

ENVIRONMENTAL SCOPING ASSESSMENT (ESA) FOR THE PROPOSED EXPLORATION ACTIVITIES ON EXCLUSIVE PROSPECTING LICENSE (EPL) 8140, 8141 & 8161 LOCATED EAST OF GOBABIS, OMAHEKE REGION.

ENVIRONMENTAL ASSESSMENT REPORT AAP NO. 002942

Author: Mr. Stefanus Johannes Prepared for : Carl Andries Joones

Company: Excel Dynamic Solutions (Pty) Ltd

Telephone: +264 (0) 61 259 530

Email: public@edsnamibia.com

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

Excel Dynamic Solutions (Pty) Ltd (the consultant) has been appointed by Carl Andries Joones (the proponent) to act on their behalf in obtaining an Environmental Clearance Certificate (ECC) for the proposed mineral exploration activities on Exclusive Prospecting License (EPL) 8140, 8141 & 8161. The target commodities for the proposed exploration activities are base and rare metals, Industrial minerals, non-nucleus fuel and precious metals. The project area is located approximately 50 km east of Gobabis Town in the Omaheke Region (Figure 1). and is 197 841.03 ha in combined total size

Farms covered by EPL 8140

Farm Zelda 466, Farm Somali 467, Farm Sandfontein No. 468, Farm Haakdoorn 300, Farm Dawis 477, Farm Blouberg 476, Farm Karolinehof 269, Farm Houmoed 299, Farm Hartebeesfontein 352, Farm Donkerbos 350, Farm Groot_Dabis 987, Farm Vredehof 739, Farm Gottberg 378, Farm Hettiesdal 753, Farm Kaananwound 1083, Farm Wildebees 1073, Farm Nico 355, Farm Usagei 367, Farm Uithou 366, Farm Lusa 788, Farm Wilde 368, Farm Naunas 359, Farm Oerwoud 1081.

Farms covered by EPL 8141

Farm Kensington 506, Farm Elsueno 413, Farm Bonne Esperance 507, Farm Sandfontein No. 468, Farm Kumdum 509, Farm Stampriet 270, Farm Hermain 508, Farm Groot Quinta 976, Farm Prelim 471, Farm Annexe 472, Farm Tjumda 292, Farm Babi_Babi 294, Farm Ripon 295, Farm Moly 297, Farm Yvonne 293, Farm Schwarbusch 986, Farm Groot Dabis 987, Farm Dabis 298, Farm Singapore 473, Farm Grauwater 296, Farm Michau 286, Farm Maanhaar 288, Farm Elandsberg 1033, Farm Hansie 406.

Farms covered by EPL 8161

Farm Schwarzbusch 986, Farm Tunis 590, Farm Ripon 295, Farm Gottberg 378, Farm Vredehof 739, Farm Donkerbos 350

Prospecting, and exploration related activities are among listed activities that may not be undertaken without an ECC under the Environmental Impact Assessment (EIA) Regulations, Subsequently, to ensure that the proposed activity is compliant with the national environmental legislation, the project Proponent, appointed an independent environmental consultant, Excel Dynamic Solutions (Pty) Ltd to undertake the required Environmental Assessment (EA) process and apply for the ECC on their behalf.

The application for the ECC was compiled and submitted to the competent authority (Ministry of Environment, Forestry and Tourism (MEFT)) as the environmental custodian for project registration purposes. Upon submission of an Environmental Scoping Assessment (ESA) Report and Draft Environmental Management Plan (EMP), an ECC for the proposed project will be considered by the Environmental Commissioner at the MEFT's Department of Environmental Affairs and Forestry (DEAF).

Brief Project Description

Planned Activities: Proposed Exploration Methods

The Proponent intends to adopt a systematic prospecting and exploration approach of the following:

1. Non-invasive Technique:

- **Desktop Study: Geological mapping**: This includes the review of geological maps of the area and on-site ground traverses and observations and an update where relevant, of the information obtained during previous geological studies of the area.
- Lithology geochemical surveys: Rock and soil samples may be collected and taken
 for analytical chemistry laboratories to determine the Nuclear Fuel Minerals content.
 Soil samples consist of small pits (±20cm X 20cm X 30cm) where 1kg samples can be
 extracted and sieved to collect 50g of material for submission to a laboratory.
- Geophysical surveys: This will entail data collection of the substrata (in most cases service of a ground geophysical contractor will be sourced), using sensors such as radar, magnetic and electromagnetic techniques to detect buried mineralization. Ground geophysical surveys be conducted by geophysical technicians with handheld instruments.

2. Invasive Technique

Detailed Exploration Drilling: Should the soil and/or the geophysical results be positive, holes will be drilled and drill samples will be collected for further analysis. This will determine the grade and volume of the potential mineralization. Two widely used drilling options may be adopted, these are the Reverse Circulation (RC) drilling and/or diamond-core drilling. RC drilling uses a pneumatic hammer, which drives a rotating tungsten-steel bit. The technique produces an uncontaminated large volume sample, comprised of rock chips. It is relatively quicker and cheaper when compared to other techniques like Diamond Drilling. However, diamond drilling may also be considered for this exploration programme, during advanced stages of exploration if large amounts of sample material may be required for analysis and to perform processing trials. A typical drilling site will consist of a drill-rig, drill core and geological samples store and a drill equipment parking and maintenance yard (including a fuel and lubricants storage facility).

Public Consultation

Public Consultation Activities

Regulation 21 of the EIA Regulations details steps to be taken during a public consultation process and these have been used in guiding this process. The public consultation process assisted the Environmental Consultant in identifying all potential impacts and aided in the process of identifying possible mitigation measures and alternatives to certain project activities. The communication with I&APs about the proposed prospecting and exploration activities was done through the following means and in this order to ensure that the public is notified and afforded an opportunity to comment on the proposed project:

- A Background Information Document (BID) containing brief information about the proposed project was compiled and delivered upon request to all new registered Interested and Affected parties (I&APs).
- Project Environmental Assessment notices were published in The Namibian and New Era
 (15 February 2024 and 22 February 2024) briefly explaining the activity and its locality, inviting members of the public to register as I&APs and submit their comments/concerns.
- A consultation meeting was scheduled with the I&APs on the 20 of March 2024 at Epakpo Community Hall in Gobabis at 12:00.
- The issues and concerns raised were noted and used to form the basis for the ESA Report and EMP.

Potential Impacts identified

The following potential negative impacts are anticipated:

Positive impacts: Socio-economic development through employment creation and skills
transfer, Opens up other investment opportunities and infrastructure-related development
benefits, Produce a trained workforce and small businesses that can service communities
and may initiate related businesses, Boosting the local economic growth and regional
economic development, Improved geological understanding of the area regarding
targeted commodity, and Increased support for local businesses through the procurement
of consumable items such as Personal Protective Equipment (PPE), machinery spare
parts, lubricants, etc..

• Negative impacts: Potential disturbance of existing pastoral systems; Physical land/soil disturbance; Impact on local biodiversity (fauna and flora); Habitat disturbance and potential illegal wildlife and domestic hunting in the area; Potential impact on water resources and soils particularly due to pollution; Air quality issue: potential dust generated from the project; Potential occupational health and safety risks, Vehicular traffic safety and impact on services infrastructures such as local roads, Vibrations, and noise associated with drilling activities may be a nuisance to locals; Environmental pollution (solid waste and wastewater), Archaeological and heritage impact and Potential social nuisance and conflicts (theft, damage to properties, etc.).

The potential negative impacts were assessed, and mitigation measures were provided accordingly.

CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

Conclusions

The potential impacts that are anticipated from the proposed project activities were identified, described, and assessed. For the significant adverse (negative) impacts with a medium rating, appropriate management and mitigation measures were recommended for implementation by the Proponent, their contractors and project related employees.

The public was consulted as required by the EMA and its 2012 EIA Regulations (Section 21 to 24). This was done via the two newspapers (New Era and The Namibian) for this environmental assessment. A face-to-face consultation meeting was scheduled to be held with the I&APs at the Braunfels Agricultural High school hall, whereby the I&APs where supposed to raise comments and concerns on the proposed project activities which did not happen as they did not turn up to for the meeting.

The issues and concerns raised by the registered I&APs formed the basis for this report and the Draft EMP. The issues were addressed and incorporated into this report whereby mitigation measures have been provided thereof to avoid and/or minimize their significance on the environmental and social components. Most of the potential impacts were found to be of medium rating significance. The effective implementation of the recommended management and mitigation measures will particularly see a reduction in the significance of adverse impacts that cannot be avoided completely (from high/medium rating to low). To maintain the desirable rating, the implementation of management and mitigation measures should be monitored by the

Proponent directly, or their Environmental Control Officer (ECO) is highly recommended. The monitoring of this implementation will not only be done to maintain the impacts' rating or maintain low rating but to also ensure that all potential impacts identified in this study and other impacts that might arise during implementation are properly identified in time and addressed right away too.

It is crucial for the Proponent and their contractors to effectively implement the recommended management and mitigation measures to protect both the biophysical and social environment throughout the project duration. All these would be done with the aim of promoting environmental sustainability while ensuring a smooth and harmonious existence and purpose of the project activities in the community and environment at large.

Recommendations

The Environmental Consultant is confident that the potential negative impacts associated with the proposed project activities can be managed and mitigated by the effective implementation of the recommended management and mitigation measures and with more effort and commitment put on monitoring the implementation of these measures.

It is, therefore, recommended that the proposed prospecting and exploration activities be granted an ECC, provided that:

- All the management and mitigation measures provided herein are effectively and progressively implemented.
- All required permits, licenses and approvals for the proposed activities should be obtained
 as required. These include permits and licenses for land use access agreements to
 explore and ensuring compliance with these specific legal requirements.
- The Proponent and all their project workers or contractors comply with the legal requirements governing their project and its associated activities and ensure that project permits and or approvals required undertaking specific site activities are obtained and renewed as stipulated by the issuing authorities.
- Site areas where exploration activities have ceased are rehabilitated, as far as practicable, to their pre-exploration state.
- Environmental Compliance monitoring reports should be compiled and submitted to the MEFT/DEAF's.

Disclaimer

EDS warrants that the findings and conclusion contained herein were accomplished in accordance with the methodologies set forth in the Scope of Work and Environmental Management Act (EMA) of 2007. These methodologies are described as representing good customary practice for conducting an Environmental Impact Assessment of a property for the purpose of identifying recognized environmental conditions. There is a possibility that even with the proper application of these methodologies there may exist on the subject property conditions that could not be identified within the scope of the assessment, or which were not reasonably identifiable from the available information. The Consultant believes that the information obtained from the record review and during the public consultation processes concerning the proposed exploration work is reliable. However, the Consultant cannot and does not warrant or guarantee that the information provided by the other sources is accurate or complete. The conclusions and findings set forth in this report are strictly limited in time and scope to the date of the evaluations. No other warranties are implied or expressed.

Some of the information provided in this report is based upon personal interviews, and research of available documents, records, and maps held by the appropriate government and private agencies. This report is subject to the limitations of historical documentation, availability, and accuracy of pertinent records and the personal recollections of those persons contacted.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

| 1 19 | T OF I | | |
|------|--------|---|-------------|
| | | FIGURES | x |
| LIS | T OF | TABLES | x |
| LIS | T OF | APPENDICES | xi |
| LIS | T OF | ABBREVIATIONS | xi |
| 1 | INTRO | DDUCTION | 1 |
| | 1.1 | Project Background | 1 |
| | 1.2 | Terms of Reference, Scope of Works and Appointed Environmental Assessment P 3 | ractitioner |
| | 1.3 | The Need for the Proposed Project | 3 |
| 2 | PROJE | ECT DESCRIPTION: PROPOSED PROSPECTING & EXPLORATION ACTIVITIES | 4 |
| | 2.1 | Prospecting Phase | 4 |
| | 2.2 | Exploration (Drilling, Sampling and Analysis) Phase | 5 |
| | 2.2.1 | Detailed Exploration Drilling | 5 |
| | 2.2.2 | Accessibility to Site | 5 |
| | 2.2.3 | Material and Equipment | 6 |
| | 2.3 | Decommissioning and Rehabilitation Phase | 7 |
| 3 | PROJE | CT ALTERNATIVES | 8 |
| | 3.1 | Types of Alternatives Considered | 8 |
| | 3.1.1 | The "No-go" Alternative | 8 |
| | 3.1.2 | Exploration Location | 9 |
| | 3.1.3 | Exploration Methods | 10 |
| 4 | LEGAI | FRAMEWORK: LEGISLATION, POLICIES AND GUIDELINES | 11 |
| | 4.1 | The Environmental Management Act (No. 7 of 2007) | 11 |
| | 4.2 | International Policies, Principles, Standards, Treaties and Conventions | 20 |
| 5 | ENVIR | ONMENTAL BASELINE | 25 |
| | 5.1 | Biophysical Environment | 25 |
| 5 | 5.2 (| Climate | 25 |
| | 5.3 | Topography Map | 26 |
| | 5.4 | Geology | 27 |
| | 5.5 | Soil | 28 |
| | 5.6 | Hydrology and Groundwater | 29 |

