### NAMIBIAN MARINE PHOSPHATE (PTY) LTD

### Sandpiper Project

Proposed recovery of phosphate enriched sediments from the marine Mining Licence Area No.170 off Walvis Bay Namibia.

Environmental Impact Assessment Report for the Marine Component







### **DRAFT REPORT**

January 2012

### NAMIBIAN MARINE PHOSPHATE (PTY) LTD

#### Sandpiper Project

Proposed recovery of phosphate enriched sediments from the marine
Mining Licence Area No.170
off Walvis Bay Namibia.

Environmental Impact Assessment Report for the Marine Component

#### Prepared by:

Mr. Jeremy Midgley (Pr.Sci.Nat) J Midgley & Associates

#### In association with:

Enviro Dynamics The CSIR

January 2012

Dredging of marine phosphates from ML 170

Title	Environmental Impact Assessment for the enriched sediments from Marine Licence	
Date	12 January 2012	
Prepared for	Namibian Marine Phosphate (Pty) Ltd Unit 5 Garthanri Park Thorp Street Southern Industria Windhoek: Tel + 264 61 400 460 Fax +	264 61 400 461
Prepared by	J Midgley & Associates, 27 Cromer Road Cape Town South Africa. Email: mwjmid	
Project coordinator	Mr. Jeremy Midgley (MSc) (Pr.Sci.Nat)	
External review	CSIR. Mr Patrick Morant (MSc) (Pr.Sci.N	at)
Public consultation	Mrs. Stephanie van Zyl (MSc) Enviro Dyr	namics (Pty) Ltd.
Application	The EIA is prepared to meet the requirements of the Environmental Management Act (No. 7 of 2007) for Ministry of Environment and Tourism to issue of an environmental contract and to meet the requirements of the Ministry of Mines and Energy in respect of the conditions of the issued Mining Licence (ML 170). This EIA addresses activities within ML 170 and general vessel activities.	
Provision of key information	The Ministry of Fisheries and Marine Resources. The Confederation of Namibian Fishing Associations	
Report approval and preparation	Namibian Marine Phosphates Mr. Michael Woodborne (Pr.Sci.Nat) Date: 12-01-12 Signature:	Namibian Marine Phosphates Mr. Roger Daniel (Pr.Sci.Nat) Date: 12-01-12 Signature:
Report preparation	J Midgley & Associates Mr. Jeremy Midgley (Pr.Sci.Nat) Date: 12-01-12 Signature:	
Independent review	The report has been independently reviewed by Mr. Patrick Morant (Pr.Sci.Nat) of the CSIR	CSIR Date: 12-01-12 Signature:
Environmental process compliance verification	This report has been prepared with due consideration to Namibian and international environmental legislation	CSIR Date: 12-01-12 Signature:

### **CONTENTS**

Approval

**Contents** 

**Summary** 

Glossary of Terminology and Abbreviations

Chapter 1 Introduction

Chapter 2 Legislation and Policy

Chapter 3 Project Description

Chapter 4 Description of the Affected Environment

Chapter 5 Socio-economics
Chapter 6 Public Consultation

Chapter 7 Environmental Impact Assessment
Chapter 8 Environmental Management Plan

#### **LIST OF APPENDICES**

Appendix 1 Specialist consultant reports

1a. Mr. D Japp: Fish and Fisheries1b. Dr R Carter: Water Column1c. Dr. N Steffani: Benthos1d. Prof. M Gibbons: Jellyfish

Appendix 2 Project supporting documentation

Appendix 3 External review report

## **SUMMARY**

NEED FOR THE PROJECT	IV
PROJECT CONCEPTUALISATION	IV
LOCATION	V
EIA PROCESS	V
CONSIDERATION OF THE "NO GO" OPTION	VI
MINING SYSTEM ALTERNATIVES	VI
ASSESSMENT OF THE IMPACTS	VI
CUMULATIVE IMPACTS	XI
ASSESSMENT OF THE MANAGEMENT PLAN	XI
OVERALL ASSESSMENT	ΧI

Dredging of marine phosphates from ML 170

#### **NEED FOR THE PROJECT**

Studies indicate that the global production of phosphorus may have peaked, leading to the possibility of shortages by 2040<sup>1</sup>. Globally 90 years' worth of phosphorus remains<sup>2</sup>. There is no artificial substitute for phosphorus, which is one of the three vital components in NPK fertilizers, and animal feeds. Pressure on farming and food supply is predicted to increase rapidly in response to pressure from global population growth predicted to reach 9 Billion by 2050. The demand for phosphate is very likely to grow as a result. Until now Namibia has not recorded any phosphate resources. However, with the development of the Sandpiper Project Namibia now has disclosed phosphate resources of 1951 Mt (at 10 % P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub> cut off), currently ranking as the seventh largest in terms of global phosphate resources<sup>3</sup>-<sup>4</sup>. The Namibian resource is identified as a large scale deposit with good average grade and importantly, one of consistent mineral quality.

About 93% of world rock phosphate production is used to produce mineral fertilizers, essentially DAP (diammonium phosphate), MAP (monoammonium phosphate), TSP (triple superphosphate), SSP (Single Superphosphate), phosphoric acid, and animal feed<sup>5</sup>.

Phosphorus compounds are also important ingredients in high-grade detergents, cleaning dental creams, agents, toothpastes, flame retardants, stabilizer of plastics, inhibitors, dispersion agents in paints and primers and metal surface treatment products. Phosphorus compounds also excipients the are

pharmaceutical industry and are widely used in the food industry, in canned food and in freezing, thawing and cooking processes.

