



ENVIRONMENTAL SCOPING ASSESSMENT (ESA) FOR THE CONSTRUCTION AND OPERATION OF A 25M HIGH CAMOUFLAGED TREE TELECOMMUNICATION TOWER AT EROS, WINDHOEK, KHOMAS REGION

DOCUMENT VERSION: FINAL

ECC Application Number: APP-003016

<p>Author(s): Ms. Rose Mtuleni Reviewer: Mr. Nerson Tjelos Company: Excel Dynamic Solutions (Pty) Ltd Telephone: +264 (0) 61 259 530 Fax2email: +264 (0) 886 560 836 Email: info@edsnamibia.com</p>	<p>Client: PowerCom (Pty) Ltd Contact person: Mr. Patrick Britz Telephone: +264 (0) 61 201 2966 Fax: +264 (0) 88 655 9891 (fax2email) Email: patrick.britz@powercom.na</p>
---	---

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

Introduction

PowerCom (Pty) Ltd (The Proponent) proposes to erect and operate a 25 m high camouflaged tree network tower in the Eros area, Windhoek, in the Khomas Region. The proposed tower site is located in the Eros area, on the east of the boundary of the Eros Park Suburb of Windhoek. The proposed tower will be a camouflaged tree network tower, with an outdoor cabinet next to it. The total surface area of the site dedicated to tower footprint is approximately 64m² (8m x 8m).

The rapid expansion of urban development and land use in Windhoek has led to a heavy reliance on telecommunications amongst locals, from the use of telephones, mobile phones and other electronic devices for information sharing and connections. This has brought about demand for increase in telecommunications infrastructure and services. In order to facilitate mobile connection services that run smoothly and conveniently, the services infrastructure need to be of good standard in order to provide high quality and better coverage to the mobile users.

The proposed activity will provide additional capacity, reducing congestion problems and improving network coverage in the Eros area. This will also ensure improved quality of the service provided to mobile users in the area.

Project Description

The current network shortfalls experienced and anticipated network shortfalls to mobile users in the area led to this project site selection. Project activity include establishment (construction), and eventual operations and maintenance of the network tower.

Construction Phase:

There will be minimal earthworks to prepare the site for tower construction. The construction works are expected to last for at least one month. The tower structure will be mounted to a concrete foundation and will not require any supporting cables. The physical assembling of the network structure and the construction of the foundations will take place on the site. The network structure will be earthed to protect it from lightning. For safety reasons, the tower site will be fenced off with palisade fencing to ensure that access is limited to authorised personnel and to prevent vandalism.

A local contractor will be hired to carry out the construction. Works will be carried out during weekdays only, and between 08h00 and 17h00. The appointed contractor will be required to provide appropriate Personal Protective Equipment (PPE) to all their employees while carrying out the construction works on site.

Operational and Maintenance Phase:

This is the phase during which the tower and its associated infrastructure are operational, providing network signal to the residents of the Eros area. Maintenance of the tower will be done by the Proponent's Maintenance Department, when required. The Proponent should ensure that all employees carrying out maintenance works on site are provided with appropriate PPE.

Services and Infrastructure

Power supply: No electricity is required during the construction of the tower. However, it will be required during the operational and maintenance phase of the tower. The operation of the tower will require an alternative current (AC) power, which will be connected to the Windhoek Municipal Grid via a metered connection.

Water supply: Minimal amount of water will be required during construction. The water is necessary for in-situ foundation works and for drinking. The water required for construction and related activity will be sourced from the Windhoek Municipal water supply schemes.

The appointed local construction contractor will be responsible for the sourcing of water.

Site Access: The site is located in the Eros area, on the east of the boundary of the Eros Park Suburb of Windhoek. There are no access routes leading directly to the site as it is located on a hill

Waste Management: The waste generated on site during construction and maintenance phases will be stored and transported to the appropriate (waste type) landfill sites in Windhoek. The Proponent will enforce the availability of portable toilets for the construction team (contractors) while on site.

Decommissioning Phase

Decommissioning of the network tower is not anticipated, as long as there is need for use of mobile communications and associated services in the town.

Impacts Identification

The potential impacts of the proposed project have been identified as they relate to the project activities in terms of a source-pathway-receptor risk based methodology and in consultation with interested and affected parties. By considering the potential risk areas associated with the receiving biophysical and social environment, the following key impacts have been highlighted for consideration:

POSITIVE IMPACTS

- **Telecommunications convenience:** Current and future local residents (mobile users) will have an improved infrastructure and would not experience network coverage inconveniences.
- **Employment creation:** Creation of temporary jobs during the construction of the tower.
- **General contribution to local economic development** through reliable communications services.

NEGATIVE IMPACTS

- **Physical Land/Soil Disturbance:** During the tower construction, the presence of the construction team may disturb the immediate neighbours to the site.
- **Noise:** *During tower construction, the presence of the construction team and movement of heavy vehicles and machinery may disturb the immediate neighbours to the site.*
- **Potential occupational health and safety risks associated with mishandling of tower construction and operations equipment.**
- **Waste Generation** *from improper disposal of waste generated during construction and maintenance.*
- **Dust Generation** *from construction works and vehicular traffic*
- **Loss of Avifaunal Biodiversity**
- **Impacts to Human Health:** Electromagnetic Radiation emitted from the tower of cellular structures may affect human health.
- **Civil Aviation concerns:** The proposed site designs and location need to be verified to ensure that it meets the approval of the Directorate of Civil Aviation regarding the height of the masts and the position and stability of transmitters.
- **Visual impact:** The presence of the tower in the neighbourhood may be a nuisance to locals.

- [Vehicular traffic safety](#) from increased number of vehicles moving around the project site and slow-moving trucks transporting project structures during construction, and
- [Archaeological or cultural heritage impact](#) through unintentional uncovering of unknown archaeological objects or sites by certain project activities such as excavation (the minimal site works)

Conclusions

The potential (positive and negative) impacts that are anticipated from the proposed construction of the telecommunication tower and related activities were identified, described, and assessed. Most of the identified potential negative impacts are rated as of Medium Significance. Therefore, in order to reduce the significance from medium to low, it is recommended that the Proponent effectively implement mitigation measures. In order to maintain a low significance, the implementation of measures will need to be continuously monitored in order to reduce the impact to low and bring the impact under control.

It is, therefore, recommended that in the event of an ECC issuance, the conditions provided in this ESA may be appropriate to ensure minimal environmental impact for this project.

Limitations

EDS warrants that the findings and conclusions contained herein were accomplished in accordance with the methodologies set forth in the Scope of Work and EMA, 2007. These methodologies are described as representing good customary practice for conducting an Environmental Impact Assessment of a property for the purpose of identifying recognized environmental conditions. There is a possibility that even with the proper application of these methodologies there may still exist, on the subject property, conditions that could not be identified within the scope of the assessment or which were not reasonably identifiable from the available information. EDS believes that the information obtained from the record review and during the public consultation process concerning the subject property is reliable.

Disclaimer

However, EDS cannot and does not warrant or guarantee that the information provided by these other sources is accurate or complete. The conclusions and findings set forth in this report are strictly limited in time and scope to the date of the evaluations. No other warranties are implied or expressed.

Some of the information provided in this report is based upon personal interviews, and research of available documents, records, and maps held by the appropriate government and private agencies. This report is subject to the limitations of historical documentation, availability, and accuracy of pertinent records and the personal recollections of those persons contacted.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY	i
LIST OF FIGURES	vii
LIST OF TABLES	vii
LIST OF APPENDICES	viii
LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS	ix
1 INTRODUCTION	1
1.1 Project Background.....	1
1.2 Terms of Reference and Scope of Works	3
1.3 Appointed Environmental Assessment Practitioner	3
1.4 Motivation for the Proposed Project	4
2 PROJECT DESCRIPTION	5
2.1 Proposed Network Tower Location	5
2.2 Planning and Design Phase	6
2.3 Construction Phase.....	8
2.4 Operational and Maintenance Phase.....	8
2.5 Services infrastructure	9
2.6 Waste Management.....	9
2.7 Decommissioning Phase.....	9
2.8 Post-Construction Site Rehabilitation and Decommissioning	10
3 PROJECT ALTERNATIVES	11
3.1.1 The "No-go" Alternative	11
3.1.2 Network Tower Location.....	11
4 LEGAL FRAMEWORK: LEGISLATION, POLICIES AND GUIDELINES	13
4.1 The Environmental Management Act (No. 7 of 2007)	13
4.2 The Communications Act (No. 8 of 2009)	13
5 ENVIRONMENTAL BASELINE.....	25
5.1 Climate	25
5.2 Topography	26
5.3 Geology and Soils	27
5.4 Flora and Fauna	28
5.5 Socio-economic Status.....	30
5.5.1 Telecommunications.....	30

6	PUBLIC CONSULTATION PROCESS	31
6.1	Pre-identified and Registered Interested and Affected Parties (I&APs)	31
6.2	Communication with I&APs	32
6.3	Public Feedback.....	34
7	IMPACT IDENTIFICATION, ASSESSMENT AND MITIGATION MEASURES.....	34
7.1	Impact Identification	34
7.2	Impact Assessment Methodology.....	35
7.2.1	Impact Significance	39
7.3	Assessment of Potential Negative Impacts: Construction and Operations	40
7.3.3	Occupational Health and Safety	43
7.3.4	Waste Generation / Environmental Pollution	44
7.3.5	Dust Generation	45
7.3.6	Loss of Biodiversity	46
7.3.7	Potential Impact on Human Health: Radiation.....	47
7.3.8	Potential Impact on Civil Aviation	50
7.3.9	Visual Impact.....	52
8	CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS.....	55

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 1: Location of the proposed network tower site in Eros, Windhoek	2
Figure 2: Location of the proposed network tower site near Eros Park, Windhoek	5
Figure 3: Typical example of a camouflaged tree network tower	7
Figure 4: Topography of the project area	26
Figure 5: Elevation graph for the project area	27
Figure 6: Windhoek Soil Map	28
Figure 7: Vegetation map of Windhoek	29
Figure 8: Public Site notices	33
Figure 9: Neighbour consultations	33

LIST OF TABLES

Table 1: Proposed site locality details.....	5
Table 3: Applicable Local, National and International standards, policies and guidelines governing the proposed development.....	16
Table 4: Summary of pre-identified Interested and Affected Parties (I&APs).....	31
Table 5: Impact Assessment Criteria employed to assess the potential significance of impacts	36
Table 6: Significance rating scale	39

Table 7: Assessment of the impacts of the network tower on socio-economic environment.....	41
Table 8: Assessment of noise impact	42
Table 9: Assessment of the potential impacts of the network tower on health and safety	43
Table 10: Assessment of the impact of waste generation	44
Table 11: Assessment of the impacts of construction activities on air quality	45
Table 12: Assessment of the impacts of network tower construction on avifauna	46
Table 13: Assessment of the impacts of the network tower on health and safety	48
Table 14: Assessment of impacts of long term radiation effects (health and safety)	50
Table 15: Assessment of the presence of the tower on civil aviation	51
Table 16: Assessment of the visual impacts of the network tower.....	52
Table 17: Assessment of the impacts of project activities on road use (vehicular traffic)	52
Table 18: Assessment of the impacts of project activities on archaeological resources	54