EPL 8140 8141 & 8161: ESA Report

| | 5.7 | Flora and Fauna | 30 |
|---|--------|---|--------|
| | 5.7.1 | Flora | 30 |
| | 5.7.2 | Fauna | 32 |
| | 5.8 | Archaeology and Heritage Error! Bookmark not def | fined. |
| | 5.9 | Surrounding Land Uses | 32 |
| | 5.10 | Socio Economic Profile of Omaheke Region | 33 |
| 6 | PUBLI | C CONSULTATION PROCESS | 35 |
| | 6.1 | Pre-identified and Registered Interested and Affected Parties (I&APs) | 35 |
| | 6.2 | Communication with I&APs | 36 |
| 7 | IMPA | CT IDENTIFICATION, ASSESSMENT AND MITIGATION MEASURES | 39 |
| | 7.1 | Impact Identification | 39 |
| | 7.2 | Impact Assessment Methodology | 40 |
| | 7.2.1 | Extent (spatial scale) | 41 |
| | 7.2.2 | Duration | 41 |
| | 7.2.3 | Intensity, Magnitude / severity | 41 |
| | 7.2.4 | Probability of occurrence | 42 |
| | 7.2.5 | Significance | 42 |
| | 7.3 | Assessment of Potential Negative (Adverse) Impacts | 44 |
| | 7.3.1 | Disturbance to the grazing areas | 44 |
| | 7.3.2 | Land Degradation and Loss of Biodiversity | 45 |
| | 7.3.3 | Generation of Dust (Air Quality) | 47 |
| | 7.3.4 | Water Resources Use | 47 |
| | 7.3.5 | Soil and Water Resources Pollution | 49 |
| | 7.3.6 | Waste Generation | 50 |
| | 7.3.7 | Occupational Health and Safety Risks | 51 |
| | 7.3.8 | Vehicular Traffic Use and Safety | 53 |
| | 7.3.9 | Noise and vibrations | 54 |
| | 7.3.10 | Disturbance to Archaeological and Heritage resources | 55 |
| | 7.3.11 | Impact on Local Roads | 57 |
| | 7.4 | Cumulative Impacts Associated with Proposed Exploration | 57 |
| | 7.5 | Mitigations and Recommendations for Rehabilitation | 58 |
| 8 | CONC | LUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS | 59 |
| a | DECED | PENCES | 61 |

LIST OF FIGURES

| Figure 1: Locality map of EPL 8140, 8141 8161 | 2 |
|---|--------|
| Figure 2: The location of EPL 8140, 8141 & 8161 on the National Mining portal | 10 |
| Figure 3: Shows the climate condition around the project area (source: climate-data, 2022) | |
| Figure 4: Shows the terrain map for the project area | 26 |
| Figure 5: Geology map showing rock types | 27 |
| Figure 6: Soil Type | |
| Figure 7: Shows the ground water vulnerability and hydrology map for the EPL | 29 |
| Figure 8: Vegetation species on EPL 8140, 8141 & 8161 | 31 |
| Figure 10: Land Use Map (EPL 8140, 8141 & 8161) | 33 |
| Figure 11: Consultation meeting at the Epakpo Community Hall (Gobabis) | 37 |
| Figure 12: Site notice placed at Epako community Hall | 38 |
| | |
| LIST OF TABLES | |
| Table 1: Applicable local, national and international standards, policies and guidelines governing th | |
| proposed prospecting and exploration activities | 12 |
| Table 2: International Policies, Principles, Standards, Treaties and Convention applicable to the pro | ject21 |
| Table 3: Shows animals species found around the EPL with their concern (source: Emmanuel, 2022) | |
| Table 4: Summary of Interested and Affected Parties (I&APs) | |
| Table 5: Extent or spatial impact rating | |
| Table 6: Duration impact rating | |
| Table 7: Intensity, magnitude or severity impact rating | |
| Table 8: Probability of occurrence impact rating | |
| Table 9: Significance rating scale | |
| Table 10: Assessment of the impacts of exploration on grazing areas | |
| Table 11: Assessment of the impacts of exploration on biodiversity | |
| Table 12: Assessment of the impacts of exploration on air quality | |
| Table 13: Assessment of the project impact on water resource use and availability | 48 |
| Table 14: Assessment of the project impact on soils and water resources (pollution) | 49 |
| Table 15: Assessment of waste generation impact | 50 |
| Table 16: Assessment of the impacts of exploration on health and safety | |
| Table 17: Assessment of the impacts of exploration on road use (vehicular traffic) | |
| Table 18: Assessment of the impacts of noise and vibrations from exploration | 55 |
| Table 19: Assessment of the impacts of exploration on archaeological & heritage resources | 55 |
| Table 20: Assessment of exploration on local services (road) | 57 |

LIST OF APPENDICES

Appendix A: Copy of the Environmental Clearance Certificate (ECC) Application Form

Appendix B: Draft Environmental Management Plan (EMP)

Appendix C: Curricula Vitae (CV) for the Environmental Assessment Practitioner (EAP)

Appendix D: Proof of Consultation

Appendix E: Mineral Licence for EPL 8140, 8141 & 8161

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

| Abbreviation | Meaning | |
|--------------|--|--|
| BID | Background Information Document | |
| CV | Curriculum Vitae | |
| DEAF | Department of Environmental Affairs and Forestry | |
| EA | Environmental Assessment | |
| EAP | Environmental Assessment Practitioner | |
| ECC | Environmental Clearance Certificate | |
| EDS | Excel Dynamic Solutions | |
| EIA | Environmental Impact Assessment | |
| EMA | Environmental Management Act | |
| EMP | Environmental Management Plan | |
| EPL | Exclusive Prospecting Licence | |
| ESA | Environmental Scoping Assessment | |
| GG & GN | Government Gazette & Government Notice | |
| I&APs | Interested and Affected Parties | |
| IFC | International Finance Corporation | |
| MEFT | Ministry of Environment, Forestry and Tourism | |

| Abbreviation | Meaning |
|--------------|-------------------------------|
| MME | Ministry of Mines and Energy |
| PPE | Personal Protective Equipment |
| Reg / S | Regulation / Section |
| TOR | Terms of Reference |

KEY TERMS

| Terms | Definition | | |
|-----------------------------|--|--|--|
| Alternative | A possible course of action, in place of another that would meet | | |
| | the same purpose and need of the proposal. | | |
| Baseline | Work done to collect and interpret information on the | | |
| | condition/trends of the existing environment. | | |
| Biophysical | That part of the environment that does not originate with human | | |
| | activities (e.g., biological, physical and chemical processes). | | |
| Cumulative | In relation to an activity, means the impact of an activity that in it | | |
| Impacts/Effects | may not be significant but may become significant when added | | |
| Assessment | to the existing and potential impacts eventuating from similar or | | |
| | diverse activities or undertakings in the area. | | |
| Decision-maker | The person(s) entrusted with the responsibility for allocating | | |
| | resources or granting approval to a proposal. | | |
| Ecological Processes | Processes which play an essential part in maintaining ecosystem | | |
| | integrity. Four fundamental ecological processes are the cycling | | |
| | of water, the cycling of nutrients, the flow of energy and biological | | |
| | diversity (as an expression of evolution). | | |
| | | | |

| Terms | Definition | |
|-------------------------|---|--|
| Environment | As defined in Environmental Management Act - the complex of | |
| | natural and anthropogenic factors and elements that are mutually | |
| | interrelated and affect the ecological equilibrium and the quality | |
| | of life, including – (a) the natural environment that is land, water, | |
| | and air; all organic and inorganic matter and living organisms and | |
| | (b) the human environment that is the landscape and natural, | |
| | cultural, historical, aesthetic, economic and social heritage and | |
| | values. | |
| Environmental | As defined in the EIA Regulations (Section 8(j)), a plan that | |
| Management Plan | describes how activities that may have significant environments | |
| | effects are to be mitigated, controlled, and monitored. | |
| Exclusive Prospecting | Is a license that confers exclusive mineral prospecting rights over | |
| Licence | land of up to 1000 km2 in size for an initial period of three years, | |
| | renewable twice for a maximum of two years at a time | |
| Interested and Affected | In relation to the assessment of a listed activity includes - (a) any | |
| Party (I&AP) | person, group of persons or organization interested in or affected | |
| | by an activity; and (b) any organ of state that may have | |
| | jurisdiction over any aspect of the activity. Mitigate - practical | |
| | measures to reduce adverse impacts. Proponent – as defined in | |
| | the Environmental Management Act, a person who proposes to | |
| | undertake a listed activity. Significant impact - means an impact | |
| | that by its magnitude, duration, intensity or probability of | |
| | occurrence may have a notable effect on one or more aspects of | |
| | the environment. | |
| Fauna and Flora | All the animals and plants found in an area. | |
| Mitigation | The purposeful implementation of decisions or activities that are | |
| | designed to reduce the undesirable impacts of a proposed action | |
| | on the affected environment. | |

| Terms | Definition | |
|--------------------------|--|--|
| Monitoring | Activity involving repeated observation, according to a pre- | |
| | determined schedule, of one or more elements of the | |
| | environment to detect their characteristics (status and trends). | |
| Proponent | Organization (private or public sector) or individual intending to | |
| | implement a development proposal. | |
| Public | A range of techniques that can be used to inform, consult or | |
| Consultation/Involvement | interact with stakeholders affected by the proposed activities. | |
| Protected Area | Refers to a protected area that is proclaimed in the Government | |
| | Gazette according to the Nature Conservation Ordinance | |
| | number 4 of 1975, as amended. | |
| Scoping | An early and open activity to identify the impacts that are most | |
| | likely to be significant and require specialized investigation | |
| | during the EIA work. Can, also be used to identify alternative | |
| | project designs/sites to be assessed, obtain local knowledge of | |
| | site and surroundings, and prepare a plan for public involvement. | |
| | The results of scoping are frequently used to prepare a Terms of | |
| | Reference for the specialized input into full EIA. | |
| Terms of Reference (ToR) | Written requirements governing full EIA input and | |
| | implementation, consultations to be held, data to be produced | |
| | and form/contents of the EIA report. Often produced as an output | |
| | from scoping. | |

1 INTRODUCTION

1.1 Project Background

Excel Dynamic Solutions (Pty) Ltd (the consultant) has been appointed by Carl Andries Joone (the proponent) to act on their behalf in obtaining an Environmental Clearance Certificate (ECC) for the proposed mineral exploration activities on Exclusive Prospecting License (EPL) 8140, 8141 & 8161. The target commodities for the proposed exploration activities are base and rare metals, Industrial minerals, non nucleu fuel and preciouse metals. The project area is located approximately 50 km east of Gobabis Town in the Omaheke Region (Figure 1) and is 197 841.03 ha in combined total size.

Section 27 (1) of the Environmental Management Act (EMA) (No. 7 of 2007) and its 2012 Environmental Impact Assessment (EIA) Regulations provides a list of activities that may not be carried out without an Environmental Impact Assessment (EIA) undertaken and an ECC obtained. Exploration activities are listed among the activities that may not occur without an ECC. Therefore, individuals or organizations may not carry out exploration activities among those listed, without an EIA undertaken and an ECC awarded.

EPL 8140 8141 & 8161: ESA Report




Figure 1: Locality map of EPL 8140, 8141 8161

Environmental Assessment: EPL 8140, 8141 & 8161

1.2 Terms of Reference, Scope of Works and Appointed Environmental Assessment Practitioner

Excel Dynamic Solutions (Pty) (EDS) has been appointed by the Proponent to undertake an environmental assessment (EA), and thereafter, apply for an ECC for exploration works on the EPL. There were no formal Terms of Reference (ToR) provided to EDS by the Proponent. The consultant, instead, relied on the requirements of the Environmental Management Act (No. 7 of 2007) (EMA) and its Environmental Impact Assessment (EIA) Regulations (GN. No. 30 of 2012) to conduct the study.

The application for the ECC is compiled and submitted to the Ministry of Environment, Forestry and Tourism (MEFT) (**Appendix A**), as the environmental custodian for project registration purposes. Upon submission of an Environmental Scoping Assessment (ESA) Report and Draft Environmental Management Plan (EMP) (**Appendix B**), an ECC for the proposed project will be considered by the Environmental Commissioner at the MEFT's Department of Environmental Affairs and Forestry (DEAF).

