



Biodiversity

E.O. Wilson, Harvard University, Editor; National Academy of Sciences/Smithsonian Institution

ISBN: 0-309-56736-X, 538 pages, 6 x 9, (1988)

This PDF is available from the National Academies Press at:
<http://www.nap.edu/catalog/989.html>

Visit the [National Academies Press](#) online, the authoritative source for all books from the [National Academy of Sciences](#), the [National Academy of Engineering](#), the [Institute of Medicine](#), and the [National Research Council](#):

- Download hundreds of free books in PDF
- Read thousands of books online for free
- Explore our innovative research tools – try the “[Research Dashboard](#)” now!
- [Sign up](#) to be notified when new books are published
- Purchase printed books and selected PDF files

Thank you for downloading this PDF. If you have comments, questions or just want more information about the books published by the National Academies Press, you may contact our customer service department toll-free at 888-624-8373, [visit us online](#), or send an email to feedback@nap.edu.

This book plus thousands more are available at <http://www.nap.edu>.

Copyright © National Academy of Sciences. All rights reserved.

Unless otherwise indicated, all materials in this PDF File are copyrighted by the National Academy of Sciences. Distribution, posting, or copying is strictly prohibited without written permission of the National Academies Press. [Request reprint permission for this book](#).

About this PDF file: This new digital representation of the original work has been recomposed from XML files created from the original paper book, not from the original typesetting files. Page breaks are true to the original; line lengths, word breaks, heading styles, and other typesetting-specific formatting, however, cannot be retained, and some typographic errors may have been accidentally inserted. Please use the print version of this publication as the authoritative version for attribution.

BIODIVERSITY

E.O.Wilson, *Editor* **Frances M.Peter**, *Associate Editor*

NATIONAL ACADEMY PRESS

Washington, D.C. 1988

NATIONAL ACADEMY PRESS 2101 Constitution Ave, NW Washington, DC 20418

The National Academy of Sciences was chartered by Congress in 1863 as a private, nonprofit organization dedicated to the furtherance of science and engineering for the public welfare. In 1916 the National Research Council was organized, enabling the Academy to draw upon the entire American scientific and technical community in the pursuit of its mandate to provide independent advice to the nation on critical scientific and technical questions.

The Smithsonian Institution was created by act of Congress in 1846 in accordance with the will of the Englishman, James Smithson, who in 1826 bequeathed his property to the United States of America, "to found at Washington, under the name of the Smithsonian Institution, an establishment for the increase and diffusion of knowledge among men." The Smithsonian has since evolved into an institution devoted to public education, research and national service in the arts, sciences and history. This independent federal establishment is the world's largest museum complex and is responsible for public and scholarly activities, exhibitions and research projects nationwide and overseas.

The National Forum on Biodiversity was developed by the Board on Basic Biology of the National Research Council's Commission on Life Sciences and by the Smithsonian Institution's Directorate of International Activities.

The views expressed in this book are solely those of the individual authors and are not necessarily the views of the National Academy of Sciences or of the Smithsonian Institution.

Library of Congress Cataloging-in-Publication Data

National Forum on Biodiversity (1986: Washington, D.C.)

Biodiversity/Edward O. Wilson, editor, Frances M. Peter, associate editor,
p. cm.

"Papers from the National Forum on Biodiversity held September 21–25, 1986, in Washington, D.C., under the cosponsorship of the National Academy of Sciences and the Smithsonian Institution."

Includes index.

ISBN 0-309-03783-2. ISBN 0-309-03739-5 (pbk.)

1. Biological diversity conservation—Congresses. 2. Biological diversity—Congresses. I. Wilson, Edward Osborne, 1929—II. Peter, Frances M. III. National Academy of Sciences (U.S.) IV. Smithsonian Institution. V. Title.

QH75.A1N32 1986

333.7'2—dc19

First Printing, March 1988

Second Printing, May 1988

Third Printing, October 1988

Fourth Printing, May 1989

Fifth Printing, September 1989

Sixth Printing, May 1990

Seventh Printing, November 1990

Eighth Printing, August 1991

Ninth Printing, March 1992

Tenth Printing, January 1993

Eleventh Printing, January 1994

Twelfth Printing, April 1995

Thirteenth Printing, October 1996

Fourteenth Printing, February 1999

Copyright © 1988 by the National Academy of Sciences

No part of this book may be reproduced by any mechanical, photographic, or electronic process, or in the form of a phonographic recording, nor may it be stored in a retrieval system, transmitted, or otherwise copied for public or private use without written permission from the publisher, except for the purposes of official use by the U.S. government.

