sericea* (d) *Gymnosporia putterlickioides* Loes (e) *Rhigozum trichotomum* (f) *Ziziphus lotus* (g) *Ficus cordata* Thunb (h) *Aloe ferox*

5.1.6.2 Fauna

Philetairus socius, also known as the sociable weaver is a small passerine bird native to southern Africa. Social weavers are known for their remarkable large built communal nests. These nests can be massive, resembling haystacks and weighing several tons. They are assembled using grass, twigs, and other plant materials, and are typically built in trees, particularly camelthorn trees and other acacia species. The nests are of complex structures with numerous chambers and

entrances. They provide shelter and nesting sites for multiple families of social weavers, as well as protection from predators and the harsh desert climate.

The arid and semi-arid conditions of the region is suitable for livestock and game farming, and these animals are well-adapted to the harsh environment. Commercial farmers in the area participate in livestock farming, primarily raising cattle and sheep. Beef cattle breeds such as Bonsmara, Hereford, Brahman, and Simmental are commonly reared for meat production.

According to Timm Miller, the area has a unique diversity of wildlife. This area is home to the native South African brown butterfly, *Melanitis leda helena*, which led to the discovery of 56 different species of butterflies. Mount Aruab has been the breeding ground for endangered Black eagles for the last ten years. Other animal species include the African wild cat, the endangered aardwolf (*Proteles cristatus*) [endangered species], kudu, springbok, steenbok, cheetah, leopard, honey badger [endangered species], dassie rat (*Procavia capensis*), a number of snakes, insects,

and numerous bird species. **The mitigation measures outlined in the EMP must be adhered to, to protect endangered fauna within the EPL.**

Below, **figure 11** shows (a) Bonsmara cattle (b) Goats (c) Social weaver nest (d) Cow dung (e) Kudu track (f) [1] Springbok tracks (g) Kudu dung (h) Hare





Figure 12: Evidence of animals on the EPL

5.2 Heritage and Archaeology

5.2.1 Local Level and Archaeological Findings

In the project area, numerous archaeological discoveries were made. Mount Aruab features a cave that is thought to have once belonged to a spiritual leader on one side and a village ruin on

the other. According to T. Miller, multiple cemetery sites have also been spotted close to the river's edge. It was once believed that people who were buried next to or by the river would live on. The settlement remnants are surrounded by numerous fragments of lithic stone tools and clay artefacts. In the case where an archaeological discovery is made on site during exploration works, the procedures outlined in the National Heritage Act, No. 27 of 2004, requires that any archaeological or paleontological object or meteorite discovered is reported to the National Heritage Council as soon as practicable






Figure 14: Archaeological significance observed on the EPL

5.3 Surrounding Land Uses

The EPL falls within a commercial Farms and overlays (cover) farms namely: Farm Ganaams No. 26, Farm Aruab No. 23, Farm Aubures No. 22, Farm Nuas No. 27, Farm Barby No. 26, Farm Heuwelvlakte No. 192, Portion of Farm Wittmanshaar No. 25 and Farm Wittmanshaar No. 25, as indicated in **Figure 15**.

The Proponent is required to secure a signed agreement from the affected landowners/farmers owners to gain access to the areas of interest for prospecting and exploration investigations as per Section 52 of the Minerals (Prospecting and Mining) Act No. 33 of 1992 and Section 2.2.3 of the Minerals Policy of Namibia.

1. Section 52 (1) The holder of the mineral license shall not exercise any rights conferred upon such holder by this Act or under any terms and conditions of such mineral license –

(a) In, on, or under any and until such holder has agreed in writing with the owner of such land containing terms and conditions relating to the payment of compensation, or the owner of such land has in writing waived any right to such compensation and has submitted a copy of such agreement or waiver to the Commissioner.

Section 2.2.3 of the Draft Minerals Policy of Namibia states that the License Holder and/or mineral explorers currently have to negotiate a contract with landowners to gain access for mining purposes.




Figure 15: Map showing farms overlaid by the EPL

5.4 Socio-Economic conditions

Agriculture

Livestock farming is a significant economic activity in the Karas Region. Many communities rely on commercial and subsistence farming for their livelihoods. Cattle, sheep, and goat farming play a crucial role in supporting the local economy and providing employment opportunities.

Tourism

The region has significant potential for tourism due to its diverse landscapes, including the Fish River Canyon, the Namib Desert, Kolmanskop, Namib-Naukluft National Park, Quiver Tree Forest, Ai-Ais/Richtersveld Transfrontier Park, Giant's Playground and numerous lodges to name a few. Tourism activities such as wildlife viewing, cultural tourism, and adventure tourism contribute to the local economy by generating employment and income opportunities.

Mining

The development of the Karas Region is economically tied to its rich mineral deposits that provide mining opportunities and development. Minerals mined in the //Karas Region include diamonds, zinc, copper, tin, lead, silver, marble and gemstones. Some of the existing mining activities in the region include the Rosh Pinah Zinc Mine and the Skorpion Zinc Mine in Rosh Pinah, the NamDeb Diamond Mine in Oranjemund, as well as De Beers Marine offshore diamond mining off the coast of Lüderitz (EDS, 2025).