LIST OF APPENDICES

Appendix A: ECC Application Form 1

Appendix B: Environmental Management Plan (EMP)

Appendix C: Environmental Assessment Practitioner (CV)

Appendix D: Background Information Document (BID)

Appendix E: List of Interested and Affected Parties (I&APs)

Appendix F: EIA Notification in the newspapers (*New Era* and *The Namibian*)

Appendix G: Neighbourhood BID Delivery Register

Appendix H: Ministry of Information, Communication and Technology (Letter)

Appendix I: National Civil Aviation Authority (NCAA) Response (**Pending**)

Appendix J: City of Windhoek Agreement of Lease

Appendix K: Comments Response Trail

Appendix L: Non-ionising Radiation Regulations, 2019: Atomic Energy and Radiation Protection Act, 2005

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

Abbreviation	Meaning
BID	Background Information Document
CRAN	Communications Regulatory Authority of Namibia
CV	Curriculum Vitae
DEA	Department of Environmental Affairs
EA	Environmental Assessment
EAP	Environmental Assessment Practitioner
ECC	Environmental Clearance Certificate
EDS	Excel Dynamic Solutions
EMA	Environmental Management Act
EMF	Electromagnetic field
EMP	Environmental Management Plan
EMR	Electromagnetic radiation
GG	Government Gazette
GN	Government Notice
I&APs	Interested and Affected Parties
ICAO	International Civil Aviation Organisation
ICNIRP	International Commission on Non-Ionizing Radiation Protection
MEFT	Ministry of Environment, Forestry and Tourism
NCAA	Namibian Civil Aviation Authority
NRPA	The National Radiation Protection Authority (of Namibia)
Reg	Regulation
S	Section
TOR	Terms of Reference

1 INTRODUCTION

1.1 Project Background

Namibia is experiencing a rapid increase in the use of mobile communication services, which has led to a rise in local pressure for efforts to expand on telecommunications infrastructure, in order to promote and facilitate local access to mobile communication services. PowerCom (Pty) Ltd (herein referred to as The Proponent) has identified the need for a 25 m high camouflaged tree telecommunication tower in the area of Eros, Windhoek, which would reduce current congestion issues and improve coverage in the area. This proposed development is aimed at ensuring that the quality of service provided to telecommunication service users in the area is enhanced.

The Proponent proposes to erect and operate a 25 m high camouflaged tree telecommunication (network) tower with an outdoor cabinet next to it in the Eros area in Windhoek, Khomas Region. The proposed tower is a 25 m high camouflaged tree network tower, with main antennae frequencies at 900, 1800 and 2100 MHz. The antennae are designed to operate in a 900MHz (for 2G/3G), 1800MHz (for 2G/4G) and a 2100MHz (for 3G) frequencies. The total surface area of the site dedicated to tower footprint is approximately 64m² (8m x 8m). The remainder of the site area is for storing the operational and maintenance equipment. The location of the proposed network tower construction project is shown in **Figure 1**.

Section 27 of the Environmental Management Act (EMA), (No. 7 of 2007), provides a list of activities that may not be carried out without an Environmental Impact Assessment (EIA) undertaken and an Environmental Clearance Certificate (ECC) obtained. Telecommunications (network) tower establishment is one of the listed activities that requires an EIA study under the following Section of the EIA Regulations:

- *10.1 (g) The construction of masts of any material or type and of any height, including those used for telecommunication, broadcasting, and radio transmission*

Individuals or organizations may, therefore, not carry out any construction activity such as that listed above, without an ECC. The Proponent has appointed thereupon, Excel Dynamic Solutions (Pty) Ltd (EDS, Consultant or Environmental Assessment Practitioner (EAP) hereinafter), to conduct the required Environmental Scoping Assessment (ESA) process and submit the ECC application to the Department of Environmental Affairs and Forestry (DEAF), on their (Proponent's) behalf.




Figure 1: Location of the proposed network tower site in Eros, Windhoek

1.2 Terms of Reference and Scope of Works

This ESA has been conducted according to the Environmental Management Act (EMA) (No. 7 of 2007), and its 2012 Environmental Impact Assessment (EIA) Regulations. In line with the Terms of Reference provided for this project, the scope of works for this project (ESA) entails the following:

- Confirm the suitability of the proposed site for the tower construction and suggest alternative site, if required;
- Conduct the required Environmental Scoping Assessment (ESA);
- Consult all potential interested and affected parties (I&APs);
- Compile an ESA report and draft Environmental Management Plan (EMP); and
- Obtain an Environmental Clearance Certificate for the proposed tower.

The main aim of the ESA is to:

- Identify, analyse and assess the bio-physical, socio-economic impacts associated with the proposed activity; and
- compile management action plans (in the form of the draft EMP that will need be implemented by the Proponent and/or their contractor(s) to minimize these impacts, if they cannot be avoided altogether, while maximizing positive impacts.

1.3 Appointed Environmental Assessment Practitioner

In order to satisfy the requirements of the EMA and its 2012 EIA Regulations, the Proponent appointed Excel Dynamic Solutions Pty Ltd (“EDS”/Consultant/Environmental Assessment Practitioner hereafter), an independent consulting company to conduct the required EIA process on their (Proponent's) behalf. The findings of the EA process are incorporated into this report, together with the draft Environmental Management Plan (EMP) - (**Appendix B**), and will be submitted as part of an application for an ECC to the Environmental Commissioner at the Department of Environmental Affairs (DEA), Ministry of Environment, Forestry and Tourism (MEFT).

The ESA project is headed by Mr. Nerson Tjelos, a qualified and experienced Geoscientist and experienced EAP. The consultation process and reporting are conducted by Ms. Rose Mtuleni, with support from Ms. Althea Brandt. The CV for Mr. Tjelos is presented in **Appendix C**.

1.4 Motivation for the Proposed Project

Due to the rapidly occurring urban development and related expansion of land use in Windhoek, the demand for access to adequate infrastructure and services increases. Telecommunication services are essential for general communication and access to information. The increase in the use of mobile communication services in Namibia has resulted in pressure exerted on local telecommunication services providers to expand the communications network. The Proponent has foreseen a shortfall in adequate access to mobile networks in the Eros area of Windhoek, and has intentions to make provision for telecommunication infrastructure for current and future residents of this part of Windhoek. This planned activity will provide additional capacity, reducing the congestion problems and improve the network coverage in this particular area. This will also ensure improved quality of the service provided to mobile telecommunication users.

2 PROJECT DESCRIPTION

The description of project activity to be undertaken for the establishment (construction) and eventual operations and maintenance of the network tower are presented as follows:

2.1 Proposed Network Tower Location

The Communications Act (No. 8 of 2009), requires that service providers consider sharing existing infrastructure in the area first, before constructing new structures.

There are criteria that are usually employed to optimize the positions of new structures in the telecommunication industry. These include; coverage of existing network infrastructure, surrounding topography & built-up environment, established and future urban area, required footprint and the most appropriate design of the facility (GCS Water & Environmental Consultants, 2017). The site is located in the Eros area, on the east of the boundary of the Eros Park Suburb of Windhoek (**Figure 2**), and falls under the jurisdiction of the Windhoek Municipality.




Figure 2: Location of the proposed network tower site near Eros Park, Windhoek

The site details are presented in **Table 1** below.

Table 1: Proposed site locality details

Location:	Eros, Windhoek
GPS Coordinates	22°32'26.0"S 17°05'57.7"E
Local Authority:	Windhoek Municipality
Region Administration & Town / City:	Khomas Regional Council, Windhoek
Regional Constituency:	Windhoek East Constituency

2.2 Planning and Design Phase

The Communications Act No. 8 of 2009, requires that service providers should first consider sharing existing infrastructure in the area before constructing new structures. There is no other infrastructure that could be shared by PowerCom to install/mount their antenna, therefore, PowerCom will need to construct a new tower in this area.

There are criteria that are usually employed to optimise the positions of new structures in the telecommunication industry. These include coverage of existing network infrastructure, surrounding topography & built-up environment, established and future urban areas, required footprint and the most appropriate design of the facility (GCS Water & Environmental Consultants, 2017).

Once the Proponent has been issued with the ECC and obtained all relevant and required permitting/licensing such as land use /leasehold agreements), and ready to commence with the construction activities, the planned activities will commence at the site

The planning and design phase which also include the ESA is aimed at presenting some key concepts of the project alongside a general overview of the study area, the legal landscape to be considered, and a preliminary assessment of the main aspects that might affect the feasibility of the project and or its associated activities. Thereafter, the environmental, technical, and financial aspects of the project are assessed by identifying potential risks and proposing mitigation measures where possible. This would also include highlighting 'fatal flaws' wherever mitigation measures are unavailable or impractical with regards to the available finances and other resources.

Prior to commencement of any site work, all personnel (including fully employed, contracted, and casual) will be inducted on the Proponent's Environmental, Health and Safety Policy as well as

procedures and processes to follow while conducting the work on site or offsite work related to the project.

2.2.1 Design and Technical Aspects

The proposed tower (structure) will be used for 3G/4G coverage, and the coverage area will be 1.5 km to have proper in-door and outdoor coverage. These site will consist of tower, perimeter fence, outdoor cabinets as well as antennae on the tower. The footprint (surface areas) to be covered by the tower and associated accessories will be small, anticipated to be about 64 m², with only less of that dedicated to the actual footprints of the tower.

Typical examples of a camouflaged tree tower for telecommunication purposes are shown in **Figure 3**.




Figure 3: Typical example of a camouflaged tree network tower

2.3 Construction Phase

Tower construction works are expected to last for at least one month, and there will be minimal earthworks to prepare the site for construction. The total surface area of the site occupied by the tower will be approximately 64 m², with an 8m x 8m size dedicated to tower footprint. The tower structure will be mounted to a concrete foundation. The rest of the site area will be used for storing the operational and maintenance equipment. For safety reasons, the tower site will be fenced off with palisade fencing. This will also be done to ensure that access to the tower is only limited to authorised personnel and to prevent vandalism of the tower and its associated accessories. The tower structure will be earthed to protect it from lightning.

The physical assemblage of the network tower structure and the construction of the foundations will take place on the site by using manual labour as far as possible. The Proponent will appoint a contractor to carry out the construction. Construction works will be carried out during weekdays only, between 08h00 and 17h00. The number of workers expected for this work will depend on the local contractors appointed and their standard human capacity for development of the project. Preference for the construction works will be given to locals, i.e. contractors from Windhoek. All non-skilled labour will also be given to local residents (from Windhoek).

If necessary, the appointed construction contractor will need to make arrangements for their workers to be housed in Windhoek or nearby places, but not on site. The appointed contractor will be required to provide appropriate Personal Protective Equipment (PPE) to all their employees while carrying out construction works on site.