Through the proposed development of the Sandpiper Phosphate Project by Namibian Marine Phosphate (Pty) Ltd ("NMP"), Namibia has the opportunity to participate in the global phosphate industry, thereby positively contributing to the global phosphate resource and in this way contributing to securing farming output as well as to world food security. Food, food production, food security and nutrients, in particular phosphate, are extremely important in the global economy and the stability of food based economic strategies.

#### PROJECT CONCEPTUALISATION

The project concept is established against worldwide staple food shortages, limited agricultural land, growing market demand (for both agricultural and non-agricultural uses), existing resources becoming depleted, a viable product price, a large resource and the availability of proven marine dredging technology.

In the late 1960s a large deposit of phosphate-enriched sediments was discovered on the South African and Namibian continental shelf with zones of local enrichment, in particular off Walvis Bay. At that time the deposit was considered to be sub economic (1991: US\$ 42.50 tonne). During August and September 2008, the price of phosphate peaked at US\$ 430.00 per tonne and it now (September 2011) stands at US\$ 197.50<sup>6</sup> tonne. Set against the current phosphate price trend and key market indicators and recent improvements in dredging technology the enriched phosphate sediments are now identified as economic.

Recent exploration by NMP over a 3000 km<sup>2</sup> area off Meob Bay and Conception Bay in water depths of 180 to 300 m verified a deposit currently estimated at 1,951 Mt (1.951 Billion tonnes) at 10 % phosphate ( $P_2O_5$ ) content<sup>7</sup>.

Draft Report Namibian Marine Phosphate (Pty) Ltd.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Carpenter S.R. and Bennett E.M. (2011). "Reconsideration of the planetary boundary for phosphorus".

Environmental Research Letters 6 (1): 1–12.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Reilly, Michael (May 26, 2007). "How Long Will it Last?". *New Scientist* 194 (2605): 38–39.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Data source, United States Geological Survey.

 $<sup>^4</sup>$  Mineral resource is JORC compliant with: Indicated Resource as 74 Mt @ 20.6 %  $\rm P_2O_5$ , Inferred Resource of 1877 Mt at 18.4 %  $\rm P_2O_5$ 

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> Cisse. L, & Mrabet.T: World Phosphate Production: Overview and Prospects. Phosphorus Research Bulletin Vol. 15 (2004) p.21-25

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> Commodity prices - World Bank data – www.indexmundi.com/commodities

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup> Established to the Joint Ore Reserve (JORC) standards of the Australian Stock Exchange (ASX)

Dredging of marine phosphates from ML 170

Prefeasibility and scoping studies completed by NMP in November 2010 identified that dredging (using a Trailing Suction Hopper Dredger) 5.5 Mt of the phosphate enriched sediments annually would yield 3.0 Mt of export grade 'phosphate rock concentrate' Concluding comments from these studies established a robust economic project model which supported and justified the development of a Definitive Feasibility Study (DFS) subject to a mining licence being issued.

A full definitive feasibility study has been embarked upon with envisaged completion during the first quarter of 2012. The feasibility study is supported by integrated Environmental Impact Assessments that address the marine and terrestrial components respectively.

The main project elements are:

- Dredging annually 5.5 Mt of phosphate enriched marine sediments from 190 to 275 m water depths;
- Transporting this 'slurry' in the dredger to an offshore discharge buoy – pipeline (approximately 1.2 km offshore) and pumping the material to a coastal buffer pond, located to the south of the Walvis Bay salt works;
- Reclaiming the slurry from the buffer pond and screening out the shell fraction;
- Pumping the slurry along a 26 km pipeline to the processing plant located 5 km to the east of Walvis Bay;
- Processing (washing) the slurry to separate the Rock Phosphate from the fines, and
- Exporting the rock phosphate to International destinations through the Port of Walvis Bay.

#### **LOCATION**

The marine phosphate project ("Sandpiper") is located on the Namibian continental shelf approximately 120 km south southwest of Walvis Bay. The eastern boundary of the Mining Licence Area is approximately 40-60 km off the coast (directly west of Meob Bay and Conception Bay). The water depths in the licence area range from 180 to 300 m. The Mining Licence Area is 25.2 km wide (greatest width) and 115 km long (longest length) and covers an area of 2233 km².

Two initial target mine areas (of the total mineral resource) each of 22 x 8 km (176  $\text{km}^2$ ) are the particular focus of this evaluation.

The Mining Licence Area is located within the Northern Benguela of the Benguela Current Large Marine Ecosystem, on the outer shelf to the south west of Walvis Bay. The Benguela displays a high degree of variability over a broad spectrum of time and spatial scales. It is an important centre of marine biodiversity and marine food production. Its distinctive bathymetry, hydrography, chemistry and trophodynamics combine to make it one of the most productive ocean areas in the world. This high level of primary productivity of the Benguela supports an important global (and local) reservoir of biodiversity and biomass of zooplankton, fish, sea birds and marine mammals, while near-shore and offshore sediments hold rich mineral deposits<sup>8</sup>. These living and non-living resources are of economic and strategic importance to Namibia.

#### **EIA PROCESS**

To ensure that the project complies with the requirements of the Environmental Management Act (No. 7 of 2007) it is necessary to conduct an Environmental Impact Assessment (EIA) and compile an Environmental Management Plan (EMP). This process and its outcomes then allows for the Ministry of Environment and Tourism (MET) in association with the relevant ministries to make an informed decision as to whether or not the project should receive an Environmental Certificate and be allowed to proceed.