6 PUBLIC CONSULTATION PROCESS

Public consultation is an important component of an Environmental Assessment (EA) process. It provides potential Interested and Affected Parties (I&APs) with an opportunity to comment on and raise any issues relevant to the project for consideration as part of the assessment process, thus assisting the Environmental Assessment Practitioner (EAP) in identifying all potential impacts and what extent further investigations are necessary. Public consultation can also aid in the process of identifying possible mitigation measures. Public consultation for this scoping study has been done following the EMA and its EIA Regulations.

6.1 Pre-identified and Registered Interested and Affected Parties (I&APs)

Relevant and applicable national, regional, and local authorities, local leaders, and other interested members of the public were identified. Pre-identified I&APs were contacted directly, while other parties who contacted the Consultant after project advertisement notices in the newspapers, were registered as I&APs upon their request. Newspaper advertisements of the proposed exploration activities were placed in two widely read national newspapers in the region (New Era Newspaper and The Namibian Newspaper). The project advertisement/announcement ran for two consecutive weeks inviting members of the public to register as I&APs and submit their comments. The summary of pre-identified and registered I&APs is listed in **Table 6** below and the complete list of I&APs is provided in **Appendix D**.

Table 4: Summary of Interested and Affected Parties (I&APs)

| National (Ministries and State-Owned Enterprises) |
|--|
| Ministry of Environment, Forestry, and Tourism |
| Ministry of Industries, Mines and Energy |
| Regional, Local, and Traditional Authorities |
| //Karas Regional Council |
| General Public |
| Landowners /Interested members of the public |
| Helmeringhausen Farmers Association |

6.2 Communication with I&APs

Regulation 21 of the EIA Regulations details the steps to be taken during a public consultation process and these have been used in guiding this process. Communication with I&APs concerning the proposed development was facilitated through the following means and in this order:

- A Background Information Document (BID) containing brief information about the proposed exploration works was compiled and emailed to pre-identified Interested and Affected Parties (I&APs), and upon request to all new registered I&APs;
- Project Environmental Assessment notices were published in the New Era Newspaper (16 & 23 May 2025) and The Namibian Newspaper (23 & 27 May 2025), briefly explaining the

activity and its locality and inviting members of the public to register as I&APs and submit their comments/concerns.

- Public notices were placed at a public place at Helmeringhausen Hall (**Figure 14**) to inform members of the public about the ESIA process.
- Public meeting was scheduled and held on the **11 August 2025** at 11H00, Helmeringhausen Hall, //Karas region (**Figure 13**).



Figure 12: Consultation meeting held on 11 August 2025, at Helmeringhausen Farmers Association Hall, //Karas region




Figure 13: Public Consultation notice at Helmeringhausen Farmers Association Hall, //Karas region

Issues raised by I&APs have been recorded and incorporated in the environmental report and EMP. The summarized issues raised during the public meeting are presented in **Table 7** below.

Table 5: Summary of main issues raised, and comments received during public meeting engagements

| Issue | Concern |
|--|---|
| Drone activity | Drone flying in the area for almost 3 months and noted to be doing geophysical data without farmer's permission or concern. |
| Past mining activities in the area | For the past 50 years, there has been a mine nearby, which has left open ditches and pits and caused field fires. After exploration or mining operations, what steps will you take to restore the area? What safeguards are in place to guarantee that ecological risks that adversely impact our livelihood are addressed? |
| Environmental and social impact assessment, and Helmeringhausen biodiversity | <p>To provide transparency between operations, phase 1 of the ESIA process (prospecting and exploration) must be divided.</p> <p>Given the delicate ecology of the region surrounding Helmeringhausen, a specialised report detailing the local flora and wildlife is necessary to record the distinctive biodiversity.</p> |

7 IMPACT IDENTIFICATION, ASSESSMENT, AND MITIGATION MEASURES

7.1 Impact Identification

Proposed developments/activities are usually associated with different potential positive and/or negative impacts. For an environmental assessment, the focus is placed mainly on the negative impacts. This is done to ensure that these impacts are addressed by providing adequate mitigation measures such that an impact's significance is brought under control while maximizing the positive impacts of the development. The potential positive and negative impacts that have been identified from the prospecting and exploration activities are listed as follows:

Positive impacts:

- Creation of jobs for the locals (primary, secondary, and tertiary employment).
- Producing a trained workforce and small businesses that can service communities and may initiate related businesses.
- Boosting local economic growth and regional economic development.
- Open up other investment opportunities and infrastructure-related development benefits.

Negative impacts:

- Disturbance to grazing areas
- Land degradation and Biodiversity Loss.
- Generation of dust
- Water Resources Use
- Soil & Water Resources Pollution
- Waste Generation
- Occupational Health & Safety risks
- Vehicular Traffic Use & Safety
- Noise & Vibrations
- Disturbance to Archaeological & Heritage Resources
- Impacts on local Roads
- Social Nuisance: local property intrusion & disturbance
- Social Nuisance: Job seeking & differing Norms, Culture & values
- Impacts associated with closure and decommissioning of exploration works

7.2 Impact Assessment Methodology

The Environmental Assessment process primarily ensures that potential impacts that may occur from project activity are identified and addressed with environmentally cautious approaches and legal compliance. The impact assessment method used for this project is following Namibia's Environmental Management Act (No. 7 of 2007) and its Regulations of 2012, as well as the International Finance Corporation (IFC) Performance Standards.

The identified impacts were assessed in terms of scale/extent (spatial scale), duration (temporal scale), magnitude (severity), and probability (likelihood of occurring), as presented in **Table 8**, **Table 9**, **Table 10**, and **Table 11**, respectively.

