See discussions, stats, and author profiles for this publication at: https://www.researchgate.net/publication/255609384

Effects of diet on nutritional content of whole vertebrate prey

Article in Zoo Biology · January 1996

DOI: 10.1002/(SICI)1098-2361(1996)15:53.0.CO;2-D

CITATIONS	READS
25	364

3 authors, including:



Ellen S Dierenfeld Nottingham Trent University 201 PUBLICATIONS 3,209 CITATIONS SEE PROFILE

Some of the authors of this publication are also working on these related projects:



The influence of dietary fibre on tiger gut health, behaviour and welfare View project

All content following this page was uploaded by Ellen S Dierenfeld on 06 June 2014.

Effects of Diet on Nutritional Content of Whole Vertebrate Prey

Nancy J. Clum, Marianne P. Fitzpatrick, and Ellen S. Dierenfeld

The Peregrine Fund, Inc., Boise, Idaho and Department of Nutrition, Wildlife Conservation Society, Bronx, New York

Proximate composition (moisture, lipid, protein, ash), vitamin A and vitamin E content, and six minerals (Cu, Fe, Zn, Mg, Mn, Ca) were measured in quail, rats, mice, and guinea pigs raised on at least two different diets per species. Feed composition varied substantially but erratically in lipid, vitamin A, vitamin E, and mineral content. All unsupplemented feeds had less vitamin E than NRC recommendations and most feeds contained less Mn than recommended. Most feeds deviated from the manufacturer's guaranteed analysis. There were significant effects of diet on body mass, moisture, lipid, ash, vitamin A, vitamin E, Ca, and Cu content for quail. There was a significant effect of diet on vitamin A content of mice; there were no other effects of diet on mammalian species. There was no correlation between diet composition and body composition for any species. Rats and mice differed significantly in vitamin A, Fe, and Cu content when raised on the same diet. We suggest that (1) it is difficult to assume or assess composition or adequacy of commercial diets without laboratory analysis, (2) supplementation of diets with whole foods can potentially reduce diet quality, (3) nutrient differences in quail are related to differences in growth, and such age-related differences may be more important in determining nutrient content than diet, (4) there were significant species differences in responsiveness to changes in diet, and (5) whole domesticated prev are a potentially inadequate source of vitamin E for raptors and of Mn and Cu for all carnivores. ©1996 Wiley-Liss, Inc.

Key words: vitamins, minerals, body composition, feed composition, growth

INTRODUCTION

Nutrition is documented to affect health [Gershwin et at., 1985], growth, reproduction [NRC, 1978, 1984] and longevity [Good and Gajjar, 1986] in a variety of animals. The nutritional status of raptors and other carnivores, which are frequently fed domesticated whole prey, is dependent not only on the type of prey [Bird and Ho, 1976; Dierenfeld et at., 1995; Clum et al., 1995], but also on the quality of the diet

Address reprint requests to Dr. Nancy 1. Clum, Environmental Sciences Program, De Paul University, 1036 West

Beldon Avenue, Chicago, IL 60614.

Received for publication June 30, 1995; revision accepted April 13, 1996.

Effects of Diet on Nutritional Content of Whole Vertebrate Prey. N.J. Clum, M.P. Fitzpatrick and E.S. Dierenfeld. *Zoo Biology*. Copyright© 1996 Wiley-Liss, Inc. Reproduced with permission of John Wiley & Sons, Inc.

526 Clum et al.

those prey were fed [Dierenfeld et at., 1989]. Many breeders purchase the prey that they feed out, and thus have no control over the diet of these prey. Even breeders that raise their own prey species typically use commercial feeds for logistic and financial reasons.

The diets that are commercially and locally available for domesticated species have been designed to produce healthy prey species as a final product, i.e., they are often created with either maximal growth or maximal reproduction as a goal. They have not been designed to support maximal health and reproduction of a secondary consumer such as a raptor, which frequently must derive all of its nutritional requirements from a single source. Thus, it is difficult to predict how commercially available diets may affect the nutritional content of prey species and, subsequently, the nutritional status of raptors.

Nutritional status of prey depends not only on the occurrence of nutrients in the food but also on the ability of prey to extract these nutrients. Because different species are subject to different selection pressures (natural or artificial), the ability to assimilate nutrients can vary substantially between species [Ruppert, 1980; Speakman, 1987; Ferraris et at., 1989; Barton and Houston, 1993], and thus different species fed similar diets may have a different nutritional content. By the same token, any given species will not process all nutrients in the same manner [e.g., Dierenfeld et at., 1995], and thus it is difficult to assess the nutritional quality of a prey item by examining only one or two nutrients.

We measured proximate composition (moisture, lipid, protein, ash), vitamin A and vitamin E content, and copper (Cu), iron (Fe), zinc (Zn), magnesium (Mg), manganese (Mn), and calcium (Ca) of four domesticated species raised on at least two different diets per species. The objectives of this work were to assess (1) the variability of nutrient composition in some commonly used, commercially available diets, (2) the impact of this variability on body composition of prey commonly fed to raptors, and (3) the relative responsiveness of different species to changes in diet.

METHODS Experimental Design

We set up feeding trials for four species of domesticated animals; *Coturnix* quail and mixed breed rats, mice, and guinea pigs. Both males and females were used for quail, but only males were used for the other three species. After hatching, quail (n=50, each diet) were placed in the middle deck of a five-deck Petersime brood unit and raised to 6 weeks of age. Quail were fed (ad libitum) either Gamebird Starter, Turkey Starter, or Turkey Starter supplemented with 220 IU/kg of vitamin E (Purina Mills, St. Louis, MO). Water was provided ad libitum. Three pregnant female mammals of each species were housed in standard laboratory mammal cages (one female per cage) until litters were weaned. Mice were fed (ad libitum) Rodent Chow (#5001), Mouse Chow (#5015), Formulab Chow (#5008) (Purina), or Formulab Chow supplemented with a mixed grain. Rats were fed (ad libitum) Rodent Chow, Rat Chow (#5012), Formulab Chow, or Formulab Chow (#5025) (Purina) or a locally manufactured guinea pig feed All animals were provided with water ad libitum. After weaning, three individuals from each diet treatment of each species were raised to 12 weeks, rats to 11 weeks, and guinea pigs to 10 weeks of age.

were randomly selected (one from each litter) and placed together in new cages. Mice were raised to 12 weeks, rats to 11 weeks, and guinea pigs to 10 weeks of age.

