Sikunga Natural Resource Report

A1

maximising wildlife returns by minimising threats...


Performance Indicators Management performance in 2019 Category **Performance** 1 Adequate staffing 2 Adequate expenditure 3 Audit attendance 4 NR management plan 5 Zonation 6 Leadership 7 Display of material 8 Event Book modules 9 Event Book quality 10 Compliance 11 Game census 12 Reporting & adaptive management 13 Law enforcement 14 Human Wildlife Conflict 15 Harvesting management 16 Sources of NR income 17 Benefits produced 18 Resource trends 19 Resource targets Key to performance indicators weak/bad reasonable

Performance is assessed on a scoring system from zero (none) to a maximum of between 3 and 6 (strong/excellent) depending on the indicator.

Indicators 1-17 reflect the performance of the management team in place in the conservancy and an efficient team can achieve a good rating in all 17 indicators.


Indicators 18 & 19 are influenced by external factors and are not considered a reflection of conservancy management. They indicate the current status of wildlife in the conservancy in relation to a theoretical optimal situation.

Human wildlife conflict




Most troublesome problem animals 2017-2019

the chart shows the number of incidents per species for the last 3 years; the darkest bar (on the right) indicates the current year for each species





Type of damage by problem animals 2017-2019


the chart shows the number of incidents per category for the last 3 years; the darkest bar (on the right) indicates the current year for each type



Poaching







Wildlife removals - quota use and value

Potential value estimates (N\$) for species are based on:

• Potential trophy value - the average trophy value for that species in the conservancy landscape

trophy values vary depending on trophy quality, international recognition of the hunting operator and the hunting area

• Potential other use value - the average meat value for common species

or

the average live sale value of each high value species (indicated with an *). High value species are never used for meat

Fractions of animals indicate that a quota of 1 animal was awarded with conditions i.e. a) over a period of several years

and/or
b) is shared with other conservancies

	(Quota 2019)		Anin	nals actua	ılly used i	n 2019		Potential	Potential
Species	Total	Trophy	Other Use	Trophy	Own Use & Premium	Shoot &		Problem Animal	Total Use	Trophy Value N\$	Other use Value N\$
Baboon	4	4		1					1	400	
Crocodile	2	1	1	1					1	29,300	
Elephant*	6	2	4		2				3	220,800	360,000
Hippo	2	1	1	1					1	35,500	6,600
Hyaena	1	1								7,600	
Impala	2	1	1							2,700	816
Kudu*	2	1	1	1					1	5,900	12,986
Blue Wildebeest*	2	1	1	1	1				2	4,300	3,114



Sikunga


Natural Resource Report continued...

Not all data or species are shown on this report; use your **Event Book** for more information

A2


monitoring numbers and trends for a healthy conservancy...


Current wildlife numbers and status




Fixed route patrols

charts show the number of sightings of each species per fixed route foot patrol each year. Status flags reflect the general sightings trend over the last 5 years







Wildlife introductions




Wildlife mortalities




Locally rare species



Vegetation monitoring



Fire monitoring



Predator monitoring

charts show the average number of animals seen per Event Book each year Status flags reflect the general sightings trend over the last 5 years





Sikunga Institutional Report

Not all institutional data are shown on this report: use your Governance institution audit for more information

С

Enabling wise conservancy governance...

Conservancy Statistics

Date Registered: July 2009

Population (2011 census): 2470

Size (square kilometres): 287

Registered members: 2044

Conservancy Governance

	Male	Female	Total
Number of management committee members	5	5	10
Attendance at AGM	56	98	154
Date of the last AGM:	22/11/2019		
Date of the next AGM:	19/11/2020		
Other important issues			
Budget approved?	✓		
Work plan approved?	√		
Annual conservancy report approved?			

Employment

	Male	Female	Total
Conservancy staff (Incl. CGG & CRM)	19	3	22
Number of Community Game Guards	7	1	8
Number of Community Resource Monitors			
Lodge staff	39	31	70

Key Compliance Requirements

Was an AGM held?	\checkmark
Were elections held?	✓
Were benefits distributed according to the BDP?	✓
Is game managed according to the GMUP?	4
Was the financial report presented and approved?	√

Benefit Distribution

Туре	Description	Beneficiary	Number
Social Benefits	Electrification Project		
	Sports Sponsorship		
Meat Distribution	Meat To Members		

Governance Performance Rating How well did the conservancy perform in the past year?

Per	formance Cate	gory	This Year	Prev. Year	Explanation of performance category					
1 Member engagement					The conservancy is adequately engaging its members					
2a Benefit planning					The conservancy developed its BDP in a transparent and participatory manner					
2b Benefit distribution					The conservancy distributes benefits to its members in a fair, transparent and equitable manner					
3 Accountability					Conservancy members are holding the management committee accountable					
4 Compliance					The conservancy is compliant with the standard operating proceedures (SOPs)					
5 Stakeholder engagement					The conservancy maintains relationships with key external stakeholders					
6 Financial management					The conservancy is effectively managing its finances					
Colour codes: none weak				te	strong	exceptional		N/A		