The EIA project is headed by Mr. Nerson Tjelos, a qualified and experienced Geoscientist and experienced EAP. Consultation and reporting were done by Mr. Stefanus Johannes. The CV for Mr. Tjelos is presented in **Appendix C.**

1.3 The Need for the Proposed Project

The mining industry is one of the largest contributors to the Namibian economy. It contributes to the improvement of livelihoods. In Namibia, exploration for minerals is carried out mainly by the private sector. Exploration activities have a great potential to enhance and contribute to the development of other sectors and its activities do provide temporary employment, and taxes that fund social infrastructural development. The minerals sector yields foreign exchange and accounts for a significant portion of gross domestic product (GDP). Additionally, the industry produces a trained workforce and small businesses that can serve communities and may initiate related businesses. Exploration activity fosters several associated activities such as manufacturing of exploration and mining equipment, and provision of engineering and environmental services. The mining sector forms a vital part of some of Namibia's development plans, namely: Vision 2030, National Development Plan 5 (NDP5), and Harambee Prosperity Plans (HPPs) I and II. Thus, mining is essential to the development goals of Namibia in contributing to meeting the ever-increasing global demand for minerals, and for national prosperity. Successful exploration is of great contribution to the NDP.

2 PROJECT DESCRIPTION: PROPOSED PROSPECTING & EXPLORATION ACTIVITIES

Prospecting and exploration of minerals are the first components of any potential mining project (development and eventual mining). These are done to acquire the necessary data required for further decision making and investment options. These activities are anticipated to last for about three years.

The exploration process includes three phases, namely: Prospecting, Exploration, and the Decommissioning of works.

2.1 Prospecting Phase

2.1.1 Desktop Study: Geological mapping

This mainly entails a desktop review of geological area maps, study of previous historical geological and mineral exploration work by previous prospectors around the vicinity of the EPL area and attempts to re-evaluate and/or reinterpret these results.

2.1.2 Geophysical surveys

Geophysical surveys entail data collection of the substrate by air or ground, through sensors such as radar, magnetic and/or electromagnetic sensors, to detect and ascertain any mineralization in the area. Ground geophysical surveys shall be conducted, where necessary, using vehicle-mounted sensors or handheld by staff members, while in the case of air surveys, the sensors are mounted to an aircraft, which navigates over the target area.

2.1.3 Lithology geochemical surveys

Rock and soil samples shall be collected and taken for trace element analysis to be conducted by analytical chemistry laboratories, to determine if enough target commodities are present. Additionally, trenches or pits may be dug depending on the commodity (in a controlled environment e.g., fencing off and labelling activity sites) adopting a manual or excavator to further investigate the mineral potential.

Soil sampling consists of small pits being dug where 1kg samples can be extracted and sieved to collect about 50g of material. As necessary, and to ensure adequate risk mitigation, all major

excavations will be opened and closed immediately after obtaining the needed samples, or the sites will be secured until the trenches or pits are closed. At all times, the landowner and other relevant stakeholders will be engaged to obtain authorization where necessary.

2.2 Exploration (Drilling, Sampling and Analysis) Phase

The selection of the potential mineralization model and exploration targets will be based on the local geology, trenching, drilling, and assay results of the samples collected. The planned exploration activities are aimed at delineating the mineral deposits, and to determine whether the deposits are economically feasible mining resources.

2.2.1 Detailed Exploration Drilling

Should analyses by an analytical laboratory yield positive results, holes are drilled, and drill samples collected for further analysis. This will determine the depth of the potential mineralization. If necessary new access tracks to the drill sites will be created and drill pads will be cleared in which to set the rig. Two widely used drilling options may be adopted, these are the Reverse Circulation (RC) drilling and/or diamond-core drilling. RC drilling uses a pneumatic hammer, which drives a rotating tungsten-steel bit. The technique produces an uncontaminated large volume sample, which is composed of rock chips. It is relatively quicker and cheaper when compared to other techniques like Diamond Drilling. However, diamond drilling may also be considered for this exploration programme, during advanced stages of exploration if large amounts of sample material may be required for analysis and to perform processing trials.

A typical drilling site will consist of a drill-rig and support vehicles as well as a drill core and geological samples store. A drill equipment parking and maintenance yard may be set up (including a fuel and lubricants storage facility).

Other aspects of the exploration operations include:

2.2.2 Accessibility to Site

The proposed project site is easily accessible via D1707, D1681 and D1739 road wich branches out of the D1603 road east of Gobabis, Omaheke Region. If required for project purposes, additional access roads might be created.,see figure 1. Therefore, project related vehicles will be using these existing roads to access the EPL. It is also anticipated that, if necessary, onsite new tracks to the different targeted exploration sites within the EPL will be created. The Proponent

Carel Andries Joone

EPL 8140 8141 & 8161: ESA Report

may need to do some upgrade on the site access road to ensure that it is fit to accommodate

project related vehicles, such as heavy trucks.

2.2.3 Material and Equipment

The input required for the exploration program in terms of vehicles and equipment includes 4x4

vehicles, trucks, water tanks, drill rigs and drilling machines, and a power generator. Equipment

and vehicles will be stored at a designated area near the accommodation site or a storage site

established within the EPL.

2.2.4 Services and Infrastructure

Water: Water for the exploration operations on the EPL will be obtained from the nearest existing

boreholes around the EPL, or the proponent will drill boreholes upon obtaining necessary permits

and signing agreements with the landowners. Estimated monthly water consumptions are at 4000

litres, but will not exceed 80 000 litres, which includes water for drinking, sanitation, cooking, dust

control, drilling, as well as washing of equipment.

Power supply: Power required during the operation phase may be provided from the diesel

generators. A maximum of 2000 litres of diesel will be used per day, and a bonded diesel bowser

which may be on site will be filled when required.

Fuel (diesel for generators and other equipment): The fuel (diesel) required for exploration

equipment will be stored in a tank mounted on a mobile trailer, and drip trays will be readily

available to ensure that accidental fuel spills are cleaned up as soon as they have been

detected/observed. Fuel may also be stored in jerry cans placed on plastic sheeting to avoid

unnecessary contamination of the ground.

2.2.5 Waste Management

The site will be equipped with secured waste bins for each type of waste (i.e., domestic,

hazardous, and recyclable). Depending on the amount generated, waste will be sorted and

collected weekly or monthly and taken to the nearest certified landfill site. An agreement will need

to be reached with different waste management facility operators/owners and authorization or

permits will be obtained prior to utilizing these facilities, in the case of production of any hazardous

waste.

Sanitation and human waste: A portable ablution facility will be used and the sewage will be

disposed of according to the approved disposal or treatment methods of the waste products.

Environmental Assessment: EPL 8140, 8141 & 8161

6

Hazardous waste: Drip trays and spill control kits will be available on-site to ensure that oil/fuel spills and leaks from vehicles and equipment are captured on time and contained correctly before polluting the site.

2.2.6 Health and safety

Adequate and appropriate Personal Protective Equipment (PPE) will be provided to every project personnel while working at the site. A minimum of two first aid kits will be readily available on-site to attend to potential minor injuries.

2.2.7 Safety and Security

Storage Site: Temporary storage areas for exploration material, equipment, and machinery may be required at the campsite and/or exploration sites. Security will be supplied on a 24-hour basis at the delegated sites for storage. A temporary support fence surrounding the storage site will be constructed to ensure people and domestic animals are not put at risk.

Fire management: A minimum of basic firefighting equipment, i.e., two fire extinguishers will be readily available in vehicles, at the working sites and camps.

On-site Workers' Safety: Adequate and appropriate Personal Protective Equipment (PPE) will be provided to every project personnel while working at the site. A minimum of two first aid kits will be readily available on-site to attend to potential minor injuries.

2.2.8 Accommodation

The exploration crew will be accommodated near the exploration sites. If the accommodation camp is to be set up near the site, necessary arrangements will be made with the land owner/s. Exploration activities will take place during the day only and staff will commute to the exploration site(s) from their place of accommodation.

2.3 Decommissioning and Rehabilitation Phase

Once the exploration activities on the EPL come to an end, the Proponent will need to put site rehabilitation measures in place. Decommissioning and rehabilitation are primarily reinforced through a decommissioning and rehabilitation plan, which consists of safety, health, environmental, and contingency aspects. The economic situation or unconvincing exploration results might force the Proponent to cease the exploration program before predicted closure.

3 PROJECT ALTERNATIVES

Alternatives are defined as the "different means of meeting the general purpose and requirements of the activity" (EMA, 2007). This section will highlight the different ways in which the project can be undertaken and to identify the alternative that will be the most practical, but least damaging to the environment is identified.

Once the alternatives have been established, these are examined by asking the following three questions:

- What alternatives are technically and economically feasible?
- What are the environmental effects associated with the feasible alternatives?
- What is the rationale for selecting the preferred alternative?

The alternatives considered for the proposed development are discussed in the following subsections.

3.1 Types of Alternatives Considered

3.1.1 The "No-go" Alternative

The "no action" alternative implies that the status quo remains, and nothing happens. Should the proposal of exploration activities on the EPL, be discontinued, none of the potential impacts (positive and negative) identified would occur. If the proposed project is to be discontinued, the current land use for the proposed site will remain unchanged.

This option was considered and a comparative assessment of the environmental and socioeconomic impacts of the "no action" alternative was undertaken to establish what benefits might be lost if the project is not implemented. The key losses that may never be realized if the proposed project does not go ahead include:

- Loss of foreign direct investment.
- The proposed 5-10 temporary job opportunities for community members will not come to realization.
- No realization of local businesses supports through the procurement of consumable items such as Personal Protective Equipment (PPE), machinery spare parts, lubricants, etc.
- Loss of potential income to local and national government through land lease fees, license lease fees and various tax structures.


- Improved geological understanding of the site area regarding the targeted commodities.
- Socio-economic benefits such as skills acquisition to local community members would be not realized.

Considering the above losses, the "no-action/go" alternative was not considered a viable option for this project.

3.1.2 Exploration Location

The prospecting/exploration location is dependent on the geological setting (regional and local), the economic geology, and the exploration and mining history of the EPL area. Therefore, finding an alternative location for the planned exploration activities is not possible. This means that the mineralization of the target commodities are area-specific, which means exploration targets are primarily determined by the geology (host rocks) and the tectonic environment of the site (an oreforming mechanism). The tenement has sufficient surface area for future related facilities should an economic mineral deposit be defined.

Furthermore, the national mineral resources' potential locations are also mapped and categorized by the Ministry of Mines and Energy in exclusive prospecting licenses, mining licenses and claims, mineral deposit retention licenses, reconnaissance licenses and exclusive reconnaissance licenses. Available information on EPL 8140, 8141 & 8161 (Figure 2) and other licenses are available on the Namibia Mining Cadastral Map here https://maps.landfolio.com/Namibia/






Figure 2: The location of EPL 8140, 8141 & 8161 on the National Mining portal.

3.1.3 Exploration Methods

Both invasive and non-invasive exploration activities are expected to take place. If an economically viable discovery is made, the project will proceed to the mining phase upon approval of a mining EIA and issuance of a mining license. If any other alternative viable exploration methods are found to achieve the purpose more effectively and/or efficiently without aggravating any environmental measures put in place.

4 LEGAL FRAMEWORK: LEGISLATION, POLICIES AND GUIDELINES

Prospecting and exploration activities have legal implications associated with certain applicable legal standards. A summary of applicable and relevant international policies and Namibian legislation, policies, and guidelines for the proposed development is given in this section (**Table 1**). This summary serves to inform the project Proponent, Interested and Affected Parties, and the decision-makers at the DEAF, of the requirements and expectations, as laid out in terms of these instruments, to be fulfilled to establish the proposed prospecting and exploration activities.

4.1 The Environmental Management Act (No. 7 of 2007)

This EIA was carried out according to the Environmental Management Act (EMA) and its Environmental Impact Assessment (EIA) Regulations (GG No. 4878 GN No. 30).

The EMA has stipulated requirements to complete the required documentation to obtain an ECC for permission to undertake certain listed activities. These activities are listed under the following Regulations:

- 3.1 The construction of facilities for any process or activities which requires a license, right
 of other forms of authorization, and the renewal of a license, right or other form of
 authorization, in terms of the Minerals (Prospecting and Mining Act, 1992).
- 3.2 other forms of mining or extraction of any natural resources whether regulated by law or not.
- 3.3 Resource extraction, manipulation, conservation and related activities.

The Environmental Impact Assessment (EIA) Regulations GN 28-30 (GG 4878) detail requirements for public consultation within a given environmental assessment process (GN 30 S21). The EIA regulations also outline the required details of a Scoping Report (GN 30 S8) and an Assessment Report (GN 30 S15).

Other legal obligations that are relevant to the proposed activities of EPL No. 8140, 8141 & 8161 and related activities are presented in **Table 1**.