Given the marine and terrestrial operational environments encompassed by this project two separate yet integrated EIA processes are being conducted.

The key issues to be addressed in the EIA were determined through a scoping process that included inputs from governmental authorities, the public, business, NGOs, and the EIA team. The following categories of issues were identified:

Draft Report Namibian Marine Phosphate (Pty) Ltd.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup> Shannon, L.V, and O'Toole, M.J.: Sustainability of the Benguela: ex Africa semper aliquid novi.

Dredging of marine phosphates from ML 170

- Governance;
- The EIA process;
- Biogeochemical impacts;
- Benthic impacts;
- Marine fauna flora impacts;
- Cumulative impacts;
- Socio-economic impacts, and
- Project impacts.

### CONSIDERATION OF THE "NO GO" OPTION

In common with the exploitation of all mineral deposits there is no alternative to the proposed project. It has been evaluated as a viable operation in respect of all corporate responsibilities, liabilities and requirements. The final decision as to whether the project will be permitted to proceed rests with the Authorities.

#### MINING SYSTEM ALTERNATIVES

Whilst the "No Go" option is not evaluated in mining project EIAs, alternatives have been considered in respect of identifying the optimal mining system to recover the phosphate-rich marine sands. Of the seven systems evaluated (trailing suction hopper dredger (TSHD), wireline dredge pipe, large diameter drill, mechanical grab,

fall pipe and remotely operated vehicle (ROV), and flexible hose and ROV), the TSHD is identified as the system that optimally minimises the project technical risk and meets the project's commercial requirements. The dredger, with its sediment entrainment 'drag head,' its in built 'hopper' (sediment slurry entrainment 'cargo' space) carrying capacity and established (and tested) operational standards of practice (including environment and safety) establishes this type of recovery system as being the preferred method.

#### **ASSESSMENT OF THE IMPACTS**

Four specialist studies were undertaken to address the potential impacts of the proposed project, these are:

- Fisheries, mammals and seabirds, five impacts are evaluated:
- Changes to marine water quality, eleven impacts are evaluated;
- Benthos, nine impacts are evaluated; and
- Jellyfish, four impacts are evaluated.

These assessments are based on available information and thus have varying associated degrees of confidence, in some instances in-field verification is required to support the specialist opinions. The assessments of the impacts are:

