Shikhakhu 2012 Annual Natural Resource Report

maximising wildlife benefits by minimising threats...

Conservancy status

Benefits from natural resources in 2011

the chart shows the main benefit sources and values and their percentage of the total benefits

Approximate Total Benefits N\$



Two of the most significant benefits for the conservancy: ✓ cash income to the conservancy to cover running costs and invest in developments

✓ employment benefits to conservancy residents

| Conservancy | N\$ | | | | |
|-------------|---------------------------|--|--|--|--|
| Employment | Employment Private Sector | | | | |
| benefits | Conservancy | | | | |


Cost of natural resource conflicts in 2011

| estimates are based on average national values | 5 |
|--|---|
|--|---|

| Estimated human wildlife conflict cost | N\$ 34,450 |
|---|------------|
| Estimated poached high value species loss | N\$ 22,620 |
| Total conflict cost estimate | N\$ 57,070 |

Natural resource cost-benefit ratio in 2011

the chart shows the approximate ratio of benefits to costs




 $\ensuremath{^*}$ The annual data collection process results in a lag of 1 year for income data $\ensuremath{^*}$


Management performance in 2012

| Category | Score | Performance |
|--------------------------------|-------|-------------|
| 1 Adequate staffing | 3 | |
| 2 Adequate expenditure | 3 | |
| 3 Audit attendance | 5 | |
| 4 NR management plan | 2 | |
| 5 Zonation | 2 | |
| 6 Leadership | 2 | |
| 7 Display of material | 1 | |
| 8 Event Book modules | 3 | |
| 9 Event Book quality | 2 | |
| 10 Compliance | 2 | |
| 11 Game census | 1 | |
| 12 Reporting & adaptive m/ment | 2 | |
| 13 Law enforcement | 4 | |
| 14 Human Wildlife Conflict | 2 | |
| 15 Sources of NR income | 3 | |
| 16 Benefits produced | 3 | |
| 17 Resource Sustainability | 2 | |

Human wildlife conflict


Human wildlife conflict trend






Most troublesome problem animals 2010-2012

the chart shows the number of incidents per species for the last 3 years; the darkest bar (on the right) indicates the current year for each species




Type of damage by problem animals 2010-2012


the chart shows the number of incidents per category for the last 3 years; the darkest bar (on the right) indicates the current year for each type



Poaching




Traps and firearms recovered



Arrests and convictions number of incidents per category

number of incidents per category



Wildlife removals – quota use and value

| | | | Quo | ta 2012 | | | Animals actually used in 2012 | | | | | |
|---------|-------|---------------------------------|--------|----------------------------------|-----------|-------------------------------------|-------------------------------|-------------------------|-----------------|-------------------|-------------------|--------------|
| Species | Total | Potential Total Value N\$ | Trophy | Potential Trophy Value N\$ | Other Use | Potential Other use Value N\$ | Trophy | Own Use & Premium | Shoot & Sell | Capture & Sale | Problem Animal | Total Use |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | |

Wildlife status summary in 2012

| 8.2ebra Duiver Her | hant Gratte Inp? | ng trign be | ban sable ste | enbot wathos | |
|--------------------|------------------|-------------|---------------|--------------|--|

Potential value estimates (N\$) for quotas are based on:

- Potential trophy value the average national trophy value of each trophy species multiplied by the quota number
- Potential other use value the average national meat value of each common species multiplied by the quota number
- the average live sale value of each high value species (indicated with an *) multiplied by the quota number
- high value species are never used for meat

Key to the status barometer





Not all data or species are shown on this report; use your Event Book for more information



Current wildlife numbers and status

| Species | Animals Seen | Estimate | Wildlife Status | | | |
|----------|-----------------|----------|-----------------|-----------------------|-------------------|--|
| | | | Count Trend | National Guideline | Desired Number | |
| B. Zebra | | | | | | |
| Duiker | | | | | | |
| Elephant | | | | | | |
| Giraffe | | | | | | |
| Impala | | | | | | |
| Kudu | | | | | | |
| Roan | | | | | | |
| Sable | | | | | | |
| Steenbok | | | | | | |
| Warthog | | | | | | |

Wildlife Status

Count trend – gives the species status in the conservancy based on game count trend data.

National guideline – gives the species status in the conservancy using national guidelines for the conservancy; for example, lions may cause local problems, but are of high value and are rare at landscape level.


Desired number – gives the species status in the conservancy based on what the conservancy would like to have.

dark green (abundant) – there should be less;
light green (common) – the desired number is reached;
yellow (uncommon) – there should be more;
light orange (rare) – there should be more than double;
dark orange (very rare) – there should be more than triple;
red (extinct) – the species needs to be reintroduced.

Locally rare species

2012


Shikhakhu




Locally rare and endangered species are not found very often in the conservancy and need special conservation attention.



Wildlife introductions



Wildlife mortalities




40

20

Hippo


Annual rainfall




Impala


200 200 200 200 200 200 200 200 201 201


Fixed route patrols





2






Vegetation monitoring

Change in bush cover since monitoring began

Percent tree cover / average biomass per hectare



Plots with more bushPlots with same bushPlots with less bush



Wildlife provides a wide range of benefits. Some wildlife can cause conflicts, but all wildlife is of value to tourism, trophy hunting and a healthy environment.



By using all the available information and adapting and improving activities, threats such as human wildlife conflict, poaching and other issues can be minimised.



Fire monitoring

Times burned betw een 2008 and 2012

Fires burned in 2012