Table 1: Applicable local, national and international standards, policies and guidelines governing the proposed prospecting and exploration activities

| Legislation/Policy/ | Relevant Provisions | Implications for this |
|--|---|--|
| Guideline | | project |
| The Constitution of the Republic of Namibia, 1990 as amended | The Constitution of the Republic of Namibia (1990 as amended) addresses matters relating to environmental protection and sustainable development. Article 91(c) defines the functions of the Ombudsman to include: "the duty to investigate complaints concerning the over-utilisation of living natural resources, the irrational exploitation of non-renewable resources, the degradation and destruction of ecosystems and failure to protect the beauty and character of Namibia" Article 95(I) commits the state to actively promoting and maintaining the welfare of the people by adopting policies aimed at the: "Natural resources situated in the soil and on the subsoil, the internal waters, in the sea, in the continental shelf, and in the exclusive economic zone are property of the State." | By implementing the environmental management plan, the establishment will be in conformant to the constitution in terms of environmental management and sustainability. Ecological sustainability will be main priority for the proposed development. |

| Legislation/Policy/ | Relevant Provisions | Implications for this |
|--|---|---|
| Guideline | | project |
| Nature Conservation Amendment Act, No. 3 of 2017 The Parks and Wildlife Management Bill of 2008 | National Parks are established and gazetted in accordance with the Nature Conservation Ordinance, 1975 (4 of 1975), as amended. The Ordinance provides a legal framework with regards to the permission of entering a state protected area, as well as requirements for individuals damaging objects (geological, ethnological, archaeological and historical) within a protected area. Though the Ordinance does not specifically refer to mining as an activity within a protected area (PA) or recreational area (RA), it does restrict access to PA's and prohibits certain acts therein as well as the purposes for which permission to enter game parks and nature reserves may be granted. Aims to provide a regulatory framework for the protection, conservation, and rehabilitation of species and ecosystems, the sustainable use and sustainable | The Proponent will be required to enhance the conservation of biodiversity and the maintenance of the ecological integrity of protected areas and other State land. |
| | management of indigenous biological resources, and the management of protected areas, to conserve biodiversity | |
| | and to contribute to national development. | |

| Legislation/Policy/ | Relevant Provisions | Implications for this |
|---------------------|--|--------------------------------|
| Guideline | | project |
| Minerals | Section 52 requires mineral license | The Proponent should enter |
| (Prospecting and | holders to enter into a written agreement | into a written agreement with |
| Mining) Act (No. 33 | with affected landowners before | landowners before carrying |
| of 1992) | exercising rights conferred upon the | out exploration on their land. |
| | license holder. | The Proponent should carry |
| | Section 52(1) mineral licence holder may | out an assessment of the |
| | not exercise his/her rights in any town or | impact on the receiving |
| | village, on or in a proclaimed road, land | environment. |
| | utilised for cultivation, within 100m of any | The Proponent should |
| | water resource (borehole, dam, spring, | include as part of their |
| | drinking trough etc.) and boreholes, or no | application for the EPL, |
| | operations in municipal areas, etc.), | measures by which they will |
| | which should individually be checked to | rehabilitate the areas where |
| | ensure compliance. | they intend to carry out |
| | Section 54 requires written notice to be | mineral exploration |
| | submitted to the Mining Commissioner if | activities. |
| | the holder of a mineral license intends to | The Proponent may not |
| | abandon the mineral license area. | carry out exploration |
| | Section 68 stipulates that an application | activities within the areas |
| | for an exclusive prospecting license | limited by Section 52 (1) of |
| | (EPL) shall contain the particulars of the | this Act. |
| | condition of, and any existing damage to, | |
| | the environment in the area to which the | |
| | application relates and an estimate of the | |
| | effect which the proposed prospecting | |
| | operations may have on the environment and the proposed steps to be taken to | |
| | prevent or minimize any such effect. | |
| | protein of financial any odon oncot. | |

| Legislation/Policy/ Guideline | Relevant Provisions | Implications for this |
|-------------------------------|---|-----------------------------|
| Guidenne | | project |
| | Section 91 requires that rehabilitation | |
| | measures should be included in an | |
| | application for a mineral license. | |
| Mine Health & | Makes provision for the health and safety | The Proponent should |
| Safety Regulations, | of persons employed or otherwise | comply with all these |
| 10th Draft | present in mineral licenses area. These | regulations with respect to |
| | deal with among other matters; clothing | their employees. |
| | and devices; design, use, operation, | |
| | supervision and control of machinery; | |
| | fencing and guards; and safety measures | |
| | during repairs and maintenance. | |
| Petroleum Products | Regulation 3(2)(b) states that "No person | The Proponent should |
| and Energy Act | shall possess [sic] or store any fuel | obtain the necessary |
| (No. 13 of 1990) | except under authority of a licence or a | authorisation from the MME |
| Regulations (2001) | certificate, excluding a person who | for the storage of fuel on- |
| | possesses or stores such fuel in a | site. |
| | quantity of 600 litres or less in any | |
| | container kept at a place outside a local | |
| | authority area" | |
| | | |

| Legislation/Policy/ | Relevant Provisions | Implications for this |
|---------------------|---|------------------------------|
| Guideline | | project |
| The Regional | This Act sets out the conditions under | The relevant Regional |
| Councils Act (No. | which Regional Councils must be elected | Councils are I&APs and |
| 22 of 1992) | and administer each delineated region. | must be consulted during |
| | From a land use and project planning | the Environmental |
| | point of view, their duties include, as | Assessment (EA) process. |
| | described in section 28 "to undertake the | The project site falls under |
| | planning of the development of the region | the Omaheke Regional |
| | for which it has been established with a | Council; therefore, they |
| | view to physical, social and economic | should be consulted. |
| | characteristics, urbanisation patterns, | |
| | natural resources, economic | |
| | development potential, infrastructure, | |
| | land utilisation pattern and sensitivity of | |
| | the natural environment. | |
| Water Act 54 of | The Water Resources Management Act | The protection (both quality |
| 1956 | 11 of 2013 is presently without | and quantity/abstraction) of |
| | regulations; therefore, the Water Act No | water resources should be a |
| | 54 of 1956 is still in force: | priority. |
| | Prohibits the pollution of water and | |
| | implements the principle that a person | |
| | disposing of effluent or waste has a duly | |
| | of care to prevent pollution (S3 (k)). | |
| | Provides for control and protection of | |
| | groundwater (S66 (1), (d (ii)). | |
| | Liability of clean-up costs after | |
| | closure/abandonment of an activity (S3 | |
| | (l)). (l)). | |
| | | |

| Legislation/Policy/ | Relevant Provisions | Implications for this |
|--|--|--|
| Guideline | | project |
| Water Resources Management Act (No 11 of 2013) | The Act provides for the management, protection, development, use and conservation of water resources; and provides for the regulation and monitoring of water services and to provide for incidental matters. The objects of this Act are to: Ensure that the water resources of Namibia are managed, developed, used, conserved and protected in a manner consistent with, or conducive to, the fundamental principles set out in Section 66 - protection of aquifers, Subsection 1 (d) (iii) provide for preventing the contamination of the aquifer and water pollution control (Section 68). | |
| National Heritage Act No. 27 of 2004 The National Monuments Act | To provide for the protection and conservation of places and objects of heritage significance and the registration of such places and objects; to establish a National Heritage Council; to establish a National Heritage Register; and to provide for incidental matters. The Act enables the proclamation of national monuments and protects | permitting requirements must be taken. This done by the consulting with the National Heritage Council of |
| (No. 28 of 1969) | archaeological sites. | Namibia. |

| Legislation/Policy/ Guideline | Relevant Provisions | Implications for this project |
|--|--|--|
| Soil Conservation Act (No 76 of 1969) | The Act makes provision for the prevention and control of soil erosion and the protection, improvement and conservation of soil, vegetation and water supply sources and resources, through directives declared by the Minister. | Duty of care must be applied to soil conservation and management measures must be included in the EMP. |
| Forestry Act (Act No. 12 of 2001 | The Act provides for the management and use of forests and forest products. Section 22. (1) provides: "Unless otherwise authorised by this Act, or by a licence issued under subsection (3), no person shall on any land which is not part of a surveyed erven of a local authority area as defined in section 1 of the Local Authorities Act, 1992 (Act No. 23 of 1992) cut, destroy or remove - (a) vegetation which is on a sand dune or drifting sand or on a gully unless the cutting, destruction or removal is done for the purpose of stabilising the sand or gully; or (b) any living tree, bush or shrub growing within 100 m of a river, stream or watercourse." | The Proponent will apply for the relevant permit under this Act if it becomes necessary. |
| Public Health Act (No. 36 of 1919) | Section 119 states that "no person shall cause a nuisance or shall suffer to exist on any land or premises owned or occupied by him or of which he is in charge any nuisance or other condition liable to be injurious or dangerous to health." | The Proponent and all its employees should ensure compliance with the provisions of these legal instruments. |

| Legislation/Policy/ | Relevant Provisions | Implications for this |
|---------------------|---|-------------------------------|
| Guideline | | project |
| Health and Safety | Details various requirements regarding | |
| Regulations GN | health and safety of labourers. | |
| 156/1997 (GG | | |
| 1617) | | |
| Atmospheric | This ordinance provides for the | The proposed project and |
| Pollution | prevention of air pollution and is affected | related activities should be |
| Prevention | by the Health Act 21 of 1988. Under this | undertaken in such a way |
| Ordinance (1976) | ordinance, the entire area of Namibia, | that they do not pollute or |
| | apart from East Caprivi, is proclaimed as | compromise the surrounding |
| | a controlled area for the purposes of | air quality. Mitigation |
| | section 4(1) (a) of the ordinance. | measures should be put in |
| | | place and implemented on |
| | | site. |
| Hazardous | The ordinance provides for the control of | The Proponent should |
| Substance | toxic substances. It covers manufacture, | handle and manage the |
| Ordinance, No. 14 | sale, use, disposal and dumping as well | storage and use of |
| of 1974 | as import and export. Although the | hazardous substances on |
| | environmental aspects are not explicitly | site so that they do not harm |
| | stated, the ordinance provides for the | or compromise the site |
| | importing, storage, and handling. | environment. |

| Legislation/Policy/ | Relevant Provisions | Implications for this |
|----------------------|---|-------------------------------|
| Guideline | | project |
| Road Traffic and | The Act provides for the establishment of | Mitigation measures should |
| Transport Act, No. | the Transportation Commission of | be provided for, if the roads |
| 22 of 1999 | Namibia; for the control of traffic on public | and traffic impact cannot be |
| | roads, the licensing of drivers, the | avoided, the relevant |
| | registration and licensing of vehicles, the | permits must be applied for. |
| | control and regulation of road transport | |
| | across Namibia's borders; and for matters | |
| | incidental thereto. Should the Proponent | |
| | wish to undertake activities involving road | |
| | transportation or access onto existing | |
| | roads, the relevant permits will be | |
| | required. | |
| Labour Act (No. 6 of | Ministry of Labour, Industrial Relations | The Proponent should |
| 1992) | and Employment Creation is aimed at | ensure that the prospecting |
| | ensuring harmonious labour relations | and exploration activities do |
| | through promoting social justice, | not compromise the safety |
| | occupational health and safety and | and welfare of workers. |
| | enhanced labour market services for the | |
| | benefit of all Namibians. This ministry | |
| | insures effective implementation of the | |
| | Labour Act No. 6 of 1992. | |

4.2 International Policies, Principles, Standards, Treaties and Conventions

The international policies, principles, standards, treaties, and conventions applicable to the project are as listed in **Table 2** below.

