



### CONTENTS

THOMSON N	Editorial	<b>1</b>
DEMASIUS E	Roberts Geographical Variation of Southern African Birds	<b>3</b>
FRIEDERICH G	A Zambian Birding Experience – Part 3	<b>6</b>
KOLBERG H	Summary of the 2012 Ringing Year in Namibia	<b>16</b>
DEMASIUS E	Cuckoo – African or Common?	<b>19</b>
KOLBERG H	Trends in Namibian Waterbird Populations 9 : Waders and Shorebirds – Part 3	<b>21</b>
BARTLEWSKI S	Some Conservation Efforts in other Countries	<b>27</b>
SKINNER G	Birding Central and Northern Namibia – 10 to 20 October 2012	<b>28</b>
KOLBERG H	Summary of the 2012 Winter Wetland Bird Counts in Namibia	<b>34</b>
THOMSON N	Colour Rings on Sociable Weavers	<b>34</b>
AN APPEAL BY TREVOR HARDAKER		<b>35</b>

ATLAS UPDATE	<b>36</b>
RARITIES AND INTERESTING OBSERVATIONS	<b>37</b>

### Editorial

Normally I would have been tempted to devote a good part of the editorial space to another two species which have allegedly turned up in the northwest of Namibia. These birds were allegedly seen by one of the region's top birders but the validity of these claims appears to be in doubt and hopefully I will obtain more information and will be in a better position to comment in a future edition.

However, my editorial comment concerning previous sightings of Black Skimmers in Southern Africa (Lanioturdus 46 (1)) seems to have raised some lively debate and I think it is only right to conclude this issue now.

I published Tony Tree's response in Lanioturdus 46(2) and this has in turn solicited a response from Joris Komen which I am reproducing here. I am going along with Joris's closing remark that this matter should now be put to rest and I will not publish any further correspondence on this matter.

Joris's response reads:-

It had been noticed, however, that when birds were recaptured the colour rings were often missing. The colour rings used were of the plastic split ring type and were applied without using adhesive to seal them closed. When the birds were captured in November 2011 it was noticed that, while a good percentage of the Red and White Nest birds still had their red or white colour rings, not one of the recaptured Blue Nest birds had retained its colour ring.

Gavin later saw adult birds at the Blue Nest trying to remove the rings from the legs of juveniles. With this in mind it was decided to remove the colour rings from as many of the birds that could be recaptured at the ringers get-together held at Farm Wiese in May 2012 and to replace them with metal colour rings that Gavin had sent from the USA.

Before the plastic colour rings were removed from the birds a check was made to see how many of these rings were actually missing. At the Red Nest a total of 26 (12.56%) out of the 207 rings originally applied to those birds recaptured was missing. At the Blue Nest 15 (16.85%) of the 89 rings originally fitted to the recaptured birds were missing while at the white nest it was found that 8 (27.58%) of the 29 rings used were no longer on the birds. Expressed differently this means that 20 (29.85%) of the 67 recaptured Red Nest birds had lost at least one colour ring while in the Blue Nest this was 10 (31.25%) of 32 birds and at the white nest it was 6 (50%) of 12 birds.

While these percentages are lower than many of us expected it is quite obvious that these rings are not suitable for a project where the long term identification of individual birds in the field is required. Whether the metal colour rings will be any better remains to be seen as the coloured paint on some of these rings started coming off due to contact with the jaws of the

ringing pliers the moment they were closed around the birds' legs.

-----

It was subsequently discovered when a sample of these birds was recaptured in May 2013, that the aluminium coloured rings were absolutely useless for the long term monitoring of individual birds. The colours on most of the rings had become indistinguishable with the bird in the hand, much less in the field. Furthermore the edges at the ends of the aluminum rings had started to distort where they had been in contact with either the numbered stainless steel rings or other aluminium rings which will almost undoubtedly necessitate the recapture of as many of these birds as possible for the purpose of removing the rings before they distort further and cause injury to the birds.



Useless "colour" rings (the ring at the bottom right is the numbered stainless steel ring) © Neil Thomson

### **An Appeal by Trevor Hardaker**

Many of you are probably aware that Trevor Hardaker produces a twice weekly Southern African Rare Bird News Report which is sent to subscribers (free of charge) by email and that he also sends out Rare Bird Alerts.

Trevor has put out the following request to subscribers and all those who would like their names added to the distribution list.

“This is a friendly request to all subscribers to SARBN out there to please make sure that you try and report your sightings and updates on rarities as soon as you possibly can. Most of you do that anyway which is hugely appreciated, so perhaps this is considered to be preaching to the converted.

The Southern African birding community is much larger than many of us actually know about and we need to try and encourage as many birders as possible to understand the urgency required in reporting rarities.

There are also so many people out there that are not actually aware of SA Rare Bird News, so perhaps I could request that all of you who are involved with the various bird clubs around the subregion perhaps try and get the word out to all of your various members to inform them of it.

It would be appreciated if you might consider putting a short note in your next bird club newsletters just letting your members know about SARBN and also stressing the importance of reporting interesting sightings as quickly as possible. The sooner news is broadcast of good birds, the better the chance is for all of us to chase them...

As a reminder again, you can report news directly to me either by email to [hardaker@mweb.co.za](mailto:hardaker@mweb.co.za) or to my mobile phone at (0027) 82 780 0376. If you have any of your friends that would like to subscribe to SARBN, please ask them to send me an email asking to be added to the subscriber list and I will happily do it.”

## Atlas Update

On 11/06/2013 full protocol card number 750 was submitted. This equaled the 750 cards submitted for Swaziland in the six years that the project has been running there although our 750 cards constituted only 32 443 records (average 44/card) as opposed to their 50 248 (average 67/card).

By 25/07/2013 850 full protocol cards containing 36 226 accepted records had been submitted for a total of 375 pentads. Thus 3.5% of the Namibian total of 10 586 pentads had been visited by this date.

What should our next target be? 500 Pentads or 1 000 full protocol cards? Having passed Lesotho and Swaziland in the number of cards submitted we have a long way to go to catch the least atlased of the South African provinces which is the Northern Cape with 4 674 cards submitted.

I have mentioned before the importance of ad hoc lists and incidental records in Namibia where full protocol coverage of many of the 10 586 pentads will be virtually impossible to obtain.

I would like to give an example of the value of ad hoc lists. I have a project in pentad 1835\_1705 at Oshivelo. My visits to the site involve flying to the landing strip at Operet, usually having to wait there for transport, driving a short distance to the site and spending a limited amount of time on the site inspecting the progress of the building work and attending a site meeting. Obviously this does not allow for two hours of concentrated birding but after nine visits to this site for which I have submitted ad hoc lists, the lengths of which range from 7 to 25 species, I have managed to record 53 species on that pentad.