Printed in the United States of America

The National Forum on BioDiversity, on which this book is based, was developed by the Board on Basic Biology of the National Research Council's Commission on Life Sciences and by the Smithsonian Institution's Directorate of International Activities.

About this PDF file: This new digital representation of the original work has been recomposed from XML files created from the original paper book, not from the original typesetting files. Page breaks are true to the original; line lengths, word breaks, heading styles, and other typesetting-specific formatting, however, cannot be retained, and some typographic errors may have been accidentally inserted. Please use the print version of this publication as the authoritative version for attribution.

EDITOR'S FOREWORD

The diversity of life forms, so numerous that we have yet to identify most of them, is the greatest wonder of this planet. The biosphere is an intricate tapestry of interwoven life forms. Even the seemingly desolate arctic tundra is sustained by a complex interaction of many species of plants and animals, including the rich arrays of symbiotic lichens. The book before you offers an overall view of this biological diversity and carries the urgent warning that we are rapidly altering and destroying the environments that have fostered the diversity of life forms for more than a billion years.

The source of the book is the National Forum on BioDiversity, held in Washington, D.C., on September 21–24, 1986, under the auspices of the National Academy of Sciences and Smithsonian Institution. The forum was notable for its large size and immediately perceived impact on the public. It featured more than 60 leading biologists, economists, agricultural experts, philosophers, representatives of assistance and lending agencies, and other professionals. The lectures and panels were regularly attended by hundreds of people, many of whom participated in the discussions, and various aspects of the forum were reported widely in the press. On the final evening, a panel of six of the participants conducted a teleconference downlinked to an estimated audience of 5,000 to 10,000 at over 100 sites, most of them hosted by Sigma Xi chapters at universities and colleges in the United States and Canada.

The forum coincided with a noticeable rise in interest, among scientists and portions of the public, in matters related to biodiversity and the problems of international conservation. I believe that this increased attention, which was evident by 1980 and had steadily picked up momentum by the time of the forum, can be ascribed to two more or less independent developments. The first was the accumulation of enough data on deforestation, species extinction, and tropical biology to bring global problems into sharper focus and warrant broader public exposure. It is no coincidence that 1986 was also the year that the Society for Conservation Biology was founded. The second development was the growing awareness of the close linkage between the conservation of biodiversity and economic development. In the United States and other industrial countries, the two

About this PDF file: This new digital representation of the original work has been recomposed from XML files created from the original paper book, not from the original typesetting files. Page breaks are true to the original; line lengths, word breaks, heading styles, and other typesetting-specific formatting, however, cannot be retained, and some typographic errors may have been accidentally inserted. Please use the print version of this publication as the authoritative version for attribution.

are often seen in opposition, with environmentalists and developers struggling for compromise in a zero-sum game. But in the developing nations, the opposite is true. Destruction of the natural environment is usually accompanied by short-term profits and then rapid local economic decline. In addition, the immense richness of tropical biodiversity is a largely untapped reservoir of new foods, pharmaceuticals, fibers, petroleum substitutes, and other products.

Because of this set of historical circumstances, this book, which contains papers from the forum, should prove widely useful. It provides an updating of many of the principal issues in conservation biology and resource management. It also documents a new alliance between scientific, governmental, and commercial forces—one that can be expected to reshape the international conservation movement for decades to come.

The National Forum on BioDiversity and thence this volume were made possible by the cooperative efforts of many people. The forum was conceived by Walter G. Rosen, Senior Program Officer in the Board on Basic Biology—a unit of the Commission on Life Sciences, National Research Council/National Academy of Sciences (NRC/NAS). Dr. Rosen represented the NRC/NAS throughout the planning stages of the project. Furthermore, he introduced the term *biodiversity*, which aptly represents, as well as any term can, the vast array of topics and perspectives covered during the Washington forum. Edward W. Bastian, Smithsonian Institution, mobilized and orchestrated the diverse resources of the Smithsonian in the effort. Drs. Rosen and Bastian were codirectors of the forum. Michael H. Robinson (Director of the National Zoological Park) served as chairman of the Program Committee, organized one of the forum panels, and served as general master of ceremonies. The remainder of the Program Committee consisted of William Jordan III, Thomas E. Lovejoy III, Harold A. Mooney, Stanwyn Shetler, and Michael E. Soulé.