#### FISHERIES, MAMMALS AND SEABIRDS.

The impact on fishing operations of phosphate dredging on the main Namibian fishing sectors; a) hake trawl and b) hake longline, c) monk trawl d) horse mackerel mid-water trawl, and e) small pelagic purse seine fisheries. The fishing sectors will not be able to operate in certain areas due to 1) actual mining operations, 2) associated sediment plumes 3) exclusion zones around the mining site and 4) increase levels of maritime traffic associated with the mining operation.  **Significance:**  **Medium to low  **Mitigatian:**  **Consider options to minimise impact on fishing operations for example options with respect to spatial and temporal area closures.  **Impact:*  The impact of phosphate mining on the ecologically important demersal and pelagic fish species. The impact will result in the redistribution and/or displacement of hake, monk, horse mackerel, sole, orange roughy, goby populations and small pelagics because of 1) actual dredging activities 2) habitat disturbances and 3) sediment plumes (turbidity)  **Significance:*  **Low to medium-* if fish abundance estimates remain the same or increase then impacts are not expected to have an influence on the project design  **Mitigation:*  **In terms of the ecosystem as a whole there are no particular mitigation measures that can be implemented.  **Impact:*  The impact of phosphate dredging on the recruitment of key commercial fish stocks a) hake b) horse mackerel; monk and d) small pelagic species. The dispersal and survival of juveniles, eggs and larvae are effected by 1) physical disturbance of the fishing grounds and 2) sediment plumes (turbidity)  **Significance:*  **Low - if fish abundance levels remain the same or increase then impact is not expected to have an influence on the project design  **No practical mitigation measures are possible.**  **Impact:*  **The impact of phosphate dredging on species diversity. Mining operations will result in a reduction or loss in biodiversity because of the 1) actual mining operations will result in the displacement an		
Consider options to minimise impact on fishing operations for example options with respect to spatial and temporal area closures.    Impact:	Impact:	sectors; a) hake trawl and b) hake longline, c) monk trawl d) horse mackerel mid-water trawl, and e) small pelagic purse seine fisheries. The fishing sectors will not be able to operate in certain areas due to 1) actual mining operations, 2) associated sediment plumes 3) exclusion zones around the mining site and 4) increase levels of maritime
Consider options to minimise impact on fishing operations for example options with respect to spatial and temporal area closures.    Impact:	Significance:	Medium to low
fish species. The impact will result in the redistribution and/or displacement of hake, monk, horse mackerel, sole, orange roughy, goby populations and small pelagics because of 1) actual dredging activities 2) habitat disturbances and 3) sediment plumes (turbidity)  Significance:  Low to medium- if fish abundance estimates remain the same or increase then impacts are not expected to have an influence on the project design  In terms of the ecosystem as a whole there are no particular mitigation measures that can be implemented.  Impact:  The impact of phosphate dredging on the recruitment of key commercial fish stocks a) hake b) horse mackerel c) monk and d) small pelagic species. The dispersal and survival of juveniles, eggs and larvae are effected by 1) physical disturbance of the fishing grounds and 2) sediment plumes (turbidity)  Significance:  Low - if fish abundance levels remain the same or increase then impact is not expected to have an influence on the project design  Mitigation:  No practical mitigation measures are possible.  Impact:  The impact of phosphate dredging on species diversity. Mining operations will result in a reduction or loss in biodiversity because of the 1) actual mining operations, 2) the habitat destruction and 3) sediment plumes  Significance:  Low  Mitigation:  No practical mitigation measures are possible.  Impact:  The impact of phosphate dredging on seabirds and marine mammals. Mining operations will result in the displacement and/or redistribution of seabirds and mammals because of 1) disturbance of the ecosystem and availability of feed and 2) physical disturbance of the dredgers including noise pollution  Significance:  Low to medium  Mitigation:  Maintain a bridge watch for large mammal species. Although the dredger will have limited manoeuvrability a protocol to limit interaction should be followed — in this		
fish species. The impact will result in the redistribution and/or displacement of hake, monk, horse mackerel, sole, orange roughy, goby populations and small pelagics because of 1) actual dredging activities 2) habitat disturbances and 3) sediment plumes (turbidity)  Significance:  Low to medium- if fish abundance estimates remain the same or increase then impacts are not expected to have an influence on the project design  In terms of the ecosystem as a whole there are no particular mitigation measures that can be implemented.  Impact:  The impact of phosphate dredging on the recruitment of key commercial fish stocks a) hake b) horse mackerel c) monk and d) small pelagic species. The dispersal and survival of juveniles, eggs and larvae are effected by 1) physical disturbance of the fishing grounds and 2) sediment plumes (turbidity)  Significance:  Low - if fish abundance levels remain the same or increase then impact is not expected to have an influence on the project design  Mitigation:  No practical mitigation measures are possible.  Impact:  The impact of phosphate dredging on species diversity. Mining operations will result in a reduction or loss in biodiversity because of the 1) actual mining operations, 2) the habitat destruction and 3) sediment plumes  Significance:  Low  Mitigation:  No practical mitigation measures are possible.  Impact:  The impact of phosphate dredging on seabirds and marine mammals. Mining operations will result in the displacement and/or redistribution of seabirds and mammals because of 1) disturbance of the ecosystem and availability of feed and 2) physical disturbance of the dredgers including noise pollution  Significance:  Low to medium  Mitigation:  Maintain a bridge watch for large mammal species. Although the dredger will have limited manoeuvrability a protocol to limit interaction should be followed — in this		
are not expected to have an influence on the project design  In terms of the ecosystem as a whole there are no particular mitigation measures that can be implemented.  Impact:  The impact of phosphate dredging on the recruitment of key commercial fish stocks a) hake b) horse mackerel c) monk and d) small pelagic species. The dispersal and survival of juveniles, eggs and larvae are effected by 1) physical disturbance of the fishing grounds and 2) sediment plumes (turbidity)  Significance:  Low - if fish abundance levels remain the same or increase then impact is not expected to have an influence on the project design  Mitigation:  No practical mitigation measures are possible.  Impact:  The impact of phosphate dredging on species diversity. Mining operations will result in a reduction or loss in biodiversity because of the 1) actual mining operations, 2) the habitat destruction and 3) sediment plumes  Significance:  Low  Mitigation:  The impact of phosphate dredging on seabirds and marine mammals. Mining operations will result in the displacement and/or redistribution of seabirds and mammals because of 1) disturbance of the ecosystem and availability of feed and 2) physical disturbance of the dredgers including noise pollution  Significance:  Low to medium  Mitigation:  Maintain a bridge watch for large mammal species. Although the dredger will have limited manoeuvrability a protocol to limit interaction should be followed – in this	Impact:	fish species. The impact will result in the redistribution and/or displacement of hake, monk, horse mackerel, sole, orange roughy, goby populations and small pelagics because of 1) actual dredging activities 2) habitat disturbances and 3) sediment
Impact:  The impact of phosphate dredging on the recruitment of key commercial fish stocks a) hake b) horse mackerel c) monk and d) small pelagic species. The dispersal and survival of juveniles, eggs and larvae are effected by 1) physical disturbance of the fishing grounds and 2) sediment plumes (turbidity)  Significance:  Low - if fish abundance levels remain the same or increase then impact is not expected to have an influence on the project design  Mitigation:  No practical mitigation measures are possible.  Impact:  The impact of phosphate dredging on species diversity. Mining operations will result in a reduction or loss in biodiversity because of the 1) actual mining operations, 2) the habitat destruction and 3) sediment plumes  Significance:  Low  Mitigation:  No practical mitigation measures are possible.  Impact:  The impact of phosphate dredging on seabirds and marine mammals. Mining operations will result in the displacement and/or redistribution of seabirds and mammals because of 1) disturbance of the ecosystem and availability of feed and 2) physical disturbance of the dredgers including noise pollution  Significance:  Low to medium  Mitigation:  Mointain a bridge watch for large mammal species. Although the dredger will have limited manoeuvrability a protocol to limit interaction should be followed — in this	Significance:	are not expected to have an influence on the project design