2.4 Operational and Maintenance Phase

This is the phase during which the tower and its associated infrastructure are operational and providing telecommunication signal to the residents of Eros/Eros Park and other future land users in this part of the town. Maintenance of the tower is to be carried out by the Proponent's Maintenance Department, as and when required. No onsite accommodation will be required during this phase, as the maintenance works are not expected to last for more than a day. In the event that maintenance works are lasting more than one day, accommodation arrangements are to be made by the Proponent for their maintenance team.

The Proponent is to ensure that all employees carrying out maintenance works on site are provided with appropriate Personal Protective Equipment (PPE).

2.5 Services infrastructure

Power supply: No electricity is required during the construction of the tower. However, it will be required during the operational and maintenance phase of the tower. The operation of the tower will require an AC power, therefore, it will be connected to the Windhoek municipal grid via a metered connection.

Water supply: Minimal amount of water will be required during construction. The water is necessary for in-situ foundation works and for drinking. The water required for construction and related activity will be sourced from municipal sources. The appointed local construction contractor will be responsible for the sourcing of water.

Site Access: The site is located in the Eros area, on the east of the boundary of the Eros Park Suburb of Windhoek. There are no access routes leading directly to the site as it is located on a hill.

2.6 Waste Management

Any waste generated on site during construction and maintenance phases will be stored and transported to the appropriate (waste type) garbage skips or landfill sites.

In management during the construction phase, The Proponent will enforce the availability of portable toilets for the construction team (contractors) while on site during the construction phase, for sewage waste management. Chemical toilets with sealed septic tanks will be used as ablution facilities and the sewage waste handled according to the manufacturer's instructions of the facility provided.

2.7 Decommissioning Phase

As long as the use of mobile communications and other associated services is on the increase and the need for better services in Windhoek, the decommissioning of the network tower is not anticipated. Regardless, recommendations will be provided in the impact assessment chapter and EMP, in the event that the network tower needs to be decommissioned.

2.8 Post-Construction Site Rehabilitation and Decommissioning

Once construction phase has been completed, the associated works will be ceased, and site cleaned up in preparation for the next phase (operations). The Proponent will need to properly decommission the construction works and rehabilitate disturbed site. The aim is to ensure that the project related disturbed site areas are left close to their pre-work state as much as possible.

The activities to be carried out to clean up and rehabilitate the site post-construction are as follows:

- Dismantling and removal of all temporary infrastructures and structures (erected or set up to support construction) that will no longer be required for the operational and maintenance phases. These will be transported to designated storage facilities offsite.
- Removal of all construction related vehicles, machinery, and equipment from site to designated parking and storage site off site, respectively.
- Carrying away the waste storage containers and disposal of waste to the designated local approved waste management site in Windhoek.
- If any, closure of all onsite access roads that may have been created for the construction phase and no longer required for operational phase.

Levelling of all stockpiled topsoil and where possible, backfilling of all construction excavated pits and trenches, respectively.

3 PROJECT ALTERNATIVES

Alternatives are defined as: “*different means of meeting the general purpose and requirements of the activity*” (EMA (2007)). This section will highlight the different ways in which the project can be undertaken and how the alternative that will be the most practical but least damaging to the environment is identified.

Once the alternatives have been established, these are examined by asking the following three questions:

- What alternatives are technically and economically feasible?
- What are the environmental effects associated with the feasible alternatives?
- What is the rationale for selecting the preferred alternative?

3.1 Types of Alternatives Considered

3.1.1 The "No-go" Alternative

The “No-go” alternative is the option of not proceeding with the activity, which typically implies a continuation of the status quo. The No-Go alternative may also be considered as the option of not proceeding with the activity or on selected parts of the project area, which may be deemed environmentally unsuitable for the proposed activity. Should the proposed works of the construction and operation plan not be implemented, none of the potential impacts (positive and negative) identified (as outlined in Section 7.1 of this report) would occur, and the current land use for the proposed site remains unchanged.

3.1.2 Network Tower Location

The location is strategically chosen whereby Telecom Namibia uses radio planning tools to select sites and thereafter PowerCom gets the instruction to apply for the intended sites. In this instance in, to address any network coverage issues in this part of Windhoek and provide improved network coverage in the area. During the EA process, neighboring residents complained about the proposed location of the tower, arguing that because of the close distance to the neighboring houses it would pose health risks due to RF Radiation.

3.1.3 Infrastructure Sharing as per the Communications Act of 2009

In accordance with the Communications Act No. 8 of 2009, service providers should consider using other existing structures first before constructing new ones. This is done to avoid cumulative impact. However, the Proponent intends to construct a multi-user tower for telecommunication service providers to be able to mount their antennae in the future.

4 LEGAL FRAMEWORK: LEGISLATION, POLICIES AND GUIDELINES

The proposed network tower developments are governed by certain legislation that the Proponent needs to comply with from the construction phase, and throughout the lifetime of the project. A review of applicable and relevant Namibian legislation, policies and guidelines to the proposed development are given in this section. This review serves to inform the project Proponent, Interested and Affected Parties and the decision makers at the DEAF of the requirements and expectations, as laid out in terms of these instruments, to be fulfilled in order to undertake the project's construction and operational activities.

4.1 The Environmental Management Act (No. 7 of 2007)

This ESA was carried out according to the Environmental Management Act (EMA) and its Environmental Impact Assessment (EIA) Regulations (GG No. 4878 GN No. 30). The EMA has stipulated requirements to complete the required documentation in order to obtain an ECC for permission to undertake certain listed activities. These activities are listed under the following Regulations:

- *10.1 (g) The construction of masts of any material or type and of any height, including those used for telecommunication, broadcasting, and radio transmission*

4.2 The Communications Act (No. 8 of 2009)

The Act provides for the regulation of telecommunications services and networks, broadcasting, postal services and the use and allocation of radio spectrum; for that purpose, the establishment of an independent Communications Regulatory Authority of Namibia; to make provision for its powers and functions; the granting of special rights to telecommunications licensees; the creation of an Association to manage the “.na” internet domain name space and for matters connected therewith.

Applicability to the Proposed Project: The Proponent is required to comply with the relevant Sections and Parts of the Act, and of importance is Part 5 of the Act. This Part (Special Rights of Carriers) states the following in relation to the project:

- **'Applicability of this Part: Section 59(1)** The rights granted by this Part are granted to all holders of technology and service neutral licences and to other licensees to whom and in so far as it has been made applicable to them in terms of section 38(12) or 38(13).
- **(3)** Subject to subsection (4) and (5), the rights granted by this Part, to install telecommunications facilities, only relate to wires, fibres, or any other form of telecommunication's line as well as facilities used to protect or support such wires, fibres or lines (including poles, stays, ducts and pipes), but do not relate to masts, antennas, tower, pay telephones and other similar equipment.
- **Entry upon and construction of lines across any land: Section 60.** A carrier may, for the purposes of provision of telecommunications services, enter upon any land, including any street, road, footpath or land reserved for public purposes, and any railway, and construct and maintain a telecommunications facility upon, under, over, along or across any land, street, road, footpath or waterway or any railway, and alter or remove the same, and may for that purpose attach wires, stays or any other kind of support to any building or other structure.
- **Fences: Section 64(1)** If any fence erected or to be erected on land over which a telecommunications facility, pipe, tunnel or tube is constructed or is to be constructed by a carrier, renders or would render it impossible or inconvenient for the carrier to obtain access to that land the carrier may at its own expense erect and maintain gates in that fence and must provide duplicate keys therefor, one of which must be handed to the owner or occupier of the land.
- **Section 64(2)** Any person intending to erect any such fence must give not less than six weeks' notice in writing to the carrier of his or her intention.
- **Height or depth of cables and facilities: 66(1)** Aerial telecommunication wires or cables along any railway or public or Private Street, road, footpath, or land must be at the prescribed height above the surface of the ground.
- **Section 66(2)** Underground telecommunication facilities, pipes, tunnels, and tubes must be placed by a carrier at the prescribed depth below the surface of the ground

Section 66(3) If the owner of any private land is obstructed in the free use of his or her land by reason of the insufficient height or depth of any telecommunications wire, cable or other facility, pipe, tunnel or tube constructed by that carrier, the carrier must take such steps as are necessary for giving relief to that owner".

Other applicable legal obligations that are relevant to the proposed network tower and related activities are presented in **Table 3**.

Table 2: Applicable Local, National and International standards, policies and guidelines governing the proposed development

Legislation/Policy/ Guideline	Relevant Provisions	Implications for this project
NATIONAL, REGIONAL AND LOCAL		
The Constitution of the Republic of Namibia, 1990 as amended	<p>The Constitution of the Republic of Namibia (1990 as amended) addresses matters relating to environmental protection and sustainable development. Article 91(c) defines the functions of the Ombudsman to include:</p> <p><i>“...the duty to investigate complaints concerning the over-utilisation of living natural resources, the irrational exploitation of non-renewable resources, the degradation and destruction of ecosystems and failure to protect the beauty and character of Namibia...”</i></p> <p><i>Article 95(l) commits the state to actively promoting and maintaining the welfare of the people by adopting policies aimed at the:</i></p> <p><i>“...Natural resources situated in the soil and on the subsoil, the internal waters, in the sea, in the continental shelf, and in the exclusive economic zone are property of the State.”</i></p>	<p>By implementing the environmental management plan, the establishment will be in conformant to the constitution in terms of environmental management and sustainability.</p> <p>Ecological sustainability will be main priority for the proposed development.</p>

Legislation/Policy/ Guideline	Relevant Provisions	Implications for this project
Environmental Assessment Policy of Namibia 1994	The Environmental Assessment Policy of Namibia states Schedule 1: Screening list of policies/ plans/ programmes/ project subject to environment must be accompanied by environmental assessments. "The Proposed tower activities" are on that list.	The establishment of the proposed project triggers the need for environmental assessments prior commencement of civil works as they may alter the environment which could result on the damage of the environment.
	The policy provides a definition to the term "Environment" broadly interpreted to include biophysical, social, economic, cultural, historical, and political components and provides reference to the inclusion of alternatives in all project, policies, programmes, and plans.	The construction of the tower requires the assessment of all possible environmental and social impacts to avoid, minimise or compensate environmental damage associated with the activities.
The Regional Councils Act (No. 22 of 1992)	<p>This Act sets out the conditions under which Regional Councils must be elected and administer each delineated region. From a land use and project planning point of view, their duties include, as described in section 28 "to undertake the planning of the development of the region for which it has been established with a view to physical, social, and economic characteristics, urbanisation patterns, natural resources, economic development potential, infrastructure, land utilisation pattern and sensitivity of the natural environment.</p> <p>The main objective of this Act is to initiate, supervise, manage, and evaluate development.</p>	The relevant Regional Councils are considered to be Interested & Affected Parties and must be consulted during the Environmental Assessment (EA) process. The project site fall under the Khomas Regional Council; therefore, they should be consulted.

