



45 Feld Street, Windhoek, Namibia
PO Box 81808, Windhoek, Namibia
Tel: (+264) 61 248 614 **Fax:** (+264) 61 238 586 **Web:** www.gcs-na.biz

Environmental Impact Assessment (EIA) for the Proposed Township Establishments of Kuissebmond Extensions 14 and 15 inclusive of cemetery

Environmental Scoping Report

Version - Final

MEFT APP-002889

25 November 2021

Municipality of Walvis Bay





GCS Project Number: 21-0304

Client Reference: Kuissebmond Extensions 14 and 15



**Environmental Impact Assessment (EIA) for the Proposed Township Establishments of
Kusebmond Extensions 14 and 15 inclusive of cemetery****Environmental Scoping Report****Version - Final****25 November 2021****Municipality of Walvis Bay****21-0304****DOCUMENT ISSUE STATUS**

Report Issue	Final		
GCS Reference Number	GCS Ref - 21-0304		
Client Reference	Kusebmond Extensions 14 and 15		
Title	Environmental Impact Assessment (EIA) for the Proposed Township Establishments of Kusebmond Extensions 14 and 15 inclusive of cemetery		
	Name	Signature	Date
Author	Stephanie Strauss		7 September 2021
Document Reviewer	Janice Callaghan		27 September 2021

LEGAL NOTICE

This report or any proportion thereof and any associated documentation remain the property of GCS until the mandator effects payment of all fees and disbursements due to GCS in terms of the GCS Conditions of Contract and Project Acceptance Form. Notwithstanding the aforesaid, any reproduction, duplication, copying, adaptation, editing, change, disclosure, publication, distribution, incorporation, modification, lending, transfer, sending, delivering, serving or broadcasting must be authorised in writing by GCS.

**Environmental Impact Assessment (EIA) for the Proposed Township Establishments of
Kuisebmond Extensions 14 and 15 inclusive of cemetery**

Environmental Scoping Report

Version - Final

25 November 2021

Municipality of Walvis Bay

21-0304

Proponent	Municipality of Walvis Bay Private Bag 5017 Walvis Bay Contact Person: Kristofina Asino Email address: kasino@walvisbaycc.org.na
Environmental Assessment Practitioner	GCS Water Environmental Engineering Namibia (Pty) Ltd PO Box 81808 Windhoek Contact person: Stephanie Strauss Email: stephanies@gcs-na.biz

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

Introduction

The Municipality of Walvis Bay (The Proponent) proposes to establish the townships to be known as Kusebmond Extensions 14 and 15, Walvis Bay. The proposed developments include the creation of predominantly residential erven, a cemetery, streets and installation of bulk services within the proposed township.

Need and Desirability

Namibia continuously experiences pressure in urban areas due to increased urbanisation. As such, the demand for affordable housing and serviced land within urban localities continues to outweigh the supply (Remmert & Ndhlovu, 2018). Local Authorities often find it challenging to meet these demands which results in the growth of informal settlements.

Walvis Bay is expected to experience a population increase of 180 000 people by 2030 (based on a 4.7% annual growth rate) (Urban Dynamics, 2014). In order to meet the residential land demand that goes with the increased population projection, the Municipality of Walvis Bay must establish and service 90 new residential townships by 2030, which constitutes more townships than has been developed since the establishment of the town.

As such the Municipality of Walvis Bay has identified a need to develop additional townships in order to meet the town's demands for residential townships.

A need has additionally been identified for the planning and development of a cemetery within the township. Currently, the town is served by four cemeteries which cater to the Kusebmond (1), Narraville (2) and Walvis Bay (1) neighbourhoods. In order ensure that there is enough burial space to cater for the future demand, a cemetery is planned to be developed in Kusebmond to allow for enough space for burials within the neighbourhood.

The proposed development aims to make available a total of 324 erven of which approximately 282 will be residential erven. The development thus aims to aid in addressing the need for residential erven within the town. Additional land uses to be catered for in the development include institutional (schools, clinics etc), general business, local business and public open spaces which will provide much needed mixed land uses thus increasing the likelihood of future developments in the town. The proposed development also aims to make available an erf to be zoned as Cemetery for the development of a cemetery within the proposed township.

Project Description

The Proponent proposes to establish the townships Kuseibmond Extensions 14 and 15, Walvis Bay, on Erven 8635 and 5757, Kuseibmond respectively.

Kuseibmond Extension 14 is proposed to be established on Erf 8635 Kuseibmond. The proposed township will provide predominantly residential erven which will be supported by additional land uses such as institutional (schools, clinics etc), local business and public open spaces. The total number of erven to be created within the township are 172 erven and the Remainder of Erf 8635 (to be reserved as Street).

Kuseibmond Extension 15 is proposed to be established on Erf 5757 Kuseibmond. The proposed township will provide predominantly residential erven which will be supported by additional land uses such as institutional (schools, clinics etc), local business and public open spaces. The proposed township also includes the creation of an erf which is to be developed into a cemetery. The total number of erven to be created within the township are 140 erven and the Remainder of Erf 5757 (to be reserved as Street).

Public Consultation

Communication with I&APs about the proposed development was facilitated in English through the following means and in this order:

- A Background Information Document (BID) containing descriptive information about the proposed township activities was compiled (**Appendix D**) and sent out to all identified and registered I&APs per email dated 9 August 2021;
- Notices were placed in *The Namib Times* on 6 August and 13 August 2021 as well as in *The Sun*, *Republikein* and *Algemeine Zeitung* newspapers dated 9 and 16 August 2021, briefly explaining the activity and its locality, inviting members of the public to register as I&APs (**Appendix E**);
- A site notice was fixed at the site (**Appendix F**);
- Surrounding property owners whose contact information was available on the municipality system were notified via registered mail (18 August 2021) and/or SMS's (13 August 2021) (**Appendix D**);
- Notices regarding the intended development and scheduled public meeting was placed on the Municipality of Walvis Bay website and Facebook page, as well as the Namib Times Facebook page as indicated in **Figure 6-1**;
- A public meeting was held on 20 August 2021 at 17h30 at the Kuseibmond Council Chambers. (**Appendix H**).

