

HAA HERPETOLOGICAL ASSOCIATION OF AFRICA www.africanherpetology.org

FOUNDED 1965

The HAA is dedicated to the study and conservation of African reptiles and amphibians. Membership is open to anyone with an interest in the African herpetofauna. Members receive the Association's journal, African Journal of Herpetology (which publishes review papers, research articles, and short communications – subject to peer review) and African Herp News, the Newsletter (which includes short communications, natural history notes, book reviews, bibliographies, husbandry hints, announcements and news items).

NEWSLETTER EDITOR'S NOTE

Articles shall be considered for publication provided that they are original and have not been published elsewhere. Articles will be submitted for peer review at the Editor's discretion. Authors are requested to submit manuscripts by e-mail in MS Word '.doc' or '.docx' format.

COPYRIGHT: Articles published in the Newsletter are copyright of the Herpetological Association of Africa and may not be reproduced without permission of the Editor.

The views and opinions expressed in articles are not necessarily those of the Editor.

COVER PHOTOGRAPH: *Dipsadoboa aulica* Photograph by: Nicholas Telford

COMMITTEE OF THE HAA

CHAIRMAN

Graham Alexander, School of Animal, Plant and Environmental Sciences, University of the Witwatersrand, Johannesburg 2050, South Africa.

E-mail: graham.alexander@wits.ac.za

SECRETARY

Buyi Makhubo, Department of Herpetology, National Museum, P. O. Box 266, Bloemfontein 9300, South Africa. E-mail: buyi.makhubo@nasmus.co.za

TREASURER

Johan Marais, Suite 150, Postnet X4, Bedfordview 2007, South Africa.

E-mail: johan@africansnakebiteinstitute.com

JOURNAL EDITOR

John Measey, Department of Zoology, Nelson Mandela Metropolitan University, Port Elizabeth, South Africa, South Africa.

E-mail: john@measey.com

NEWSLETTER EDITOR

Jessica da Silva, South African National Biodiversity Institute. Kirstenbosch Research Centre, Cape Town, South Africa.

E-mail: africanherpnews@gmail.com

ADDITIONAL MEMBERS

Michael Bates, Department of Herpetology, National Museum, P.O. Box 266, Bloemfontein 9300, South Africa. E-mail: herp@nasmus.co.za

Aaron Bauer, Department of Biology, Villanova University, 800 Lancaster Avenue, Villanova, Pennsylvania 19085, USA. Email: aaron.bauer@villanova.edu.

Shelley Edwards, Department of Zoology and Entomology, Rhodes University, Grahamstown, South Africa. E-mail: s.edwards@ru.ac.za

Bryan Maritz, Department of Biodiversity and Conservation at the University of the Western Cape. E-mail: bmaritz@uwc.ac.za



4 EDITORIAL

ABSTRACTS

- 5 SPECIAL MEMORIAL LECTURE
- 6 KEYNOTE PRESENTATIONS
- **7** ORAL PRESENTATIONS
- 64 POSTERS
- 78 HAA MEMBERSHIP FEES
- **80 INSTRUCTIONS TO AUTHORS**

ABSTRACTS

taxa is unlikely. Our findings support the elevation to species status, but we note that vicariance between these two distributions might be recent. Thus our results do not preclude these two taxa representing a single species. With the conservation status of P. leightoni affected by the clarification of this complex, we recommend these preliminary models be used in conjunction with further phylogenetic analysis for conclusive species delimitation.

COUNTING EGGS BEFORE THEY HATCH: IMPLEMENTATION OF THE MONITORING AND SURVEILLANCE PROTOCOLS FOR KZN'S THREATENED FROG SPECIES

JEANNE TARRANT^{1*} & ADRIAN ARMSTRONG²

¹Threatened Amphibian Programme, Endangered Wildlife Trust, Building K², Pinelands Office Park, Ardeer Road, Modderfontein, 1609, South Africa; ²Biodiversity Research & Assessment Division, Scientific Services, Ezemvelo KZN Wildlife, P.O. Box 13053, Cascades 3202, South Africa.

Monitoring is an essential means of detecting amphibian declines and is being increasingly implemented in different parts of the world to gauge trends and spur conservation interventions. Development of monitoring and surveillance protocols was initiated in 2013 for four of KwaZulu-Natal's

threatened frog species using Ezemvelo KZN Wildlife Norms and Standards for Surveillance and Monitoring Plans for Biodiversity. The methods have been tested for two species to date, the Critically Endangered Hyperolius pickersqilli (Pickersgill's reed frog) and the Endangered Natalobatrachus bonebergi (kloof frog). Some initial work using automated microphone arrays have also been tested to measure densities and special utilisation of the Endangered mistbelt chirping frog, Anhydrophryne ngongoniensis. Data were collected seasonally at three sites in KZN for *H. pickersqilli* using both automated and manual audio transect survey methods. *Natalobatrachus* bonebergi was monitored monthly throughout the year at two sites in KZN and three reserves in the Eastern Cape using transect egg clump counts. Data for Vernon Crookes Nature Reserve on the KZN south coast have been collected since December 2013 and provide the most comprehensive dataset of all sites based on egg clump counts. The primary response variable for detecting trends for this species is total number of egg clumps and a secondary response variable is mean number of eggs per clutch, both of which give an indication of breeding activity and potential population size. Data on additional variables were also collected to provide information on habitat preferences and detect emerging threats. Monthly monitoring

shown the species to have a protracted breeding season (August-June), but that the drought conditions in 2014 and 2015 severely affected breeding activity. In all cases, these monitoring efforts are a joint collaboration between provincial conservation authorities, academic institutions, NGOs and volunteers, demonstrating the valuable contributions of citizen scientists to species conservation.

A SPATIAL AND TEMPORAL ASSESSMENT OF SNAKE OCCURRENCES IN WINDHOEK, NAMIBIA BETWEEN AUGUST 2015 AND APRIL 2016

FRANCOIS THEART

Department of Agriculture and Natural Resources Sciences, Namibia University of Technology, Private Bag 13388 Windhoek, Namibia.

Conflict between snakes and people in urban areas is a problem Windhoek shares with many cities around the world. Surrounded by farm and conservation land, this capital city of Namibia experiences regular snake occurrence in and around houses, gardens and industrial sites. This study used snake removal data from the city's designated snake removal institution, Snakes of Namibia, in order to record numbers and diversity of snakes occurring in the city during the summer of 2015-2016, and identify possible reasons for conflicts.

biodiversity monitoring with snakes as a proxy for ecosystem community dynamics. Over the period August 2015 to April 2016, 182 snakes of 12 species were removed from homes, gardens and industrial sites in the city. Puff adder (Bitis arietans) which represented 36% (n = 65) and zebra snake (Naianigricincta) 29% (n = 53) dominated removal incidents. Of the other species, only brown house snake (Boaedon capensis) 11% (n = 21) and boomslang (Dispholidus typus viridis) accounted for more than 10% of removals. Monthly snake removals correlated highly with monthly total rainfall, with highest number of incidents reported in January 2016 (23%, n = 41). Incidents were concentrated in the eastern and southern suburbs, as a result of garden irrigation although the study could not assess whether reporting diligence was consistent across all suburbs. Although 81% (n = 147) of snake incidents involved venomous species no snakebite incidents were reported during the period. The study provides a baseline for year-onyear monitoring, a useful parameter for the city's biodiversity programme.

It also provides a baseline for annual