To enable a scientific approach to the determination of the environmental significance, a numerical value is linked to each rating scale. This methodology ensures uniformity and that potential impacts can be addressed in a standard manner so that a wide range of impacts are comparable. It is assumed that an assessment of the significance of a potential impact is a good indicator of the risk associated with such an impact. The following process will be applied to each potential impact:

- Provision of a brief explanation of the impact.
- Assessment of the pre-mitigation significance of the impact; and
- Description of recommended mitigation measures.

The recommended mitigation measures prescribed for each of the potential impacts contribute towards the attainment of environmentally sustainable operational conditions of the project for various features of the biophysical and social environment. The following criteria were applied in this impact assessment:

7.2.1 Extent (spatial scale)

The extent is an indication of the physical and spatial scale of the impact. **Table 8** shows the rating of impact in terms of the extent of spatial scale.

Table 6: Extent or spatial impact rating

| Low (1) | Low/Medium (2) | Medium (3) | Medium/High (4) | High (5) |
|---|---|--|--|---|
| The impact is localized within the site boundary: Site only | The impact is beyond the site boundary: Local | Impacts felt within adjacent biophysical and social environments: Regional | Impact widespread far beyond site boundary: Regional | The impact extends National or international boundaries |

7.2.2 Duration

Duration refers to the timeframe over which the impact is expected to occur, measured concerning the lifetime of the project. **Table 9** shows the rating of impact in terms of duration.

Table 7: Duration impact rating

| Low (1) | Low/Medium (2) | Medium (3) | Medium/High (4) | High (5) |
|---|--|--|---------------------|--|
| Immediate mitigating measures, immediate progress | The impact is quickly reversible, and short-term impacts (0-5 years) | Reversible over time; medium-term (5-15 years) | Impact is long-term | Long-term; beyond closure; permanent; irreplaceable or irretrievable commitment of resources |

7.2.3 Intensity, Magnitude/severity

Intensity refers to the degree or magnitude to which the impact alters the functioning of an element of the environment. The magnitude of alteration can either be positive or negative. These ratings were also taken into consideration during the assessment of severity. **Table 10** shows the rating of impact in terms of intensity, magnitude, or severity.

Table 8: Intensity, magnitude, or severity impact rating

| Type of criteria | Negative | | | | |
|--------------------|---|---|---|---|--|
| | H- (10) | M/H- (8) | M- (6) | M/L- (4) | L- (2) |
| Qualitative | Very high deterioration, high quantity of deaths, injury or illness / total loss of habitat, total alteration of ecological processes, extinction of rare species | Substantial deterioration, death, illness or injury, loss of habitat/diversity or resource, severe alteration or disturbance of important processes | Moderate deterioration, discomfort, partial loss of habitat/biodiversity or resource, moderate alteration | Low deterioration, slight noticeable alteration in habitat and biodiversity. Little loss in species numbers | Minor deterioration, nuisance or irritation, minor change in species/habitat/diversity or resource, no or very little quality deterioration. |

7.2.4 Probability of occurrence

Probability describes the likelihood of the impacts occurring. This determination is based on previous experience with similar projects and/or based on professional judgment. **Table 11** shows impact rating in terms of probability of occurrence.

Table 9: Probability of occurrence impact rating

| Low (1) | Medium/Low (2) | Medium (3) | Medium/High (4) | High (5) |
|---|--|--|--|--|
| Improbable; low likelihood; seldom. No known risk or vulnerability to natural or induced hazards. | Likely to occur from time to time. Low risk or vulnerability to natural or induced hazards | A possible, distinct possibility, frequent. Low to medium risk or vulnerability to natural or induced hazards. | Probable if mitigating measures are not implemented. Medium risk of vulnerability to natural or induced hazards. | Definite (regardless of preventative measures), highly likely, and continuous. High risk or vulnerability to natural or induced hazards. |

7.2.5 Significance

Impact significance is determined through a synthesis of the above impact characteristics. The significance of the impact “without mitigation” is the main determinant of the nature and degree of mitigation required. As stated in the introduction to this section, for this assessment, the significance of the impact without prescribed mitigation actions is measured.

Once the above factors (**Table 8**, **Table 9**, **Table 10**, and **Table 11**) have been ranked for each potential impact, the impact significance of each is assessed using the following formula:

$$\text{SIGNIFICANCE POINTS (SP)} = (\text{MAGNITUDE} + \text{DURATION} + \text{SCALE}) \times \text{PROBABILITY}$$

The maximum value per potential impact is 100 significance points (SP). Potential impacts were rated as high, moderate, or low significance, based on the following significance rating scale (**Table 12**).

Table 10: Significance rating scale

| <i>Significance</i> | <i>Environmental Significance Points</i> | <i>Colour Code</i> |
|---------------------|--|--------------------|
| High (positive) | >60 | H |
| Medium (positive) | 30 to 60 | M |
| Low (positive) | 1 to 30 | L |
| Neutral | 0 | N |

| Significance | Environmental Significance Points | Colour Code |
|---------------------|--|--------------------|
| Low (negative) | -1 to -30 | L |
| Medium (negative) | -30 to -60 | M |
| High (negative) | -60< | H |

Positive (+) – Beneficial impact

Negative (-) – Deleterious/ adverse+ Impact

Neutral – Impacts are neither beneficial nor adverse

For an impact with a significance rating of high (-ve), mitigation measures are recommended to reduce the impact to a medium (-ve) or low (-ve) significance rating, provided that the impact with a medium significance rating can be sufficiently controlled with the recommended mitigation measures. To maintain a low or medium significance rating, monitoring is recommended for a period to enable the confirmation of the significance of the impact as low or medium and under control.