Laboratory Analyses

At the end of the trials each animal was killed and weighed to the nearest 0.1 g. Feathers were removed from birds and three individuals of each sex from each treatment group and species were ground separately. Four replicate samples were immediately taken from each individual: two for vitamin analysis and two for moisture, lipid, ash, and mineral analysis. The remainder of the ground sample was frozen and two replicate samples taken at a later time for protein analysis. One 500 g sample was also taken from each type of feed and stored at -10°C for up to 3 months prior to analysis.

Moisture content was determined by drying samples to a constant weight in a vacuum oven at 60°C. Lipid content of dried samples was determined indirectly using Soxhlet extraction [Ellis, 1984]. Fat-free dry samples were ashed in a muffle furnace at 550°C for 3 days [Ellis, 1984] to determine ash content. Protein content of thawed wet tissues was assayed by the Biuret method [Horwitz, 1975]; samples were corrected for any moisture loss during freezing by redrying a second set of samples. Tissue extraction and analysis of retinol and alpha- and gamma-tocopherol were modifications of the general methods of Taylor et al. [1976], as described in Douglas et al. [1994], using high-performance liquid chromatography. Extraction of feed was performed according to the method described by Combs and Combs [1985]. Vitamin A activity was calculated as 0.3 g all-trans retinol = 1 IU [Olson, 1984]. Vitamin E was calculated by summing alpha- and gamma-tocopherols, where 1 mg alpha-tocopherol = 1.1 IU and 1 mg gamma-tocopherol = 0.1 IU [Machim, 1984]. Ashed samples were prepared for mineral analysis according to the method of Parker [1963]. Ca, Cu, Fe, Zn, Mg, and Mn levels were measured on a Perkin-Elmer atomic absorbance spectrometer.

Statistical Analyses

Diet differences within species were analyzed using a one-way ANOVA. Relations between feed content and body content were examined using regression analysis. Sex differences and differences between any two species or diets were analyzed using a Student's t test or the Mann-Whitney U statistic. Comparison of multiple dependent variables was corrected by a sequential Bonferroni method [Rice, 1989]. Significance was assigned at the level of (corrected) P < 0.05. Analyses were run using SYSTAT [Wilkinson, 1990].

RESULTS

Feed composition varied substantially but unpredictably in lipid, vitamin A, vitamin E, and mineral content (Tables 1, 2, 3). All unsupplemented feeds had less vitamin E than National Research Council recommendations [NRC, 1978, 1984]. Quail, mouse, and rat feeds (with the exception of Rodent Chow) had less Mn than recommended. Unsupplemented quail feeds also had less vitamin A, and rat feeds had less lipid than suggested. Fat levels of feeds were consistently lower and ash content of feeds (except Guinea Pig Chow) was consistently higher than the guaranteed analysis provided by the manufacturer. Protein levels, given the variability of

528 Clum et al.

	Supplemented	Unsupplemented	Gamebird	NRC	
	Turkey Starter	Turkey Starter	Starter	(quail)	
Moisture (%)	10.3	9.3	8.0	N/A	
Lipid (%)	2.3	1.1	2.1		
	(>3.0)	(>3.0)	(2.5)		
Protein (%)	20.0	20.4	25.5	24	
	(>26.0)	(>26.0)	(30.0)		
Ash (%)	8.1	7.0	7.0	N/A	
Vitamin A (lU/kg)	7,667	3,500	2,600	5,000 ^a	
Vitamin E (lU/kg)	68.2	11.8	8.7	12 ^a	
	(220)				
Calcium (mg/kg)	18,519	17,079	12,450	800	
Copper (mg/kg)	16.2	18.7	16.5	6	
Magnesium (mg/kg)	1,643.5	1,285.1	1,525.0	300	
Iron (mg/kg)	198.6	161.9	221.5	100	
Manganese (mg/kg)	65.1	76.4	9.0	90 ^a	
Zinc (mg/kg)	23.8	127.3	128.0	25	

TABLE 1. Nutrient composition of quail diets*

*All data except moisture content presented on a dry matter basis. Numbers in parentheses are manufacturer's guaranteed analysis.

Numbers in bold indicate at least a 25% difference in nutrient content among feeds.

~aIndicates at least one feed below NRC recommended levels for this nutrient [NRC, 1984].

replicate samples from whole prey (see below), cannot be said to differ from manufacturer's guaranteed analysis. For diets where a guaranteed analysis of vitamins and minerals was available, levels of vitamin A, vitamin E, Mg, Cu, and Mn were lower than expected (except mineral levels of Rodent Chow), and levels of Ca, Fe, and Zn were higher than expected.

Female quail were significantly heavier than male quail in all treatment groups ($P_{gamebird} = 0.0008$; $P_{turkey} = 0.0001$; $P_{suppturkey} = 0.0173$). There was a significant effect of diet on body mass of quail for both males (P = 0.003) and females (P = 0.00001), and the degree of sexual size dimorphism was almost three times higher in the groups fed Turkey Starter (20%) and supplemented Turkey starter (19%) than in the group fed Gamebird Starter (7%). In addition, one-third of the females fed on Turkey Starter (supplemented or unsupplemented) were producing eggs at 6 weeks of age, while none of the females fed on the Gamebird Starter were reproductively active. There was no effect of diet on adult weight for mammals ($P_{rat} = 0.928$, $P_{mice} = 0.326$; $P_{guineapig} = 0.588$). There were no significant sex differences in proximate composition, vitamin content, or mineral content following correction for multiple comparisons (Table 4). Protein samples were highly variable within sexes and within treatments, probably as a result of sampling bias in the relatively small (<0.5 g) subsamples. As a consequence, the sum of proximate analyses in many cases exceeded 100%.