The various panels of the forum were organized and chaired by F. William Burley, William Conway, Paul R. Ehrlich, Michael Hanemann, William Jordan III, Thomas E. Lovejoy III, Harold A. Mooney, James D. Nations, Peter H. Raven, Michael H. Robinson, Ira Rubinoff, and Michael E. Soulé. David Johnson at the New York Botanical Garden was very helpful in verifying some of the botanical terms used in this book. Helen Taylor and Kathy Marshall of the NRC staff and Anne Peret of the Smithsonian Institution assisted with the wide variety of arrangements necessary to the successful conduct of the forum. Linda Miller Poore, also of the NRC staff, entered this entire document on a word processor and was responsible for formatting and checking the many references. Richard E. Morris of the National Academy Press guided this book through production.

The National Forum on BioDiversity was supported by the National Research Council Fund and the Smithsonian Institution, with supplemental support from the Town Creek Foundation, the Armand G. Erpf Fund, and the World Wildlife Fund. The National Research Council Fund is a pool of private, discretionary, nonfederal funds that is used to support a program of Academy-initiated studies of national issues in which science and technology figure significantly. The NRC Fund consists of contributions from a consortium of private foundations including

the Carnegie Corporation of New York, the Charles E. Culpeper Foundation, the William and Flora Hewlett Foundation, the John D. and Catherine T. MacArthur Foundation, the Andrew W. Mellon Foundation, the Rockefeller Foundation, and the Alfred P. Sloan Foundation; the Academy Industry Program, which seeks annual contributions from companies that are concerned with the health of U.S. science and technology and with public policy issues with technological content; and the National Academy of Sciences and the National Academy of Engineering endowments. The publication of this volume was supported by the National Research Council Dissemination Fund, with supplemental support from the World Wildlife Fund. We are deeply grateful to all these organizations for making this project possible.

Finally, and far from least, Frances M. Peter marshalled the diverse contributions in the present volume and was essential to every step of the manuscript editing process. The cover for *Biodiversity* was derived from a forum poster designed by artist Robert Goldstrom.

E.O. WILSON

About this PDF file: This new digital representation of the original work has been recomposed from XML files created from the original paper book, not from the original typesetting files. Page breaks are true to the original; line lengths, word breaks, heading styles, and other typesetting-specific formatting, however, cannot be retained, and some typographic errors may have been accidentally inserted. Please use the print version of this publication as the authoritative version for attribution.

About this PDF file: This new digital representation of the original work has been recomposed from XML files created from the original paper book, not from the original typesetting files. Page breaks are true to the original; line lengths, word breaks, heading styles, and other typesetting-specific formatting, however, cannot be retained, and some typographic errors may have been accidentally inserted. Please use the print version of this publication as the authoritative version for attribution.

CONTENTS

1	The Current State of Biological Diversity <i>E.O. Wilson</i>	3
PART 1 CHALLENGES TO THE PRESERVATION OF BIO-DIVERSITY		
2	The Loss of Diversity: Causes and Consequences <i>Paul R.Ehrlich</i>	21
3	Tropical Forests and Their Species: Going, Going...? <i>Norman Myers</i>	28
4	Ecological Diversity in Coastal Zones and Oceans <i>G.Carleton Ray</i>	36
5	Diversity Crises in the Geological Past <i>David M.Raup</i>	51
6	Estimating Reductions in the Diversity of Tropical Forest Species <i>Ariel E.Lugo</i>	58
7	Challenges to Biological Diversity in Urban Areas <i>Dennis D.Murphy</i>	71
PART 2 HUMAN DEPENDENCE ON BIOLOGICAL DIVER-SITY		
8	Deep Ecology Meets the Developing World <i>James D.Nations</i>	79
9	Screening Plants for New Medicines <i>Norman R.Farnsworth</i>	83
10	Serendipity in the Exploration of Biodiversity: What Good Are Weedy Tomatoes? <i>Hugh H.Iltis</i>	98

About this PDF file: This new digital representation of the original work has been recomposed from XML files created from the original paper book, not from the original typesetting files. Page breaks are true to the original; line lengths, word breaks, heading styles, and other typesetting-specific formatting, however, cannot be retained, and some typographic errors may have been accidentally inserted. Please use the print version of this publication as the authoritative version for attribution.