Table 2: International Policies, Principles, Standards, Treaties and Convention applicable to the project

| Statute | Provisions | Project Implications |
|--------------------|--|---|
| Equator Principles | A financial industry benchmark for determining, assessing, and managing environmental and social risk in projects (August 2013). The Equator Principles have been developed in conjunction with the International Finance Corporation (IFC), to establish an International Standard with which companies must comply with to apply for approved funding by Equator Principles Financial Institutions (EPFIs). The Principles apply to all new project financings globally across all sectors. Principle 1: Review and Categorization Principle 2: Environmental and Social Assessment Principle 3: Applicable Environmental and Social Standards Principle 4: Environmental and Social Management System and Equator Principles Action Plan Principle 5: Stakeholder Engagement Principle 6: Grievance Mechanism Principle 7: Independent Review Principle 9: Independent Monitoring and | These principles are an attempt to: 'encourage the development of socially responsible projects, which subscribe to appropriately responsible environmental management practices with a minimum negative impact on project-affected ecosystems and community-based upliftment and empowering interactions.' |

| Statute | Provisions | Project Implications |
|---------------------|---|----------------------------|
| | Principle 10: Reporting and Transparency | |
| The International | The International Finance Corporation's | The Performance |
| Finance Corporation | (IFC) Sustainability Framework articulates | Standards are directed |
| (IFC) Performance | the Corporation's strategic commitment to | towards clients, |
| Standards | sustainable development and is an integral | providing guidance on |
| | part of IFC's approach to risk | how to identify risks and |
| | management. The Sustainability | impacts, and are |
| | Framework comprises IFC's Policy and | designed to help avoid, |
| | Performance Standards on Environmental | mitigate, and manage |
| | and Social Sustainability, and IFC's | risks and impacts as a |
| | Access to Information Policy. The Policy on | way of doing business in |
| | Environmental and Social Sustainability | a sustainable way, |
| | describes IFC's commitments, roles, and | including stakeholder |
| | responsibilities related to environmental | engagement and |
| | and social sustainability. | disclosure obligations of |
| | As of 28 October 2018, there are ten (10) | the Client (Borrower) in |
| | Performance Standards (Performance | relation to project-level |
| | Standards on Environmental and Social | activities. In the case of |
| | Sustainability) that the IFC requires a | its direct investments |
| | project Proponents to meet throughout the | (including project and |
| | life of an investment. These standard | corporate finance |
| | requirements are briefly described below. | provided through |
| | Performance Standard 1: Assessment | financial |
| | and Management of Environmental and | intermediaries), IFC |
| | Social Risks and Impacts | requires its clients to |
| | · | apply the Performance |
| | Performance Standard 2: Labour and | Standards to manage |
| | Working Conditions | environmental and |
| | Performance Standard 3: Resource | social risks and impacts |
| | Efficient and Pollution Prevention and | so that development |
| | Management | opportunities are |
| | | enhanced. IFC uses the |

| Statute | Provisions | Project Implications |
|--|---|---|
| | Performance Standard 4: Community Health and Safety Performance Standard 5: Land Acquisition, Restrictions on Land Use, and Involuntary Resettlement | Framework along with other strategies, policies, and initiatives to direct the business |
| | PerformanceStandard6:BiodiversityConservationandSustainableManagement of Living Natural ResourcesPerformanceStandard7:IndigenousPeoples/Sub-Saharan AfricanHistoricallyUndeservedTraditionalLocal | activities of the Corporation to achieve its overall development objectives. |
| | Communities Performance Standard 8: Cultural Heritage Performance Standard 9: Financial Intermediaries (FIs) | |
| | Performance Standard 10: Stakeholder Engagement and Information A full description of the IFC Standards can be obtained from http://www.worldbank.org/en/projects-operations/environmental-and-social-framework/brief/environmental-and-social-standards?cq_ck=1522164538151#ess1 | |
| The United Nations Convention to Combat Desertification (UNCCD) 1992 | Addresses land degradation in arid regions with the purpose to contribute to the conservation and sustainable use of biodiversity and the mitigation of climate change. | The project activities should not be such that they contribute to desertification. |

| Statute | Provisions | Project Implications |
|---|---|--|
| | The convention objective is to forge a global partnership to reverse and prevent desertification/land degradation and to mitigate the effects of drought in affected areas to support poverty reduction and environmental sustainability United Nation Convention | |
| Convention on Biological Diversity 1992 | Regulate or manage biological resources important for the conservation of biological diversity whether within or outside protected areas, with a view to ensuring their conservation and sustainable use. Promote the protection of ecosystems, natural habitats, and the maintenance of viable populations of species in natural surroundings | Removal of vegetation cover and destruction of natural habitats should be avoided and where not possible minimised |
| Stockholm Declaration on the Human Environment, Stockholm (1972) | It recognizes the need for: "a common outlook and common principles to inspire and guide the people of the world in the preservation and enhancement of the human environment. | Protection of natural resources and prevention of any form of pollution. |

Relevant international Treaties and Protocols ratified by the Namibian Government

- Convention on International Trade and Endangered Species of Wild Fauna and Flora (CITES), 1973.
- Convention on Biological Diversity, 1992.
- World Heritage Convention, 1972.

5 ENVIRONMENTAL BASELINE

The proposed exploration programme will be undertaken in specific environmental and social conditions. Understanding the pre-project conditions of the environment will aid in laying down background "information" of the status quo and future projections of environmental conditions after proposed works on the EPL. This also helps the EAP in identifying the sensitive environmental features that may need to be protected through the recommendations and effective implementation of mitigation measures provided.

The baseline information presented below is sourced from a variety of sources including reports of studies conducted in the Omaheke Region. Further information was obtained by the Consultant during the site visit.

5.1 Biophysical Environment

5.2 Climate

Climate has a major influence on the exploration activities proposed on the EPL. Understanding the climatic conditions helps to determine the appropriate times to conduct exploration activities. **Figure 3** shows the climatic condition around the project area.



Figure 3: Shows the climate condition around the project area (source: climate-data, 2022)

In project area the summers are long, hot, and partly cloudy and the winters are short, cool, dry, and clear. Over the course of the year, the temperature typically varies from 16.98°C to 28.42°C and is rarely below 16°C or above 28.42°F. The difference in precipitation between the driest month and the wettest month is 22.18 mm.

5.3 Topography Map

The EPL area falls in the Kalahari Sandveld. This landscape is a broad area of plain which extends inland for about 450km. The plains are large, and formed by erosion cutting back into higher ground and craving out the catchment area of several rivers western plains (Mendelsohn et al, 2008). The EPL lies at an altitude of between 1216 - 1453 m above sea level. **Figure 4** is a terrain map of the project area.




Figure 4: Shows the terrain map for the project area

5.4 Geology




Figure 5: Geology map showing rock types

Geologically, EPL 8140, 8141 & 8161 lies within the Post-Gondowana Group (Mendelsohn *et al*, 2008). The Geology of the project area consist of multiple rock types generally quartzite, conglomerate, schist, marble, Shale and Mudstone. (**Figure 5**).

5.5 Soil





Figure 6: Soil Type

The EPLs area is covered by the Dystric Reogosols soils are extremely stony or very shallow soils over a continuous rock surface. They are prevalent in hilly areas where the rate of erosion exceeds the rates of soil formation or sediment accumulation. Ferralic Arenosols, is also found in most areas of the EPLs, this are deep windblown sands consisting largely of quartz. Their sandy texture and loose, porous consistency mean that they have a low capacity to store water and nutrients (Mendelsohn, 2003). **Figure 4** shows the soils map for the EPLs.

5.6 Hydrology and Groundwater






Figure 7: Shows the ground water vulnerability and hydrology map for the EPL

The EPL is dominantly covered by fractured, fissured or karstified aquifers, and a section of rock bodies with little groundwater potential and Porous aquifers. Due to the nature of the aquifer bodies, the groundwater pollution vulnerability in the area of the EPL is moderate. The regulations stipulated in the Water Act 54 of 1956 with respect to the water abstraction should be highly adhered to, whereby over-abstraction will not be recommended during the exploration phase.

5.7 Flora and Fauna

5.7.1 Flora

The EPL falls within a sparse shrub land, The EPL is dominated by Mopane trees (*Colophosperum mopane*) and few acacia species .In term of grass species, the EPL is dominated by annual grasses which sometimes grows up to 45cm high. This type of grass grows on mountainous and rocky area. **Figure 6** shows the dominant plant species covering the EPL area.



Figure 8: Vegetation species on EPL 8140, 8141 & 8161

5.7.2 Fauna

The following animals (**Table 3**) are expected to be found in the vicinity of the project area.

Table 3: Shows animals species found around the EPL with their concern (source: Emmanuel, 2022)

| Animals | Concern |
|-----------|---------------|
| Springbok | Least Concern |
| Hyena | Endangered |
| Leopard | Endangered |
| Kudu | Least Concern |
| Reptiles | None |
| Oryx | Least Concern |
| Livestock | None |

5.8 Surrounding Land Uses

The EPL falls within Communal Land area as shown in **Figure 8**. The Proponent is required to secure a signed agreement from the affected landowners to gain access to the areas of interest for prospecting and exploration investigations as per Section 52 of the Minerals (Prospecting and Mining) Act No. 33 of 1992 and Section 2.2.3 of the Minerals Policy of Namibia.

- 1. Section 52 (1) The holder of mineral licence shall not exercise any rights conferred upon such holder by this Act or under any terms and conditions of such mineral licence
 - (a) In, on or under any and until such time as such holder has entered into an agreement in writing with the owner of such land containing terms and conditions relating to the payment of compensation, or the owner of such land has in writing waved any right to such compensation and has submitted a copy of such agreement or waiver to the Commissioner.

Section 2.2.3 of the Draft Minerals Policy of Namibia states that the Licence Holder and/or mineral explorers currently must negotiate a contract with landowners to gain access for prospecting purposes.




Figure 9: Land Use Map (EPL 8140, 8141 & 8161)

5.9 Socio Economic Profile of Omaheke Region

Omaheke region is situated on the eastern part of Namibia bordering Botswana along the 21 E Longitude. The region has a total land surface area of 84 612 km2 which comprises 10.3 per cent of the country's land surface. Omaheke had a population of approximately 71 233 people, which comprises 3.4 per cent of the Namibia's population (National Census 2011).

Omaheke region serves as the hunting capital of the country ("cattle country". The majority of the western, central, and south-western Omaheke is occupied by privately owned freehold farms

comprising around 900 households. Within the whole Omaheke region, there are 924 locales in communal/rural areas with most of them being traditional villages.

Despite its many opportunities Omaheke region has mainly identified three prominent economic activities that have comparative and competitive advantages: livestock production, transport and logistics, and natural resources.

Omaheke Region has seven constituencies Otjombinde, Kalahari, Okorukambe, Epukiro, Gobabis, Otjinene and Aminuis headed by elected Regional Councillors. The region has one town (Gobabis) and three villages (Leonardville, Otjinene and Witvlei) that are proclaimed local authority areas and eight recognized traditional authorities from different communities. The regional office of Omaheke region is at Gobabis, which is 200 km from the capital city, Windhoek.

The various infrastructures are available to support the regional development range from energy, information communication technology, water and sanitation, housing, and transportation. The following key economic activities are fundamental pillars of the region's economy, namely the Trans-Kalahari Corridor, agriculture, tourism, manufacturing, industrial development, mining, and natural resources.

6 PUBLIC CONSULTATION PROCESS

Public consultation is an important component of an Environmental Assessment (EA) process. It provides potential Interested and Affected Parties (I&APs) with an opportunity to comment on and raise any issues relevant to the project for consideration as part of the assessment process, thus assisting the Environmental Assessment Practitioner (EAP) in identifying all potential impacts and to what extent further investigations are necessary. Public consultation can also aid in the process of identifying possible mitigation measures. Public consultation for this project has been done under the EMA and its EIA Regulations.

6.1 Pre-identified and Registered Interested and Affected Parties (I&APs)

Relevant and applicable national, regional, and local authorities, local leaders, and other interested members of the public were identified. Pre-identified I&APs were contacted directly, while other parties who contacted the Consultant after project advertisement notices in the newspapers, were registered as I&APs upon their request. Newspaper advertisements of the proposed exploration activities were placed in two widely read national newspapers in the region (The Namibian Newspaper and New Era Newspaper). The project advertisement/announcement ran for two consecutive weeks inviting members of the public to register as I&APs and submit their comments. The summary of pre-identified and registered I&APs is listed in **Table 4** below and the complete list of I&APs is provided in **Appendix D**.

Table 4: Summary of Interested and Affected Parties (I&APs)

| National (Ministries and State-Owned Enterprises) |
|---|
| Ministry of Environment, Forestry and Tourism |
| Ministry of Mines and Energy |
| Ministry of Health and Social Services |
| Regional, Local and Traditional Authorities |
| Omaheke Regional Council |
| Gobabis Constituency |

Environmental Assessment: EPL 8140, 8141 & 8161

| ne | | | |
|----|--|--|--|

Land owners /Interested members of the public

Omaheke Farmers Association

6.2 Communication with I&APs

Regulation 21 of the EIA Regulations details the steps to be taken during a public consultation process and these have been used in guiding this process. Communication with I&APs with regards to the proposed development was facilitated through the following means and in this order:

- A Background Information Document (BID) containing brief information about the proposed facility was compiled (Appendix E) and delivered upon request to all new registered Interested and Affected parties (I&APs).
- Project Environmental Assessment notices were published in New Era(15 February 2024 and 22 February 2024) and The Namibian Newspaper) (Appendix F), briefly explaining the activity and its locality, and inviting members of the public to register as I&APs.
- A consultation meeting was scheduled and held with the I&APs on the **20 March 2023** at Epako Community Hall, Gobabis 12:00 as shown in **Figure 11.**



Figure 10: Consultation meeting at the Epakpo Community Hall (Gobabis).



Figure 11: Site notice placed at Epako community Hall

7 IMPACT IDENTIFICATION, ASSESSMENT AND MITIGATION MEASURES

7.1 Impact Identification

Proposed developments/activities are usually associated with different potential positive and/or negative impacts. For an environmental assessment, the focus is placed mainly on the negative impacts. This is done to ensure that these impacts are addressed by providing adequate mitigation measures such that an impact's significance is brought under control, while maximizing the positive impacts of the project activities. The potential positive and negative impacts that have been identified from the prospecting activities are listed as follow:

Positive:

- Socio-economic development through employment creation (primary, secondary, and tertiary employment) and skills transfer,
- Open other investment opportunities and infrastructure-related development benefits,
- Produce a trained workforce and small businesses that can service communities and may initiate related businesses.
- Boosting the local economic growth and regional economic development.
- Increased support for local businesses through the procurement of consumable items such as Personal Protective Equipment (PPE), machinery spare parts, lubricants, etc.