The comments received during the notification period and the public meeting are presented in the Issues and Response Trail (**Appendix I**).

The scoping report was made available to all I&APs for public review from **28th October 2021 until 11th November 2021**. I&APs had until **11th November 2021** to submit their comments on the project. The comment period will remain open until the final scoping report is submitted to MEFT.

Conclusions and Recommendations

The key potential biophysical impacts related to the pre-operational, construction, operational and maintenance and decommissioning phases of the proposed project were identified and assessed. Suitable mitigation measures (where required and possible) were recommended, and the impacts can be summarised as follows:

- **Impacts on biodiversity loss (during pre-operational phase and construction):** There is the possibility of loss of vegetation during the site clearing and construction for the proposed activity. However the subject site is sparsely vegetated and as such, the impact can be adequately addressed by the recommendations given under subchapter 7.2.1, 7.3.1 and management actions given in the EMP (Chapter 3).
- **Impacts on soil, surface and groundwater (during construction and operational phases):** Improper handling, storage and disposal of hydrocarbon products and hazardous materials at the site by spills and leakages may lead to soil and groundwater contamination during construction. Furthermore, Surface and groundwater impacts may be encountered during the operation phase, especially if development takes place within the rainy season. The operational activities on site should be conducted in a manner to avoid the contamination of soils, surface and groundwater. The proposed cemetery is considered the highest risk for this area, in terms of potential poor-quality seepage into the groundwater table. Based on the available data, the likely impacts as a result of poor quality seepage from the proposed cemetery will be marginal. Further mitigation measures are given under subchapters 7.3.2, 7.4.2 and management actions given in the EMP (Chapter 3).
- **Impacts of erosion (during construction phase):** Soil erosion is likely to occur on site given the characteristics of the site and the fact that the site is sparsely vegetated. The impact can be adequately addressed by the recommendations given under subchapters 7.3.3 and management actions given in the EMP (Chapter 3).

- **Impacts on archeological and heritage resources (during construction phase):** The proposed activity is not taking place in an area that has significant archaeological or heritage resources. However, should these be encountered during the rehabilitation activities, mitigation measures need to be in place to ensure that these resources are not harmed. The impact can be adequately addressed by the recommendations given under subchapter 7.3.4 and management actions given in the EMP (Chapter 3).
- **Impacts on health and safety (during construction phase):** Construction activities may cause health and safety risks to people operating on the site. The impact can be adequately addressed by the recommendations given under subchapter 7.3.5 and management actions given in the EMP (Chapter 3).
- **Impacts on dust and noise (during construction phase):** Construction activities may increase dust and noise generated around the site area. The impact can be adequately addressed by the recommendations given under subchapter 7.3.6, 7.3.7, 7.4.3, 7.4.5 and management actions given in the EMP (Chapter 3).
- **Impacts on waste (during construction and operation phase):** Improper disposal of waste materials at the site may lead to pollution of the site and resultant environmental degradation. The impact can be adequately addressed by the recommendations given under subchapters 7.4.4, 7.3.8 and management actions given in the EMP (Chapter 3).
- **Impact on social environment (during construction and operational phase):** The proposed activity may provide employment opportunities for the local people. The impact can be adequately addressed by the recommendations given under subchapter 7.3.9, 7.4.6 and management actions given in the EMP (Chapter 3).
- **Impact on traffic (during operational phase):** The intended development may have an impact on traffic in the subject area. The traffic is not expected to increase significantly as the erven are located in close proximity to an already developed area within the town. The impact can be adequately addressed by the recommendations given under subchapter 7.4.1 and management actions given in the EMP (Chapter 3).

Based on the information provided in this report, GCS is confident the identified risks associated with the establishment of the proposed townships can be reduced to acceptable levels, should the measures recommended in the EMP be implemented and monitored effectively.