11	The Outlook for New Agricultural and Industrial Products from the Tropics <i>Mark J. Plotkin</i>	106
PART 3 DIVERSITY AT RISK: TROPICAL FORESTS		
12	Our Diminishing Tropical Forests <i>Peter H. Raven</i>	119
13	The Tropical Forest Canopy: The Heart of Biotic Diversity <i>Terry L. Erwin</i>	123
14	Tropical Dry Forests: The Most Endangered Major Tropical Ecosystem <i>Daniel H. Janzen</i>	130
15	Deforestation and Indians in Brazilian Amazonia <i>Kenneth I. Taylor</i>	138
16	Primate Diversity and the Tropical Forest: Case Studies from Brazil and Madagascar and the Importance of the Megadiversity Countries <i>Russell A. Mittermeier</i>	145
PART 4 DIVERSITY AT RISK: THE GLOBAL PERSPECTIVE		
17	Lessons from Mediterranean-Climate Regions <i>Harold A. Mooney</i>	157
18	Structural and Functional Diversity in Temperate Forests <i>Jerry F. Franklin</i>	166
19	Diversity in and among Grasslands <i>Paul G. Risser</i>	176
20	Diversity and Biological Invasions of Oceanic Islands <i>Peter M. Vitousek</i>	181
PART 5 THE VALUE OF BIODIVERSITY		
21	Economics and the Preservation of Biodiversity <i>W. Michael Hanemann</i>	193
22	Commodity, Amenity, and Morality: The Limits of Quantification in Valuing Biodiversity <i>Bryan Norton</i>	200
23	The Rise of the Global Exchange Economy and the Loss of Biological Diversity <i>Richard B. Norgaard</i>	206

- 24** Why Put a Value on Biodiversity? 212
David Ehrenfeld
- 25** What Mainstream Economists Have to Say About the 217
Value of Biodiversity
Alan Randall

**PART 6 HOW IS BIODIVERSITY MONITORED AND PRO-
TECTED?**

- 26** Monitoring Biological Diversity for Setting Priorities in 227
Conservation
F. William Burley
- 27** Information Management for the Conservation of Biodi- 231
versity
Robert E. Jenkins, Jr.
- 28** Identifying and Protecting the Origins of Our Food Plants 240
J. Trevor Williams
- 29** Conserving and Monitoring Biotic Diversity: Some 248
African Examples
Brian J. Huntley

**PART 7 SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY: HOW CAN THEY
HELP?**

- 30** Can Technology Aid Species Preservation? 263
William Conway
- 31** Conservation of Biological Diversity in Botanical Gardens 269
Peter S. Ashton
- 32** Using Science and Technology to Reestablish Species 279
Lost in Nature
Tom J. Cade
- 33** Intensive Technology in the Care of Ex Situ Populations 289
of Vanishing Species
Ulysses S. Seal
- 34** Cryobiology, Embryo Transfer, and Artificial Insemina- 296
tion in Ex Situ Animal Conservation Programs
Betsy L. Dresser

**PART 8 RESTORATION ECOLOGY: CAN WE RECOVER
LOST GROUND?**

- 35** Ecological Restoration: Reflections on a Half-Century of 311
Experience at the University of Wisconsin-Madison
Arboretum
William R. Jordan III
- 36** Restoring Diversity in Salt Marshes: Can We Do It? 317
Joy B. Zedler

About this PDF file: This new digital representation of the original work has been recomposed from XML files created from the original paper book, not from the original typesetting files. Page breaks are true to the original; line lengths, word breaks, heading styles, and other typesetting-specific formatting, however, cannot be retained, and some typographic errors may have been accidentally inserted. Please use the print version of this publication as the authoritative version for attribution.

CONTENTS		xii
37	Restoration of Degraded Lands in the Amazon Basin <i>Christopher Uhl</i>	326
38	Increasing Diversity by Restoring Damaged Ecosystems <i>John Cairns, Jr.</i>	333
39	Restoring Diversity: The Search for a Social and Economic Context <i>John Todd</i>	344
PART 9 ALTERNATIVES TO DESTRUCTION		
40	Are There Alternatives to Destruction? <i>Michael H. Robinson</i>	355
41	Agroecology and In Situ Conservation of Native Crop Diversity in the Third World <i>Miguel A. Altieri and Laura C. Merrick</i>	361
42	Alternatives to Destruction: Research in Panama <i>Gilberto Ocana, Ira Rubinoff, Nicholas Smythe, and Dagmar Werner</i>	370
43	Biological Engineering for Sustainable Biomass Production <i>Sinyan Shen</i>	377
PART 10 POLICIES TO PROTECT DIVERSITY		
44	Preserving Biological Diversity in the Tropical Forests of the Asian Region <i>John Spears</i>	393
45	The Tropical Forestry Action Plan: Recent Progress and New Initiatives <i>F. William Burley</i>	403
46	International Development and the Protection of Biological Diversity <i>Nyle C. Brady</i>	409
PART 11 PRESENT PROBLEMS AND FUTURE PROSPECTS		
47	Diverse Considerations <i>Thomas E. Lovejoy</i>	421
48	The Conservation of Biodiversity in Latin America: A Perspective <i>Mario A. Ramos</i>	428
49	A Major New Opportunity to Finance the Preservation of Biodiversity <i>Robert J.A. Goodland</i>	437