hake b) horse mackerel c) monk and d) small pelagic species. The dispersal and survival of juveniles, eggs and larvae are effected by 1) physical disturbance of the fishing grounds and 2) sediment plumes (turbidity)  Significance:  Low - if fish abundance levels remain the same or increase then impact is not expected to have an influence on the project design  Mitigation:  No practical mitigation measures are possible.  Impact:  The impact of phosphate dredging on species diversity. Mining operations will result in a reduction or loss in biodiversity because of the 1) actual mining operations, 2) the habitat destruction and 3) sediment plumes  Significance:  Low  Mitigation:  No practical mitigation measures are possible.  Impact:  The impact of phosphate dredging on seabirds and marine mammals. Mining operations will result in the displacement and/or redistribution of seabirds and mammals because of 1) disturbance of the ecosystem and availability of feed and 2) physical disturbance of the dredgers including noise pollution  Significance:  Low to medium  Maintain a bridge watch for large mammal species. Although the dredger will have limited manoeuvrability a protocol to limit interaction should be followed – in this	Mitigation:	
hake b) horse mackerel c) monk and d) small pelagic species. The dispersal and survival of juveniles, eggs and larvae are effected by 1) physical disturbance of the fishing grounds and 2) sediment plumes (turbidity)  Significance:  Low - if fish abundance levels remain the same or increase then impact is not expected to have an influence on the project design  Mitigation:  No practical mitigation measures are possible.  Impact:  The impact of phosphate dredging on species diversity. Mining operations will result in a reduction or loss in biodiversity because of the 1) actual mining operations, 2) the habitat destruction and 3) sediment plumes  Significance:  Low  Mitigation:  No practical mitigation measures are possible.  Impact:  The impact of phosphate dredging on seabirds and marine mammals. Mining operations will result in the displacement and/or redistribution of seabirds and mammals because of 1) disturbance of the ecosystem and availability of feed and 2) physical disturbance of the dredgers including noise pollution  Significance:  Low to medium  Maintain a bridge watch for large mammal species. Although the dredger will have limited manoeuvrability a protocol to limit interaction should be followed – in this		
Low - if fish abundance levels remain the same or increase then impact is not expected to have an influence on the project design  Mitigation:  No practical mitigation measures are possible.  Impact:  The impact of phosphate dredging on species diversity. Mining operations will result in a reduction or loss in biodiversity because of the 1) actual mining operations, 2) the habitat destruction and 3) sediment plumes  Significance:  Low  Mitigation:  No practical mitigation measures are possible.  Impact:  The impact of phosphate dredging on seabirds and marine mammals. Mining operations will result in the displacement and/or redistribution of seabirds and mammals because of 1) disturbance of the ecosystem and availability of feed and 2) physical disturbance of the dredgers including noise pollution  Significance:  Low to medium  Maintain a bridge watch for large mammal species. Although the dredger will have limited manoeuvrability a protocol to limit interaction should be followed – in this	Impact:	hake <b>b)</b> horse mackerel <b>c)</b> monk and <b>d)</b> small pelagic species. The dispersal and survival of juveniles, eggs and larvae are effected by <b>1)</b> physical disturbance of the
Mitigation:       No practical mitigation measures are possible.         Impact:       The impact of phosphate dredging on species diversity. Mining operations will result in a reduction or loss in biodiversity because of the 1) actual mining operations, 2) the habitat destruction and 3) sediment plumes         Significance:       Low         Mitigation:       No practical mitigation measures are possible.         Impact:       The impact of phosphate dredging on seabirds and marine mammals. Mining operations will result in the displacement and/or redistribution of seabirds and mammals because of 1) disturbance of the ecosystem and availability of feed and 2) physical disturbance of the dredgers including noise pollution         Significance:       Low to medium         Mitigation:       Maintain a bridge watch for large mammal species. Although the dredger will have limited manoeuvrability a protocol to limit interaction should be followed — in this	Significance:	Low - if fish abundance levels remain the same or increase then impact is not expected
a reduction or loss in biodiversity because of the 1) actual mining operations, 2) the habitat destruction and 3) sediment plumes  Significance:  Low  Mitigation:  No practical mitigation measures are possible.  Impact:  The impact of phosphate dredging on seabirds and marine mammals. Mining operations will result in the displacement and/or redistribution of seabirds and mammals because of 1) disturbance of the ecosystem and availability of feed and 2) physical disturbance of the dredgers including noise pollution  Significance:  Low to medium  Maintain a bridge watch for large mammal species. Although the dredger will have limited manoeuvrability a protocol to limit interaction should be followed — in this	Mitigation:	
a reduction or loss in biodiversity because of the 1) actual mining operations, 2) the habitat destruction and 3) sediment plumes  Significance:  Low  Mitigation:  No practical mitigation measures are possible.  Impact:  The impact of phosphate dredging on seabirds and marine mammals. Mining operations will result in the displacement and/or redistribution of seabirds and mammals because of 1) disturbance of the ecosystem and availability of feed and 2) physical disturbance of the dredgers including noise pollution  Significance:  Low to medium  Maintain a bridge watch for large mammal species. Although the dredger will have limited manoeuvrability a protocol to limit interaction should be followed — in this		
Mitigation:       No practical mitigation measures are possible.         Impact:       The impact of phosphate dredging on seabirds and marine mammals. Mining operations will result in the displacement and/or redistribution of seabirds and mammals because of 1) disturbance of the ecosystem and availability of feed and 2) physical disturbance of the dredgers including noise pollution         Significance:       Low to medium         Mitigation:       Maintain a bridge watch for large mammal species. Although the dredger will have limited manoeuvrability a protocol to limit interaction should be followed – in this	Impact:	a reduction or loss in biodiversity because of the 1) actual mining operations, 2) the
Impact:  The impact of phosphate dredging on seabirds and marine mammals. Mining operations will result in the displacement and/or redistribution of seabirds and mammals because of 1) disturbance of the ecosystem and availability of feed and 2) physical disturbance of the dredgers including noise pollution  Significance:  Low to medium  Maintain a bridge watch for large mammal species. Although the dredger will have limited manoeuvrability a protocol to limit interaction should be followed — in this	Significance:	Low
operations will result in the displacement and/or redistribution of seabirds and mammals because of 1) disturbance of the ecosystem and availability of feed and 2) physical disturbance of the dredgers including noise pollution  Significance:  Low to medium  Maintain a bridge watch for large mammal species. Although the dredger will have limited manoeuvrability a protocol to limit interaction should be followed – in this	Mitigation:	No practical mitigation measures are possible.
operations will result in the displacement and/or redistribution of seabirds and mammals because of 1) disturbance of the ecosystem and availability of feed and 2) physical disturbance of the dredgers including noise pollution  Significance:  Low to medium  Maintain a bridge watch for large mammal species. Although the dredger will have limited manoeuvrability a protocol to limit interaction should be followed – in this		
Mitigation: Maintain a bridge watch for large mammal species. Although the dredger will have limited manoeuvrability a protocol to limit interaction should be followed – in this	Impact:	operations will result in the displacement and/or redistribution of seabirds and mammals because of 1) disturbance of the ecosystem and availability of feed and 2)
limited manoeuvrability a protocol to limit interaction should be followed – in this	Significance:	
	Mitigation:	limited manoeuvrability a protocol to limit interaction should be followed – in this