CONTENTS PAGE

1	INTRODUCTION	4
1.1	THE NEED FOR AN ENVIRONMENTAL ASSESSMENT (EA)	4
1.2	NEED AND DESIRABILITY OF THE PROJECT	7
1.3	SCOPE OF WORK	8
2	PROJECT DESCRIPTION	9
2.1	DESCRIPTION OF ACTIVITY	9
2.1.1	<i>Site Location.....</i>	9
2.1.2	<i>Kusebmond Extension 14.....</i>	9
2.1.3	<i>Kusebmond Extension 15.....</i>	12
2.1.4	<i>Municipal Service Delivery</i>	14
2.1.5	<i>Site Access.....</i>	14
3	PROJECT ALTERNATIVES CONSIDERED.....	15
3.1	NO-GO OPTION	15
3.2	SERVICES INFRASTRUCTURE	15
3.3	CONCLUSIONS ON THE CONSIDERED ALTERNATIVES.....	16
4	LEGAL FRAMEWORK.....	17
5	ENVIRONMENTAL AND SOCIAL BASELINE.....	23
5.1	BIOPHYSICAL ENVIRONMENT.....	23
5.1.1	<i>Climate.....</i>	23
5.1.2	<i>Topography, Soils and Geology.....</i>	23
5.1.3	<i>Landscape</i>	24
5.1.4	<i>Water Resources: Surface and Groundwater.....</i>	24
5.1.5	<i>Fauna and Flora</i>	27
5.1.6	<i>Archaeological and Anthropological Resources.....</i>	27
5.2	SOCIAL ENVIRONMENT	28
6	PUBLIC CONSULTATION.....	29
6.1	OBJECTIVE:.....	29
6.2	APPROACH:	29
6.2.1	<i>Interested and Affected Parties (I&APs)</i>	29
6.2.2	<i>Communication with I&APs</i>	29
7	IMPACTS IDENTIFICATION, DESCRIPTION AND ASSESSMENT	33
7.2	PRE-OPERATIONAL PHASE IMPACT ASSESSMENT	36
7.2.1	<i>Impact Assessment of Biodiversity Loss.....</i>	36
7.3	CONSTRUCTION PHASE IMPACT ASSESSMENT.....	36
7.3.1	<i>Impact Assessment of Biodiversity Loss.....</i>	37
7.3.2	<i>Impact Assessment of Surface and Groundwater Impacts</i>	37
7.3.3	<i>Impact Assessment of Soil Erosion Impacts</i>	38
7.3.4	<i>Impact Assessment of Archaeological and Heritage Impacts.....</i>	39
7.3.5	<i>Impact Assessment of Health and Safety.....</i>	39
7.3.6	<i>Impact Assessment of Noise Generation Impacts.....</i>	40
7.3.7	<i>Impact Assessment of Dust Generation Impacts</i>	40
7.3.8	<i>Impact Assessment of Waste Generation Impacts</i>	41
7.3.9	<i>Impact Assessment of Temporary Employment Creation</i>	42
7.4.1	<i>Impact Assessment of Traffic Impacts</i>	42
7.4.2	<i>Impact Assessment of Soil, Surface and Groundwater</i>	43
7.4.3	<i>Impact Assessment of Noise</i>	44
7.4.4	<i>Impact Assessment of Waste.....</i>	44
7.4.5	<i>Impact Assessment of Dust.....</i>	45
7.4.6	<i>Impact Assessment of Social Environment</i>	45

7.5	DECOMMISSIONING PHASE.....	46
8	RECOMMENDATIONS AND CONCLUSION	47
8.1	CONCLUSION	47
8.2	RECOMMENDATION	48
9	REFERENCES	49

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 1-1:	Locality map of proposed Kusebmond Extensions 14 and 15	6
Figure 2-1:	Layout Map for proposed Kusebmond Extension 14	10
Figure 2-2:	Proposed Street Creations within Kusebmond Extension 14.....	11
Figure 2-3:	Layout Map of proposed Kusebmond Extension 15	13
Figure 5-1:	Soils of Namibia (Ministry of Agriculture, Water and Rural Development, 2011) 24	
Figure 5-2:	Groundwater basins and hydrogeological regions in Namibia (Ministry of Agriculture Water and Rural Development, 2011).....	25
Figure 5-3:	Site photos	27
Figure 6-1:	Facebook notices.....	31

LIST OF TABLES

Table 2-1:	Site size details	9
Table 2-2:	Number of erven per land use in Kusebmond Extension 14	9
Table 2-3:	Number of erven per land use in Kusebmond Extension 15	12
Table 3-1:	Alternatives considered in terms of services infrastructure	15
Table 4-1:	Applicable and relevant Namibian and international legislations, policies and guidelines conducted during the EA process	18
Table 6-1:	Summary of Pre-Identified IAPs	29
Table 7-1:	Extent or spatial impact rating	33
Table 7-2:	Duration impact rating	34
Table 7-3:	Intensity, magnitude or severity impact rating	34
Table 7-4:	Probability of occurrence impact rating	34
Table 7-5:	Significance rating scale	35
Table 7-6:	Assessment of the impacts of the proposed activities on biodiversity loss	36
Table 7-7:	Assessment of the impacts of the proposed activities on biodiversity loss	37
Table 7-8:	Assessment of the impacts of the proposed activities on surface and groundwater	37
Table 7-9:	Assessment of the impacts of the proposed activities on soil erosion	38
Table 7-10:	Assessment of the impacts of the proposed activities on Archaeological and Heritage Impacts	39
Table 7-11:	Assessment of the impacts of the proposed activities on health and safety	39
Table 7-12:	Assessment of the impacts of the proposed activities on noise generation	40

Table 7-13:Assessment of the impacts of the proposed activities on dust generation	40
Table 7-14:Assessment of the impacts of the proposed activities on waste generation	41
Table 7-15:Assessment of the impacts of the proposed activities on temporary employment creation	42
Table 7-16:Assessment of the impacts of the activities on traffic	43
Table 7-17:Assessment of the impacts of the activities on soil, surface, and groundwater	43
Table 7-18:Assessment of the impacts of the activities on noise	44
Table 7-19:Assessment of the impacts of the activities on waste	44
Table 7-20:Assessment of the impacts of the activities on dust generation	45
Table 7-21:Assessment of the impacts of the activities on social environment	45

LIST OF APPENDICES

APPENDIX A: CV'S –STEPHANIE STRAUSS, GERDA BOTHMA AND VICTORIA SHIKWAYA	50
APPENDIX B: ENVIRONMENTAL MANAGEMENT PLAN (EMP)	51
APPENDIX C: LIST OF INTERESTED AND AFFECTED PARTIES	52
APPENDIX D: BACKGROUND INFORMATION DOCUMENT	53
APPENDIX E: NEWSPAPER ADVERTS	54
APPENDIX F: NOTIFICATIONS OF INTERESTED AND AFFECTED PARTIES	55
APPENDIX G: SITE NOTICE	56
APPENDIX H: PUBLIC MEETING MINUTES AND ATTENDANCE REGISTER	57
APPENDIX I: ISSUES AND RESPONSE TRAIL AND COMMENTS RECEIVED	58
APPENDIX J: GEOHYDROLOGICAL ASSESSMENT REPORT	59
APPENDIX K: CONSENT LETTER FROM RELEVANT AUTHORITY	60

1 INTRODUCTION

Namibia continuously experiences pressure in urban areas due to increased urbanisation. As such, the demand for affordable housing and serviced land within urban localities continues to outweigh the supply (Remmert & Ndhlovu, 2018). Local Authorities often find it challenging to meet these demands which results in the growth of informal settlements.