Legislation/Policy/ Guideline	Relevant Provisions	Implications for this project
Local Authorities Act No. 23 of 1992	<p>To provide for the determination, for purposes of local government, of local authority councils; the establishment of such local authority councils; and to define the powers, duties and functions of local authority councils; and to provide for incidental matters.</p>	<p>The Windhoek Municipality is the Local Authority responsible for the project site. Therefore, the Municipality should ensure that the network tower establishment activities are in compliance with the Act and its Regulations, as relevant to the proposed project.</p>
Atomic Energy and Radiation Protection Act No. 5 of 2005	<p>To provide for adequate protection of the environment and of people in current and future generations against the harmful effects of radiation by controlling and regulating the production, processing, handling, use, holding, storage, transport and disposal of radiation sources and radioactive materials, and controlling and regulating prescribed non-ionising radiation sources; to establish an Atomic Energy Board and to provide for its composition and functions; to establish a National Radiation Protection Authority; to amend the Hazardous Substances Ordinance, 1974 (Ordinance No. 14 of 1974); and to provide for related matters.</p> <p>Under Section 43(1) of the Act, the Non-ionising Radiation Regulations have been made in 2019.</p>	<p>To determine the “safe distance” around the site.</p> <p>The Proponent should comply with the Regulations and requirements of the Act throughout the project life cycle.</p>

Legislation/Policy/ Guideline	Relevant Provisions	Implications for this project
The Aviation Act, Act No. 74 of 1962	Gives effect to certain International Aviation Conventions and makes provision for the control, regulation, and encouragement of flying within the Republic of Namibia and for other matters incidental thereto.	Provides the regulations for setting up cellular as well as other masts structures in Namibia.
Civil Aviation Act No. 6 of 2016	<p>“.....; to establish the Air Navigation Services in the Authority; to provide for a civil aviation regulatory and control framework for maintaining, enhancing and promoting the safety and security of civil aviation for ensuring the implementation of international aviation agreements; to establish the Directorate of Aircraft Accident and Incident Investigations. Section 6(1) The Minister may, by issuing a directive, require the removal of any building structure, tree or other object whatsoever on any land or water which, in the opinion of the Minister on the advice of the Executive Director, may constitute a danger to aircraft flying in accordance with normal aviation practice.</p>	<p>The applicable part of the Act is the establishment of the Directorate of Aircraft Accident and Incident Investigations and to provide for its powers and functions.</p> <p>The height of the proposed tower might be a threat to the nearest aerodrome site. Therefore, the Proponent should verify these prior to construction with the Namibia Civil Aviation Authority (NCAA).</p>
Soil Conservation Act No. 76 of 1969	The Act makes provision for the prevention and control of soil erosion and the protection, improvement and conservation of soil, vegetation and water supply sources and resources, through directives declared by the Minister.	Duty of care must be applied for soil conservation management measures must be included in the EMP.

Legislation/Policy/ Guideline	Relevant Provisions	Implications for this project
Forestry Act No. 12 of 2001	<p>The Act provides for the management and use of forests and related products / resources. It offers protection to any living tree, bush or shrub growing within 100 metres of a river, stream or watercourse on land that is not a surveyed erven of a local authority area. In such instances, a licence would be required to cut and remove any such vegetation.</p> <p>These provisions are only guidelines.</p>	<p>Should there be trees within the actual footprint of the site that need to be removed; the Proponent should notify the nearest Department of Environmental Affairs and Forestry (Forestry Division in Windhoek (DEAF)), The number and/or type of trees to be removed to allow the construction of the tower should also be submitted to DEAF. Should these trees be of a protected species, the permit to remove them should be applied from the DEAF office.</p>
Public Health Act (No. 36 of 1919)	<p>Section 119 states that “no person shall cause a nuisance or shall suffer to exist on any land or premises owned or occupied by him or of which he is in charge any nuisance or other condition liable to be injurious or dangerous to health.”</p>	<p>The Proponent and all its employees or contractors should ensure compliance with the provisions of these legal instruments.</p>
Health and Safety Regulations GN 156/1997 (GG 1617)	<p>Details various requirements regarding health and safety of labourers.</p>	

Legislation/Policy/ Guideline	Relevant Provisions	Implications for this project
Public and Environmental Health Act No. 1 of 2015	<p>The Act serves to protect the public from nuisance and states that no person shall cause a nuisance or shall suffer to exist on any land or premises owned or occupied by him or of which he is in charge any nuisance or other condition liable to be injurious or dangerous to health.</p>	<p>The Proponent and their contractors should ensure that the project infrastructure, vehicles, equipment, and machinery are designed and operated in a way that is safe, or not injurious or dangerous to public health and that the noise which could be considered a nuisance remain at acceptable levels.</p> <p>The Proponent should ensure that the public as well as the environmental health is preserved and remain uncompromised.</p>
Pollution Control and Waste Management Bill	<p>The bill aims to “prevent and regulate the discharge of pollutants to the air, water and land” Of particular reference to the Project is: Section 21 “(1) Subject to sub-section (4) and section 22, no person shall cause or permit the discharge of pollutants or waste into any water or watercourse.”</p>	<p>The construction and operation/maintenance activities trigger section 21 and 22 of the Bill, activities like construction works generates lots of waste that require good management practices.</p>
	<p>Section 55 “(1) No person may produce, collect, transport, sort, recover, treat, store, dispose of or otherwise manage waste in a manner that results in or creates a significant risk of harm to human health or the environment.”</p>	<p>Contractors of the construction works, and maintenance of the project should make it mandatory that they manage their waste in a manner that do not cause environmental threat and risk both to the surroundings and the local communities.</p>

Legislation/Policy/ Guideline	Relevant Provisions	Implications for this project
National Solid Waste Management Strategy	<p>The Strategy ensures that the future directions, regulations, funding, and action plans to improve solid waste management are properly co-ordinated and consistent with national policy, and to facilitate co-operation between stakeholders. The Strategy listed priorities for the strategy to address for effective solid waste management.</p>	<p>The construction and operation/maintenance of the tower can potentially generate significant amount of solid waste that might need proper management by contractors to avoid pollution. Waste management plans should be compiled and implemented prior the commencement of civil works and during tower maintenance.</p>
Road Traffic and Transport Act, No. 22 of 1999	<p>The Act provides for the establishment of the Transportation Commission of Namibia; for the control of traffic on public roads, the licensing of drivers, the registration and licensing of vehicles, the control and regulation of road transport across Namibia's borders; and for matters incidental thereto. Should the Proponent wish to undertake activities involving road transportation or access onto existing roads, the relevant permits will be required.</p>	<p>Mitigation measures should be provided for since the project activities will make use of the public roads.</p>

Legislation/Policy/ Guideline	Relevant Provisions	Implications for this project
National Heritage Act No. 27 of 2004	<p>The Act makes provision for the protection and conservation of places and objects of heritage significance and the registration of such places and objects. Part V Section 46 of the Act prohibits removal, damage, alteration, or excavation of heritage site or remains, while Section 48 sets out the procedure for application and granting of permits such as might be required in the event of damage to a protected site occurring as an inevitable result of development. Part VI Section 55 Paragraphs 3 and 4 require that any person who discovers an archaeological site should notify the National Heritage Council. Section 51 (3) sets out the requirements for impact assessment.</p>	<p>The Proponent should ensure compliance with this Acts' requirement. The necessary management measures and related permitting requirements must be taken. This done by consulting with the National Heritage Council of Namibia.</p>
The National Monuments Act (No. 28 of 1969)	<p>The Act enables the proclamation of national monuments and protects archaeological site.</p>	
Labour Act (No. 6 of 1992)	<p>The Ministry of Labour, Industrial Relations and Employment is aimed at ensuring harmonious labour relations through promoting social justice, occupational health and safety and enhanced labour market services for the benefit of all Namibians. This ministry insures effective implementation of the Labour Act no. 6 of 1992.</p>	<p>The Proponent should ensure that the project construction and operations and maintenance, do not compromise the safety and welfare of workers.</p>
APPLICABLE INTERNATIONAL POLICIES, PRINCIPLES, STANDARDS, GUIDELINES AND CONVENTIONS		
Statue	Provision	Implication for the project and its activities

Legislation/Policy/ Guideline	Relevant Provisions	Implications for this project
Convention on International Civil Aviation, Annex 14	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Annex 14 to the Convention on International Civil Aviation. • Chapter 4: Obstacle restrictions and removal <p>Chapter 6: Visual aids and donating of obstacles</p>	<p>The proposed new structures may be obstacles to some aerodromes in Namibia. Those that are close to existing aerodromes need to be assessed in accordance with the document. Visual aids to the new structures to make them visible to aircraft need to be applied in accordance with this Convention.</p>
<p>“Guidelines for Limiting Exposure to Time-Varying Electric, Magnetic, and Electromagnetic Fields (up to 300GHz)” (April 1998 developed by the International Commission on Non-Ionizing Radiation Protection (ICNIRP))</p>	<p>Provides international standards and guidelines for limiting the adverse effects of non-ionising radiation on human health and well-being, and, where appropriate, provides scientifically based advice on non-ionising radiation protection including the provision of guidelines on limiting exposure. ICNIRP exposure limits for non-ionizing radiation is 4.5W/m².</p>	<p>Justifies the need for assessing the impact of electromagnetic radiation from the tower, on the nearby residents or community members.</p>

5 ENVIRONMENTAL BASELINE

The proposed project will be undertaken in specific environmental and social conditions. Understanding the pre-project conditions of the environment will aid in laying down background "information" of the status quo and future projections of environmental conditions after the proposed works on the site. This also helps the EAP in identifying the sensitive environmental features that may need protection through the recommendations and effective implementation of mitigation measures provided. The summary of selected biophysical and social baseline information pertaining to the network tower area is given below.

The baseline information presented below is sourced from different reports of studies conducted in the Khomas Region, as well those done for Windhoek. The rest of the information has been obtained by the Environmental Consultant upon site visit conducted on 24 November 2021. The site visit was aimed at undertaking an environmental inspection of the site and obtaining the contact details of and providing background information to neighbouring property owners so that they could be added to the project's Interested and Affected Parties (I&APs) list.

5.1 Climate

The Khomas region is characterized with a semi-arid highland savannah climate characterized as very hot in summer and moderate dry in winter. Windhoek has fluctuating climatic conditions. Temperature averages between 4 - 32 °C, with December being the hottest month and July the coldest. During the hottest month of the year (December) the average maximum temperatures are at about 30 - 32 °C. During the coldest month (July) the average minimum temperature is 4 - 6 °C. Rainfall is variable and unpredictable, occurring mostly as thunderstorms, with an average rainfall between 350 – 400 mm per year, characterized by sporadic and unpredictable localised storm events between October and April. The relative humidity during the least humid months of the year (i.e. September and October) is around 10 - 20% and the most humid month is March with 70 - 80% humidity. Namibia is characterized by low humidity in general, and the lack of moisture in the air has a major impact on its climate by reducing cloud cover and rain and increasing the rates of evaporation.