#### **MARINE WATER QUALITY**

Impact:	Potential deterioration in water quality from discharges to sea of wastes such as oily
тприсс.	water, sewage, food, grey water, from the dredger.
Significance:	None
Mitigation:	Ensure vessel discharge/retention systems and procedures are in good working order
	and do not malfunction.
Impact:	Alien marine species may displace indigenous species and reduce indigenous
	biodiversity and/or affect aquaculture and/or aquaculture products.
Significance:	Follow IMO guidelines on ballast water management.
Mitigation:	None. (Alien introductions would become "improbable" but if introductions were to occur the consequences (significance) would still be high).
Impact:	Dredging generates plumes of suspended sediments that adversely affect organisms in the water column
Significance:	Low
Mitigation:	Built in, with discharge below dredger's hull (10-15 m below sea surface)
Impact:	Sulphidic sediment pore-water entrained in the dredged sediment is discharged with the over-spill water thereby affecting organisms in the water column
Significance:	Low
Mitigation:	None possible
Impact:	Hypoxic/ anoxic bottom water is entrained in the discharged overflow water so reducing dissolved oxygen concentrations in the upper water column where it can affect organisms.
Significance:	None
Mitigation:	Not applicable
Impact:	Increased availability of nutrients (ammonium and phosphorus) promote phytoplankton growth. Following senescence, the phytoplankton will add to the particulate organic matter flux to the seabed eventually further reducing dissolved oxygen concentrations through remineralisation
Significance:	None
Mitigation:	None possible
Impact:	Trace metals (cadmium and nickel) bound in the dredged sediment are discharged with the over spill water thereby affecting organisms in the water column.
Significance:	Low
Mitigation:	None possible
Impact:	Trace metals held within the target dredge area sediments are remobilized; they become bio-available through exposure to the overlying water during dredging with deleterious effects on filter and/or deposit feeding benthos.
Significance:	Low
Mitigation:	None possible
Impact:	Sulphidic sediment pore-water is exposed by dredging, and the flux of dissolved H <sub>2</sub> S into the lower water column is increased, so affecting benthos.
Significance:	Low
Mitigation:	None possible
Titigueion.	

Impact:	Exposure of anoxic sediments by dredging reduces the already low concentrations of oxygen that occur in the lower water column so affecting resident biota, primarily benthos.
Significance:	Low
Mitigation:	None possible
Impact:	Removal of thio-bacteria mats by dredging increases the flux of H <sub>2</sub> S to the lower
	water column.
Significance:	None
Mitigation:	Not applicable

#### **BENTHOS**

Impact:	The removal of the upper 1-<2.5 m (possibly up to 3 m) of sediment by dredging will
ттрисс.	result in the loss of the benthic biota associated with the sediment. The exposed
	sediments are likely to be different to the original superficial deposits, and sediment
	refill rates at this depth are likely to be very slow. Colonising assemblages are likely
	to differ to those present prior to the dredging activity.
Significance:	Medium
Mitigation:	Leave behind a residual sediment layer of at least 30 cm to cover the clay footwall.
<i>3</i>	Leave behind undredged trenches to enable migration of mobile organisms from these
	areas.
Impact:	Further exploration and environmental work will be conducted in the larger ML170
	that will remove benthic biota.
Significance:	None
Mitigation:	None
Impact:	The depth of the dredged area might change local near bottom hydrographical
	conditions and thus act as trap for very fine material. This could lead to high
	decomposition rates and consequently anoxic conditions and H <sub>2</sub> S concentrations in the
	sediments.
Significance:	Low to medium
Mitigation:	Leave behind a residual sediment layer of at least 30 cm, which will reduce the depth
	of the dredged-out area.
7 ,	
Impact:	Dredging removes mats of large sulphur-oxidising bacteria from the sediment surface and from the upper layer.
Cianifiaanaa	Low
Significance:	
Mitigation:	No mitigation necessary
Impact:	The anaerobic bacterium Clostridium botulinum type E might proliferate in the dredged
ттрист.	area if the system turns anoxic, and may pose a health risk to humans and wildlife
	when entering the food chain.
Significance:	Low
Mitigation:	No mitigation necessary
	,
Impact:	High suspended sediment concentrations near the sea bottom generated by the
	draghead and subsequent re-deposition of the material causes smothering effects.
Significance:	Low
Mitigation:	No mitigation necessary
	,