Negative:

- Potential disturbance of grazing land areas,
- Physical land / soil disturbance
- Impact on local biodiversity (fauna and flora) and habitat disturbance and potential illegal wildlife hunting (poaching) in the area.
- Potential impact on water resources and soils particularly due to pollution,

- Air quality issue: potential dust generated from the project.
- Potential occupational health and safety risks
- Vehicular traffic safety and impact on services infrastructure such as local roads
- Vibrations and noise associated with drilling activities may be a nuisance to locals
- Environmental pollution (solid waste and wastewater)
- Archaeological and heritage resources impact
- Potential social nuisance and conflicts (theft, damage to properties, etc).

7.2 Impact Assessment Methodology

The Environmental Assessment process primarily ensures that potential impacts that may occur from project activity are identified and addressed with environmentally cautious approaches and legal compliance. The impact assessment method used for this project is in accordance with Namibia's Environmental Management Act (No. 7 of 2007) and its Regulations of 2012, as well as the International Finance Corporation (IFC) Performance Standards.

The identified impacts were assessed in terms of scale/extent (spatial scale), duration (temporal scale), magnitude (severity) and probability (likelihood of occurring), as presented in **Table 5**, **Table 6** and **Table 7**, respectively.

To enable a scientific approach to the determination of the environmental significance, a numerical value is linked to each rating scale. This methodology ensures uniformity and that potential impacts can be addressed in a standard manner so that a wide range of impacts are comparable. It is assumed that an assessment of the significance of a potential impact is a good indicator of the risk associated with such an impact. The following process will be applied to each potential impact:

- Provision of a brief explanation of the impact.
- Assessment of the pre-mitigation significance of the impact; and
- Description of recommended mitigation measures.

The recommended mitigation measures prescribed for each of the potential impacts contribute towards the attainment of environmentally sustainable operational conditions of the project for various features of the biophysical and social environment. The following criteria were applied in this impact assessment:

7.2.1 Extent (spatial scale)

Extent is an indication of the physical and spatial scale of the impact. **Table 5** shows rating of impact in terms of extent of spatial scale.

Table 5: Extent or spatial impact rating

| Low (1) | Low/Medium (2) | Medium (3) | Medium/High (4) | High (5) |
|---------|--------------------|------------|--|------------------|
| | the site boundary: | adjacent | Impact widespread far beyond site boundary: Regional | National or over |

7.2.2 Duration

Duration refers to the timeframe over which the impact is expected to occur, measured in relation to the lifetime of the project. **Table 6** shows the rating of impact in terms of duration.

Table 6: Duration impact rating

| Low (1) | Low/Medium (2) | Medium (3) | Medium/High (4) | High (5) |
|---|----------------|--|---------------------|--|
| Immediate mitigating measures, immediate progress | | Reversible over time; medium term (5-15 years) | Impact is long-term | Long term; beyond closure; permanent; irreplaceable or irretrievable commitment of resources |

7.2.3 Intensity, Magnitude / severity

Intensity refers to the degree or magnitude to which the impact alters the functioning of an element of the environment. The magnitude of alteration can either be positive or negative. These ratings were also taken into consideration during the assessment of severity. **Table 7** shows the rating of impact in terms of intensity, magnitude, or severity.

Table 7: Intensity, magnitude or severity impact rating

| Type of criteria | | Negative | | | | |
|------------------|--|---|---|---------------------------------|--|--|
| Oritoria | H- | M/H- | M- | M/L- | L- | |
| | (10) | (8) | (6) | (4) | (2) | |
| Qualitative | Very high deterioration, high quantity | Substantial deterioration, death, illness | Moderate deterioration, discomfort, | Low deterioration, slight | Minor deterioration, nuisance or | |

| Type of criteria | Negative | | | | |
|------------------|--|---|--|---|---|
| Citteria | H- | M/H- | M- | M/L- | L- |
| | (10) | (8) | (6) | (4) | (2) |
| | of deaths, injury of illness / total loss of habitat, total alteration of ecological processes, extinction of rare species | or injury, loss of habitat / diversity or resource, severe alteration or disturbance of important processes | partial loss of habitat / biodiversity or resource, moderate alteration | noticeable alteration in habitat and biodiversity. Little loss in species numbers | irritation, minor change in species / habitat / diversity or resource, no or very little quality deterioration. |

7.2.4 Probability of occurrence

Probability describes the likelihood of the impacts occurring. This determination is based on previous experience with similar projects and/or based on professional judgment. **Table 8** shows impact rating in terms of probability of occurrence.

Table 8: Probability of occurrence impact rating

| Low (1) | Medium/Low (2) | Medium (3) | Medium/High (4) | High (5) |
|---------------|---|--|--|---------------------------------------|
| known risk or | Likely to occur from time to time. Low risk or vulnerability to natural or | Possible, distinct possibility, frequent. Low to medium risk or vulnerability to natural or induced hazards. | mitigating measures are not implemented. Medium risk of vulnerability to | measures), highly likely, continuous. |

7.2.5 Significance

Impact significance is determined through a synthesis of the above impact characteristics. The significance of the impact "without mitigation" is the main determinant of the nature and degree of mitigation required. As stated in the introduction to this section, for this assessment, the significance of the impact without prescribed mitigation actions is measured.

Once the above factors (**Table 5**, **Table 6**, **Table 7** and **Table 8**) have been ranked for each potential impact, the impact significance of each is assessed using the following formula:

SIGNIFICANCE POINTS (SP) = (MAGNITUDE + DURATION + SCALE) X PROBABILITY

The maximum value per potential impact is 100 significance points (SP). Potential impacts were rated as high, moderate or low significance, based on the following significance rating scale (**Table 9**).

Table 9: Significance rating scale

| Significance | Environmental Significance Points | Colour Code |
|-------------------|-----------------------------------|-------------|
| High (positive) | >60 | Н |
| Medium (positive) | 30 to 60 | М |
| Low (positive) | 1 to 30 | L |
| Neutral | 0 | N |
| Low (negative) | -1 to -30 | L |
| Medium (negative) | -30 to -60 | М |
| High (negative) | <-60 | Н |

Positive (+) - Beneficial impact

Negative (-) – Deleterious/ adverse Impact

Neutral – Impacts are neither beneficial nor adverse

For an impact with a significance rating of high (-ve), mitigation measures are recommended to reduce the impact to a medium (-ve) or low (-ve) significance rating, provided that the impact with a medium significance rating can be sufficiently controlled with the recommended mitigation measures. To maintain a low or medium significance rating, monitoring is recommended for a period to enable the confirmation of the significance of the impact as low or medium and under control.

The assessment of the exploration phases is done for pre-mitigation and post-mitigation.

The risk/impact assessment is driven by three factors:

- Source: The cause or source of the contamination.
- Pathway: The route taken by the source to reach a given receptor

 Receptor: A person, animal, plant, eco-system, property, or a controlled water source. If contamination is to cause harm or impact, it must reach a receptor.

A pollutant linkage occurs when a source, pathway and receptor exist together. Mitigation measures aim firstly, avoid risk and if the risk cannot be avoided, mitigation measures to minimize the impact are recommended. Once mitigation measures have been applied, the identified risk would reduce to lower significance (Booth, 2011).

This assessment focuses on the three project phases namely, the prospecting, exploration, and decommissioning. The potential negative impacts stemming from the proposed activities of the EPL are described, assessed and mitigation measures provided thereof. Further mitigation measures in a form of management action plans are provided in the Draft Environmental Management Plan.

7.3 Assessment of Potential Negative (Adverse) Impacts

The significant negative impacts potentially associated with the proposed prospecting and exploration of industrial minerals (Iron) are assessed below:

7.3.1 Disturbance to the grazing areas

The EPL is overlying communal area that practice livestock and has presence of wildlife animals. The invasive exploration activities such as site clearing, trenching, and drilling can potentially lead to the disturbance of grazing land. This will potentially affect the grazing areas available to the livestock and wildlife, and since the local people greatly depend on these types of activities for subsistence and income generation, this would have an impact on their livelihood through potential feeding/grazing for animals and eventual losses.

The effect of exploration work on the land (when done over a wider spatial extent), if not mitigated, may hinder animal husbandry in the area and its surrounding. The project area might experience loss of its pastoral system over time. Losing grazing pastures for livestock and wildlife minimizes the number of animals in the area, and lead to loss of livelihoods. Under the status, the impact can be of a medium significance rating. With the implementation of appropriate mitigation measures, the rating will be reduced to a lower significance. The impact is assessed in **Table 10** below.

Table 10: Assessment of the impacts of exploration on grazing areas

| Mitigation Status | Extent | Duration | Intensity | Probability | Significance |
|-------------------|--------|----------|-----------|-------------|--------------|
|-------------------|--------|----------|-----------|-------------|--------------|

| Pre mitigation | M: -3 | M: -3 | M/L: -4 | M/H: 4 | M: -40 |
|-----------------|---------|---------|---------|--------|--------|
| Post mitigation | L/M: -2 | L/M: -2 | L/M: -4 | L/M: 2 | L: -16 |

Mitigations and recommendations to lower the possibility of disturbance and loss of the Pastoral system

- Any unnecessary removal or destruction of grazing land, due to exploration activities should be avoided.
- Vegetation found on the site, but not in the targeted exploration areas should not be removed but left to preserve biodiversity and grazing land.
- Workers should refrain from driving off road and creating unnecessary tracks that may contribute to the loss of grazing land.
- Environmental awareness on the importance of the preservation of grazing land for local livestock should be provided to the workers.

7.3.2 Land Degradation and Loss of Biodiversity

Fauna: The trenching, pitting and drilling activities done for detailed exploration could result in land degradation, leading to habitat loss for a diversity of flora and fauna ranging from microorganisms to large animals and vegetation. Endemic species are most severely affected since even the slightest disruption in their habitat can results in extinction or put them at high risk of being wiped out.

The presence and movement of the exploration workforce and operation of project equipment and heavy vehicles would disturb not only the domestic animals (livestock) grazing at the explored sites of the EPL, but also the wildlife present on the explored sites. Not only the disturbance due to human and vehicle movements, but also potential illegal hunting (poaching) of local wildlife by project related workers. This could lead to loss or number reduction of specific faunal species which also impacts tourism in the community (for tourists who are interested in wildlife seeing when driving through the area).

Another potential activity that will impact the faunal community is the areas that are not rehabilitated and or unfenced boreholes, trenches and pits used for exploration (once they are no longer in use). If these holes and pits/trenches are not fenced off or closed off by rehabilitating them, they could pose a high risk of site domestic and wild animals falling into these holes and pits, causing injuries and potentially mortalities.

Flora: Direct impacts on flora and vegetation communities will mainly occur through clearing for the exploration access roads and associated infrastructure. The dust emissions from drilling may affect surrounding vegetation through the fall of dust, the impact will be localized, therefore manageable.

Under the status, the impact can be of a medium significance rating. With the implementation of appropriate mitigation measures, the rating will be reduced to a low significance rating. The impact is assessed in **Table 11** below.

Table 11: Assessment of the impacts of exploration on biodiversity

| Mitigation Status | Extent | Duration | Intensity | Probability | Significance |
|-------------------|---------|----------|-----------|-------------|--------------|
| Pre mitigation | M: -3 | M: -3 | M: -6 | M/H: 4 | M: -48 |
| Post mitigation | L/M: -2 | L/M: -2 | L/M: -4 | L/M: 2 | L: -16 |

Mitigations and recommendations to minimize the loss of biodiversity

- The Proponent should avoid unnecessary removal of vegetation, in order to promote a balance between biodiversity and their operations.
- Vegetation found on the site, but not in the targeted exploration site areas should not be removed but left to preserve biodiversity on the site.
- Movement of vehicle and machinery should be restricted to existing roads and tracks to prevent unnecessary damage to the vegetation.
- No onsite vegetation should be cut or used for firewood related to the project's operations.
 The Proponent should provide firewood for his onsite camping workers from authorized firewood producer or seller.
- Design access roads appropriately in a manner that disturbs minimal land areas as possible.
- Vegetation clearing to be kept to a minimum. The vegetation of the site is largely low and open and therefore whole-sale vegetation clearing should only be applied where necessary and within the EPL footprint.
- Workers should refrain from disturbing, killing or stealing animals and killing small soil and rock outcrop species found on sites.
- Poaching (illegal hunting) of domestic and wildlife from the area is strictly prohibited.
- Environmental awareness on the importance of biodiversity preservation should be provided to the workers.