Wind direction is predominantly southeasterly. Southerly, easterly and northerly airflow is also common (City of Windhoek, 2015).

5.2 Topography

Windhoek is located on the Khomas Highland Plateau, which is about 300km inland from the west coast of Namibia, and approximately 1540m metres above sea level (Lahnsteiner & Lempert, 2007). The eastern part of Windhoek forms part of the Khomas Hochland Broken Veld, characterised by hilly terrain with scattered rocky outcrops and shallow soils that are susceptible to erosion during the rainy season. The site is located at an elevation of 1710 metres above sea level.




Figure 4: Topography of the project area




Figure 5: Elevation graph for the project area

5.3 Geology and Soils

The most dominant soils in the Windhoek area are Lithic Leptosols (**Figure 6**). Soil cover in the project area is extremely thin (measuring less than 0.5 m thick) and poorly developed. The schist that occurs in the upper 0.5 m is intermediate hard excavation (Gold, Muller and Mitlin, 2001). Due to its thin soil cover and hills the project site is prone to erosion especially considering that the surrounding area has already been cleared for development. The site is overlain by light-brown thin sand and gravel, with sparsely distributed grass and shrub cover. Geologically, the site is overlain by weathered dolomitic marble, and at some places quartzite and schist rock units.




Figure 6: Windhoek Soil Map

5.4 Flora and Fauna

The Khomas Region harbors a recorded species number of invertebrates frogs, snakes and geckoes. Most of these organisms occur on the southern portion of the Windhoek Basin (Karijua, 2008). A portion of these is also likely to occur in the vicinity of drainage lines and other reptiles mainly in rocky habitats such as slopes and ridges. Small mammals occurring in the smaller landscape, encompass several species of bats, shrews, mice, gerbils, hares, mongooses, rats, rock dassies, porcupines, ground squirrels and black backed jackal. Antelope detections include kudu, duiker and steenbok. Herds of baboons are regular within the City of Windhoek (Stubenrauch Planning Consultants, 2004).

Due to the climatic differences within the country, Namibia has a broad variety of plant species from desert and semi-desert vegetation to evergreen subtropical plants. About 70% of Namibia is savannah. In Central Namibia, the Tree-and-shrub Savannah Biome is dominant with mixed woodlands such as Acacia Shrublands and Broadleaved woodlands of the Kalahari Sandveld (Mendelsohn et al, 2003). The vegetation within the vicinity of the proposed tower comprises mainly, vegetation of Highland Shrubland and some vegetation of Thornbush Shrubland to the north of the area (**Figure 7**). The Highland Shrubland comprises mainly shrubs and low trees, while the Thornbush Shrubland carries mainly Acacia shrubs.




Figure 7: Vegetation map of Windhoek

5.5 Socio-economic Status

Population: The Eros area of Windhoek falls under the Windhoek East Constituency of the Khomas Region. The population of Windhoek was recorded at approximately 325 858 during the 2011 Housing and Population Census.

Tourism: Various tourist attractions exist within Windhoek such as the Christ Church (a germ Lutheran church constructed from local sandstone in 1907), Heinitzburg Castle, National Museum and more located in the city centre as well various tourist accommodation establishments. Most of these attractions are dedicated to the country's anticolonial and independence struggle.

Surrounding Land Uses: The site proposed for network tower erection is located on Portion 130 of Portion B, Klein Windhoek Town and Townland No. 70, adjacent to a residential area (Eros Park). The site is located at least 250 m away from the boundary of Eros Park, and is within the extensions of the Windhoek Municipal jurisdiction.

Services Infrastructure: Khomas Region has the basic infrastructure necessary for transportation and telecommunication, while water and electricity are supplied to the urban areas. Windhoek forms an important railway junction, linking the city with the rest of the country's rail network as well as South Africa. Windhoek is centrally located, and the major national roads connect Windhoek to Namibia's southern, eastern and northern neighbours. Hosea Kutako International Airport, is located approximately 35km east of Windhoek, while Eros Airport, located within the city, links Windhoek to the rest of Namibia (KRC, 2001).

5.5.1 Telecommunications

It is priority for the Government to maintain and upgrade the telecommunication systems in an optimised way. For historical reasons, physical infrastructure in Namibia has been unequally distributed, to the disadvantage of indigenous Namibians. Significant improvement in infrastructure distribution has taken place around the country, however, a proportion of the urban residents continue to live without access to basic services such as water supply, adequate sanitation, electricity supply, and telecommunication services.

The country generally has wide coverage of telecommunication service provision by various service providers. However, some citizens still experience network access issues, which indicate the need for new and enhanced telecommunication infrastructure.

6 PUBLIC CONSULTATION PROCESS

Public consultation forms an important component of an Environmental Assessment (EA) process. Public consultation provides potential Interested and Affected Parties (I&APs) with an opportunity to comment on and raise any issues relevant to the project for consideration as part of the assessment process. Public consultation has been done in accordance with the EMA and EIA Regulations.

The public consultation process assists the Environmental Assessment Practitioner (EAP) in identifying all potential impacts and to what extent further investigations are needed. Public consultation can also aid in the process of identifying possible mitigation measures.

6.1 Pre-identified and Registered Interested and Affected Parties (I&APs)

Relevant and applicable national, regional and local authorities, local institutions and other interested members of the public were identified as stakeholders. The pre-identified I&APs were contacted directly, while other parties who contacted the Consultant after project advertisement notices were placed in newspapers, were registered as I&APs upon their request. Newspaper advertisements of the proposed exploration activities were placed in two widely-read national newspapers in the region (*The Namibian* and *New Era* newspapers). The project advertisement/announcement ran for two consecutive weeks inviting members of the public to register as I&APs, submit their comments or concerns and/or attend the public consultation meeting as notified through the advertisements. The list of pre-identified and registered I&APs is listed in **Table 4** below and the complete list including registered I&APs is provided in **Appendix E**.

Table 3: Summary of pre-identified Interested and Affected Parties (I&APs)

National (Ministries and State Owned Enterprises)
Ministry of Environment and Tourism
Ministry of Information and Communication Technology
Ministry of Urban and Rural Development

Ministry of Works and Transport	
Ministry of Health and Social Services	
Ministry of Agriculture, Water and Land Reform	
National Radiation Protection Authority	
Roads Authority	
Namibia Civil Aviation Authority	
CRAN	
Regional & Local	
Khomas Regional Council	
Windhoek East Constituency	
Windhoek Municipality	
General Public	
Interested members of the public / Neighbours	

6.2 Communication with I&APs

Regulation 21 of the EIA Regulations details steps to be taken during a public consultation process and these have been used in guiding this process. Communication with I&APs about the proposed development was facilitated through the following means and in this order:

- A Background Information Document (BID) containing brief information about the proposed facility was compiled (**Appendix D**) and sent out to all pre-identified affected parties and upon request to all new registered Interested and Affected parties (I&APs);
- Project Environmental Assessment notices were placed in *The Namibian and New Era* newspapers (**Appendix F**) dated **15 November 2021** and **22 November 2021** briefly explaining the activity and its locality, inviting members of the public to register as I&APs and submit comment;

- Public notices were placed at Yoleli Guesthouse in Olof Palme Street and Pick N Pay in Eros, (**Figure 5**) to inform members of the public of the ESA process and to register as I&APs as well as submit comments; and
- Due to a lack of response from the site notices and newspaper notices, a house-to-house consultation and handing out of BIDs to residents of the houses neighbouring the site was consulted (**Figure 6**).



Notice at Yoleli Guesthouse in Olof Palme Street



Notice at Pick n Pay, Eros

Figure 8: Public Site notices



House to house Consultations in Eros Park



Figure 9: Neighbour consultations

6.3 Public Feedback

Public consultation was carried out according to the Environmental Management Act's EIA Regulations. Comments were received by EDS via email after distribution of the BID to the neighbours (**Appendix G**).

The Draft Scoping Report and its appendices are circulated to all I&APs for review for a period of not less than 7 days. Should there be any comments, these will be documented in a Comments and Response Trail Document (**Appendix K**) and incorporated into the Final Report, which will be submitted to the Department of Environmental Affairs and Forestry (DEAF) for evaluation and consideration for an ECC.

7 IMPACT IDENTIFICATION, ASSESSMENT AND MITIGATION MEASURES

7.1 Impact Identification

Proposed development/activities are usually associated with potential impacts that may be positive or negative. For an environmental assessment, the focus is placed mainly on the negative impacts. This is to ensure that negative impacts are addressed by providing adequate mitigation measures that bring negative impacts' significance under control, while maximizing the positive impacts of the development. The potential positive and negative impacts that have been identified from the network tower establishment activities are listed as follow:

POSITIVE IMPACTS

- **Telecommunications convenience:** Current and future local residents (mobile users) will have an improved infrastructure and would not experience network coverage inconveniences.
- **Employment creation:** Creation of temporary jobs during the construction of the tower.
- **General contribution to local economic development** through reliable communications services.

NEGATIVE IMPACTS

- **Physical Land/Soil Disturbance:** During the tower construction, the presence of the construction team may disturb the land and soils at the site and the area immediately neighbouring the site.
- **Noise:** During tower construction, the presence of the construction team and movement of heavy vehicles and machinery may disturb the immediate neighbours to the site.
- **Potential occupational health and safety risks** associated with mishandling of tower construction and operations equipment.
- **Waste Generation** from improper disposal of waste generated during construction and maintenance.
- **Dust Generation** from construction works and vehicular traffic
- **Loss of Avifaunal Biodiversity**
- **Impacts to Human Health:** Electromagnetic Radiation emitted from the tower of cellular structures may affect human health.
- **Civil Aviation concerns:** The proposed site designs and location need to be verified to ensure that it meets the approval of the Directorate of Civil Aviation regarding the height of the masts and the position and stability of transmitters.
- **Visual impact:** The presence of the tower in the neighbourhood may be a nuisance to locals.
- **Vehicular traffic safety** from increased number of vehicles moving around the project site and slow-moving trucks transporting project structures during construction, and
- **Archaeological or cultural heritage impact** through unintentional uncovering of unknown archaeological objects or sites by certain project activities such as excavation (the minimal site works)

7.2 Impact Assessment Methodology

An Environmental Assessment is primarily a process used to make sure that possible potential impacts that may occur from project activity, are identified, and addressed through environmentally cautious approaches and legal compliance. The impact assessment method used for this project is in accordance with the Environmental Management Act (Act No. 7 of 2007) and its Regulations of 2012.

7.2.1 Impact Assessment Criteria

The identified impacts were assessed in terms of probability (likelihood of occurring), scale/extent (spatial scale), magnitude (severity) and duration (temporal scale) as presented in **Table 5**. To enable a scientific approach to the determination of the environmental significance, a numerical value is linked to each rating scale. This methodology ensures uniformity and that potential impacts can be addressed in a standard manner so that a wide range of impacts are comparable. It is assumed that an assessment of the significance of a potential impact is a good indicator of the risk associated with such an impact. The following process will be applied to each potential impact:

- Provision of a brief explanation of the impact;
- Assessment of the pre-mitigation significance of the impact; and
- Description of recommended mitigation measures.