The assessment of the exploration phases is done for pre-mitigation and post-mitigation.

The risk/impact assessment is driven by three factors:

Source: The cause or source of the contamination.

Pathway: The route taken by the source to reach a given receptor

Receptor: A person, animal, plant, ecosystem, property, or a controlled water source. If contamination is to cause harm or impact, it must reach a receptor.

A pollutant linkage occurs when a source, pathway, and receptor exist together. Mitigation measures aim firstly, to avoid risk and if the risk cannot be avoided, mitigation measures to minimize the impact are recommended. Once mitigation measures have been applied, the identified risk would reduce to lower significance (Booth, 2011).

This assessment focuses on the three project phases namely, prospecting, exploration (and possible analysis), and decommissioning. The potential negative impacts stemming from the proposed activities of the EPL are described and assessed and mitigation measures are provided thereof. Further mitigation measures in a form of management action plans are provided in the Draft Environmental Management Plan.

7.3 Assessment of Potential Negative Impacts

The main potential negative impacts associated with the operation and maintenance phase are identified and assessed below:

7.3.1 Disturbance to the grazing areas

The EPL is overlying small-scale commercial farms that have livestock and wildlife. Exploration activities such as site clearing, trenching, and drilling can potentially lead to the disturbance of grazing land. This will potentially affect the grazing land available to wildlife, and since the wildlife greatly depends on the little available flora, their livelihood will be impacted.

The effect of exploration work on the land (when done over a wider spatial extent), if not mitigated, may hinder grazing areas. Under the status quo, the impact can consider being of a medium significance rating. With the implementation of appropriate mitigation measures, the rating will be reduced to a lower significance. The impact is assessed in **Table 13** below.

Table 11: Assessment of the impacts of exploration on grazing areas

| Mitigation Status | Extent | Duration | Intensity | Probability | Significance |
|-------------------|---------|----------|-----------|-------------|--------------|
| Pre mitigation | M: -4 | M: -3 | M: -4 | M/H: 5 | M: -55 |
| Post mitigation | L/M: -2 | L/M: -2 | L/M: -4 | L/M: 3 | L: -24 |

7.3.2 Land Degradation and Loss of Biodiversity

Fauna: The trenching, pitting, and drilling activities carried out during exploration would result in land degradation, leading to habitat loss for a diversity of flora and fauna ranging from microorganisms to large animals and trees. Endemic species are most at risk since even the slightest disruption in their habitat can result in extinction.

The presence and movement of the exploration workforce and operation of project equipment and heavy vehicles would disturb livestock and wildlife present on farms. The proposed activities may also carry the risk of the potential illegal hunting of local wildlife. This could lead to the reduction of specific faunal species, which may limit tourism (sightseeing and safari) activity in the area.

Additionally, if the exploration sites are not rehabilitated, they could pose a high risk of injuries to animals by falling into holes and pits.

Flora: Direct impact of exploration works on flora will mainly occur through clearing for exploration access routes and associated infrastructure. The dust emissions from drilling may also affect surrounding vegetation through the fall of dust, if excessive. Some loss of vegetation is an inevitable consequence of the development. However, given the abundance of shrubs and site-specific areas of exploration on the EPL, the impact will be localized, therefore manageable.

Under the status, the impact can be of a medium significance rating. With the implementation of appropriate mitigation measures, the rating will be reduced to a low significance rating. The impact is assessed in **Table 14** below.

Table 12: Assessment of the impacts of exploration on biodiversity

| Mitigation Status | Extent | Duration | Intensity | Probability | Significance |
|-------------------|---------|----------|-----------|-------------|--------------|
| Pre mitigation | M: -4 | M: -4 | M: -6 | M/H: 4 | M: -56 |
| Post mitigation | L/M: -3 | L/M: -3 | L/M: -4 | L/M: 3 | L: -30 |

7.3.3 Generation of Dust (Air Quality)

Dust emanating from site access routes when transporting exploration equipment and supply to and from the site may compromise the air quality in the area. Vehicular movements from heavy vehicles such as trucks would potentially create dust, even if it is not anticipated to be low. Additionally, activities carried out as part of the exploration works such as drilling would contribute to the dust levels in the air. The medium significance of this impact can be reduced to a low significance rating by properly implementing mitigation measures. The impact is assessed in **Table 15** below.

Table 13: Assessment of the impacts of exploration on air quality

| Mitigation Status | Extent | Duration | Intensity | Probability | Significance |
|-------------------|--------|----------|-----------|-------------|--------------|
| Pre mitigation | M: -3 | M: -3 | M/L: -4 | M/H: 4 | M: -40 |
| Post mitigation | L - 2 | L - 2 | L - 2 | L - 1 | L - 6 |

7.3.4 Water Resources Use

Water resources are impacted by project developments/activities in two ways - through pollution (water quality) or over-abstraction (water quantity) or at times both.

The abstraction of more water than can be replenished from low groundwater potential areas would negatively affect the local communities that depend on the same low potential groundwater resource (aquifer).