Impact:	Re-deposition of particles in the overflow plume causes smothering of benthic organisms, particularly in the depo-center on the continental slope
Significance:	Low
Mitigation:	No mitigation necessary
Impact:	Dredging may mobilise dissolved nutrients from the sediments which could be released into the water column with the overflow. The increased nutrient level may result in extensive phytoplankton blooms, which upon death cause aggravated decomposition rates leading to anoxic conditions at the seafloor.
Significance:	Low
Mitigation:	No mitigation necessary
Impact:	Release of hydrogen sulphide from the sediments affects benthic communities
Significance:	Low
Mitigation:	No mitigation necessary

#### **JELLYFISH**

Impact:	Blocking of vessel seawater intake system by dense surface aggregations of jellyfish.
	Dense surface volumes of jellyfish have been known to block the seawater intakes. This
	incoming seawater is used to cool the vessel's engines and any blockage of the intake
	system could cause the engines to overheat and fail, if remedial action is not taken.
Significance:	Low
Mitigation:	In the case of blockage, jellyfish will have to be physically removed or flushed from the system. Sailing the vessel to areas with less dense aggregations of jellyfish.
	Forward looking sonar could be installed on the vessel to identify dense masses of sub-
	surface jellyfish during operations. A "jellyfish observer" on deck should be able to identify jellyfish aggregations at the surface.
Impact:	Hydrogen sulphide released from dredge sediments causing mortalities to jellyfish.
Significance:	Low
Mitigation:	No mitigation is presented
Impact:	Lean water overflow from the vessel generates a tailings plume of fine sediments which
	settle out through and are dispersed in the water column. These fine sediments if
	present in sufficient quantities may cause mortalities to jellyfish, though this is
	considered unlikely
Significance:	Low
Mitigation:	No mitigation is presented
Impact:	Removal of seabed sediments will change the nature of the sediment surface. Jellyfish
	populations are known to increase in areas where there is an increase of hard
	substrate. Typically this occurs where rock, concrete or iron structures are erected. The
	removal of the upper relative soft layers of sediment, leaving a relative hard clay
	footwall surface may provide such a hard surface
Significance:	Low
Mitigation:	None: If between 10 - 15 % of the original thickness of the sediment is not recovered,
<u> </u>	there will sufficient soft-substrata to preclude polyp settlement.

Dredging of marine phosphates from ML 170

#### **CUMULATIVE IMPACTS**

A considerable proportion of the seabed within the Mining Licence Area is disturbed by industrial fishing, until the effects of fishing are quantified, i.e. specifically the areas of seabed disturbed by demersal trawling, neither the cumulative or additive effects can be assessed.

### ASSESSMENT OF THE MANAGEMENT PLAN

In order to ensure the effective implementation of mitigation and management actions an Environmental Management Plan (EMP) has been prepared for the proposed project. The management plan has been established to ensure:

- That all corporate, legal and socio-economic requirements are complied with. This applies equally to subcontracting companies;
- That the authorities and I&APs are appropriately consulted, advised and included in relevant ongoing project matters;
- That all vessel operations are managed in accordance with Namibian, vessel flag state and International requirements as are appropriate to the nature and location and scope of activities;
- That a monitoring programme is established prior to commencement of dredging operations to provide data for the validation of the impact assessments made by the specialists in their studies. Some validation of information is required prior to the operations commencing to confirm specialist opinions;
- That the ongoing monitoring programme to assess the recovery and or re-colonisation of the dredge areas is implemented after dredging operations have commenced.

Should the validation data show no significant departure from the specialists' assessments, these original assessments will be considered to be valid. Alternatively, if on analysis of the site-specific validation data there are significant departures for the initial specialist interpretations, those aspects of the EIA need to be revaluated.

#### **OVERALL ASSESSMENT**

The significance of the potential impacts associated with the proposed Sandpiper project for dredging of marine phosphate enriched sediment has been investigated and assessed in the Environmental Impact Assessment. There are presently no identified issues of environmental significance to preclude the dredging of phosphate-enriched sediments from the Mining Licence Area No. 170. There are however, management and mitigation measures that are to be implemented by NMP and their sub contractors. These requirements are evaluated and detailed herein.

In making a decision whether or not to issue an Environmental Contract for the proposed project, the Namibian government agencies and authorities will need to evaluate the overall costs and benefits of the project. The primary purpose of this EIA process is to provide information that focuses on the key issues and to assist the authorities in understanding the project in terms of its effect on the natural environment, social equity and economic growth. Note, the latter two items are addressed in detail in the EIA for the related terrestrial operations.

In the final assessment it is important to note the significant benefits of this project. These are:

- World phosphate resource: The current estimate of 1,951 Mt (at 10 % P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub>) Joint Ore Reserves Committee (*JORC*) compliant deposit in ML170, positions Namibia as the country with the seventh largest world phosphate reserves. Phosphates are an integral component of agricultural fertilizers for which currently there are no known substitutes. This resource thus contributes significantly to the sustained supply of world food production.
- Macro-economic benefits: The marine component of the project will create local employment opportunities for approximately 11 functions (there are a total of 37) onboard the vessel. From a local perspective the employment and economic benefits from the

marine component of the proposed project arise from the wider downstream opportunities associated primarily with the port and vessel support services. The downstream benefits are obviously associated with the onshore mineral processing plant facilities that support the marine operation. These related benefits are addressed in the EIA for the related terrestrial component of the overall project development plan . National macro-economic benefits also include contributions to government revenue through rates, taxes and royalties.