The recommended mitigation measures prescribed for each of the potential impacts contribute towards the attainment of environmentally sustainable operational conditions of the project for various features of the biophysical and social environment. The following criteria were applied in this impact assessment:

Table 4: Impact Assessment Criteria employed to assess the potential significance of impacts

Nature	Description	Rating
Extent (Spatial scale)	An indication of the physical and spatial scale of the impact.	Low (1): Impact is localized within the site boundary: Site only. Low/Medium (2): Impact is beyond the site boundary: Local. Medium (3): Impacts felt within adjacent biophysical and social environments: Regional.

Nature	Description	Rating
		Medium/High (4): Impact widespread far beyond site boundary: Regional High (5): Impact extend National or over international boundaries.
Duration	The timeframe, over which the impact is expected to occur, measured in relation to the lifetime of the project.	Low (1): Immediate mitigating measures, immediate progress Low/Medium (2): Impact is quickly reversible, short-term impacts (0-5 years) Medium (3): Reversible over time; medium term (5-15 years). Medium/High (4): Impact is long-term. High (5): Long term; beyond closure; permanent; irreplaceable or irretrievable commitment of resources
Intensity, Magnitude / Severity (Qualitative criteria)	The degree or magnitude to which the impact alters the functioning of an element of the environment. The magnitude of alteration can either be positive or negative	Medium/low (4): Low deterioration, slight noticeable alteration in habitat and biodiversity. Little loss in species numbers. Low (2): Minor deterioration, nuisance or irritation, minor change in species / habitat / diversity or resource, no or very little quality deterioration.
Probability of occurrence	Probability describes the likelihood of the impacts occurring. This determination is based on previous experience with similar projects and/or based on professional judgment	Low (1): Improbable; low likelihood; seldom. No known risk or vulnerability to natural or induced hazards. Medium/low (2): Likely to occur from time to time. Low risk or vulnerability to natural or induced hazards. Medium (3): Possible, distinct possibility, frequent. Low to medium

Nature	Description	Rating
		<p>risk or vulnerability to natural or induced hazards.</p> <p>Medium/High (4): Probable if mitigating measures are not implemented. Medium risk of vulnerability to natural or induced hazards.</p> <p>High (5): Definite (regardless of preventative measures), highly likely, continuous. High risk or vulnerability to natural or induced hazards.</p>

7.2.1 Impact Significance

After the impact has been assessed, its significance is then determined. The impact significance is determined through a synthesis of the above impact characteristics (in **Table 4** above). The significance of the impact “without mitigation” is the main determinant of the nature and degree of mitigation required. Once the above factors (**Table 4**) have been ranked for each potential impact, the impact significance of each is assessed using the following formula:

$$\text{SP} = (\text{magnitude} + \text{duration} + \text{scale}) \times \text{probability}$$

The maximum value per potential impact is 100 significance points (SP). Potential impacts were rated as high, moderate or low significance, based on the following significance rating scale (**Table 6**).

Table 5: Significance rating scale

<i>Significance</i>	<i>Environmental Significance Points</i>	<i>Colour Code</i>
High (positive)	>60	H
Medium (positive)	30 to 60	M
Low (positive)	<30	L
Neutral	0	N
Low (negative)	>-30	L
Medium (negative)	-30 to -60	M
High (negative)	>-60	H

Positive (+) – Beneficial impact

Negative (-) – Deleterious/ adverse Impact

Neutral – Impacts are neither beneficial nor adverse.

For an impact with a significance rating of High (-ve), mitigation measures are recommended to reduce the impact to a Medium (-ve) or Low (-ve) Significance rating, provided that the impact with a Medium Significance rating can be sufficiently controlled with the recommended mitigation measures. To maintain a Low or Medium Significance rating, monitoring is recommended for a period of time to enable the confirmation of the significance of the impact as low or medium and under control.

The assessment of the construction and operational phases is done for both pre-mitigation and post-mitigation.

The risk/impact assessment is driven by three factors:

Source: *The cause or source of the contamination.*

Pathway: *The route taken by the source to reach a given receptor*

Receptor: *A person, animal, plant, eco-system, property or a controlled water source. If contamination is to cause harm or impact, it must reach a receptor.*

Potential negative impacts stemming from the proposed activities are described and assessed, and management/mitigation measures provided thereof. Further mitigation measures in the form of management action plans are provided in the draft EMP.

7.3 Assessment of Potential Negative Impacts: Construction and Operations

The main potential negative impacts associated with construction, operation, and maintenance phases of the tower are identified and assessed below:

7.3.1 Soil Disturbance (Land Degradation) and Pollution

The excavations and land clearing to enable erection of project structures and installation of services will potentially result in soil disturbance, which will leave the site soils exposed and vulnerable to erosion. This impact would be probable at site areas with little to no vegetation cover to hold the soils in place. The movement of heavy vehicles and equipment may lead to compaction of the soils during construction phase. This will however be short-term and localized impact.

There is also a potential of soil pollution from accidental spills or leaks of fuel from project vehicles and machinery.

The potential impact can be rated as medium if no mitigation measures are implemented. However, with the effective implementation of mitigation measures and monitoring, the impact significance will be reduced to low. The impact is assessed in **Table 7**.

Table 6: Assessment of the impacts of the network tower on socio-economic environment

Mitigation Status	Extent	Duration	Intensity	Probability	Significance
Pre mitigation	M - 3	M/H - 4	L/M - 4	M/H - 4	M - 44
Post mitigation	L/M - 2	L/M - 2	L - 2	L/M - 2	L - 12

Mitigations and recommendation to minimise soil disturbance and pollution

- The topsoil that was stripped from certain site areas to enable construction works and can be returned to its initial position, should be returned. This is to avoid unnecessary stockpiling of site soils which would leave them prone to erosion.
- All possible trenches excavated for construction on site should be rehabilitated and returned to their pre-excavation state as far as possible.
- Soils that are not within the intended footprints of the site areas should be left undisturbed and soil conservation implemented as far as possible.
- Project vehicles/machinery should stick to temporary access roads provided and or meant for the project works but not to unnecessarily create further tracks on and around the site by driving everywhere which would result in compaction of site' and surrounding soils.
- In an event that any of the substances mentioned above, spills on the soil, the contaminated soil should be cleaned up immediately and dispose of in a designated hazardous waste bin and transported to the nearest approved landfill site. The contaminated and removed soil should be replaced with clean soil.

7.3.2 Noise

Noise generated by project related vehicles and equipment during construction and operational phase can be a nuisance to the neighbours. This impact is regarded as of minimal significance given the fact that even construction work will only be limited to certain days of the week (Monday – Friday) for the duration of the construction period. Construction related noise will be limited to the working hours between 8am and 5pm. Therefore, the noise level is bound to be limited to the site, affecting the immediate neighbours to the site. The impact can be rated as low to medium significant if no mitigation measures are implemented, but upon implementation, the impact will be of low significance. This impact is assessed in **Table 7** below.

Table 7: Assessment of noise impact

Mitigation Status	Extent	Duration	Intensity	Probability	Significance
Pre mitigation	L/M - 2	L/M - 2	M – 6	M - 3	M – 30
Post mitigation	L - 1	L/M - 2	L – 2	L/M - 2	L - 10

Mitigations and recommendation to disturbance

- Noise from vehicles and equipment on site should be reduced to acceptable levels.
- Construction and operational hours should be restricted to between 08h00 and 17h00 to avoid noise by vehicles and equipment before working or after hours to avoid noise generated by equipment and the movement of heavy vehicles, thus affecting neighbours.
- When operating excavators and other noise generating machinery on site, workers should be equipped with personal protective equipment (PPE) such as earplugs to reduce exposure to excessive noise.
- Construction workers should respect the tranquillity and way of living of the neighbouring residents (property owners) by not making unnecessary noise while on site.

7.3.3 Occupational Health and Safety

The planned project construction and operational activities can be associated with some health and safety risks. This is possible when workers involved in the project activities are exposed to health and safety risks during operations, which may lead to incidents that cause injury or fatalities. Incidents may include accidents leading to minor injuries (i.e., superficial physical injury) or major injuries (i.e., involving heavy machinery, equipment or vehicles). Construction workers will be working at height during construction of the network tower. The lack of safety measures may potentially lead to injuries. Improper handling of construction material and equipment may cause injury.

The use of heavy equipment, especially during excavation, and erection of the tower structures may result in accidental tripping and falling of such structures. This could pose a safety risk to the project personnel, equipment, and vehicles. If machinery and equipment are not properly stored and packed, there would be risks of falling equipment and injury to workers or site visitors. The impact can be rated as medium significant if no mitigation measures are implemented, but upon implementation, the impact will be of low significance. This impact is assessed in **Table 9** below and mitigation measures provided thereof.

Table 8: Assessment of the potential impacts of the network tower on health and safety

Mitigation Status	Extent	Duration	Intensity	Probability	Significance
Pre mitigation	M - 3	M/H - 3	M - 6	M/H - 4	M - 48
Post mitigation	L/M - 2	L/M - 2	L - 2	L/M - 2	L - 12

Mitigations and recommendation to health and safety

- Ensure that all personnel are provided with the correct personal protective equipment (PPE) for the job at all times during construction hours on site.
- As part of their induction, the workers should be provided with an awareness training of the risks of mishandling equipment and materials on site.
- Ensure that all personnel involved in operating machinery and equipment are adequately trained and experienced with properly and correctly using the equipment and machinery.
- All personnel should be trained in/sensitised to the potential health and safety risks associated with their respective jobs, especially with regards to mishandling of equipment on site.

- No employee should be allowed to consume alcohol or other intoxicants prior to and during working hours as this may lead to mishandling of equipment which results into injuries and other health and safety risks
- The heavy vehicle, equipment and fuel storage area should be properly secured to prevent any harm or injury to the workers and surrounding residents.

7.3.4 Waste Generation / Environmental Pollution

The two significant project phases (construction and operations and maintenance) will be associated with the generation of different waste types, ranging from domestic, sewage, and general waste to (possibly) hazardous waste. If the generated waste is not disposed of in a responsible way, land pollution may occur not only within the site boundary but also the surroundings. Improper handling, storage and disposal of hydrocarbon products and hazardous materials for instance may lead to soil and groundwater contamination, in the case of spills and leakages

Without any mitigation measures, the general impact of waste generation has a medium significance. After the implementation of the mitigation measures, the impact will be reduced to low significance. The assessment of this impact is presented in **Table 10** below.

Table 9: Assessment of the impact of waste generation

Mitigation Status	Extent	Duration	Intensity	Probability	Significance
Pre mitigation	L/M - 2	L/M - 2	M – 6	M - 3	M – 30
Post mitigation	L - 1	L - 1	L – 2	L -1	L - 4

Mitigations and recommendation to waste generation

- Biodegradable and non-biodegradable waste must be stored in separate containers and collected regularly for disposal at the appropriate waste skips for disposal at a recognized landfill/dump site (in Windhoek upon reaching an agreement with the Windhoek Municipality).
- Any hazardous waste that may have an impact on the physical and social environment should be handled cautiously and disposed of carefully at the nearest approved waste management facilities.

