

SEA – Past, Present, Future

Peter Tarr SAIEA

October 2013



Individual projects

EIA

Current SEA practice in Namibia

National Plans

- NDP 2 "SEA"
- NDP4 SEA

Land Use/spatial planning

- NACOMA coastal SEAs (x2)
- Windhoek Structure Plan SEA
- Regional LUP SEAs (x3)
- Benguela SEA Scoping
- Okavango River Basin SEA
- Baynes ancillary works SEA
- Others?

Programmes

- Biofuel
- MCC
- Uranium Rush
- CBEND
- Others?



SEA-like practice in Namibia

National Plans

• None?

Land Use/spatial planning

- "Owambo Roads Masterplan SEA"
- Structure plans (Walvis Bay, Swakopmund....)
- River TDAs (Orange and Okavango).
- Others?

Programmes

• None?

Impact of SEA?

- Coastal SEAs have not been adhered to in many cases (usually ignored)
- Uranium Rush SEA has had some uptake but below expectation
- Biofuel SEA recommended against Jatropha in Caprivi – upheld?
- Some important aspects (e.g. VCF) of MCC SEA were implemented.
- More on this during discussions......

Which approach is best?



Example of parallel process



Difficulties – Policy SEA

- At national or even regional levels, difficult to see cumulative impacts, antagonisms and synergies
- These only become evident if one looks at "Hub Level"



Rationalising EA: The role of SEA

- Danger of log-jamming already inadequate institutions with too many EIAs – many for small projects that could be served by good (not thick!) EMPs
- Carefully constructed SEAs (e.g. NDP, V2030, Hubs) could provide strategic direction and reduce need for additional SEAs and multiple EIAs

AGRICULTURE SECTOR: KEY CUMULATIVE IMPACTS, ANTAGONISMS AND SYNERGY OPTIONS

Policy	Key cumulative impacts	Key antagonisms	Key synergy options
component			
Green schemes (GS)	If number of GS increases significantly, then: •Over-abstraction of water (cumulatively by GS and with other sectors). •Habitat and biodiversity loss (through land clearing and pesticide use). •Eutrophication (through excess use of artifical fertilisers). •Involuntary resettlement (through displacement of people to make way for GS).	 GS versus subsistence agriculture (land previously used for Traditional agriculture alienated for GSs). GS versus conservation and tourism (Many GSs located adjacent to major rivers that are important for biodiversity and already utilised for tourism). 	 Conservation agriculture and multi-cropping within GS (maintains more habitat diversity, reduces need for fertilisers, reduces risk if one crop fails). Local involvement in GS (involvement of local people and small-scale farmers in GSs would improve livelihoods whilst reducing their dependence on unsustainable farming). Where possible, locate new GS near industrialisation and urbanisation hubs (closer to labour, markets, social infrastructure, and reduces transport impacts).
General agriculture	 Land degradation (including bush encroachment) and biodiversity loss (eg because of overstocking, inappropriate use of fire, pesticide and poison use). Livelihood insecurity (due to farming marginal land vulnerable to climate varibaility and change). 	 Human-wildlife conflict (expanding farming areas encroach on wildlife ranges including the establishment of permanent farms adjacent to national parks). 	 Integrating livestock and wildlife management and production (mixed farming is more productive, spreads risks and recues vulnerability to climate variability and change. GRN should encourage formation of freehold conservancies as well as continue supporting CBNRM). Farming and tourism (mixed game and livestock farming enables income

diversification)..

SEA priorities?

- NDP 5 merged process
- Sector policy SEAs
 - Bulkwater masterplan
- Hub SEAs
 - Windhoek-Okahandja
 - Central Namib & coast
 - North-central
 - Benguela
- LUP SEAs
 - NE
 - -NW

Thank you