This project development environmental impact assessment is based on information about the Company's current strategy. If there is an alteration in the nature, intensity or extent of the proposed activities then the nature and significance of identified impacts may also change. In addition, any future or greater understanding of key environmental processes or changes in uses or activities in this region may require reassessment of these environmental impacts.

In this report, the environmental impact assessment of the dredging of marine phosphates has been limited to consideration of those impacts arising from dredging from within target-recovery areas within the Mining Licence Area ML170. It must be recognised that the anticipated dredging in the Mining Licence Area ML170 affects in context, only an extremely small portion of the

total Benguela upwelling ecosystem that prevails along the Namibian continental shelf. The Mining Licence ML170 Area (2233 km²) has been awarded for a 20-year period, from which annually 5.5 Mt (from an area of 3 km²) of phosphate enriched sediments will be recovered.

The Mining Licence Area allocated to NMP is only a portion of the current total mining activity in this ecosystem. Consideration should be given by the authorities to the cumulative effects of exploration and mining by all concession owners and operators.

Namibian Marine Phosphate (Pty) Ltd will remain responsible for monitoring the impacts of their dredging activities. Such impacts should be considered relative to the proportion of the ecosystem contained within the Mining Licence Area, except where such impacts extend beyond these boundaries.

Grateful acknowledgement is extended to the Confederation of Fishing Associations in their facilitation of obtaining and providing fisheries data held by MFMR but not officially released yet or approved by MFMR. MFMR is acknowledged in providing permission to use, and their provision of the fisheries information presented in this EIA. Not all requested information was provided by MFMR within the time frames as prescribed by MME for the production of this report.

# Glossary of Terms

μg/l	Parts per billion
Å	Angstronm
ASX	Australian Stock Exchange
BCLME	Benguela Large Marine Ecosystem
BENIFIT	Benguela Environment Fisheries Interaction and Training
Benthic	Sea bottom dwelling / environs
BOD	Biological Oxygen Demand
BID	Background information document: A document that provide
	summary of the project with an emphasis on environmental
	processes.
Bt	Billion tonnes
CFC	Chlorofluorocarbon
CH₄	Methane a gas found in marine sediments. Its eruption is reported to
	be a contributing factor to green house gases.
COD	Chemical Oxygen Demand
Demersal	Bottom or near bottom dwelling.
DFS	Definitive Feasibility Study
DP	Dynamic Positioning
EC	Environmental Contract
EIA	Environmental Impact Assessment
EMP	Environmental Management Plan
EMPR	Environmental Management Plan Report
Environment	The surroundings in which the company operates, including air, water,
	land, natural resources, flora, fauna, humans and their interrelation.
Environmental Contract	A legal agreement (based on the EMPR) issued and authorised by the
	Ministry of Environment and Tourism, signed by Namibian Marine
	Phosphate (Pty) Ltd and the Ministry of Mines and Energy.
EPL	Exclusive Prospecting License
GHG	Greenhouse gases
grt	gross registered tonnage
H <sub>2</sub> S	Hydrogen Sulphide, as gas which is contained in the marine sediments
	of this region. (>4% in concentrations of the gas in air is fatal)
Hopper	A fully enclosed cargo hold space on dredging vessels where the
	dredge material is temporarily stored.
HP	Horse Power
I&APs	Interested and Affected Parties
IFA	International Fertilizer Industry Association
IMO	International Maritime Organization
ISM	International standard for the safe management and operation of
100	ships and for pollution prevention
ISO	International Standards Organisation

Indicated resource	Is that part of a Mineral Resource for which tonnage, densities, shape,
	physical characteristics, grade and mineral content can be estimated
	with a reasonable level of confidence.
Inferred resource	Is that part of a mineral resource for which the tonnage, grad and
JDN	mineral content can be estimated with a low level of confidence  Jan Du Nul NV – the Belgium dredging company
JORC	Joint ore reserves committee. The Australasian Code for reporting of
JONE	Exploration Results, Mineral Resources and Ore Reserves. The Code is
	incorporated into the Listing Rules of the Australian Stock Exchange
	(ASX)
JV	Joint Venture
MET	Ministry of Environment and Tourism
MFMR	Ministry of Fisheries and Marine Resources
ML	Mining Licence
MLA	Mining Licence Area
MME	Ministry of Mines and Energy
Mt	Million tonnes
MWTC : DMA	Ministry of Works Transport and Communication: Department of Maritime Affairs
NatMIRC	
NGOs	National Marine Investigation and Research Centre  Non Governmental Organizations
NMP	Namibian Marine Phosphate (PTY) Ltd
NORM	Naturally Occurring Radioactive Materials
(P <sub>2</sub> O <sub>5</sub> )	Phosphate
PA	Per annum
ppm	Parts per million
P&I	Protection and Indemnity
Pelagic	Surface or near surface dwelling
Rock Phosphate	A trade term, which in this instance refers to phosphate sands, of
	grain size (0.1 to 1.0mm). This is the term used to describe the final
	export product.
ROV	Remotely Operated Vehicle
SACW	South Atlantic Central Water
SEA SOPEP	Strategic Environmental Assessment Shipboard Oil Pollution Emergency Plan
STPM	Suction tube position monitoring
TAC	Total Allowable Catch
TCF	Trillion Cubic Feet
TENORM	Technologically-Enhanced Naturally Occurring Radioactive Materials
ToRs	Terms of Reference
TSHD	Trailing Suction Hopper Dredge. A standard marine dredging method,
	which converts to 'mining' in so far as then dredged material if
	subsequently processed (at another location) to extract a mineral
Wt %	Weight percent
XRD	X-Ray Diffraction
XRF	X-Ray Fluorescence