- Workers should be sensitized to dispose of waste in a responsible manner and not litter.
- After each daily works, the Proponent should ensure that there is no waste left on the site.
- No waste may be buried or burned on site or anywhere else in the environment, apart from authorized and approved waste management sites.
- There should be separate waste bins for hazardous and general/domestic waste in both construction as well as the operational and maintenance phases until such that time it will be transported to designated waste sites.
- Sewage waste should be managed as per the portable chemical toilets' manufacturer's instructions and regularly disposed of at the nearest treatment facility.

7.3.5 Dust Generation

Dust emanating from site access routes when transporting project equipment, materials, and supply to and from site (time-to-time) may compromise the air quality in the area. Vehicular movements create dust even although it is not always so severe. Not only dust but also the possible emissions of gases from heavy vehicles and machinery. These sources of dust and emissions may lead to air pollution, thus decreasing the air quality in the local project area. This could contribute to short-term decrease in air quality around the working site areas.

The tower site is relatively small and localised, and the period for construction works, short. Therefore, given the relatively limited footprint size and short construction timeframe, dust, and gas emissions during the tower construction would be minimal and short-term in nature. Therefore, can be rated as low to slightly medium (significance) if no mitigation measures are implemented. However, once this is done, the impact significance can be reduced to low - please refer to the assessment below (**Table 11**).

Table 10: Assessment of the impacts of construction activities on air quality

Mitigation Status	Extent	Duration	Intensity	Probability	Significance
Pre mitigation	M - 3	M/H - 4	M - 6	M - 3	M – 39
Post mitigation	L - 1	L - 1	L - 2	L/M - 2	L - 8

Mitigations and recommendation to minimize dust generation.

- Construction and delivery vehicles should not drive at a speed more than 40 km/h on unpaved/untarred roads to avoid dust generation around and within the site areas.

- The Proponent should ensure that the construction work schedule is limited to the given number of days of the week to keep the vehicle-related dust level minimal in the area.
- Dust control measures such as reasonable amount of water spray should be used on gravel roads and near specific exposed areas of work on site to suppress the dust that may be emanating from certain project activities on site.
- Dust masks, eye protective glasses and other respiratory personal protective equipment (PPE) such as face masks should be provided to the workers carrying out potential dust generating activities such as excavation, where they are exposed to dust.

7.3.6 Loss of Biodiversity

The most potentially affected fauna in tower erection projects is birds. According to Partners in Flight (2020), each year, approximately 7 million birds collide with communication towers in North America. Migrating songbirds are attracted to and disoriented by non-flashing obstruction warning lights on towers, especially on foggy and cloudy nights. Birds attracted to lights fly close to towers and often suffer collisions with guy wires or tower structures. However, birds are much less attracted to flashing tower lights and elimination of the non-flashing tower lights reduces the numbers of bird collisions by as much as 70%.

The proposed tower site in Eros, Windhoek, is located at least 250 m away from the nearest residential area, on an elevated piece of shrubland, with no major natural open water sources where birds would fly over, in the near vicinity of the site. Therefore, the impact is minimal. However, this does not rule out the possibility of the area and its surroundings being a migratory route for birds. In that case, the presence of the tower may impact such birds.

Before consideration of mitigation measures, the existence of a tower could lead to potential collision of birds, causing mortality among affected bird species. This impact has a Medium Significance rating, but if care is taken in refraining from the use of non-flashing lights and in properly handling equipment around any vegetation on site, the impact will significantly be reduced to a low rating. The assessment of this impact is presented in **Table 11**. The recommended mitigation measures are presented below.

Table 11: Assessment of the impacts of network tower construction on avifauna

Mitigation Status	Extent	Duration	Intensity	Probability	Significance
-------------------	--------	----------	-----------	-------------	--------------

Pre mitigation	L/M – 2	L/M - 2	M – 6	M - 3	M – 30
Post mitigation	L – 1	L - 1	L – 2	L -1	L – 4

Mitigations and recommendation to minimize the impact on avifauna

- Although there are already other structures that could already be contributing to the impact (such as existing towers and power lines), the cumulative impacts of the new tower in relation to the existing power lines and associated structures in the area are an important consideration to minimize the impact on birds.
- Migratory bird attraction and energy costs can be further minimized by eliminating continuously burning security lights under towers. Many tower operators use down-shielded, motion sensor-triggered security lighting, which promotes tower safety, reduces energy costs, and reduces the possibility of attracting migratory birds.
- Flashing lights would not only minimize migratory bird collisions but also maintain aircraft safety while decreasing tower lighting costs and maintenance costs.
- Other proper measures on minimizing bird mortalities by the telecommunication towers should be developed and implemented.

7.3.7 Potential Impact on Human Health: Radiation

Health concerns related to exposure to radiation emitted by telecommunication towers arose during the assessment, especially amongst the residents neighbouring the site. Electromagnetic radiation is emitted from electrical appliances commonly used in most homes today, such as TV's, radios, cell phones, microwave ovens, electrical blankets, and computers. Studies have shown that transceiver base stations emit weaker electromagnetic radiation than most household daily appliances i.e. microwave or cell phone used close to your body (Carstens and Kuliwoye, 2012).

The International Commission on Non-Ionizing Radiation Protection (ICNRP) provides guidance on protection against the adverse health effects associated with electromagnetic fields (EMF). These guidelines are based on short-term, immediate health effects such as stimulation of peripheral nerve muscles, shocks and burn caused by touching conducting objects, and elevated tissue temperatures resulting from absorption of energy during exposure to EMF. The National Radiation Protection Authority of Namibia (NRPA), under the Ministry of Health and Social Services (MoHSS) is responsible for the administration of the Atomic Energy and Radiation Protection Act (Act 5 of 2005) and Regulations (**Appendix L**) that require that account be taken of any guidelines published by ICNIRP regarding radiation risks associated with Base Transceiver Stations structures (National Radiation Protection Authority, Unknown date).

The short- and long-term health impacts of radiation are explained in the Energy Board of Namibia Directive. The impacts have been summarised and assessed below:

7.3.7.1 Short-term Radiation (Health) Effects

The basic restrictions on the effects of exposure are based on established health effects. Different scientific bases were used in the development of basic exposure restrictions for various frequency ranges. Depending on the frequency, the physical quantities used to specify the basic restrictions on exposure to EMF are current density, SAR (Specific Energy Absorption Rate), and power density.

The significance of this impact is rated as Medium, and can be reduced to Low significance rating by ensuring that the sufficient mitigations measures governed by the national and international legal standards such as International Commission on Non-Ionizing Radiation Protection (ICNIRP) on infrastructure EMR emissions are adequately implemented. The impact is assessed in **Table 13**.

Table 12: Assessment of the impacts of the network tower on health and safety

Mitigation Status	Extent	Duration	Intensity	Probability	Significance
Pre mitigation	M – 3	M/H – 4	M/H – 8	M – 3	M – 45
Post mitigation	L/M – 2	L/M – 2	L/M – 4	L/M – 2	L - 16

Mitigations and recommendation on health and safety: Short-term Radiation

- The Proponent should ensure that network tower construction and its EMR are within the international standards of The Atomic Energy and Radiation Protection Act, Act 5 of 2005 and Guidelines for Limiting Exposure to Time-Varying Electric, Magnetic, and Electromagnetic Fields (April 1998 developed by the International Commission on ICNIRP).
- The design standards to be applied for the network tower should comply with the internationally accepted public exposure guidelines.
- The National Radiation Protection Agency should be involved during this phase (operational) to assess the possible emissions from tower.

7.3.7.2 Long-term Radiation (Health) Effects

In the case of potential long-term health effects of exposure, such as an increased risk of cancer, ICNIRP conducted that the available data are insufficient to provide a basis for this setting exposure restriction. Thus the ICNIRP guidelines alone should not be used as a basis for protection against non-thermal effects or long-term biological effects.

The significance of this impact is considered medium to high, because the long term effect is unknown. In the context of the above, a cautionary approach is adopted, and in particular the Precautionary Principle, which states that if an action or policy has a suspected risk of causing harm to the public or to the environment, in the absence of scientific consensus that the action or policy is harmful, the burden of proof that it is not harmful falls on those taking the action. Therefore, ICNIRP uses a reduction factor of 10 to derive at occupational limits for workers and a factor of about 50 to arrive at exposure limits for the public. This factor serves as a precautionary buffer to compensate for uncertainties in the research. By adhering to the threshold levels of ICNIRP, the precautionary measures should be sufficient to adequately address this impact. However, the risk will not be abolished and it is recommended that the Proponent keep up to date with regards to any new literature published by ICNIRP. The impact is assessed in **Table 13**.

It is also very crucial that the Proponent familiarizes themselves with the gazetted Non-ionising Radiation Regulations, 2019: Atomic Energy and Radiation Protection Act, 2005 attached under Appendix L of this Report.

Table 13: Assessment of impacts of long term radiation effects (health and safety)

Mitigation Status	Extent	Duration	Intensity	Probability	Significance
Pre mitigation	M – 3	H - 5	M/H - 8	M/H – 4	M – 64
Post mitigation	L/M – 2	L/M - 2	L/M - 4	L/M – 2	L - 16

Mitigations and recommendation on health and safety: Long-Term Radiation

- The Proponent should ensure that network tower construction and its EMR are within the international standards of The Atomic Energy and Radiation Protection Act, Act 5 of 2005 and Guidelines for Limiting Exposure to Time-Varying Electric, Magnetic, and Electromagnetic Fields (April 1998 developed by the International Commission on Non-Ionizing Radiation Protection (ICNIRP)).
- The design standards to be applied for the tower should comply with the internationally accepted public exposure guidelines.
- The National Radiation Protection Authority should be involved to assess the possible emissions from tower during the operational phase.

7.3.8 Potential Impact on Civil Aviation

Potential impact of the project on civil aviation is attributed to the height and location of the site. Generally, the effective utilisation of an aerodrome can significantly be influenced by natural features and man-made constructions inside and outside its boundary. These features may result in limitations on the distance available for take-off and landing and on the range of meteorological conditions in which take-off and landing can be undertaken. For these reasons certain areas of the local airspace are regarded as integral parts of the aerodrome environment (Carstens & Kuliwuye, 2012).

A decrease in aviation safety could have severe impacts on third parties due to the potential for injury, death or damage/loss of third party property associated with aviation accidents. The consequences of potential incidents would, therefore, affect communities beyond the project boundary and lifespan. The Civil Aviation Standards of the ICAO dictate that all obstructions to be erected within 8 km from an airport need to be approved by the applicable Civil Aviation Authority.