There were significant effects of diet on nutrient composition of quail for moisture, ash, vitamin A, vitamin E, Ca, and Cu; there were marginally significant differences (adjusted P < 0.10) for Mg and Fe (Table 5). There was no effect of diet on nutrient composition of rats (Table 6) or guinea pigs (Table 7) following corrections for multiple comparisons; mice differed only in vitamin A content (Table 8).

There was no correlation between diet composition and prey composition of quail for vitamins ($P_A = 0.473$; $P_E = 0.189$), minerals ($P_{Ca} = 0.422$; $P_{Cu} = 0.791$),

Effects of Diet on Nutritional Content of Whole Vertebrate Prey. N.J. Clum, M.P. Fitzpatrick and E.S. Dierenfeld. *Zoo Biology*.

	Rodent	Mouse	Rat	Formulab	NRC	NRC
	Chow	Chow	Chow	Chow	(mice)	(rats)
Moisture (%)	8.3	8.6	10.8	8.9	N/A	N/A
Lipid (%)	2.5	5.6	2.1	2.0	5	5 ^a
	(>4.5)	(>11.0)	(>4.0)	(>6.5)		
Protein (%)	15.0	15.3	15.2	15.2	18	12
	(>23.4)	(>17.5)	(>22.5)	(>23.5)		
Ash (%)	11.2	6.1	7.3	7.6	N/A	N/A
	(<6.9)	(<5.5)	(<6.0)	(<6.8)		
Vitamin A (lU/kg)	9,100	12,300	6,767	6,133	500	4,000
	(22,000)	(30,000)	(12,000)	(15,000)		
Vitamin E (lU/kg)	13.4	9.2	12.6	14.8	20a	30a
	(49.0)	(35.2)	(32.0)	(55.0)		
Calcium (mg/kg)	20,531	12,188	14,827	13,762	400	500
	(9,500)	(8,000)	(10, 100)	(10,000)		
Copper (mg/kg)	24.4	15.0	13.4	13.4	4.5	5.0
	(18.0)	(19.4)	(20.4)	(16.0)		
Magnesium (mg/kg)	2,218.8	838.8	1,136.6	1,068.0	50	40
	(2,100)	(1,600)	(2,000)	(2,000)		
Iron (mg/kg)	317.5	135.4	237.4	239.6	25	35
	(198.0)	(145.0)	(188.6)	(200.0)		
Manganese (mg/kg)	91.3	38.0	32.4	16.3	45a	50a
	(64.3)	(119.3)	(69.0)	(70.7)		
Zinc (mg/kg)	194.4	123.4	105.0	99.8	30	12
× C C/	(70.0)	(102.2)	(71.0)	(73.3)		

TABLE 2. Nutrient composition of rodent diets*

*All data except moisture content presented on a dry matter basis. Numbers in parentheses are manufacturer's guaranteed analysis [PMI Feeds, Inc., 1995]. Numbers in bold indicate at least a 25% difference in nutrient content among feeds. alndicates at least one feed below NRC recommended levels for this nutrient [NRC, 1978].

or proximate composition ($P_{\text{lipid}} = 0.825$; *P* moist = 0.048).Quail fed Game-bird Starter weighed less ($P_{\text{males}} = 0.013$, $P_{\text{females}} < 0.001$) and were significantly lower in lipid (P < 0.001) and vitamin E (P = 0.003), and higher in moisture (P = 0.001), vitamin A (P = 0.025), Ca (P = 0.050), and Cu (P = 0.019) than birds fed on Turkey Starters. Birds fed unsupplemented Turkey Starter were significantly lower in lipid (P < 0.001) and vitamin E (P = 0.048), and higher in moisture (P =0.019), Ca (P = 0.003), and Cu (P = 0.017) than birds fed supplemented Turkey Starter (see Table 5). There was no correlation between feed composition and body composition for vitamin A in mice (P = 0.869).

When rats and mice were raised on identical diets, mice had significantly higher levels of vitamin A ($P_{\text{rodent}} = 0.0073$, $P_{\text{formulab}} = 0.0068$), Fe ($P_{\text{rodent}} = 0.0043$, $P_{\text{formulab}} = 0.0001$) and Cu ($P_{\text{formulab}} = 0.0006$) than rats (see Tables 6 and 8).

DISCUSSION Diet Composition

Our analysis of diet composition is taken from single samples, rather than an average of replicate measures, and therefore must be interpreted with caution. However, several potentially important results warrant discussion. First, we found the nutrient content of commercially available feeds to vary in an unpredictable manner,

Effects of Diet on Nutritional Content of Whole Vertebrate Prey. N.J. Clum, M.P. Fitzpatrick and E.S. Dierenfeld. *Zoo Biology*. Copyright© 1996 Wiley-Liss, Inc. Reproduced with permission of John Wiley & Sons, Inc.

530 Clum et al

	Commercial	Local	8	NRC
	Guinea Pig Chow	guinea pig feed	Grain	(guinea pigs)
Moisture (%)	9.4	8.3	9.8	N/A
Lipid (%)	2.4	1.1	3.6	1.0
	(>4.0)	(>2.5)		
Protein (%)	16.1	16.5	15.0	18.0
	(>18.5)	(>14.0)		
Ash (%)	8.4	10.4	4.0	N/A
	(<8.5)			
Vitamin A (lU/kg)	29,733	2,633	167 ^a	23,333 ^a
	(30,000)			
Vitamin E (lU/kg)	15.9	8.9	11.6 ^a	$50^{\rm a}$
	(50.0)			
Calcium (mg/kg)	15,124	14,815	4,923	900
	(11,000)			
Copper (mg/kg)	14.1	10.8	7.1	6.0
	(17.7)			
Magnesium (mg/kg)	1,757.4	1,419.8	843.6	200.0
	(3,500)			
Iron (mg/kg)	290.4	1,517.3	89.3	50.0
	(298)			
Manganese (mg/kg)	54.7	80.9	8.4^{a}	40.0
	(76.1)			
Zinc (mg/kg)	90.4	91.1	73.5	20.0
	(69.5)			

	• . •	r •	• •	· · ·
IARIE'S Nutriont	composition	of annoa	n_{10} d_{10}	te and arain*
TABLE 3. Nutrient	COHIDOSILIOH	VI YUIIICA	טוצ עוכ	is anu grani
			F-9	

*All data except moisture content presented on a dry matter basis. Numbers in parentheses are manufacturer's guaranteed analysis IPMI Feeds, Inc., 1995]. Numbers in bold indicate at least a 25% difference in nutrient content among feeds.