The impact of the project activities on the resources would be dependent on the water volumes required by each project activity. Exploration activities use a lot of water, mainly for drilling. However, this depends on the type of drilling methods employed (diamond drilling is more water-consuming compared to drilling methods such as reverse circulation for instance) and the type of mineral being explored.

The drilling method to be employed for this project's exploration activities is Reverse Circulation. Given the low to medium groundwater potential of some project site areas, the Proponent may consider carting some of the water volumes from outside the area and stored in industry-standard water reservoirs/tanks on site. The exact amounts of water required for proposed operations would be dependent on the duration of the exploration works and the number of exploration boreholes required to make a reliable interpretation of the commodities explored. The exploration period is temporally limited, therefore, the impact will only last for the duration of the exploration activities, and ceases upon their completion.

Without the implementation of any mitigation measures, the impact can be rated as medium, but upon effective implementation of the recommended measures, the impact significance would be reduced to low as presented in **table 16** below.

Table 14: Assessment of the project impact on water resource use and availability

| Mitigation Status | Extent | Duration | Intensity | Probability | Significance |
|-------------------|---------|----------|-----------|-------------|--------------|
| Pre mitigation | M - 3 | M/H - 3 | L/M - 4 | M/H - 4 | M - 40 |
| Post mitigation | L/M - 1 | L/M - 1 | L - 2 | L/M - 3 | L - 12 |

7.3.5 Soil and Water Resources Pollution

The proposed exploration activities are associated with a variety of potential pollution sources (i.e., lubricants, fuel, and wastewater) that may contaminate/pollute soils, and eventually, surface and groundwater. The anticipated potential source of pollution to water resources from the project activities would be hydrocarbons (oil) from project vehicles, machinery, and equipment as well as potential wastewater/effluent from exploration-related activities.

The spills (depending on volumes spilled on the soils) from machinery, vehicles, and equipment could infiltrate into the ground and pollute the fractured or faulted aquifers on site, and with time reach further groundwater systems in the area. However, it should be noted that the scale and extent/footprint of the activities where potential sources of pollution will be handled is relatively small. Therefore, the impact will be moderately low.

Pre-implementation of any mitigation measures, the impact significance is medium to high and upon implementation, the significance will be reduced to a low significance rating. The impact is assessed in **table 17** below.

Table 15: Assessment of the project impact on soils and water resources (pollution)

| Mitigation Status | Extent | Duration | Intensity | Probability | Significance |
|-------------------|--------|----------|-----------|-------------|--------------|
| Pre mitigation | M - 5 | M/L - 3 | M/L - 3 | M - 4 | M - 44 |
| Post mitigation | L - 3 | M - 3 | L - 3 | L/M - 3 | L - 27 |

7.3.6 Waste Generation

During the prospecting and exploration program, domestic and general waste is produced on-site. If the generated waste is not disposed of responsibly, land pollution may occur on the EPL or around the sites. The EPL is in an area of moderate sensitivity to pollution. Improper handling, storage, and disposal of hydrocarbon products and hazardous materials at the site may lead to soil and groundwater contamination, in case of spills and leakages. Therefore, the exploration program needs to have appropriate waste management for the site. To prevent these issues, any hazardous waste that may have an impact on animals, vegetation, water resources, and the general environment should be handled cautiously. Without any mitigation measures, the general impact of waste generation has a medium significance. The impact will reduce to low significance, upon implementing the mitigation measures. The assessment of this impact is given in **table 18** below.

Table 16: Assessment of waste generation impact

| Mitigation Status | Extent | Duration | Intensity | Probability | Significance |
|-------------------|---------|----------|-----------|-------------|--------------|
| Pre mitigation | L/M - 2 | L/M - 2 | M - 6 | M - 5 | M - 50 |

| | | | | | |
|------------------------|-------|-------|-------|---------|-------|
| Post mitigation | L - 1 | L - 1 | L - 2 | L/M - 2 | L - 8 |
|------------------------|-------|-------|-------|---------|-------|

7.3.7 Occupational Health and Safety Risks

Project personnel (workers) involved in the exploration activities may be exposed to health and safety risks. These may result from accidental injury, owing to either minor (i.e., superficial physical injury) or major (i.e., involving heavy machinery or vehicles) accidents. The site safety of all personnel is the Proponent's responsibility and should be adhered to as per the requirements of the Labour Act (No. 11 of 2007) and the Public Health Act (No. 36 of 1919). The heavy vehicle, equipment, and fuel storage area should be properly secured to prevent any harm or injury to the project workers or local animals.

The use of heavy equipment, especially during drilling, and the presence of hydrocarbons on sites may result in accidental fire outbreaks, which could pose a safety risk to the project personnel, equipment, and vehicles. It may also lead to widespread veld fires if an outbreak is not contained and if machinery and equipment are not properly stored, the safety risk may be a concern for project workers and residents.

The impact is probable and has a medium significance rating. However, with adequate mitigation measures, the impact rating will be reduced to low. This impact is assessed in **table 19** below and mitigation measures are provided.