CONTENTS		xiii
50	And Today We're Going To Talk About Biodiversity... That's Right, Biodiversity <i>Lester R. Brown</i>	446
51	The Effect of Global Climatic Change on Natural Com- munities <i>Robert L. Peters II</i>	450
PART 12	WAYS OF SEEING THE BIOSPHERE	
52	Mind in the Biosphere; Mind of the Biosphere <i>Michael E. Soulé</i>	465
53	A Mammal Gallery: Five Word Pictures and Three Poems <i>Michael McClure</i>	470
54	Cold Water Spirit <i>Larry Littlebird</i>	476
55	A Christian View of Biodiversity <i>John B. Cobb, Jr.</i>	481
56	The Earth as a Living Organism <i>James E. Lovelock</i>	486
PART 13	EPILOGUE	
57	Epilogue <i>David Challinor</i>	493
	Index	497

About this PDF file: This new digital representation of the original work has been recomposed from XML files created from the original paper book, not from the original typesetting files. Page breaks are true to the original; line lengths, word breaks, heading styles, and other typesetting-specific formatting, however, cannot be retained, and some typographic errors may have been accidentally inserted. Please use the print version of this publication as the authoritative version for attribution.

CHAPTER 2

THE LOSS OF DIVERSITY

PAUL R.EHRLICH

Professor of Biological Sciences, Stanford University, Stanford, California

Discussions of the current extinction crisis all too often focus on the fates of prominent endangered species, and in many cases on deliberate overexploitation by human beings as the cause of the endangerment. Thus black rhinos are disappearing from Africa, because their horns are in demand for the manufacture of ceremonial daggers for Middle Eastern puberty rites; elephants are threatened by the great economic value of ivory; spotted cats are at risk because their hides are in demand by furriers; and whales are rare because, among other things, they can be converted into pet food.

Concern about such direct endangerment is valid and has been politically important, because public sympathy seems more easily aroused over the plight of furry, cuddly, or spectacular animals. The time has come, however, to focus public attention on a number of more obscure and (to most people) unpleasant truths, such as the following:

- The primary cause of the decay of organic diversity is not direct human exploitation or malevolence, but the habitat destruction that inevitably results from the expansion of human populations and human activities.
- Many of the less cuddly, less spectacular organisms that *Homo sapiens* is wiping out are more important to the human future than are most of the publicized endangered species. People need plants and insects more than they need leopards and whales (which is not to denigrate the value of the latter two).
- Other organisms have provided humanity with the very basis of civilization in the form of crops, domestic animals, a wide variety of industrial products, and many important medicines. Nonetheless, the most important anthropocentric reason for preserving diversity is the role that microorganisms, plants, and animals

About this PDF file: This new digital representation of the original work has been recomposed from XML files created from the original paper book, not from the original typesetting files. Page breaks are true to the original; line lengths, word breaks, heading styles, and other typesetting-specific formatting, however, cannot be retained, and some typographic errors may have been accidentally inserted. Please use the print version of this publication as the authoritative version for attribution.

play in providing free ecosystem services, without which society in its present form could not persist (Ehrlich and Ehrlich, 1981; Holdren and Ehrlich, 1974).

- The loss of genetically distinct populations within species is, at the moment, at least as important a problem as the loss of entire species. Once a species is reduced to a remnant, its ability to benefit humanity ordinarily declines greatly, and its total extinction in the relatively near future becomes much more likely. By the time an organism is recognized as endangered, it is often too late to save it.
- Extrapolation of current trends in the reduction of diversity implies a denouement for civilization within the next 100 years comparable to a nuclear winter.
- Arresting the loss of diversity will be extremely difficult. The traditional “just set aside a preserve” approach is almost certain to be inadequate because of factors such as runaway human population growth, acid rains, and climate change induced by human beings. A quasi-religious transformation leading to the appreciation of diversity for its own sake, apart from the obvious direct benefits to humanity, may be required to save other organisms and ourselves.

Let us examine some of these propositions more closely. While a mere handful of species is now being subjected to purposeful overexploitation, thousands are formally recognized in one way or another as threatened or endangered. The vast majority of these are on the road to extinction, because humanity is destroying habitats: paving them over, plowing them under, logging, overgrazing, flooding, draining, or transporting exotic organisms into them while subjecting them to an assault by a great variety of toxins and changing their climate.