Walvis Bay is expected to experience a population increase of 180 000 people by 2030 (based on a 4.7% annual growth rate) (Urban Dynamics Africa, 2014). In order to meet the residential land demand that goes with the increased population projection, the Municipality of Walvis Bay must establish and service 90 new residential townships by 2030, which constitutes more townships than have been developed since the establishment of the town.

As such, the Municipality of Walvis Bay has identified a need to develop additional townships in order to meet the demand for residential townships within the town.

The Municipality of Walvis Bay (The Proponent) proposes to establish the townships to be known as Kusebmond Extensions 14 and 15, Walvis Bay. The proposed developments include the creation of predominantly residential erven, a cemetery, streets and installation of bulk services within the proposed township. The locality of the proposed townships is shown in **Figure 1-1** overleaf.

1.1 The Need for an Environmental Assessment (EA)

Under the 2012 Environmental Impact Assessment (EIA) Regulations of the Environmental Management Act (EMA) No. 7 of 2007, the proposed development is a listed activity that may not be undertaken without an Environmental Clearance Certificate (ECC). This activity is listed under the following relevant sections:

- *Activity 10.1 (a) Infrastructure - The construction of oil, water, gas and petrochemical and other bulk supply pipelines* (The proposed development includes the installation of bulk services);
- *Activity 10.1 (b) Infrastructure - The construction of public roads* (The proposed project includes the construction of roads);
- *Activity 10.2 (a) Infrastructure - The route determination of roads and design of associated physical infrastructure where - it is a public road* (The proposed project includes the route determination of roads);
- *Activity 5.1 (d) Land Use and Development Activities - The rezoning of land from use for nature conservation or zoned open space to any other land use* (The proposed project includes the rezoning of a Public Open Space to Street).

- *Activity 11.2 - Construction of cemeteries, camping, leisure and recreation sites (The proposed development includes the provision of an erf to be reserved for the development of a cemetery).*




Figure 1-1: Locality map of proposed Kuseibmond Extensions 14 and 15

In order to fulfil the requirements of the EMA and its 2012 EIA Regulations, the Municipality of Walvis Bay appointed GCS Water and Environmental Engineering Namibia (Pty) Ltd (GCS hereafter), an independent Environmental Consultant to conduct an Environmental Assessment (EA) inclusive of public consultation for the proposed townships establishments in Walvis Bay. The required documents will be submitted as part of an application for an ECC in terms of the EMA and its EIA Regulations. The findings of the EA process are incorporated into an Environmental Scoping Report (this report) and together with the draft Environmental Management Plan (EMP) will be submitted as part of an application for an ECC to the Environmental Commissioner at the Department of Environmental Affairs (DEA), Ministry of Environment, Forestry and Tourism (MEFT).

Stephanie Strauss, a qualified Environmental Assessment Practitioner (EAP) conducted this EA process under the supervision of Gerda Bothma, a qualified and experienced Senior Environmental Scientist. The team was assisted by Victoria Shikwaya, a Junior Environmental Scientist. The CV's of the consultants are attached as **Appendix A** at the end of this report.

1.2 Need and Desirability of the Project

Namibia continuously experiences pressure in urban areas due to increased urbanisation. As such, the demand for affordable housing and serviced land within urban localities continues to outweigh the supply (Remmert & Ndhlovu, 2018). Local Authorities often find it challenging to meet these demands which results in the growth of informal settlements.

Walvis Bay is expected to experience a population increase of 180 000 people by 2030 (based on a 4.7% annual growth rate) (Urban Dynamics, 2014). In order to meet the residential land demand that goes with the increased population projection, the Municipality of Walvis Bay must establish and service 90 new residential townships by 2030, which constitutes more townships than has been developed since the establishment of the town.

As such, the Municipality of Walvis Bay has identified a need to develop additional townships in order to meet the demand for residential townships within the town.

A need has additionally been identified for the planning and development of a cemetery within the township. Currently, the town is served by four cemeteries which cater to the Kusebmond (1), Narraville (2) and Walvis Bay (1) neighbourhoods. In order ensure that there is enough burial space to cater for the future demand, a cemetery is planned to be developed in Kusebmond to allow for enough space for burials within the neighbourhood.

The proposed development aims to make available a total of 324 erven of which approximately 282 will be residential erven. The development thus aims to aid in addressing the need for residential erven within the town. Additional land uses to be catered for in the development include institutional (schools, clinics etc), general business, local business and public open spaces which will provide much needed mixed land uses thus increasing the likelihood of future developments in the town. The proposed development also aims to make available an erf to be zoned as Cemetery for the development of a cemetery within the proposed township.

1.3 Scope of Work

This scoping study was carried out in accordance with the Environmental Management Act (EMA) (7 of 2007) and its 2012 EIA Regulations (GG No. 4878 GN No. 30). After submitting an application for ECC to the DEA, the first stage in the EA process is to submit a scoping report. This report provides the following:

Description	Section of the Report
The need and desirability of the proposed project	Subchapter 1.2
Project description and the need for it	Chapter 2
Alternatives considered for the proposed project in terms of no-go option, and services infrastructure	Chapter 3
The relevant laws and guidelines pertaining to the proposed project	Chapter 4
Baseline environment in which the proposed activity will be undertaken	Chapter 5
The public consultation process followed (as described in Regulation 7 of the EMA Act) whereby interested and affected parties (I&APs) and relevant authorities are identified, informed of the proposed activity, and provided with a reasonable opportunity to give their concerns and opinions on the project	Chapter 6
The identification of potential impacts, impacts description, assessment, mitigation measures and recommendations	Chapter 7
Recommendations and Conclusions to the report	Chapter 8

The next chapter will be focusing on the description of the proposed project and its associated activities.