7.3.3 Generation of Dust (Air Quality)

Dust emanating from site access roads when transporting exploration equipment and supply (water) to and from site (time-to-time) may compromise the air quality in the area. Vehicular movements from heavy vehicles such as trucks would potentially create dust even though it is not always so severe. The hot and dry environment, loose and sandy nature of the substrate and low vegetation cover causes ambient fugitive dust levels. Additionally, activities carried out as part of the exploration works such as drilling would contribute to the dust levels in the air. The medium significance of this impact can be reduced to a low significance rating by properly implementing mitigation measures. The impact is assessed in **Table 12** below.

Table 12: Assessment of the impacts of exploration on air quality

| Mitigation Status | Extent | Duration | Intensity | Probability | Significance |
|-------------------|--------|----------|-----------|-------------|--------------|
| Pre mitigation | M: -3 | M: -3 | M/L: -4 | M/H: 4 | M: -40 |
| Post mitigation | L - 1 | L - 1 | L- 2 | L - 1 | L - 4 |

Mitigations and recommendations to minimize dust

- Exploration vehicles should not drive at a speed more than 40 km/h to avoid dust generation around the area.
- The Proponent should ensure that the exploration schedule is limited to the given number of days of the week, and not every day. This will keep the vehicle-related dust level minimal in the area.
- Reasonable amount of water should be used on gravel roads, using regular water sprays
 on gravel routes and near exploration sites to suppress the dust that may be emanating
 from certain exploration areas on the EPL, in cases of excessive generation of dust.

7.3.4 Water Resources Use

Water resources are impacted by project developments/activities in two ways - through pollution (water quality) or over-abstraction (water quantity) or at times both.

The abstraction of more water than it can be replenished from low groundwater potential areas would negatively affect the local communities that depend on the same low potential groundwater resource (aquifer).

The impact of the project activities on the resources would be dependent on the water volumes required by each project activity. Exploration activities such as drilling a lot of water, However, this depends on the type of drilling methods employed and the type of mineral being explored for.

Given the low to medium groundwater potential of some project site areas, the Proponent may consider carting some of the water volumes from outside the area and stored in industry standard water reservoirs/tanks on site. The amount of water required would also be dependent on the duration of the exploration works and number of exploration boreholes required to make reliable interpretation on the commodities explored for. The exploration period is temporally limited, therefore, the impact will only last for the duration of the exploration activities and cease upon completion.

Without the implementation of any mitigation measures, the impact can be rated as medium, but upon effective implementation of the recommended measures, the impact significance would be reduced to low as presented in the **Table 13** below.

Table 13: Assessment of the project impact on water resource use and availability

| Mitigation Status | Extent | Duration | Intensity | Probability | Significance |
|-------------------|---------|----------|-----------|-------------|--------------|
| Pre mitigation | M - 3 | M/H - 4 | L/M - 4 | M/H - 4 | M – 44 |
| Post mitigation | L/M - 2 | L/M - 2 | L - 2 | L/M - 2 | L – 12 |

Mitigations and recommendations to manage water use

- Drinking water abstracted from boreholes or supplied by carting should be used efficiently, and recycling and re-using of water should be encouraged, where necessary and possible.
- The Proponent should consider carting water for drilling from elsewhere if the existing boreholes are not sustainable. Agreements of water supply should be made between the farmer / landowner and the Proponent.
- Water reuse/recycling methods should be implemented as far as practicable such that the
 water used to cool off exploration equipment should be captured and used for the cleaning
 of project equipment, if possible.
- Water storage tanks should be inspected daily to ensure that there is no leakage, resulting in wasted water on site.
- Water conservation awareness and saving measures training should be provided to all the project workers.

7.3.5 Soil and Water Resources Pollution

The proposed exploration activities are associated with a variety of potential pollution sources (i.e., lubricants, fuel and wastewater) that may contaminate/pollute soils and eventually groundwater and surface water. The anticipated potential source of pollution to water resources from the project activities would be hydrocarbons (oil) from project vehicles, machinery, and equipment as well as potential wastewater/effluent from exploration related activities.

The spills (depending on volumes spilled on the soils) from these machinery, vehicles and equipment could infiltrate into the ground and pollute the fractured or faulted aquifers on site, and with time reach further groundwater systems in the area. However, it should be noted that the scale and extent/footprint of the activities where potential sources of pollution will be handled is relatively small. Therefore, the impact will be moderately low.

Pre-mitigation measure implementation, the impact significance is medium and upon implementation, the significance will be reduced to low. The impact is assessed in **Table 14** below.

Table 14: Assessment of the project impact on soils and water resources (pollution)

| Mitigation Status | Extent | Duration | Intensity | Probability | Significance |
|-------------------|--------|----------|-----------|-------------|--------------|
| Pre mitigation | M - 3 | M/H - 4 | M - 6 | M - 3 | M - 39 |
| Post mitigation | L - 1 | L - 1 | L - 2 | L/M - 2 | L - 8 |

Mitigations and recommendations to manage soil and water pollution

- Spill control preventive measures should be in place on site to management soil contamination, thus preventing and or minimizing the contamination from reaching water resources bodies. Some of the soil control preventive measures that can be implemented include:
 - Identification of oil storage and use locations on site and allocate drip trays and polluted soil removal tools suitable for that specific surface (soil or hard rock cover) on the sites.
 - Maintain equipment and fuel storage tanks to ensure that they are in good condition thus preventing leaks and spills.
 - The oil storage and use locations should be visually inspected for container or tank condition and spills.
- All project employees should be sensitized about the impacts of soil pollution and advised to follow appropriate fuel delivery and handling procedures.

- The Proponent should develop and prepare countermeasures to contain, clean up, and mitigate the effects of an oil spill. This includes keeping spill response procedures and a well-stocked cache of supplies easily accessible.
- Ensure employees receive basic Spill Prevention, Control, and Countermeasure (SPCC) Plan training and mentor new workers as they get hired.
- Project machines and equipment should be equipped with drip trays to contain possible oil spills when operated on site.
- Polluted soil should be removed immediately and put in a designate waste type container for later disposal.
- Drip trays must be readily available on this trailer and monitored to ensure that accidental
 fuel spills along the tank trailer path/route around the exploration sites are cleaned on time
 (soon after the spill has happened).
- Polluted soil must be collected and transported away from the site to an approved and appropriately classified hazardous waste treatment facility.
- Washing of equipment contaminated hydrocarbons, as well as the washing and servicing
 of vehicles should take place at a dedicated area, where contaminants are prevented from
 contaminating soil or water resources.
- Toilet water should be treated using chemical portable toilets and periodically emptied out before reaching capacity and transported to a wastewater treatment facility.

7.3.6 Waste Generation

During the prospecting and exploration phase, domestic and general waste is produced on site. If the generated waste is not disposed of in a responsible way, land pollution may occur on the EPL or around the site. Improper handling, storage and disposal of hydrocarbon products and hazardous materials at the site may lead to soil and groundwater contamination, in case of spills and leakages. Therefore, the exploration programme needs to have appropriate waste management for the site. To prevent these issues, biodegradable and non-biodegradable wastes must be stored in separate containers and collected regularly for disposal at a recognized landfill/dump site. Any hazardous waste that may have an impact on the animals, vegetation, water resources and the general environment should be handled cautiously. Without any mitigation measures, the general impact of waste generation has a medium significance. The impact will reduce to low significance, upon implementing the mitigation measures. The assessment of this impact is given in **Table 15**.

Table 15: Assessment of waste generation impact

| Mitigation Status | Extent | Duration | Intensity | Probability | Significance |
|----------------------|---------|----------|-----------|-------------|--------------|
| Pre mitigation | L/M - 2 | L/M - 2 | M – 6 | M - 3 | M – 30 |
| Post mitigation | L - 1 | L - 1 | L-2 | L/M - 2 | L - 8 |

Mitigations and recommendations to waste management

- Workers should be sensitized to dispose of waste in a responsible manner
- No littering permitted on site.
- After each daily works, the Proponent should ensure that there is no waste left on the sites.
- All domestic and general operational waste produced daily should be contained onsite until such that time it will be transported to designated waste sites.
- No waste may be buried or burned on site or anywhere else.
- The exploration site should be equipped with separate waste bins for hazardous and general/domestic waste.
- Sewage waste should be stored as per the portable chemical toilets supplied on site and regularly disposed of at the nearest treatment facility.
- Oil spills should be taken care of by removing and treating soils affected by the spill.
- A penalty system for irresponsible disposal of waste on site and anywhere in the area should be implemented.
- Careful storage and handling of hydrocarbons on site is essential.
- Potential contaminants such as hydrocarbons and wastewater should be contained on site
 and disposed of in accordance with municipal wastewater discharge standards so that
 they do not contaminate surrounding soils and eventually groundwater.
- An emergency plan should be available for major/minor spills at the site during operation activities (with consideration of air, groundwater, soil, and surface water) and during the transportation of the product(s) to the sites.

7.3.7 Occupational Health and Safety Risks

Project personnel (workers) involved in the exploration activities may be exposed to health and safety risks. These are in terms of accidental injury, owing to either minor (i.e., superficial physical injury) or major (i.e., involving heavy machinery or vehicles) accidents. The site safety of all

personnel will be the Proponent's responsibility and should be adhered to as per the requirements of the Labour Act (No. 11 of 2007) and the Public Health Act (No. 36 of 1919). The heavy vehicle, equipment and fuel storage area should be properly secured to prevent any harm or injury to the Proponent's personnel or local domestic animals.

The use of heavy equipment, especially during drilling and the presence of hydrocarbons on sites may result in accidental fire outbreaks. This could pose a safety risk to the project personnel and equipment and vehicles too.

The impact is probable and has a medium significance rating. However, with adequate mitigation measures, the impact rating will be reduced to low. This impact is assessed in **Table 16** below and mitigation measures provided.

Table 16: Assessment of the impacts of exploration on health and safety

| Mitigation Status | Extent | Duration | Intensity | Probability | Significance |
|-------------------|---------|----------|-----------|-------------|--------------|
| Pre mitigation | M - 3 | M - 3 | M - 6 | M/H - 4 | M – 48 |
| Post mitigation | L/M - 2 | L/M - 2 | L - 2 | L/M - 2 | L - 12 |

Mitigations and recommendations to minimize health and safety issues

- The Labour Act's Health and Safety Regulations should be complied with.
- The Proponent should commit to and make provision for bi-annual full medical check-up for all the workers at site to monitor the impact of project related activities on them (workers).
- As part of their induction, the project workers should be provided with an awareness training of the risks of mishandling equipment and materials on site as well as health and safety risk associated with their respective jobs.
- When working on site, employees should be properly equipped with adequate personal protective equipment (PPE) such as coveralls, gloves, safety boots, earplugs, dust masks, safety glasses, etc.
- Heavy vehicle, equipment and fuel storage site should be properly secured, and appropriate warning signage placed where visible.
- Drilled boreholes that will no longer be in use or to be used later after being drilled should be properly marked for visibility and capped/closed off.
- Ensure that after completion of exploration holes and trenches, drill cuttings are put back into the hole and the holes filled and levelled, and trenches backfilled respectively.

- An emergency preparedness plan should be compiled, and all personnel appropriately trained.
- Workers should not be allowed to consume any intoxicants prior to and during working
 hours nor allowed on site when under the influence of alcohol as this may lead to
 mishandling of equipment which results into injuries and other health and safety risks.
- The site areas that are considered temporary risks should be equipped with cautionary signs.

7.3.8 Vehicular Traffic Use and Safety

The district roads such as D1930, D3716 and D1918 are the main transportation routes for all vehicular movement in the area and provide access to the EPL and connect the project area to other towns. Traffic volume will, therefore, increase on these district roads during exploration as the project would need a delivery of supplies and services on site.

Depending on the project needs, trucks, medium and small vehicles will be frequenting the area, which would increase slow moving heavy vehicular traffic along these roads. The impact would all local road users (via local access gravel and single-track roads). This would add additional pressure on the roads.

However, the exploration related heavy trucks will be transporting materials and equipment to site are expected to do so on a very limited schedule. Therefore, the risk is anticipated to be short-term, not frequent, and therefore of medium significance. Pre-mitigation, the impact can be rated medium and with the implementation of mitigation measures, the significance will be low as assessed in **Table 17** below.

Table 17: Assessment of the impacts of exploration on road use (vehicular traffic)

| Mitigation Status | Extent | Duration | Intensity | Probability | Significance |
|-------------------|---------|----------|-----------|-------------|--------------|
| Pre mitigation | M - 3 | M/H - 4 | L/M - 4 | M/H - 4 | M - 44 |
| Post mitigation | L/M - 2 | L/M - 2 | L - 2 | L/M - 2 | L - 12 |

Mitigations and recommendations to minimize impact on road safety and vehicular traffic issues

 The transportation of exploration materials, equipment and machinery should be limited, to reduce pressure on local roads.

