


lipumbu ya Tshilongo Natural Resource Report



maximising wildlife returns by minimising threats...



Wildlife removals – quota use and value

| Potential value estimates (N\$) for | | | Quota 2019 | | | Animals actually used in 2019 | | | | | Potential | Potential |
|--|---------|-------|------------|--------------|--------|-------------------------------|-----------------|-------------------|-------------------|-----------|---------------------|------------------------|
| species are based on: • Potential trophy value - the average | Species | Total | Trophy | Other Use | Trophy | Own Use & Premium | Shoot & Sell | Capture & Sale | Problem Animal | Total Use | Trophy Value N\$ | Other use Value N\$ |
| trophy value for that species in the conservancy landscape | | | | | | Premium | | | | | | |
| trophy values vary depending on trophy quality, international recognition of the hunting operator and the hunting area | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Potential other use value - the average meat value for common species | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| or | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| the average live sale value of each high value species (indicated with an *). High value species are never used for meat | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Fractions of animals indicate that a quota of 1 animal was awarded with conditions i.e. | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| a) over a period of several years and/or | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| b) is shared with other conservancies | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | |



lipumbuya...

Not all data or species are shown on this report; use your **Event Book** for more information

Natural Resource Report continued...

A2

monitoring numbers and trends for a healthy conservancy...

Current wildlife numbers and status




Desired Number – gives the species status in the conservancy based on what the conservancy would like to have.

dark green (abundant) - reduce a lot; light green (common) - reduce a little;

yellow (uncommon) - keep numbers the same; light orange (rare) - double numbers;


dark orange (very rare) - more than double numbers.


Key to wildlife status



Vegetation monitoring


Green vegetation index (NDVI). Maps show vegetation cover during Feb-Apr of the current year and the difference between the current year and the long-term average (2003-2018)






Wildlife mortalities

rare




Locally rare species



Locally rare species are not found very often in the conservancy and need special conservation attention.


Annual game count


Charts show the number of animals seen each year per 100 km driven during the game count. As a point of reference the dashed horizontal line represents the combined 10 year average in Palmwag and Etendeka concessions. Status flags reflect the general count trend over the last 5 years.





Predator monitoring

charts show the average number of animals seen per Event Book each year status barometers reflect the general sightings trend over the last 5 years











lipumbu ya Tshilongo

Institutional Report

Not all institutional data are shown on this report: use your **Governance** institution audit for more information

С

Enabling wise conservancy governance...

Conservancy Statistics

Date Registered: May 2012

Population (2011 census): 2170

Size (square kilometres): 1548

Registered members: 322

Key Compliance Requirements

| Was an AGM held? | ~ |
|--|----------|
| Nere elections held? | N/A |
| Were benefits distributed according to the BDP? | × |
| s game managed according to the GMUP? | ✓ |
| Was the financial report presented and approved? | 4 |

Conservancy Governance

| Number of management committee | Male | Female | Total | |
|-------------------------------------|------------|--------|-------|--|
| members | 11 | 5 | 16 | |
| Attendance at AGM | 62 | 107 | 169 | |
| Date of the last AGM: | 22/06/2019 |) | | |
| Date of the next AGM: | 06/2020 | | | |
| Other important issues | | | | |
| Budget approved? | ✓ | | | |
| Work plan approved? | ✓ | | | |
| Annual conservancy report approved? | | | | |
| | | | | |

Benefit Distribution

| Туре | Description | Beneficiary | Number |
|------|-------------|-------------|--------|
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |

Employment

| | Male | Female | Total |
|---------------------------------------|------|--------|-------|
| Conservancy staff (Incl. CGG & CRM) | 3 | 3 | 6 |
| Number of Community Game Guards | 3 | 3 | 6 |
| Number of Community Resource Monitors | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |

Governance Performance Rating How well did the conservancy perform in the past year?

| Performance Category | This Year | Prev. Year | Explanation of performance category | | | | | |
|--------------------------|--------------|---------------|---|--|--|--|--|--|
| 1 Member engagement | | | The conservancy is adequately engaging its members | | | | | |
| 2a Benefit planning | | | The conservancy developed its BDP in a transparent and participatory manner | | | | | |
| 2b Benefit distribution | | | The conservancy distributes benefits to its members in a fair, transparent and equitable mann | | | | | |
| 3 Accountability | | | Conservancy members are holding the management committee accountable | | | | | |
| 4 Compliance | | | The conservancy is compliant with the standard operating proceedures (SOPs) | | | | | |
| 5 Stakeholder engagement | | | The conservancy maintains relationships with key external stakeholders | | | | | |
| 6 Financial management | | | The conservancy is effectively managing its finances | | | | | |
| Colour codes: none weak | modera | te | strong exceptional N/A | | | | | |