2 PROJECT DESCRIPTION

The Proponent proposes to establish the townships Kuseibmond Extensions 14 and 15, Walvis Bay, on Erven 8635 and 5757, Kuseibmond respectively.

2.1 Description of Activity

2.1.1 Site Location

The proposed townships are located adjacent to one another within the eastern part of the Kuseibmond township in Walvis Bay. Please refer to **Figure 1-1** for the locality map and **Table 2-1** for the site approximate sizes of the sites.

Table 2-1: Site size details

Location	Walvis Bay
Area size	Kuseibmond Extension 14: 67 070 m ²
	Kuseibmond Extension 15: 138 934 m ²

2.1.2 Kuseibmond Extension 14

Kuseibmond Extension 14 is proposed to be established on Erf 8635 Kuseibmond. The proposed township will provide predominantly residential erven which will be supported by additional land uses such as institutional (schools, clinics etc), local business and public open spaces. The total number of erven to be created within the township are 161 erven and the Remainder of Erf 8635 (to be reserved as Street). **Table 2-2** below outlines the number of erven to be created within the township for each land use. Please refer to **Figure 2-1** for the proposed layout plan for Kuseibmond Extension 14.

Table 2-2: Number of erven per land use in Kuseibmond Extension 14

EXPLANATORY TABLE:				
Erf No.:	Number of Erven	Size of Erven (M²)	% of Total Area	Proposed Zoning/Land Reservation
6-157	152	36238	54%	Single Residential
1-2	2	2027	3%	Institutional
3-4	2	2172	3%	General Business
5	1	1166	2%	Municipal
159-161	3	6695	10%	Public Open Space
158	1	113	0%	Utility Services
Remainder Erf 8635 Kuseibmond	0	18659	28%	Street
TOTAL	161	67070	100%	



2.1.2.1 Street Creations

Portion A of Erf 3127 Kuisebmond is currently zoned as Public Open Space but is being utilised as an existing Street. The proponent intends to permanently close Portion A of Erf 3127, Kuisebmond as a Public Open Space in order to formalise the existing land use as Street. Additionally, Portion B of the remainder of Erf 8634 Kuisebmond is currently being utilised as an existing street. The proponent intends to formalise the existing street by allowing for the creation of Portion B of the Remainder of Erf 8634, Kuisebmond as Street. The proposed street creations are required to ensure that the main road in Kuisebmond Extension 14 is wide enough and will continue wide into the western adjacent township. The proposed street creations are depicted in **Figure 2-2** below.




Figure 2-2: Proposed Street Creations within Kuisebmond Extension 14

2.1.3 Kusebmond Extension 15


Kusebmond Extension 15 is proposed to be established on Erf 5757 Kusebmond. The proposed township will provide predominantly residential erven which will be supported by additional land uses such as institutional (schools, clinics etc), local business and public open spaces. The proposed township also includes the creation of an erf which is to be developed into a cemetery. The total number of erven to be created within the township are 163 erven and the Remainder of Erf 5757 (to be reserved as Street). Table 2-3 below outlines the number of erven to be created within the township for each land use. Please refer to Figure 2-3 for the proposed layout plan for Kusebmond Extension 15.

Table 2-3: Number of erven per land use in Kusebmond Extension 15

EXPLANATORY TABLE:				
Erf No.:	Number of Erven	Size of Erven (M²)	% of Total Area	Proposed Zoning/Land Reservation
23-35, 37-153	130	30022	20%	Single Residential
1-11,13-15,17-22	20	37052	25%	General Residential 2
12,16	2	3434	2%	Institutional
159-160	2	9105	6%	Private Open Space
161-163	3	3954	3%	Public Open Space
36	1	45457	30%	CEMETERY
157-158	2	391	0%	Utility Services
154-156	3	2621	2%	Public Parking Purposes
Rem Erf 5757 Kusebmond	0	18031	12%	Street
TOTAL	163	150067	100%	

Proposed Erf 36 in Kusebmond Extension 15 has been allocated for the development of a cemetery and measures approximately 45457 m² in extent. The cemetery additionally aims to provide a buffer area between the residential erven on the left and the area on the right (Farm 39) which is owned by Namport for future North Port expansion in Walvis Bay.

Proposed Erven 1-22 in proposed Kusebmond Extension 15 has been allocated to a private developer for a high-density residential development called “Fisherman’s Village” which will comprise the development of approximately 600 residential units including a pre-primary school and clinic (Erongo Consulting Group, 2021). An EIA has been conducted for the development and the ECC has been obtained in July 2021.



2.1.4 Municipal Service Delivery

2.1.4.1 Water

Bulk water is supplied to the Municipality of Walvis Bay by Namwater. Water is abstracted from the Kuseb Aquifer and is then pumped to the Mile 7 reservoir which is then pumped to the Municipality of Walvis Bay for distribution to customers. The town additionally pumps water from the Omdel aquifer.

2.1.4.2 Sewage

Sewage in Walvis Bay is channeled through a sewage network to the Wastewater Treatment Plant. The treated water is used to irrigate sports fields and parks. Some of it is stored in a reservoir in the south-east of the town.

2.1.4.3 Electricity

Bulk electricity supply to Walvis Bay is via Paratus Power Station and Kuseb Substation outside Walvis Bay. Two 66kV overhead lines connects Kuseb Substation to Paratus. At Paratus Power Station an adjacent Substation, Paratus Substation, is equipped with 2 x 30MVA 66/ 11kV transformers. Paratus Substation is the main in-feed substation to Walvis Bay. The responsibility of the Walvis Bay internal electricity network and electrical services provision vests with Erongo RED.