As anyone who has raised tropical fishes knows, all organisms require appropriate habitats if they are to survive. Just as people cannot exist in an atmosphere with too little oxygen, so neon tetras (*Paracheirodon innesi*) cannot survive in water that is 40F (4.4C) or breed in highly alkaline water. Trout, on the other hand, cannot breed in water that is too warm or too acid. And the bacteria that produce the tetanus toxin cannot reproduce in the presence of oxygen. In order to persist, Bay checkerspot butterflies (*Euphydryas editha bayensis*) must have areas of serpentine grassland (to support the growth of plants that serve as food for their caterpillars and supply nectar to the adults). Whip-poor-wills, reed-eyed vireos, Blackburnian warblers, scarlet tanagers, and dozens of other North American birds must have mature tropical forest in which to overwinter (see Terborgh, 1980, for example). Black-footed ferrets (*Mustela nigripes*) require prairie that still supports the prairie dogs on which the ferrets dine.

This utter dependence of organisms on appropriate environments (Ehrlich, 1986) is what makes ecologists so certain that today's trends of habitat destruction and modification—especially in the high-diversity tropical forest (where at least one-half of all species are believed to dwell)—are an infallible recipe for biological impoverishment. Those politicians and social scientists who have questioned the extent of current extinctions are simply displaying their deep ignorance of ecology;

habitat modification and destruction and the extinction of populations and species go hand in hand.

The extent to which humanity has already wreaked havoc on Earth's environments is shown indirectly by a recent study of human appropriation of the products of photosynthesis (Vitousek et al., 1986). The food resource of the animals in all major ecosystems is the energy that green plants bind into organic molecules in the process of photosynthesis, minus the energy those plants use for their own life processes—growth, maintenance, and reproduction. In the jargon of ecologists, that quantity is known as the net primary production (NPP). Globally, this amounts to a production of about 225 billion metric tons of organic matter annually, nearly 60% of it on land.

Humanity is now using directly (e.g., by eating, feeding to livestock, using lumber and firewood) more than 3% of global NPP, and about 4% of that on land. This is a minimum estimate of human impact on terrestrial systems. Since *Homo sapiens* is one of (conservatively) 5 million species, this may seem an excessive share of the food resource. But considering that human beings are perhaps a million times the weight of the average animal (since the overwhelming majority of animals are small insects and mites) and need on the order of a million times the energy per individual, this share might not be too unreasonable.

Yet human beings can be thought of as co-opting NPP not only by direct use but also by indirect use. Thus if we chalk up to the human account not only the NPP directly consumed, but such other categories as the amount of biomass consumed in fires used to clear land, the parts of crop plants not consumed, the NPP of pastureland (converted from natural habitat) not consumed by livestock, and so on, the human share of terrestrial NPP climbs to a staggering 30%. And if we add to that the NPP foregone when people convert more productive natural systems to less productive ones (such as forest to farm or pasture, grassland to desert, marsh to parking lot), the total *potential* NPP on land is reduced by 13%, and the human share of the unreduced potential NPP reaches almost 40%. There is no way that the co-option by one species of almost two-fifths of Earth's annual terrestrial food production could be considered reasonable, in the sense of maintaining the stability of life on this planet.

These estimates alone both explain the basic causes and consequences of habitat destruction and alteration, and give reason for great concern about future trends. Most demographers project that *Homo sapiens* will double its population within the next century or so. This implies a belief that our species can safely commandeer upwards of 80% of terrestrial NPP, a preposterous notion to ecologists who already see the deadly impacts of today's level of human activities. Optimists who suppose that the human population can double its size again need to contemplate where the basic food resource will be obtained.

A standard fool's answer to that question is that indefinite expansion of the human population will be supported by the immeasurable riches of the sea. Unhappily for that notion, the riches of the sea have been quite carefully measured

About this PDF file: This new digital representation of the original work has been recomposed from XML files created from the original paper book, not from the original typesetting files. Page breaks are true to the original; line lengths, word breaks, heading styles, and other typesetting-specific formatting, however, cannot be retained, and some typographic errors may have been accidentally inserted. Please use the print version of this publication as the authoritative version for attribution.

and found wanting. People now use about 2% of the NPP of the sea, and the prospects even for doubling that yield are dim. The basic reason is that efficient harvesting of the sea requires the exploitation of concentrated pools of resources—schools of fishes and larger invertebrates. People cannot efficiently harvest much of the NPP that resides in tiny phytoplankton (the green plants of the sea) or in the zooplankton (animals too small to swim against the currents). Humanity appears to be already utilizing about as much of oceanic NPP as it can on a sustainable basis.

This discrepancy in the ability of *Homo sapiens* to exploit terrestrial and oceanic NPP is reflected in the general lack of an extinction crisis in the seas. Except for such organisms as some whales and fishes that are threatened by direct exploitation, animals that spend their entire lives in the open sea are relatively secure. Aside from some limited environments, such as certain coral reefs, the effects of habitat destruction are relatively small away from shorelines and estuaries. This situation could, of course, change rapidly if marine pollution increases—a distinct possibility.