Table 17: Assessment of the impacts of exploration on health and safety

| Mitigation Status | Extent | Duration | Intensity | Probability | Significance |
|--------------------------|---------------|-----------------|------------------|--------------------|---------------------|
| Pre mitigation | M - 3 | M/L - 2 | M - 6 | M/H - 4 | M - 44 |
| Post mitigation | L/M - 2 | L/M - 2 | L - 2 | L/M - 2 | L - 12 |

7.3.8 Vehicular Traffic Use and Safety

The EPL is accessible via the C27 which runs from Helmeringhausen, which connects to the dirt roads, leading to the EPL. This is the main transportation route for all vehicular movement in the area and provide access to the EPL and connect the project area to other towns. Traffic volume will therefore increase on these district roads during exploration as the project would need delivery of supplies and services on site.

Depending on the project needs, trucks, medium-sized vehicles, and small vehicles will frequent the area to and from exploration sites on the EPL. This would potentially increase slow-moving heavy vehicular traffic along these roads and add additional pressure on the roads. However, transportation of materials and equipment is expected to occur on a limited schedule and only for the duration of the project. Therefore, the risk is anticipated to be short-term, not frequent, and therefore of medium significance. Before mitigation, the impact can be rated medium and with the implementation of mitigation measures, the significance will be low as assessed in **table 20** below.

Table 18: Assessment of the impacts of exploration on-road use (vehicular traffic)

| Mitigation Status | Extent | Duration | Intensity | Probability | Significance |
|-------------------|---------|----------|-----------|-------------|--------------|
| Pre mitigation | M - 4 | M/H - 3 | L/M - 4 | M/H - 5 | M - 55 |
| Post mitigation | L/M - 2 | L/M - 2 | L - 2 | L/M - 2 | L - 12 |

7.3.9 Noise and vibrations

Prospecting and exploration work (especially drilling) may be a nuisance to surrounding communities due to the noise produced by the activity. Excess noise and vibrations can be a health risk to workers on site. The exploration equipment used for drilling on site is of medium size and the noise level is bound to be limited to the site only, therefore, the impact likelihood is minimal. Without any mitigation, the impact is rated as of medium significance. To change the impact significance from the pre-mitigation significance to a low rating, mitigation measures should be implemented. This impact is assessed in **Table 19** below.

Table 19: Assessment of the impacts of noise and vibrations from exploration

| Mitigation Status | Extent | Duration | Intensity | Probability | Significance |
|-------------------|---------|----------|-----------|-------------|--------------|
| Pre mitigation | L/M - 2 | L/M - 2 | M - 6 | M/H - 3 | M - 30 |
| Post mitigation | L - 1 | L/M - 2 | L - 2 | L/M - 2 | L - 10 |

7.3.10 Disturbance to Archaeological and Heritage Resources

The specialist archaeological assessment conducted, indicates that //Karas Region is sensitive and contains many archaeological/cultural significant sites, and there is a possibility of unveiling/discovering new archaeological and/or cultural materials in the proposed project area.

If such Materials are found the areas must be mapped out and coordinates taken to establish “No-Go-Areas”, due to their sensitivity and then documented. They may be protected either by fencing them off or demarcation for preservation purposes, or excluding them from any development i.e., no exploration activities should be conducted near these recorded areas through the establishment of buffer zones.

This impact can be rated as medium significance if there are no mitigation measures in place. Upon implementation of the necessary measures, the impact significance will be reduced to a lower rating. The impact is assessed in **Table 20**.

Table 20: Assessment of the impacts of exploration on archaeological & heritage resources

| Mitigation Status | Extent | Duration | Intensity | Probability | Significance |
|-------------------|---------|----------|-----------|-------------|--------------|
| Pre mitigation | M - 3 | M/H - 4 | M - 6 | M/H - 4 | M – 52 |
| Post mitigation | L/M - 2 | L/M - 2 | L - 2 | L/M - 2 | L - 12 |

7.3.11 Impact on Local Roads/Routes

Exploration projects are usually associated with the movements of heavy trucks and equipment or machinery that use local roads. Heavy vehicles traveling on local roads exert pressure on the roads and may make the roads difficult to use. This will be a concern if maintenance and care is not taken during the exploration phase. The impact would be short-term (during exploration only) and therefore, manageable.

Without any management and or mitigation measures, the impact can be rated as medium and to reduce this rating to low, the measures will need to be effectively implemented. The assessment of this impact is presented in **Table 21**.

Table 21: Assessment of exploration of local services (roads and water)

| Mitigation Status | Extent | Duration | Intensity | Probability | Significance |
|-------------------|---------|----------|-----------|-------------|--------------|
| Pre mitigation | M/H - 4 | M - 3 | M - 6 | M - 3 | M – 39 |
| Post mitigation | L - 1 | L - 1 | M/L - 4 | M/L -2 | L - 12 |

7.3.12 Social Nuisance: Local Property intrusion and Disturbance/Damage

The presence of some non-resident workers may lead to social annoyance to the local community. This could particularly be a concern if they enter or damage local private property. The private properties of the locals may include houses, fences, vegetation, livestock, wildlife, or any properties of economic or cultural value to the farm/land owners or land users. The damage or disturbance to properties may not only be private but local public properties. The unpermitted and unauthorized entry to private property may cause clashes between the affected property (land) owners and the Proponent.