Due to increase in demand of services that may result from the increased number of erven to be created the proponent is to appoint an engineer to prepare a master plan for the municipal service delivery to the proposed areas. The master plan is to investigate issues such as water pressure and bulk water storage capacity, sewage treatment, and stormwater management.

2.1.4.4 Solid Waste Disposal

The Municipality of Walvis Bay supplies residents with a wheely bin for waste disposal which is collected weekly for disposal at the landfill site in Walvis Bay. The existing facility is believed to have sufficient capacity to the year 2040, and no expansion will be required to accommodate the 2030 growth projections. Provision is made, however for the area to be extended further east in the long-term (Urban Dynamics, 2014).

2.1.5 Site Access

Access to the proposed development is to be obtained via the existing internal street network of Kusebmond.

3 PROJECT ALTERNATIVES CONSIDERED

Alternatives are defined as: “different means of meeting the general purpose and requirements of the activity” (Environmental Management Act (2007) of Namibia [and its regulations (2012)]). This chapter will highlight the different ways in which the project can be undertaken and to identify the alternative that will be the most practical but least damaging to the environment.

Various alternatives have been identified in terms of the proposed townships and its related activities. The most significant alternatives considered are: no-go option and services infrastructure.

The above-mentioned alternatives considered for the proposed activity are discussed in the following subchapters.

3.1 No-Go Option

The “No-Go” alternative is the option of not proceeding with the activity, which typically implies a continuation of the status quo. Should the proposed township establishments not commence, none of the potential impacts (positive and negative) identified would occur. Furthermore, the subject areas will remain undeveloped. This would also mean that the potential availability of residential erven and other land uses would not be realized and the demands of the town would not be met.

Should the proposed township establishments be discontinued, the current land use for the proposed site will remain unchanged.

3.2 Services Infrastructure

In terms of the services that may be required during construction and operation for the proposed townships, their alternatives are presented in **Table 3-1** below.

Table 3-1: Alternatives considered in terms of services infrastructure

Services	Proposed source	Alternative source
Construction Phase		
Power for cooking	Gas stoves	Electric drives or generators
Worker's accommodation	Accommodation in the nearest accommodation facility in Walvis Bay.	None
Sewage	Portable toilet - these are easily transportable and have no direct impact on the environment and ecology (if properly disposed).	Ventilated improved pit (VIP) latrine. This would be best suited at the contractors' camp.
Domestic waste	Onsite waste bins, regularly emptied at the nearest landfill.	Driving waste daily to the nearest landfill.

Services	Proposed source	Alternative source
Operational Phase		
Water	Existing water reticulation of the town	Augmenting the supply with additional boreholes- the availability of water would need to be confirmed.
Power (electricity)	Existing electricity reticulation of the town	Solar power
Sewage	Existing sewage reticulation of the town	Portable decentralised sewage treatment facility

3.3 Conclusions on the Considered Alternatives

The alternatives considered for the project are summarized as follow:

- **No-go vs. continuation of the proposed project:** The no-go alternative is not considered to be the preferred option. Should the proposed township establishments be discontinued, none of the potential impacts (positive and negative) identified would occur. Furthermore, the current land use for the proposed site will remain unchanged.
- **Services Infrastructure during the construction phase:** Water and electricity from the existing municipal services connections can be used to supply water and electricity during construction. Workers are to be accommodated in the nearest accommodation facility in Walvis Bay. Portable toilets are to be used on site, these are easily transportable and have no direct impact on the environment and ecology (if properly disposed). Onsite waste bins, regularly emptied at the nearest landfill or alternatively driving waste daily to the nearest landfill.
- **Services Infrastructure during the operational phase:** Water, electricity and sewage for the proposed activity are to be sourced by connecting to the existing municipal reticulation of the town. However, should it be found that there is not sufficient supply for the increased number of erven, then alternative sources such as solar power and a decentralised sewage treatment facility should be explored.

4 LEGAL FRAMEWORK

A review of applicable and relevant Namibian legislation, policies and guidelines to the proposed development are given in this chapter. This review serves to inform the Proponent (Municipality of Walvis Bay), Interested and Affected Parties and the decision makers at the DEA of the requirements and expectations, as laid out in terms of these instruments, to be fulfilled in order to undertake the proposed activities.

4.1 The Environmental Management Act No. 7 of 2007

This scoping assessment was carried out according to the Environmental Management Act (EMA) and its Environmental Impact Assessment (EIA) Regulations (GG No. 4878 GN No. 30). The EMA has stipulated requirements to complete the required documentation in order to obtain an Environmental Clearance Certificate (ECC) for permission to undertake certain listed activities.

4.2 Namibia Urban and Regional Planning Act No 5 of 2018

The act aims to consolidate the laws relating to urban and regional planning; to provide for a legal framework for spatial planning in Namibia; to provide for principles and standards of spatial planning; to establish the urban and regional planning board; to decentralise certain matters relating to spatial planning; to provide for the preparation, approval and review of the national spatial development framework, regional structure plans and urban structure plans; to provide for the preparation, approval, review and amendment of zoning schemes; to provide for the establishment of townships; to provide for the alteration of boundaries of approved townships, to provide for the disestablishment of approved townships; to provide for the change of name of approved townships; to provide for the subdivision and consolidation of land; to provide for the alteration, suspension and deletion of conditions relating to land; and to provide for incidental matters.

The applications related to the proposed townships are to be compiled and submitted in accordance with the provisions of the act.

The full list of all applicable legislation identified and conducted during the EA process are presented in **Table 4-1** below.