- The heavy truck loads should comply with the maximum allowed speed limit for respective vehicles while transporting materials and equipment/machinery on the public and access roads (40km/h).
- The potential carting of water to the site (from other source of water supply) should be
 done minimally, in containers that can supply and store water for relatively long periods,
 in order to reduce the number of water-carting trucks on the road daily.
- Drivers of all project vehicles should be in possession of valid and appropriate driving licenses and adhere to the road safety rules.
- Drivers should drive slowly (40km/hour or less) and be on the lookout for livestock and wildlife as well as residents/travelers.
- The Proponent must ensure that the site access roads are well equipped with temporary road signs to cater for vehicles travelling to and from site throughout the project's life cycle.
- Project vehicles should be in a road worthy condition and serviced regularly to avoid accidents owing to mechanical faults.
- Vehicle drivers should only make use of designated site access roads provided and as agreed.
- Vehicle's drivers should not be allowed to operate vehicles while under the influence of any intoxicants.
- No heavy trucks or project related vehicles should be parked outside the project site boundary or demarcated areas for such purpose.
- To control traffic movement on site, deliveries from and to site should be carefully scheduled. This should optimally be during weekdays and between the hours of 8am and 5pm.
- The site access road(s) should be upgraded to an acceptable standard to be able to accommodate project related vehicles as well as farm vehicles.

7.3.9 Noise and vibrations

Prospecting and exploration work (especially drilling) may be a nuisance to surrounding communities due to the noise produced by the activity. Excessive noise and vibrations can be a health risk to workers on site. The exploration equipment used for drilling on site is of medium size and the noise level is bound to be limited to the site only, therefore, the impact likelihood is minimal. Without any mitigation, the impact is rated as of medium significance. To change the impact significance from the pre-mitigation significance to low rating, the mitigation measures should be implemented. This impact is assessed in **Table 18** below.

Table 18: Assessment of the impacts of noise and vibrations from exploration

| Mitigation Status | Extent | Duration | Intensity | Probability | Significance |
|-------------------|---------|----------|-----------|-------------|--------------|
| Pre mitigation | L/M - 2 | L/M - 2 | M - 6 | M/H - 3 | M – 30 |
| Post mitigation | L - 1 | L/M - 2 | L - 2 | L/M -2 | L - 10 |

Mitigations and recommendations to minimize noise

- Noise from operations' vehicles and equipment on the sites should be at acceptable levels.
- The exploration operational times should be set such that no exploration activity is carried out during the night or very early in the mornings.
- Exploration hours should be restricted to between 08h00 and 17h00, or at the hours agreed upon by the Proponent and land owners, to avoid noise and vibrations generated by exploration equipment and the movement of vehicles before or after hours.
- When operating the drilling machinery onsite, workers should be equipped with personal protective equipment (PPE) such as earplugs to reduce exposure to excessive noise.

7.3.10 Disturbance to Archaeological and Heritage resources

The proposed prospecting and exploration area contain some archaeological significances, therefore, the project indicates that some sections within the boundaries of the proposed project site area are highly sensitive and archaeologically significant, which characterizes the need of a detailed investigation of any other existing archaeological cultural materials in the areas, and they should be protected either by fencing them off or demarcation for preservation purposes or excluded from any development i.e., no exploration activities should be conducted near these recorded areas through establishment of 1.5 km buffer zones.

Therefore, this impact can be rated as medium significance if there are no mitigation measures in place. Upon implementation of the necessary measures, the impact significance will be reduced to a lower rating. The impact is assessed in **Table 19**.

Table 19: Assessment of the impacts of exploration on archaeological & heritage resources

| Mitigation Status | Extent | Duration | Intensity | Probability | Significance |
|-------------------|---------|----------|-----------|-------------|--------------|
| Pre mitigation | M - 3 | M - 3 | M - 6 | M/H - 4 | M – 48 |
| Post mitigation | L/M - 2 | L/M - 2 | L - 2 | L/M - 2 | L - 12 |

Mitigations and recommendations to minimize impact on archaeological and heritage resources

- A "No-Go-Area" should be put in place where there is evidence of archaeological site, historical items or cultural objects. It can be a demarcation by fencing off or avoid the site completely by not working closely or near the known site.
- On-site personnel (s) and contractor crews must be sensitized to exercise and recognize "chance finds heritage" in the course of their work.
- During the prospecting and exploration works, it is important to take note and recognize
 any significant material being unearthed and making the correct judgment on which
 actions should be taken (refer to CFP Appendix attached to the EMP).
- The footprint impact of the proposed prospecting and exploration activities should be kept
 to minimal to limit the possibility of encountering chance finds within the EPL boundaries.
 The Proponent should keep a buffer of 1.5 km meters on all the archaeological/cultural
 sites observed within the project site and broader area throughout their stay (duration of
 their presence) in the area.
- A landscape approach of the site management must consider culture and heritage features in the overall planning of exploration infrastructures within and beyond the license boundaries.
- The Proponent and Contractors should adhere to the provisions of Section 55 of the National Heritage Act in event significant heritage and culture features are discovered while conducting exploration works.
- Subject to the recommendations herein made and the implementation of the mitigation measures and adoption of the project Archaeological Management Plan (AMP)/EMP should be complied.
- An archaeologist or Heritage specialist should be onsite to monitor all significant earth moving activities that may be implemented as part of the proposed project activities.
- When the removal of topsoil and subsoil on the site for exploration purposes, the site should be monitored for subsurface archaeological materials by a qualified Archaeologist.
- Show overall commitment and compliance by adapting "minimalistic or zero damage approach".
- In addition to these recommendations above, there should be a controlled movement of the contractor, exploration crews, equipment, setting up of camps and everyone else

involved in the prospecting and exploration activities to limit the proliferation of informal pathways, gully erosion and disturbance to surface and sub-surface artifacts such as stone tools and other buried materials etc.

7.3.11 Impact on Local Roads

These types of projects are usually associated with movements of heavy trucks and equipment or machinery that use locals frequently. The heavy trucks travelling on the local roads exert more pressure on roads. These local roads in remote areas are normally not in a good condition already for light vehicles, and the additional vehicles such as heavy ones may make it worse and difficult to be used by small (vehicles) that already struggled on the roads before they got worse. This will be a concern if maintenance and care is not taken during the exploration phase. The impact would be short-term (during exploration only) and therefore, manageable.

Without any management and or mitigation measures, the impact can be rated as medium and to reduce this rating to low, the measures will need to be effectively implemented. The assessment of this impact is presented in **Table 20**.

Table 20: Assessment of exploration on local services (road)

| Mitigation Status | Extent | Duration | Intensity | Probability | Significance |
|-------------------|---------|----------|-----------|-------------|--------------|
| Pre mitigation | M/H - 4 | M - 3 | M - 6 | M - 3 | M – 39 |
| Post mitigation | L - 1 | L - 1 | M/L - 4 | M/L -2 | L - 12 |

Mitigations and recommendations to minimize the impact on local services

- The heavy trucks transporting materials and services to site should be set to a limited and efficient schedule to avoid daily travelling to site, unless in cases of emergencies.
- The Proponent should consider frequent maintenance of local roads to ensure that the roads are in a good condition for other roads users, and travelers from and outside the area.

7.4 Cumulative Impacts Associated with Proposed Exploration

According to the International Finance Corporation (2013), cumulative impacts are defined as "those that result from the successive, incremental, and/or combined effects of an action, project, or activity (collectively referred to in this document as "developments") when added to other existing, planned, and/or reasonably anticipated future ones".

Similar to many other exploration projects, some cumulative impacts to which the proposed project and associated activities potentially contribute are:

- The Impacts on road infrastructure: The proposed exploration activity contributes cumulatively to various activities such as farming activities and travelling associated with tourism and local daily routines. The contribution of the proposed project to this cumulative impact is however not considered significant given the short duration, and local extent (site-specific) of the intended mineral exploration activities.
- The use of water: While the contribution of this project will not be significant, mitigation measures to reduce water consumption during exploration are essential.

7.5 Mitigations and Recommendations for Rehabilitation

The rehabilitation of explored (disturbed) sites will include but not limited to the following:

- Backfilling of trenches and or pits in such a way that subsoil is replaced first, and topsoil replaced last.
- Closing off and capping of all exploration drilling boreholes. The boreholes should not only be filled with sand alone, as wind may scour the sand and re-establish the holes.
- Carrying away all waste generated from the last disposal to the last days on site.
- Transporting all machinery and equipment as well as vehicles to designated offsite storage facilities.

CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

The potential positive and negative impacts stemming from the proposed exploration activities on EPL No. 8140, 8141 & 8161 were identified, assessed and appropriate management and mitigation measures (to negative impacts) made thereof for implementation by the Proponent. their contractors and project related employees.

The pre identified issues and concerns form the basis for this report and the Draft EMP. Mitigation measures to identified issues have been provided, thereof, to avoid and/or minimize their significance on the environmental and social components. Most of the potential impacts were found to be of medium rating significance. With effective implementation of the recommended management and mitigation measures, a reduced rating in the significance of adverse impacts is expected from Medium to Low. To maintain the desirable rating, the implementation of management and mitigation measures should be monitored by the Proponent directly, or their Environmental Control Officer (ECO). The monitoring of this implementation will not only be done to maintain low rating, but also to ensure that all potential impacts identified in this study and other impacts that might arise during implementation are properly identified in time and addressed right away.

The Environmental Consultant is confident that the potential negative impacts associated with the proposed project activities can be managed and mitigated by the effective implementation of the recommended management and mitigation measures and with more effort and commitment put on monitoring the implementation of these measures.

It is therefore, recommended that the proposed prospecting and exploration activities may be granted an ECC, provided that:

- All the management and mitigation measures provided herein are effectively and progressively implemented.
- All required permits, licenses and approvals for the proposed activities should be obtained as required. These include permits and licenses for land use access agreements to explore and ensuring compliance with these specific legal requirements.
- The Proponent and all their project workers or contractors comply with the legal requirements governing their project and its associated activities and ensure that project permits and or approvals required to undertake specific site activities are obtained and renewed as stipulated by the issuing authorities.

 Site areas where exploration activities have ceased are rehabilitated, as far as practicable, to their pre-exploration state.

9 REFERENCES

Booth, P. (2011). Environmental Conceptual Site Model Exercise: Source – pathway – receptor. WSP Global: Semantic Scholar.

Manheimer. (2018). Retrieved from Tree Atlas of Namibia: http://treeatlas.biodiversity.org.na/viewspec.php?nr=20

Mendelsohn. (2006). A digest of information on key aspect of Erongo geography. Namibia: Research and Information Services of Namibia.

Mendelsohn. (2003). The Atlas of Namibia: A Portrait of the land and its people. pg 14 -18

Mendelsohn, J. (2003). Atlas of Namibia: A Portrait of the Land and its People. Windhoek: The Ministry of Environment and Tourism of Namibia.

Miller, R. McG. 1983a. The Pan-African Damara Orogen of South West Africa/Namibia, 431-515. In: Miller, R.McG. (Ed.) Evolution of the Damara Orogen of South West Africa/Namibia. Spec. Publ. geol. Soc. S. Afr., 11, 515 pp.

NSA. (2011). Retrieved from https://digitalnamibia.nsa.org.na/

NSA. (2011). Digital Namibia: Namibia statistics of Namibia. Retrieved September 17, 2022, from https://digitalnamibia.nsa.org.na/

SASSCAL WeatherNet, 2020. http://www.sasscalweathernet.org/weatherstat monthly we.php

Vigne. P (2000). Options for Livelihoods Diversification in Omaheke Region. A Report on a semi-structured interview Survey conducted by Oxfam Canada in Collaboration with the Ministry of Agriculture, Water and Rural Development. Windhoek: Oxfam Canada

Wagner, P. A. (1910). The geology of a portion of the Grootfontein District of German South-West Africa. *South African Journal of Geology*, *13*(1), 107-128.

Namibia Statistics Agency (2011). 2011 Population and Housing Census Regional Profile, Erong Region. URL:

https://d3rp5jatom3eyn.cloudfront.net/cms/assets/documents/p19dptss1r1b6ufvsfb1mh41acvo.pdf

MacCalman, H.R. & Grobbelaar, B.J. (1965) Preliminary report on two stone working OvaTjimba groups in the northern Kaokoveld of Southwest Africa. Cimbebasia 13: 1-39.

| _ | | - | | | | | | | |
|-----|--------|----|-----|------|---|--------|---|----|---|
| Ca | \sim | Λ. | 241 | 200 | | \sim | ^ | nn | |
| Gal | | AI | ш | 16.5 | - | u | u | HE | : |

EPL 8140 8141 & 8161: ESA Report

Kinahan, J. (2001) The presence of the past: archaeology, environment and land rights on the lower Cunene River. Cimbebasia 17: 23-39