The extirpation of populations and species of organisms exerts its primary impact on society through the impairment of ecosystem services. All plants, animals, and microorganisms exchange gases with their environments and are thus directly or indirectly involved in maintaining the mix of gases in the atmosphere. Changes in that mix (such as increases in carbon dioxide, nitrogen oxides, and methane) can lead to rapid climate change and, in turn, agricultural disaster. As physicist John Holdren put it, a carbon dioxide-induced climatic change could lead to the deaths by famine of as many as a billion people before 2020. Destroying forests deprives humanity not only of timber but also of dependable freshwater supplies and furthermore increases the danger of floods. Destruction of insects can lead to the failure of crops that depend upon insect pollination. Extermination of the enemies of insect pests (a usual result of ad lib pesticide spraying) can terminate the pest control services of an ecosystem and often leads to severe pest outbreaks. The extinction of subterranean organisms can destroy the fertility of the soil. Natural ecosystems maintain a vast genetic library that has already provided people with countless benefits and has the potential for providing many, many more.

These examples can be multiplied manyfold—the basic point is that organisms, most of which are obscure to nonbiologists, play roles in ecological systems that are essential to civilization. When a population playing a certain role is wiped out, ecosystem services suffer, even if many other populations of the same organism are still extant. If the *population* of Engelmann spruce trees (*Picea engelmanni*) in the watershed above your Colorado home is chopped down, you could be killed in a resulting flood, even though the *species* of spruce is not endangered. Equally, if that were the last population and it were reduced to just a dozen trees (so that, technically, the species still existed), you would not be spared the flood, and chance events would likely finish off the Engelmann spruce eventually anyway.

In most cases, numerous genetically diverse populations are necessary to ensure the persistence of a species in the face of inevitable environmental changes that occur naturally. The existence of many populations spreads the risk so that unfavorable conditions in one or a few habitats do not threaten the entire species. And the presence of abundant genetic variation within a species (virtually assured

About this PDF file: This new digital representation of the original work has been recomposed from XML files created from the original paper book, not from the original typesetting files. Page breaks are true to the original; line lengths, word breaks, heading styles, and other typesetting-specific formatting, however, cannot be retained, and some typographic errors may have been accidentally inserted. Please use the print version of this publication as the authoritative version for attribution.

if its populations are living in different geographic areas) increases its potential for successfully evolving in response to long-term environmental changes. Today, this genetic diversity *within* species is declining precipitously over much of Earth's land surface—an unheralded loss of one of humanity's most vital resources. That resource is largely irreplaceable. Along with fossil fuels, rich soils, ancient groundwater, and mineral deposits, genetic diversity is part of the inheritance of capital that *Homo sapiens* is rapidly squandering.

What then will happen if the current decimation of organic diversity continues? Crop yields will be more difficult to maintain in the face of climatic change, soil erosion, loss of dependable water supplies, decline of pollinators, and ever more serious assaults by pests. Conversion of productive land to wasteland will accelerate; deserts will continue their seemingly inexorable expansion. Air pollution will increase, and local climates will become harsher. Humanity will have to forego many of the direct economic benefits it might have withdrawn from Earth's once well-stocked genetic library. It might, for example, miss out on a cure for cancer; but that will make little difference. As ecosystem services falter, mortality from respiratory and epidemic disease, natural disasters, and especially famine will lower life expectancies to the point where cancer (largely a disease of the elderly) will be unimportant. Humanity will bring upon itself consequences depressingly similar to those expected from a nuclear winter (Ehrlich, 1984). Barring a nuclear conflict, it appears that civilization will disappear some time before the end of the next century—not with a bang but a whimper.

Preventing such a denouement will prove extremely difficult at the very least; it may well prove to be impossible. Earth's habitats are being nicked and dimed to death, and human beings have great difficulty perceiving and reacting to changes that occur on a scale of decades. Our nervous systems evolved to respond to short-term crises—the potential loss of a mate to a rival, the sudden appearance of a bear in the mouth of the cave. For most of human evolutionary history there was no reason for natural selection to tune us to recognize easily more gradual trends, since there was little or nothing one could do about them. The human lineage evolved in response to changes in the ecosystems in which our ancestors lived, but individuals could not react adaptively to those changes, which usually took place slowly. The depletion of organic diversity and the potential destruction of civilization may, ironically, be an inevitable result of our evolutionary heritage.