Table 4-1: Applicable and relevant Namibian and international legislations, policies and guidelines conducted during the EA process

Legislation/Policy/ Guideline	Relevant Provisions	Implications for this project
Environmental Management Act (EMA) No. 7 of 2007	Requires that projects with significant environmental impacts are subject to an environmental assessment process (Section 27). Details principles which are to guide all EAs.	The EMA and its regulations should inform and guide this EA process.
Environmental Impact Assessment (EIA) Regulations GN 28-30 (GG 4878)	Details requirements for public consultation within a given environmental assessment process (GN 30 S21). Details the requirements for what should be included in a Scoping Report (GN 30 S8) and an Assessment Report (GN 30 S15).	
The Constitution of Namibia Act No. 1 of 1990	According to Legal Assistance Centre (LAC), there is no clear right to health in the Namibian Constitution. But under the Article 95 of the Namibian Constitution that deals with Principles of State Policy, the Namibian Constitution states, “the state shall enact legislation to ensure consistent planning to raise and maintain an acceptable standard of living for the country’s people” and to improve public health.	The Proponent should ensure compliance with the conditions set in the Act.
Water Act No. 54 of 1956	The Water Resources Management Act 11 of 2013 is presently without regulations; therefore, the Water Act No 54 of 1956 is still in force:	The protection of ground and surface water resources should be a priority during the proposed activities.

Legislation/Policy/ Guideline	Relevant Provisions	Implications for this project
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Prohibits the pollution of water and implements the principle that a person disposing of effluent or waste has a duty of care to prevent pollution (S3 (k)). Provides for control and protection of groundwater (S66 (1), (d (ii))). <p>Liability of clean-up costs after closure/abandonment of an activity (S3 (l)).</p>	
Water Resources Management Act No.11 of 2013	<p>The act provides for the management, protection, development, use and conservation of water resources; and provides for the regulation and monitoring of water services and to provide for incidental matters. The objects of this Act are to:</p> <p>Ensure that the water resources of Namibia are managed, developed, used, conserved and protected in a manner consistent with, or conducive to, the fundamental principles set out in Section 66 - protection of aquifers, Subsection 1 (d) (iii) provide for preventing the contamination of the aquifer and water pollution control (Section 68).</p>	
Soil Conservation Act No. 76 of 1969	<p>The Act makes provision for the prevention and control of soil erosion and the protection, improvement and conservation of soil, vegetation and water supply sources and resources, through directives declared by the Minister.</p>	<p>Duty of care must be applied to soil conservation and management measures must be included in the EMP.</p>

Legislation/Policy/ Guideline	Relevant Provisions	Implications for this project
Nature Conservation Ordinance No.4 of 1975	To consolidate and amend the laws relating to the conservation of nature; the establishment of game parks and nature reserves; the control of problem animals; and to provide for matters incidental thereto.	The Proponent should ensure that their activities do not in any way compromise the wildlife in the area of operations and the ordinance requirements are adhered to.
Forestry Act No. 12 of 2001	The Act provides for the management and use of forests and related products / resources. It offers protection to any living tree, bush or shrub growing within 100 metres of a river, stream or watercourse on land that is not a surveyed erven of a local authority area. In such instances, a licence would be required to cut and remove any such vegetation. These provisions are only guidelines.	Should there be a need to remove vegetation on site, a permit to remove protected species will need to be obtained from the Forestry office in Walvis Bay.
Atmospheric Pollution Prevention Ordinance No. 11 of 1976	This ordinance provides for the prevention of air pollution.	Measures should be instituted to ensure that dust emanating from construction activities is kept at acceptable levels.
Public Health Act No. 36 of 1919	Section 119 states that “no person shall cause a nuisance or shall suffer to exist on any land or premises owned or occupied by him or of which he is in charge any nuisance or other condition liable to be injurious or dangerous to health.”	The Proponent and all its employees / contractors should ensure compliance with the provisions of these legal instruments.
Health and Safety Regulations GN 156/1997 (GG 1617)	Details various requirements regarding health and safety of labourers.	

Legislation/Policy/ Guideline	Relevant Provisions	Implications for this project
Labour Act No. 6 of 1992	Ministry of Labour (MOL) is aimed at ensuring harmonious labour relations through promoting social justice, occupational health and safety and enhanced labour market services for the benefit of all Namibians. This ministry insures effective implementation of the Labour Act no. 6 of 1992.	The Proponent should ensure that the proposed activity does not compromise the safety and welfare of workers.
Local Authorities Act No. 23 of 1992	The Local Authorities Act prescribes the manner in which a town or municipality should be managed by the Town or Municipal Council.	The development must comply with provisions of the Local Authorities Act.
National Heritage Act No. 27 of 2004	The Act is aimed at protecting, conserving and registering places and objects of heritage significance.	All protected heritage resources (e.g. human remains etc.) that are discovered need to be reported immediately to the National Heritage Council (NHC) and require a permit from the NHC before they may be relocated.
Roads Ordinance 17 of 1972	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Section 3.1 deals with width of proclaimed roads and road reserve boundaries Section 27.1 is concerned with the control of traffic on urban trunk and main roads Section 36.1 regulates rails, tracks, bridges, wires, cables, subways or culverts across or under proclaimed roads <p>Section 37.1 deals with Infringements and obstructions on and interference with proclaimed roads.</p>	Adhere to all applicable provisions of the Roads Ordinance.

Legislation/Policy/ Guideline	Relevant Provisions	Implications for this project
Nature Conservation Ordinance no. 4 of 1975	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Chapter 6 provides for legislation regarding the protection of indigenous plants 	Indigenous and protected plants must be managed within the legal confines.
Namibia Urban and Regional Planning Act No 5 of 2018	To consolidate the laws relating to urban and regional planning; to provide for a legal framework for spatial planning in Namibia; to provide for principles and standards of spatial planning; to establish the urban and regional planning board; to decentralise certain matters relating to spatial planning; to provide for the preparation, approval and review of the national spatial development framework, regional structure plans and urban structure plans; to provide for the preparation, approval, review and amendment of zoning schemes; to provide for the establishment of townships; to provide for the alteration of boundaries of approved townships, to provide for the disestablishment of approved townships; to provide for the change of name of approved townships; to provide for the subdivision and consolidation of land; to provide for the alteration, suspension and deletion of conditions relating to land; and to provide for incidental matters.	Adhere to all applicable provisions of the Act.