The impact is rated as of medium significance. However, upon mitigation (post-mitigation), the significance will change from a medium to a low rating. The impact is assessed below (**Table 24**)

Table 22: Assessment of the social impact of community property damage or disturbance

| Mitigation Status | Extent | Duration | Intensity | Probability | Significance |
|-------------------|--------|----------|-----------|-------------|--------------|
| Pre mitigation | M - 2 | M - 3 | M - 4 | M/H - 3 | M - 27 |
| Post mitigation | L - 1 | L - 1 | M/L - 4 | M/L - 2 | L - 12 |

7.4 Cumulative Impacts Associated with Proposed Exploration

According to the International Finance Corporation (2013), cumulative impacts are defined as “impacts that result from the successive, incremental, and/or combined effects of an action, project, or activity (collectively referred to in this document as “developments”) when added to other existing, planned, and/or reasonably anticipated future impacts”.

Like many other exploration projects, some cumulative impacts to which the proposed project and associated activities potentially contribute, are:

- **Impact on road infrastructure:** The proposed exploration activity contributes cumulatively to various activities such as farming activities and traveling associated with tourism and local daily routines. The contribution of the proposed project to this cumulative impact is however not considered significant, given the short duration, and spatial extent of the intended mineral exploration activities.
- **Use of water:** While the contribution of this project will not be significant, mitigation measures to reduce water consumption during exploration are essential.

Mitigations and Recommendations for Rehabilitation

The rehabilitation of explored (disturbed) sites will include but not be limited to the following:

- Backfilling of trenches and or pits in such a way that subsoil is replaced first, and topsoil replaced last.
- Closing off and capping of all exploration drilling boreholes. The boreholes should not only be filled with sand alone, as the wind may scour the sand and re-establish the holes.
- Carrying away all waste generated from the site.
- Transporting all machinery and equipment as well as vehicles to designated offsite storage facilities.

8 RECOMMENDATIONS AND CONCLUSION

8.1 Recommendations

The potential positive and negative impacts of the proposed exploration activities on EPL No. 9240 were identified and assessed and appropriate management and mitigation measures (to negative impacts) were made thereof for implementation by the Proponent, their contractors, and project-related employees.

Mitigation measures for identified issues have been provided in the Environmental Management Plan, for the Proponent to avoid and/or minimize their significant impacts on the environmental and social components. Most of the potential impacts were found to be of medium-rating significance. With effective implementation of the recommended management and mitigation measures, a reduced rating in the significance of adverse impacts is expected from Medium to Low. To maintain the desirable rating, the implementation of management and mitigation measures should be monitored by the Proponent directly, or their Environmental Control Officer (ECO). The monitoring of implementation will not only be done to maintain a low rating but also to ensure that all potential impacts identified in this study and other impacts that might arise during implementation are properly identified in time and addressed right away.

The Environmental Consultant is confident that the potential negative impacts associated with the proposed project activities can be managed and mitigated by the effective implementation of the recommended management and mitigation measures and with more effort and commitment put into monitoring the implementation of these measures.

It is, therefore, recommended that in the case of granting an ECC for this project, the proposed prospecting and exploration activities may be granted an ECC, provided that:

- All the management and mitigation measures provided in the EMP are effectively and progressively implemented.
- All required permits, licenses, and approvals for the proposed activities should be obtained as required. These include permits and licenses for land use access agreements to explore and ensure compliance with these specific legal requirements.
- The Proponent and all project workers and contractors must comply with the legal requirements governing the project and ensure that all required permits and or approvals are obtained and renewed as stipulated by the issuing authorities.
- Site areas where exploration activities have ceased are rehabilitated, as far as practicable, to their pre-exploration state.

8. 2 Conclusion

It is crucial for the Proponent and their contractors to effectively implement the recommended management and mitigation measures, to protect the biophysical and social environment throughout the project duration. This would be done to promote environmental sustainability while ensuring a smooth and harmonious existence and purpose of the project activities in the community and environment at large. It is also to ensure that all potential impacts identified in this study and other impacts that might arise during implementation are properly identified in time and addressed accordingly. Lastly, should the ECC be issued, the Proponent will be expected to be compliant with the ECC conditions as well as legal requirements governing mineral exploration and related activities.

9 REFERENCES

- Ali, S.H., Cartier, L.E., Lawson, L., Syvrud, P and Altingoz, M. (Undated). Gemstones and Sustainable Development Knowledge Hub. Retrieved from Sustainable Gemstones: <https://www.sustainablegemstones.org/gemstones/tourmaline/>
- Ansaah, L. H. (2008). Kwame Nkrumah University of Science & Technology. Retrieved from Theses: Rehabilitation of Small Scale Mined Out Areas: <http://ir.knust.edu.gh/xmlui/handle/123456789/1520>
- Benito, G., Rohde, R., Seely, M., Külls, C., Dahan, O., Enzel, Y., Roberts, C. (2009). Management of Alluvial Aquifers in Two Southern African Ephemeral Rivers: Implications for IWRM. Water Resources Management. Water Resources Management: Springer Link, 641-667.
- Booth, P. (2011). Environmental Conceptual Site Model Exercise: Source – pathway – receptor. WSP Global: Semantic Scholar.
- Brown, R. &. (2009). The petrology and geochemistry of the Barby Formation, Sinclair sequence, southern Namibia (MME Bulletin). Windhoek: Ministry of Mines and Energy.
- Cermak, J. . (2012). Low clouds and fog along the South-Western African coast—Satellite-based retrieval and spatial patterns. Atmospheric Research, 116, 15–21.
- Christelis, G. and Struckmeier, F. (editors). (2001). Groundwater in Namibia: An Explanation to the Hydrogeological Map. Windhoek: Ministry of Agriculture, Water and Forestry.
- Christelis, G. and Struckmeier, W. (eds). (2001). Groundwater in Namibia: An Explanation to the Hydrogeological Map. Windhoek: Ministry of Agriculture, Water and Forestry.
- Dhanuka, S. (2016, March 9). Gemstone Mining Methods. Retrieved from Jewelinfo4U: <https://www.jewelinfo4u.com/gemstone-mining-methods>
- EDS. (2024). Environmental Scoping Assessment (ESA) for the proposed perospecting and exploration activities on Exclusive Prospecting License (EPL) No. 8937 located near Helmeringhausen, in Karas Region. Widhoek.
- Environment Agency (UK). (2002, May). Retrieved July 16, 2019, from Scoping the environmental impacts of cemeteries and crematoria: https://assets.publishing.service.gov.uk/government/uploads/system/uploads/attachment_data/file/297117/geho0112bvzy-e-e.pdf
- Environmental Management Consultants (Caribbean) Ltd. (2007). Environmental Impact Assessment for the Proposed Cemetery Development, Burnt Ground, Hanover. Ocho Rios: National Environment and Planning Agency (NEPA).
- Gorge, P. (2012, February 25). Tree Atlas of Namibia. Retrieved from Tree Atlas: <http://treeatlas.biodiversity.org.na/viewspec.php?nr=27>