If humanity is to avoid becoming once again a species consisting of scattered groups practicing subsistence agriculture, dramatic steps will be necessary. They can only be briefly outlined here. Simply setting aside preserves in the remaining relatively undisturbed ecosystems will no longer suffice. In most parts of the planet such areas are too scarce, and rapid climatic changes may make those preserves impossible to maintain (Peters and Darling, 1985). Areas already greatly modified by human activities must be made more hospitable for other organisms; for example, the spewing of toxins into the environment (leading to intractable problems like acid deposition) must be abated.

Above all, the growth of the human population must be halted, since it is obvious that if the scale of human activities continues to increase for even a few more decades, the extinction of much of Earth's biota cannot be avoided. Indeed,

About this PDF file: This new digital representation of the original work has been recomposed from XML files created from the original paper book, not from the original typesetting files. Page breaks are true to the original; line lengths, word breaks, heading styles, and other typesetting-specific formatting, however, cannot be retained, and some typographic errors may have been accidentally inserted. Please use the print version of this publication as the authoritative version for attribution.

since *Homo sapiens* is now living largely on its inherited capital and in the future will have to depend increasingly on its income (NPP), one can argue persuasively that the size of the human population and the scale of human activities should be gradually *reduced* below present levels. Reducing that scale will be an especially difficult task, since it means that the environmental impacts of the rich must be enormously curtailed to permit the poor a chance for reasonable development.

Although improvements in the technologies used to support human life and affluence can of course help to ameliorate the extinction crisis, and to a limited extent technologies can substitute for lost ecosystem services, it would be a dangerous miscalculation to look to technology for the answer (see, for example, Ehrlich and Mooney, 1983). In my opinion, only an intensive effort to make those improvements and substitutions, combined with a revolution in attitudes toward other people, population growth, the purpose of human life, and the intrinsic values of organic diversity, is likely to prevent the worst catastrophe ever to befall the human lineage. Curiously, scientific analysis points toward the need for a quasi-religious transformation of contemporary cultures. Whether such a transformation can be achieved in time is problematic, to say the least.

We must begin this formidable effort by increasing public awareness of the urgent need for action. People everywhere should understand the importance of the loss of diversity not only in tropical forests, coastal zones, and other climatically defined regions of the world but also in demographically delineated regions such as areas of urbanization. The geological record can tell us much about catastrophic mass extinctions of the past. That, and more intensive studies of the living biota, can provide hints about what we might expect in the future. At the present time, data on the rates and direction of biodiversity loss remain sparse and often uncertain. As a result, estimates of the rate of loss, including the number and variety of species that are disappearing, vary greatly—in some cases, as pointed out by E. O. Wilson in [Chapter 1](#), by as much as an order of magnitude. Moreover, scientists have also differed in their predictions of the eventual impact that will result from the diminishing biodiversity. Some aspects of these challenges are explored in the following five chapters comprising this section and are reflected throughout this volume.

REFERENCES

- Ehrlich, A.H. 1984. Nuclear winter. A forecast of the climatic and biological effects of nuclear-war. *Bull. At. Sci.* 40(4):S1–S15.
- Ehrlich, P.R. 1986. *The Machinery of Nature*. Simon and Schuster, New York. 320 pp.
- Ehrlich, P.R., and A.H.Ehrlich. 1981. *Extinction: The Causes and Consequences of the Disappearance of Species*. Random House, New York. 305 pp.
- Ehrlich, P.R., and H.A.Mooney. 1983. Extinction, substitution, and ecosystem services. *BioScience* 33(4):248–254.
- Holdren, J.P., and P.R.Ehrlich. 1974. Human population and the global environment. *Am. Sci.* 62:282–292.
- Peters, R.L., and J.D.S.Darling. 1985. The greenhouse effect and nature reserves. *BioScience* 35 (11):707–717.

- Terborgh, J.W. 1980. The conservation status of neotropical migrants: Present and future. Pp. 21–30 in A.Keast and E.S.Morton, eds. *Migrant Birds in the Neotropics: Ecology, Behavior, Distribution, and Conservation*. A symposium held at the Conservation and Research Center, National Zoological Park, Smithsonian Institution. Smithsonian Institution Press, Washington, D.C.
- Vitousek, P.M., P.R.Ehrlich, A.H.Ehrlich, and P.M.Matson. 1986. Human appropriation of the products of photosynthesis. *BioScience* 36(6):368–373.

About this PDF file: This new digital representation of the original work has been recomposed from XML files created from the original paper book, not from the original typesetting files. Page breaks are true to the original; line lengths, word breaks, heading styles, and other typesetting-specific formatting, however, cannot be retained, and some typographic errors may have been accidentally inserted. Please use the print version of this publication as the authoritative version for attribution.