^aIndicates at least one feed below NRC recommended levels for this nutrient [NRC, 1978].

but to generally fall below recommended levels for vitamin A, vitamin E, Mn, and lipid [NRC, 1978, 1984]. These results indicate that without a complete guaranteed analysis, it may be difficult to assess or assume composition or adequacy of commercial feeds. Many feeds come only with a guaranteed analysis for proximate composition because feed composition changes both regionally and from lot to lot depending upon market prices of ingredients. Feeds that come with a complete analysis are often more expensive because they are manufactured in smaller quantities, or because holding composition constant may require the use of more expensive ingredients.

Our results also suggest that even guaranteed analysis of feeds may not always be a reliable indicator of diet quality. Some of the discrepancies between guaranteed and observed nutrient values may be related to storage problems, since some nutrients are labile [Combs,1992]. However, the 50% decrease in vitamin A and 70% decrease in vitamin E that we observed after 3 months of storage at -10°C (assuming feeds originally contained manufacturer's guaranteed levels) is much greater than the expected 24% decrease in vitamin A and 0% decrease in vitamin E at 22°C over 6 months provided by the manufacturer [PMI Feeds, Inc., 1995]. Different methods of vitamin assay could also explain these discrepancies. It should be noted, however, that our observation of lower levels of fat-soluble vitamins is consistent with our observation of

Effects of Diet on Nutritional Content of Whole Vertebrate Prey. N.J. Clum, M.P. Fitzpatrick and E.S. Dierenfeld. *Zoo Biology*.

lower lipid levels. Our study found lipids to be consistently lower than the **Diet and Nutrient Content of Whole Prev 531**

Turkey StarterTurkey StarterGamebird StarterMaleFemaleP*MaleFemaleP*Moisture61.161.50.51365.165.70.82771.567.80.05(1)(1)(1)(1)(1)(1)(1)(1)(1)(1)(1)	
Moisture 61.1 61.5 0.513 65.1 65.7 0.827 71.5 67.8 0.05	
	23
$(\%)$ ± 1.8 ± 4.0 ± 3.1 ± 1.8 ± 1.4 ± 3.9	23
Protein 69.9 73.4 0.749 64.9 71.5 0.670 79.5 72.8 0.42	
(%) $\pm 15.6 \pm 22.7 \pm 14.6 \pm 6.8 \pm 10.2 \pm 12.1$	
Lipid 51.6 46.7 0.513 33.2 26.3 0.275 13.6 20.2 0.05	50
(%) $\pm 2.1 \pm 7.5 \pm 6.3 \pm 3.2 \pm 1.5 \pm 3.2$	
Ash 7.1 6.8 0.827 9.6 12.0 0.127 12.0 12.1 0.82	27
(%) $\pm 1.0 \pm 1.2 \pm 1.3 \pm 1.7 \pm 1.2 \pm 1.3$	
Vitamin A 34,322 39,444 0.275 32,988 66,444 0.127 155,222 93,344 0.27	75
(IU/kg) ±5,003 ±3,762 ±10,950 ±30,525 ±38,966 ±75,621	
Vitamin E 85.4 115.3 0.513 41.6 79.3 0.050 44.3 34.9 0.27	75
(IU/kg) $\pm 4.8 \pm 42.6 \pm 13.3 \pm 31.2 \pm 10.3 \pm 6.0$	
Calcium 24,364 23,304 0.827 32,685 43,615 0.127 44,120 37,886 0.51	3
(mg/kg) $\pm 4,162$ $\pm 4,841$ $\pm 4,178$ $\pm 6,561$ $\pm 8,984$ $\pm 10,229$	
Copper 2.0 1.8 0.275 2.7 3.0 0.827 3.5 2.9 0.27	75
(mg/kg) ± 0.1 ± 0.2 ± 0.6 ± 0.7 ± 0.4 ± 0.7	
Magnesium 412.5 388.3 0.513 578.6 752.7 0.513 604.3 557.6 0.51	3
(mg/kg) ± 77.5 ± 11.0 ± 255.2 ± 209.3 ± 44.2 ± 75.1	
Iron 51.4 63.4 0.050 85.1 112.4 0.275 87.2 50.1 0.05	50
(mg/kg) ± 2.5 ± 7.9 ± 27.9 ± 33.9 ± 5.2 ± 4.5	
Manganese 3.9 5.1 0.127 6.6 8.4 0.513 7.1 7.5 0.82	27
(mg/kg) ± 0.6 ± 0.9 ± 2.1 ± 4.3 ± 0.9 ± 2.7	
Zinc 38.3 44.5 0.513 55.0 54.3 0.827 72.3 53.7 0.05	50
(mg/kg) ± 7.4 ± 7.0 ± 9.1 ± 26.7 ± 3.4 ± 14.7	

TABLE 4. Nutrient composition of whole male and female quail fed three diets^{*}

⁴All data except moisture content presented on a dry matter basis. Values are means \pm one standard deviation. *Unadjusted \overline{P} values. No comparisons significant following correction for multiple comparisons.

manufacturer's guaranteed analysis, using the same assay (ether extract). These results suggest that what is added to the feed during manufacturing is not necessarily what is ultimately available for consumption. This is more likely to be a problem with feeds that are manufactured or purchased in large quantities.

It is also notable that the most expensive feeds are not necessarily the highest in nutrient content. Gamebird Starter, which is more expensive than the unsupplemented Turkey Starter, has more protein, but less (and less than recommended) vitamin A, vitamin E, and Mn. Mouse Chow, which is relatively expensive, has higher (and higher than recommended) vitamin A than the other mammal feeds, but less (inadequate) vitamin E and less (but adequate) Fe.