- Hall, C. M. (2013). Stratigraphy, palaeontology and geochemistry of the late Ediacaran Nama Group, Namibia. *Precambrian Research*, 224, 149-165. Retrieved from <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.precamres.2012.09.008>
- Heath, R. G. M. (2006). *Small-Scale Mines, Their Cumulative Environmental Impacts and Developing Countries Best Practice Guidelines for Water Management*. Auckland Park: Pulles Howard & de Lange.
- J., T. (2020). Cultural Survival. Retrieved from Cultural Survival Quarterly Magazine: <https://www.culturalsurvival.org/publications/cultural-survival-quarterly/introduction>
- Kasbohm, J. S. (2014). Geochronological constraints on Mesoproterozoic to Neoproterozoic stratigraphy in southern Namibia. *Precambrian Research*, 240, 48-62. Retrieved from <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.precamres.2013.10.010>
- Kinahan, J. (2009). *Central Namib Uranium Rush: Strategic Environmental Assessment - Archaeological Specialist Report*. Windhoek: Environmental Information Service Namibia.
- Manheimer. (2018). Retrieved from Tree Atlas of Namibia: <http://treeatlas.biodiversity.org.na/viewspec.php?nr=20>
- McKechnie, W. F. (2019). Diamond exploration and mining in southern Africa: some thoughts on past, current, and possible future trends. *The Journal of the Southern African Institute of Mining and Metallurgy*, 9.
- Mendelsohn. (2007). *The Atlas of Namibia: A Portrait of the land and its people*. Windhoek.
- Mendelsohn, e. a. (2002). *Atlas of Namibia*. Cape Town: David Philip Publishers.
- Mendelsohn, J. (2003). *Atlas of Namibia: A Portrait of the Land and its People*. Windhoek: The Ministry of Environment and Tourism of Namibia.
- Miller, R. M. (2019). Age and geological context of the Barby Formation, a key volcanic unit in the Mesoproterozoic Sinclair Supergroup of southern Namibia. *South African Journal of Geology*, 122(4), 519-538. Retrieved from <https://doi.org/10.25131/sajg.122.0042>
- Minerals Council of Australia. (1998). *Mine Rehabilitation: Handbook*. Dickson, Canberra: Minerals Council of Australia.
- Namibia Statistics Agency. (2011). *Namibia 2011: Population and Housing Census Main Report*. Windhoek: Namibia Statistics Agency.
- Panzik, J. E. (2015). U-Pb geochronology of the Mesoproterozoic Sinclair Sequence, Namibia: Implications for regional stratigraphic correlations. *Precambrian Research*, 267, 202-219. Retrieved from *Precambrian Research*: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.precamres.2015.06.018>
- SASSCAL WeatherNet, 2020. http://www.sasscalweathernet.org/weatherstat_monthly_we.php
- Schreiber, U. F. (2023). *Introducing the Namibian Committee for Stratigraphy and updates to stratigraphic nomenclature (including Rooikam and Nubib granites)*. Windhoek: Ministry of Mines and Energy.

- Seely, M. K., Klintonberg, P., & Henschel, J. R. (2008). Learning from the desert 19 . Journal of Arid Land Studie, 1–3.
- Staden. (2020, August 26). Feedipedia. Retrieved from Feedipedia:
<https://www.feedipedia.org/node/100>
- STORNOWAY . (2019, 09 25). Retrieved from Stornoway Diamonds Website:
<http://www.stornowaydiamonds.com>
- The CardBoard Box Travel. (2019). Namibia: The CardBoard Box Travel. Retrieved July 16, 2019, from Religion: <http://www.namibian.org/travel/info/religion.html>
- Van Hinsberg, V., Henry, D. J and Mrschall, H. (2011). Tourmaline: An ideal indicator of its host environment. The Canadian Mineralogist (CAN MINERAL), 4.
- World Population Review. (2019). World Population Review: United Nations population estimates and projections - Namibia Population. Retrieved July 16, 2019, from World Population Review: <http://worldpopulationreview.com/countries/namibia-population/>