The proposed tower is 25 m high and the Namibia Civil Aviation Regulations (NAMCARS) require that erected structures/obstacle should not be higher than 45 m above the mean level of the landing area. The height of the tower is well within the height limit, therefore complies with the NAMCARS. Without the implementation of any mitigation measures can be considered slightly medium and upon the implementation of the mitigation measures, the impact will receive a low significance rating

The national Civil Aviation Authority (Namibia Civil Aviation Authority (NCAA)) and Civil Aviation Standards of the ICAO dictate that all obstructions to be erected within 15 km and 8 km from an airport / aerodrome reference point, respectively should be authorized. The project site lies about 6.48 km northeast of the Eros Airport, therefore, authorization from the NCAA is required. Additionally, the Civil Aviation Authority will need to be consulted throughout the lifetime of the project.

Without the implementation of any mitigation measures, the impact will receive a Medium Significance rating, and upon implementing the measures, this significance will be reduced to low. This impact is assessed in **Table 15** below.

Table 14: Assessment of the presence of the tower on civil aviation

Mitigation Status	Extent	Duration	Intensity	Probability	Significance
Pre mitigation	M - 3	M/H - 3	L/M - 4	M/H - 4	M - 44
Post mitigation	L/M - 2	L/M - 2	L/M - 4	L/M - 2	L - 16

Mitigations and recommendation to minimize the impact on civil aviation safety

- The proposed tower design and location should be verified to ensure that they meet the approval of the Namibia Civil Aviation Authority regarding the tower height and the position in the area.
- Civil Aviation Standards of the International Civil Aviation Organisation (ICAO) pertaining to the network tower structure should be adhered to.
- The Regulations of Namibian Aviation Act No. 74 of 1962 for setting up cellular towers in Namibia should be complied with.
- The Proponent **should apply for the approval or permit to erect the tower, especially that it is less than 8 km distance from the Eros Airport** (as per ICAO requirements).

7.3.9 Visual Impact

Telecommunication towers usually cause a visual impact on the social environment. If not planned properly, the network tower presence will contrast the surrounding landscape and thus potentially become a visual nuisance, if not camouflaged to suit the surrounding environment. The Proponent intends to camouflage the tower in order to ensure that it is less of a nuisance. Currently and with no measures implemented, the visual impact can be rated as of Low Significance. However, upon effectively implementing the measures, it will be significantly reduced to a much lower rating of significance. The impact is assessed in **Table 16**.

Table 15: Assessment of the visual impacts of the network tower

	Extent	Duration	Intensity	Probability	Significance
Pre mitigation	L/M - 2	L/M - 2	M/L - 4	M - 3	L - 24
Post mitigation	L - 1	L - 1	L - 2	L/M - 2	L - 8

Mitigations and recommendation to minimize visual impact

- The Proponent should ensure to camouflage the tower to cause less of a visual nuisance.
- All the necessary options to improve the aesthetic of the site should be considered so that it blends in with the surrounding area or at least enhance it for a better appeal to the public.

7.3.10 Vehicular Traffic Use and Safety

The project works will involve the movement of one or two heavy trucks during construction of the tower and this may potentially cause short-term increase in traffic in the area. However, the tower construction period is short-term with infrequent transportation of material and equipment to site. It should be noted that the site is located along busy streets in the Town and the movement of project related vehicles for a limited time period of works would not have a significant impact on the roads.

Pre-mitigation, the impact can be rated medium and with the implementation of mitigation measures, the significance will be low as assessed in **Table 17** below.

Table 16: Assessment of the impacts of project activities on road use (vehicular traffic)

Mitigation Status	Extent	Duration	Intensity	Probability	Significance

Pre mitigation	M - 3	M/H - 4	L/M - 4	M/H - 4	M - 44
Post mitigation	L/M - 2	L/M - 2	L - 2	L/M - 2	L - 12

Mitigations and recommendation to minimize impact on road safety and related vehicular traffic issues.

- The transportation of construction materials, equipment and machinery should be limited to once or twice a week only, but not every day to reduce the pressure on local roads.
- The heavy truck loads should comply with the maximum allowed limit while transporting materials and equipment/machinery on the public and access roads.
- Vehicle drivers during all project phases should be in possession of valid and appropriate driving licenses and should adhere to the road safety rules.
- Drivers should be on the lookout for residents, especially children within proximity of the site.
- The Proponent should ensure that the site access roads are well equipped with temporary road signs condition to cater for vehicles travelling to and from site throughout construction.
- Project vehicles should be in a road worthy condition and serviced regularly to avoid accidents owing to mechanical faults.
- Vehicle drivers should only make use of designated site access roads provided and as agreed.
- Vehicle drivers should not be allowed to operate vehicles while under the influence of alcohol or any other intoxicants
- Sufficient parking area for all project vehicles should be provided for and clearly demarcated on site.
- The Proponent should make provision for safe loading and offloading areas on site.
- To control traffic movement on site, deliveries from and to site should be carefully scheduled. This should optimally be during weekdays and between the hours of 8am and 5pm.
- The site access roads should be equipped with road safety signs.

7.3.11 Heritage/Archaeological resources

During construction works, historical resources may be impacted through inadvertent destruction or damage. This may include the excavation of subsurface graves or other archaeological objects when preparing the site for tower foundation laying and erection. There was no information provided about known heritage sites or sites of significant cultural values within or near the proposed site. Therefore, the project activities will not have an impact of great significance on these and potentially other archaeological remains, at least on surface and visible resources if any. However, this does not mean rule out the possibility of finding some of these objects during the construction phase. With that said, the potential impact significance is slightly medium if no mitigation measures, are implemented. However, after the implementation of the measures provided below, this impact significance will be low. The assessment of the impact is shown in **Table 18** below.

Table 17: Assessment of the impacts of project activities on archaeological resources

Mitigation Status	Extent	Duration	Intensity	Probability	Significance
Pre mitigation	M - 3	M - 3	M - 6	M - 3	M – 36
Post mitigation	L/M - 2	L/M - 2	L/M - 4	L/M -2	L - 16

Mitigations and recommendation to minimize impact on archaeological resources.

- Contractors working on the site during construction should be made aware of items protected under the National Heritage Act, 2004 (Act No. 27 of 2004). Therefore, caution should be exercised when carrying out excavations/earthworks associated with the construction activities if archaeological/heritage remains are discovered.
- Any items protected under the definition of heritage found during unearthing for construction works should be reported to the National Heritage Council.
- Identification of any archaeological significant objects or sites (such as graves) on the site should not be disturbed but are to be reported to the project Environmental/Safety officer or National Heritage Council office for further instructions and actions.

- The Proponent and construction contractors should familiarise themselves with the National Heritage Council's Chance Finds Procedure (attached to the EMP) and if uncertain about the procedure should receive training by a suitably qualified archaeologist with respect to the identification of archaeological/heritage remains and the procedures to follow if such remains are discovered particularly during construction.

8 CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

The potential (positive and negative) impacts that are anticipated from the proposed construction of the telecommunication tower and related activities were identified, described, and assessed. Most of the identified potential negative impacts are rated as of Medium Significance. Therefore, in order to reduce the significance from medium to low, it is recommended that the Proponent effectively implement mitigation measures. In order to maintain a low significance, the implementation of measures will need to be continuously monitored in order to reduce the impact to low and bring the impact under control.

It is, therefore, recommended that in the event of an ECC issuance, the following conditions may be appropriate to ensure minimal environmental impact for this project:

- All required permits, licenses and approvals for the proposed activities should be obtained as required.
- The Proponent complies with the legal requirements governing this type of project and its associated activities.
- All mitigations provided in this Report and the management action plans in the Draft EMP should be implemented and monitoring conducted as recommended.
- All the necessary environmental and social (occupational health and safety) precautions provided should be adhered to.
- Excavated/trenched and other project related disturbed areas on the site where construction activities have been completed should be rehabilitated, as far as practicable, to their original state.
- The monitoring of the implementation of mitigation measures should be conducted, applicable impact's actions taken, reporting done and recorded.

- Environmental (EMP) Compliance Monitoring should be conducted on a weekly basis during the construction phase by the project Safety, Health and Environmental Officer or an independent Environmental Consultant and bi-annually during the operational phase. Environmental Compliance monitoring reports should be compiled and submitted to the DEAF as per provision made on the MEFT/DEAF's Portal.

These recommendations are primarily aimed at improving environmental management, ensuring sustainability and promote harmonious co-existence of the project activities and the host biophysical and social environment.

REFERENCES

- Carstens, E. and Kuliwoye, E. (editors). (2012). Environmental Scoping Report and Management Plan for the proposed construction of a MTC Base Transceiver Station in Goreangab Ext. 3, Windhoek. Windhoek: Unpublished.
- Christelis, G. and Struckmeier, F. (editors). (2001). Groundwater in Namibia: An Explanation to the Hydrogeological Map. Windhoek: Ministry of Agriculture, Water and Forestry.
- City of Windhoek. (2015). Retrieved from the Department Portal - Department of Infrastructure, Water and Technical Services: http://www.windhoekcc.org.na/depa_infrastructure.php
- Dierks, K. (2019). Namibia Telecommunications - Link to Africa: www.namibweb.com/namtel.htm
- Enviro Dynamics. (2015). Environmental Scoping Report for the Proposed Construction of a macro BTS site in Wanaheda, Windhoek.
- GCS Water & Environmental Consultants. (2017a). Environmental Scoping Assessment for the Proposed Construction of MTC Antennae in Rehoboth, Hardap Region. Windhoek.
- Integrated Environmental Consultants Namibia. (2011). Dealing with Climate Change: A community information toolkit on adaptation. Windhoek: Ministry of Environment and Tourism.
- International Commission on Non-Ionizing Radiation Protection (ICNIRP). (unknown date). Retrieved from: <https://www.icnirp.org/en/about-icnirp/aim-status-history/index.html>
- Kanchwala, H. (2018). Retrieved from Science ABC: scienceabc.com/innovation/cell-tower-work.html
- Lahnsteiner, J., & Lempert, G. (2007). Water Management in Windhoek. Water Science and Technology, 441 - 448.
- Legal Assistance Centre. (Unknown Date). A Publication of the AIDS Law Unit, Legal Assistance Centre. Windhoek: Legal Assistance Centre.
- Mendelsohn, J., Jarvis, A., Roberts, C. & Robertson, T., (2003). Atlas of Namibia. 2nd ed. Cape Town: David Philip Publishers
- Ministry of Agriculture, Water and Forestry. (2003). Characteristics of Namibian Soils in a Nutshell. Windhoek: Directorate of Agricultural Research and Training.
- Namibia Statistics Agency. (2011). Namibia 2011: Population and Housing Census Main Report. Windhoek: Namibia Statistics Agency.
- Namibia Travel Guide. (2010). Plants and Vegetation in Namibia. Cape Town, Western Cape Province, South Africa.
- National Radiation Protection Authority. (Unknown date). Atomic Energy Board of Namibia: Directive Issued Under Section 33 (3) of the Atomic Energy & Radiation Protection Act (No 5 of 2005) Relating to the Regulation of Sources of Non-Ionizing Radiation. Windhoek: National Radiation Protection Authority.