Mixed grains were added to the diet of rats and mice because we hypothesized that supplementation with a whole, natural, food might improve nutrition. With the exception of moderate (but inadequate) amounts of vitamin E and moderate (adequate) amounts of Zn, grain had a relatively low nutrient content. If rats and mice preferentially consume grain, and they appear to do so, supplementation with grain could actually reduce overall diet quality. Preferential consumption of relatively poor-quality whole foods has been documented anecdotally for a number of species in zoos.

Effects of Diet on Nutritional Content of Whole Vertebrate Prey. N.J. Clum, M.P. Fitzpatrick and E.S. Dierenfeld. Zoo Biology.

532 Clum et al

Supplemented	Unsupplemented	Gamebird	
Turkey Starter	Turkey Starter	Starter	Р
61.2 ± 2.8	65.4 ± 2.3	69.6 ± 3.3	0.00050^{a}
49.2 ± 5.6	29.7 ± 5.9	16.9 ± 4.2	0.00001 ^a
71.7 ± 17.5	67.6 ± 11.4	75.2 ± 10.2	0.663
7.0 ± 1.0	10.8 ± 1.9	12.0 ± 1.1	0.00001 ^a
$36,883 \pm 4,853$	$49{,}717 \pm 27{,}504$	$124,283 \pm 63\ 578$	0.00372^{a}
100.3 ± 31.7	60.4 ± 29.8	39.6 ± 9.1	0.00313 ^a
$23\ 835 \pm 4,103$	$38,151 \pm 7,748$	$41,003 \pm 9,263$	0.00229^{a}
1.9 ± 0.2	2.8 ± 0.7	3.2 ± 0.6	0.00213 ^a
400.4 ± 51.3	665.6 ± 229.5	580.9 ± 60.8	0.01526
$57.4 \pm 8.$	$4\ 98.7\pm31.6$	68.6 ± 20.7	0.01624
4.5 ± 0.9	7.5 ± 3.2	7.3 ± 1.8	0.05806
41.4 ± 7.3	54.7 ± 17.8	63.0 ± 13.9	0.04673
	Turkey Starter 61.2 ± 2.8 49.2 ± 5.6 71.7 ± 17.5 7.0 ± 1.0 $36,883 \pm 4,853$ 100.3 ± 31.7 $23835 \pm 4,103$ 1.9 ± 0.2 400.4 ± 51.3 $57.4 \pm 8.$ 4.5 ± 0.9	Turkey StarterTurkey Starter 61.2 ± 2.8 65.4 ± 2.3 49.2 ± 5.6 29.7 ± 5.9 71.7 ± 17.5 67.6 ± 11.4 7.0 ± 1.0 10.8 ± 1.9 $36,883 \pm 4,853$ $49,717 \pm 27,504$ 100.3 ± 31.7 60.4 ± 29.8 $23 835 \pm 4,103$ $38,151\pm 7,748$ 1.9 ± 0.2 2.8 ± 0.7 400.4 ± 51.3 665.6 ± 229.5 $57.4 \pm 8.$ 498.7 ± 31.6 4.5 ± 0.9 7.5 ± 3.2	Turkey StarterTurkey StarterStarter 61.2 ± 2.8 65.4 ± 2.3 69.6 ± 3.3 49.2 ± 5.6 29.7 ± 5.9 16.9 ± 4.2 71.7 ± 17.5 67.6 ± 11.4 75.2 ± 10.2 7.0 ± 1.0 10.8 ± 1.9 12.0 ± 1.1 $36,883 \pm 4,853$ $49,717 \pm 27,504$ $124,283 \pm 63$ 100.3 ± 31.7 60.4 ± 29.8 39.6 ± 9.1 $23 835 \pm 4,103$ $38,151\pm 7,748$ $41,003 \pm 9,263$ 1.9 ± 0.2 2.8 ± 0.7 3.2 ± 0.6 400.4 ± 51.3 665.6 ± 229.5 580.9 ± 60.8 $57.4 \pm 8.$ 498.7 ± 31.6 68.6 ± 20.7 4.5 ± 0.9 7.5 ± 3.2 7.3 ± 1.8

TABLE 5. Nutrient composition of whole quail fed three diets*

*A11 data except moisture content are presented on a dry matter basis. Values are mean \pm one standard deviation. ^aIndicates significant differences between treatments following correction for multiple comparisons.

		Rat	Formulab	Formulab Chow	
	Rodent Chow	Chow	Chow	w/grain	P^*
Moisture (%)	66.2	62.7	64.3	65.3	0.054
	±1.0	±2.6	±2.4	±1.6	
Lipid	34.9	48.0	34.9	34.4	0.041
(%)	± 3.5	± 8.5	±5.2	±9.1	
Protein	78.6	59.4	63.4	62.4	0.751
(%)	±3.5	±17.9	±14.3	±23.5	
Ash	8.5	6.1	7.5	6.9	0.411
(%)	±2.0	± 0.9	±2.1	±1.5	
Vitamin A	49,256	34,922	68,244	154,756	0.626
(lU/kg) ±	=26,906	±21,456	±23,220	±234,464	
Vitamin E	73.0	130.4	210.5	147.4	0.041
(lU/kg)	±41.4	±13.4	± 68.7	±45.7	
Calcium	31,270	27,330	22,856	24,597	0.495
(mg/kg)	$\pm 6\ 980$	$\pm 9,790$	±4,636	±4,357	
Copper	1.8	1.6	1.3	1.8	0.433
(mg/kg)	± 0.4	± 0.1	± 0.4	±0.5	
Magnesium	401.7	298.1	247.3	364.9	0.310
(mg/kg)	±104.7	±64.1	±134.9	±92.9	
Iron	57.9	36.1	43.0	50.4	0.122
(mg/kg)	± 6.7	±13.7	±3.9	±12.3	
Manganese	3.1	1.2	2.9	1.9	0.310
(mg/kg)	±0.3	±0.6	±0.9	± 1.2	
Zinc	38.6	18.2	35.0	29.5	0.120
(mg/kg)	±15.2	± 2.1	± 10.0	± 4.8	

TABLE 6. Nutrient composition of whole rats red four diets^{$\frac{1}{4}$}

^{*}All data except moisture content are presented on a dry matter basis. Values are means \pm one standard deviation.

*Unadjusted \hat{P} values. No comparisons significant following correction for multiple comparisons.

Variation in Growth and Nutrient Composition

Effects of Diet on Nutritional Content of Whole Vertebrate Prey. N.J. Clum, M.P. Fitzpatrick and E.S. Dierenfeld. *Zoo Biology*.

Mouse Formulab	Formulab Chow				
Rodent Chow Ch	ow Chow w/grai	n P			
Moisture (%)	69.0	67.7	66.9	65.2	0.098
	±2.0	±1.4	±2.6	±3.7	
Lipid	16.9	24.0	23.7	28.0	0.121
(%)	±6.1	±5.4	± 8.8	±10.6	
Protein	66.8	72.8	64.4	49.3	0.738
(%)	±15.4	± 34.0	± 20.8	±15.3	
Ash	12.5	10.0	9.2	9.8	0.335
(%)	± 0.8	± 1.1	±1.6	± 3.8	
Vitamin A	1,759,122	917,644	657,344	448,067	0.003a
(lU/kg)	±315,279	±394,086	$\pm 196\ 887$	$\pm 196,854$	
Vitamin E	88.0	60.8	74.4	68.4	0.361
(lU/kg)	±26.4	±11.2	± 18.2	± 11.8	
Calcium	45,277	34,494	32,076	35,435	0.422
(mg/kg)	±3,020	± 5682	$\pm 6,185$	±17,511	
Copper	3.9	3.9	3.9	5.1	0.483
(mg/kg)	± 0.8	± 0.8	±0.2	±1.9	
Magnesium	622.9	438.6	431.9	474.8	0.140
(mg/kg)	± 49.8	± 20.6	±54.2	± 183.1	
Iron	124.4	104.0	76.4	100.7	0.042
(mg/kg)	± 18.6	± 4.0	± 0.4	± 26.4	
Manganese	6.2	5.3	5.3	4.5	0.678
(mg/kg)	± 1.7	± 1.8	± 1.7	± 1.6	
Zinc	59.4	53.5	44.0	58.2	0.224
(mg/kg)	±6.7	±8.7	±5.7	±13.1	

TABLE 7. Nutrient composition of whole mice fed four diets*

11 0

110

*All data except moisture content presented on a dry matter basis. Values are means \pm one standard deviation.

^aIndicates significant difference between treatments following correction for multiple comparisons.

in all four species. There were, however, significant differences in most nutrients between treatment groups in quail, where the only treatment difference was diet. This may be because different diets resulted in different body size at 6 weeks of age (the age of sampling). Although birds on different diets began at the same weight and ultimately achieve the same weight (Clum et al., unpublished observations), overall birds fed supplemented Turkey Starter grew fastest and birds on Gamebird Starter grew slowest. Growth rate is typically defined as the slope of the line at the inflection point of the growth curve [Ricklefs, 1968]. However, these birds were not sampled at the inflection point (the point of maximum growth) but at a point when the birds fed Turkey Starter were becoming reproductively mature (as evidenced by egg production in a portion of the population) and the birds fed Gamebird Starter were not yet mature. At the time of sampling, therefore, birds on Gamebird Starter probably maintained a higher growth rate than birds fed Turkey Starter. Preliminary data from another portion of this study have shown that both male and female quail have much higher nutrient content at 2 weeks of age than at 6 weeks of age (Clum et al., unpublished observations). Although there were no sex differences in nutrient content at 2 weeks (Clum et al., unpublished observations) or at 6 weeks (this study), at 16 weeks of age nutrient content of females that were mobilizing resources for reproduction had almost doubled, whereas nutrient content of males remained unchanged (Clum et al., unpublished observations). These results suggest that nutrient content may be linked to nutrient demand. Since growth is the predominant factor affecting

Effects of Diet on Nutritional Content of Whole Vertebrate Prey. N.J. Clum, M.P. Fitzpatrick and E.S. Dierenfeld. *Zoo Biology*.

534 Clum et al

	Commercial Guinea Pig Chow	Local guinea pig chow	P^{*}
Moisture (%)	69.3 ± 1.8	68.2 ± 0.9	0.513
Lipid (%)	45.4 ± 11.0	46.8 ± 2.0	0.050
Protein (%)	58.9 ± 14.9	43.8 ± 5.5	0.127
Ash (%)	8.9 ± 0.6	9.5 ± 1.3	0.448
Vitamin A (lU/kg)	$19,989 \pm 3,000$	$13,022 \pm 2,647$	0.050
Vitamin E (lU/kg)	$29.8 \pm 0.9 \ 18.$	5 ± 3.2	0.050
Calcium (mg/kg)	$29\ 459\pm 4\ 428$	$30\ 926 \pm 4,924$	0.827
Copper (mg/kg)	6.1 ± 4.2	5.1 ± 1.4	0.827
Magnesium (mg/kg)	637.3 ± 39.6	672.8 ± 147.6	0.827
Iron (mg/kg)	51.9 ± 6.8	60.9 ± 0.9	0.050
Manganese (mg/kg)	6.6 ± 0.5	6.5 ± 0.8	0.827
Zinc (mg/kg)	64.4 ± 23.7	28.4 ± 12.9	0.050

TABLE 8. Nutrient composition of whole guinea pigs fed two diets^{\$}

^{$\frac{1}{2}$} All data except moisture content presented on a dry matter basis. Values are means \pm one standard deviation.

*Unadjusted \hat{P} values. No comparisons significant following correction for multiple comparisons.

metabolism prior to maturation, we might expect nutrient content to be proportional to growth rate. This suggestion is supported by data on proximate composition that show birds on Gamebird Starter to have the highest moisture and lowest lipid content, and birds on supplemented Turkey Starter to have lowest moisture and highest lipid content. Higher moisture content is an indication of tissue immaturity in birds and is associated with faster growth [Ricklefs, 1979], while lipid content is an indication of food consumption exceeding nutrient demand, and increases as growth decreases [O'Connor, 1977]. The limited data available on age-related changes in nutrient content indicate that differences in body composition associated with age/size can be substantial (variation of 200 - 400%; (Clum et al., unpublished observations) [Douglas et al., 1994; Dierenfeld et al., 1995], and could obscure diet differences unless the magnitude of diet differences is quite large. Vitamin E, which showed the greatest variation between diets in this study (over 500%), was the one nutrient that was highest in birds fed supplemented Turkey Starter and lowest in birds fed Gamebird Starter. These results suggest that feeds designed for maximum growth may produce the maximal nutrient content in whole prey, *but only jf the animals are harvested during the period of maximal growth*.

Mammals showed very little difference between treatment groups compared with quail. This could be related to the fact that, unlike quail, whose nutrient content appears to be related to growth, the mammals in this study were fully mature and there were no differences in body size (or growth) between treatments at the time of sampling. It is also possible that there may simply be species differences in response to diet changes. Such differences were evident from the difference in nutrient content between mice and rats when raised on the exact same diet. Mice were generally higher in vitamin A and mineral content and lower in vitamin E than rats. Species differences in nutrient content have been noted in other studies [Bird and Ho, 1976; Douglas et al., 1994; Clum et al., 1995; Dierenfeld et al., 1995] although comparisons are not usually controlled for diet. The absence of treatment effect in mammals may also be related to the magnitude of nutrient differences in the diet; vitamin content, for example, was relatively consistent across mammal feeds. Mineral content was more variable (typically 200 - 250%), but even higher levels may be required in the diet to produce a detectable difference in body composition. The form (synthetic vs. natural) of nutrients in the diet may also influence the level of response to changes in diet composition. Synthetic vitamin E, for example, is known to have a lower biological activity than the natural form [Ochoa et al., 1992], but the synthesized form is

Effects of Diet on Nutritional Content of Whole Vertebrate Prey. N.J. Clum, M.P. Fitzpatrick and E.S. Dierenfeld. *Zoo Biology*.

much less expensive and therefore more frequently used as a supplement. This is not necessarily a

Diet and Nutrient Content of Whole Prey 535

problem if biological activity is taken into consideration when formulating diets. Reduced bioavailability may be more problematic for minerals because, unlike synthetic vitamins, which have the same chemical structure as natural forms, supplemented minerals are in a completely different form (generally oxides and sulfates) than minerals occurring in whole foods (which are often chelated to amino acids) [Manspeaker et al., 1987]. Reduced bioavailability of synthetic vitamins and minerals may require higher dietary levels to effect changes in body composition.

All prey analyzed in this study would meet known requirements of domestic mammalian carnivores for vitamin A, Ca, Mg, and Fe (vitamin A, 2,440 - 10,000 IIJ/kg; Ca, 0.4 - 1.2%; Mg, 0.04 - 0.1%; Fe, 60 - 100 mg/kg) [NRC, 1985, 1986; Robbins, 1983]. Although all but one treatment (guinea pigs fed locally manufactured feed) met recommended levels of vitamin E for mammalian carnivores (20—80 IU/kg) [NRC, 1982, 1985, 1986], it has been suggested that raptors may require up to 10 times more vitamin E to protect against deficiencies [Dierenfeld et al., 1989; Calle et al., 1989]. In contrast to data reported by Dierenfeld et al. [1995], who found Cu and Zn to be present in adequate amounts, we found Cu to fall below recommended levels (5.0—7.3 mg/kg) [NRC, 1985, 1986] in all quail treatments, all rat treatments, and all but one mouse treatment. Bird and Ho [1976] also found relatively low levels of Cu in rats and chickens (4.5 mg/kg), but not in mice (8.0 mg/kg). Zn fell below recommended levels for mammalian carnivores (30—50 mg/kg) [NRC, 1985, 1986] in one rat treatment and one guinea pig treatment. Mn levels were consistently lower than recommended levels in adult rats (5—10 mg/kg) [NRC, 1985, 1986], but were adequate, if low, in quail, mice, and guinea pigs. Mn levels have previously been found to be inadequate only in juvenile rodents [Dierenfeld et al., 1995].

CONCLUSIONS

1. It is difficult to assume or assess composition or adequacy of commercial diets without laboratory analysis.

2. Supplementation of diets with whole foods may potentially reduce overall diet quality.

3. Nutrient differences in quail were related to differences in growth, and such agerelated differences may be more important in determining nutrient content than diet.

4. There were significant species differences in responsiveness to changes in diet.

5. Whole domesticated prey are a potentially inadequate source of vitamin E for raptors and of Mn and Cu for all carnivores.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank A. Sirles and G. Thomas for care and maintenance of research animals. We also thank 1. Rigg, B. Bammel, and 1. Munger for advice and access toadditional laboratory space and equipment, and L. Pearson and D. Barker for their laboratory assistance. This research was made possible by donations of equipment from Perkin-Elmer, Corning, Milton Roy, Precision Scientific, and Nalge.

REFERENCES

Barton, N.W.H.; Houston, D.C. A comparison of digestive efficiency in birds of prey. IBIS 135:363-371, 1993.

Bird, D.M.; Ho, S.K. Nutritive values of whole-animal diets for captive birds of prey. RAPTOR RESEARCH 10:45—49, 1976.

Calle, P.P.; Dierenfeld, E.S.; Roberts, M.E. Serum alpha-tocopherol in raptors fed vitamin E - supplemented diets. JOURNAL OF ZOO AND WILDLIFE MEDICINE 20:62-67, 1989.

Effects of Diet on Nutritional Content of Whole Vertebrate Prey. N.J. Clum, M.P. Fitzpatrick and E.S. Dierenfeld. *Zoo Biology*.

- Clum, N.J.; Fitzpatrick, M.P.; Dierenfeld, E.S. Nutrient content of five species of domestic animals commonly fed to captive raptors. JOURNAL OF RAPTOR RESEARCH, submitted. Diet and Nutrient Content of Whole Prey 537
- Combs, G.F. THE VITAMINS: FUNDAMENTAL ASPECTS IN NUTRITION AND HEALTH. San Diego, CA, Academic Press, 1992.
- Combs, S.D.; Combs, G.F. Jr. Varietal differences in the vitamin F content of corn. JOURNAL OF AGRICULTURAL AND FOOD CHEMISTRY 36:815 817, 1985.
- Dierenfeld, ES., Sandfort, C.E.; Satterfield, W.C. Influence of diet on plasma vitamin F in captive peregrine falcons. JOURNAL OF WILDLIFE MANAGEMENT 53:160-164, 1989.
- Dierenfeld, E.S.; Clum, N.J.; Valdes, E.V.; Oyarzun, S.E. Nutrient composition of whole vertebrate prey: A research update. PROCEEDINGS OF THE AMERICAN ZOO AND AQUARIUM ASSOCIATION CONFERENCE. Atlanta, GA, 1994.
- Dierenfeld, ES.; Fitzpatrick, M.P.; Douglas, T.C.; Dennison, S.A. Mineral concentrations in whole mice and rats used as food. ZOO BIOLOGY, 1996.
- Douglas, T.C.; Pennino, M.; Dierenfeld, E.S. Vitamins E and A, and proximate composition of hole mice and rats used as feed. COMPARATIVE BIOCHEMISTRY AND PHYSIOLOGY 107A:419—424, 1994.
- Ellis, R.L. Meat and meat products. Pp. 43 1—443 in OFFICIAL METHODS OF ANALYSIS, 14th ed. S. Williams, ed. Arlington, VA, Association Official Analytical Chemists, Inc., 1984.
- Ferraris, R.P.; Lee, P.P.; Diamond, J.M. Origin of regional and species differences in intestinal glucose uptake. AMERICAN JOURNAL OF PHYSIOLOGY 257:G689—G697, 1989.
- Gershwin, M.E.; Beach, R.S.; Hurley, L.S. NUTRITION AND IMMUNITY. San Diego, CA, Academic Press, 1985.
- Good, R.A.; Gajjar, Al. Diet, immunity and longevity. Pp. 235-250 in NUTRITION AND AGING.
- ML. Hutchinson; H.N. Munro, eds. San Diego, CA, Academic Press, 1986. Horwitz, W., ed. OFFICIAL METHODS OF ANALYSIS OF THE ASSOCIATION OF OFHCIAL ANALYTICAL CHEMISTS. Washington, DC, Association of Official Analytical Chemists, 1975.
- MachIm, L.J. Vitamin E. Pp. 99—146 in HANDBOOK OF VITAMINS: NUTRITIONAL, BIOCHEMICAL AND CLINICAL ASPECTS. 1. Machlin, ed. New York, NY, Marcel Dekker,
- Inc., 1984.
- Manspeaker, J.E.; Robl, MG.; Edwards, G.H.; Douglass, L.W. Chelated minerals: Their role in bovine fertility. VETERINARY MEDICINE 45:951, 1987.
- National Research Council. NUTRIENT REQUIREMENTS OF LABORATORY ANIMALS. Third ed. Washington, DC, National Academy Press, 1978.
- National Research Council. NUTRIENT REQUIREMENTS OF MINK AND FOXES. Second ed. Washington, DC, National Academy Press, 1982.
- National Research Council. NUTRIENT REQUIREMENTS OF POULTRY. Eighth ed. shington, DC, National Academy Press, 1984.
- National Research Council. NUTRIENT EQUIREMENTS OF DOGS, Rev. ed. Washington, DC, National Academy Press, 1985.

National Research Council. NUTRIENT REQUIREMENTS OF CATS, Second ed. Washington, DC, National Academy Press, 1986.

Ochoa, L.; McDowell, L.R.; Williams, S.N.; Wilkinson, N.; Boucher, J.; Lentz, E.L. Alphatocopherol concentrations in serum and tissues of sheep fed different sources of vitamin E.

JOURNAL OF ANIMAL SCIENCE 70:2568-2573, 1992.

Effects of Diet on Nutritional Content of Whole Vertebrate Prey. N.J. Clum, M.P. Fitzpatrick and E.S. Dierenfeld. *Zoo Biology*.

O'Connor, R.J. Differential growth and body composition in atricial passerines. IBIS 119:147–166, 1977.

- Olson, J.A. Vitamin A. Pp. 1-44 in HANDBOOK OF VITAMINS: NUTRITIONAL, BIOCHEMICAL AND CLINICAL ASPECTS. LI. Machlin, ed. New York, NY, Marcel Dekker, Inc., 1984.
- Parker, H.E. Magnesium, calcium and zinc in animal nutrition. ATOMIC ABSORPTION NEWSLETTER 2:23, 1963.
- PMI Feeds, Inc. ANIMAL DIET REFERENCE GUIDE. Richmond, IN, PMI Feeds, Inc., 1995. Rice, W.R. Analyzing tables of statistical tests.EVOLUTION 43:223 - 225, 1989.
- Ricklefs, R.E. Patterns of growth in birds. IBIS 110:419 451, 1968.

Ricklefs, R.E. Patterns of growth in birds. V. A comparative study of development in the starling, common tern, and Japanese quail. AUK 96:10 - 30, 1979.

- Robbins, CT. WILDLIFE FEEDING AND NUTRITION. Orlando, FL, Academic Press, 1983.
- Ruppert, R.M. Comparative assimilation efficiencies in two lizards. COMPARATIVE BIOHEMISTRY AND PHYSIOLOGY 67A:491-496, 1980.
- Speakman, JR. Apparent absorption efficiencies for redshank (*Tringa totanus L.*) and oystercatcher (*Haematopus ostralegus L.*): Implications for the predictions of optimal foraging models. AMERICAN NATURALIST 130:677–691, 1987.
- Taylor, S.L.; Lamben, M.P.; Tappel, A.L. Sensitive flourometric method for tissue tocopherol analysis. LIPIDS 11:530—538, 1976.
- Wilkinson, L. SYSTAT: THE SYSTEM FOR STATISTICS. Evanston, IL, SYSTAT, INC., 1990.