The environmental baseline (features) of the project area and the surrounding areas are presented and discussed in the following chapter.

5 ENVIRONMENTAL AND SOCIAL BASELINE

The proposed activities will be undertaken in an environment with specific conditions. Prior to any development in an area and as part of an environmental assessment process, it is vital to firstly understand the pre-project/development conditions. This is also important to form a baseline understanding of the area and make reasonable conclusions on certain issues that may arise years later during or after the project's operations. The environmental and social baseline for the project area is presented under the subchapters below.

5.1 Biophysical Environment

5.1.1 *Climate*

The climate of the Erongo Region can be described as semi-arid. Annual temperatures range between 16-20 °C, with the maximum temperatures ranging between 20-28 °C and the minimum temperatures between 8-12 °C (Mendelsohn, et al., 2002). Within the coastal belt, temperatures are usually above 10 °C due to the coastal winds.

Rainfall is recorded mostly in the summer months of January, February and March with the average annual rainfall recorded to be between 100 mm to 150 mm for the proposed project site (Mendelsohn, et al., 2002).

5.1.2 *Topography, Soils and Geology*

The subject site is predominantly characterised by consolidated dune sands that are mostly undeveloped (GCS Water and Environment, 2021). The proposed development area is relatively flat with the odd elevation increase and decreases associated with aeolian deposited dune sands.

The geology underlying the Namib Desert consists of a Precambrian basement with granite, gneiss and shale. The oldest Tertiary rocks are part of the Tsondab-Sandstone-Formation, which underlies most of the central Namib south of the Kuseb. North of the Kuseb a flat gravel plain on a crystalline basement is found. The underlying rocks consist of calcareous and gypsum metamorphic bedrock or granite (GCS Water and Environment, 2021).

According to the 1: 250 000 geological series for Namibia the surface geology of the project area is characterized by successions of quaternary aeolian sands of the Kalahari Sequence (Sossus Formation), underlain by the Precambrian bedrock (GCS Water and Environment, 2021). The dominant soils found within the development area consist of Dune Sands as depicted in **Figure 5-1** overleaf.




Figure 5-1: Soils of Namibia (Ministry of Agriculture, Water and Rural Development, 2011)

5.1.3 Landscape

In the Erongo Region the land rises steadily from sea level to about 1000 meters across the breadth of the Namib. Namibia's highest mountain, Brandberg (2,579 m), lies in the far northern part of the Erongo Region (Geological Survey of Namibia, 2012).

5.1.4 Water Resources: Surface and Groundwater

The Namib plain is incised by a few main ephemeral rivers that run seawards from wetter parts of their catchments further inland. The four main rivers in the Erongo Region include the Swakop, Omaruru, Kuiseb and Ugab rivers (Geological Survey of Namibia, 2012).

The study area falls within the Central Namib - Windhoek hydrogeological basin as depicted in **Figure 5-2**. The Central Namib -Windhoek region extends from Windhoek in the east to the Atlantic Ocean in the west (near Walvis Bay). The Ugab and Kuiseb rivers form the northern and southern boundaries of this hydrogeological basin.




Figure 5-2: Groundwater basins and hydrogeological regions in Namibia (Ministry of Agriculture Water and Rural Development, 2011)

In the Kuiseb there are water supply schemes at Gobabeb, Swartbank and Rooibank, the latter two forming part of the Central Namib Water Supply Scheme (Geological Survey of Namibia, 2012). The Central Namib Water Supply Scheme is based in Swakopmund and is run by NamWater. The scheme draws groundwater from the wellfields in the Omaruru and Kuiseb rivers (Ministry of Agriculture Water and Rural Development, 2011).

The study area is situated predominantly on undifferentiated Kalahari Sands, which forms predominantly an unconfined perched porous aquifer with moderate yield potential. The Kalahari Sequence has an average thickness of around 40 m. It has high primary porosity, and high vertical and horizontal connectivity. It has relatively low transmissivity, with an average of 26 m²/day. Groundwater levels are generally shallow. The aquifer is generally unconfined. In some areas, it overlies and is in hydraulic connectivity with, deeper and older fractured aquifers ((Africa Groundwater Atlas, 2021) as cited in (GCS Water and Environment, 2021)).

Any pollution from the establishment and operation phases of the extensions (i.e., excavations, the establishment of roads, building material stockpiles, residential wastes, industrial wastes, sewer line leakages etc.) will likely percolate via the vadose zone into the groundwater system.

The USEPA DRASTIC (depth to the water table; recharge, aquifer media, soil type, topography, impact on vadose zone; and aquifer conductivity) aquifer vulnerability for the study area suggest that the development will take place in areas with a high susceptibility of pollution.

Risks during the construction phase are low and can be considered reversible impacts. Moreover, due to the project type, a combination of construction, operational and decommissioning phase risks may exist at the same time (i.e., some areas may be refurbished, while other areas are expanded in combination with existing activities). The highest likely risk areas associated with the project is that of the development of the cemetery. Risk during the operational phase range from low-moderate to marginal.

Based on the Source-Pathway-Receptor (SPR) principle, the following geohydrological risk was identified:

1. Source(s):
 - a. Construction activities and land preparation activities, general waste, storm water infrastructure, leakages sewer infrastructure; and
 - b. Permanent cemetery.
2. Pathway(s):
 - a. Vadose Zone, and runoff.
3. Receptor(s):
 - a. Groundwater table.

The proposed cemetery is considered the highest risk for this area, in terms of potential poor-quality seepage into the groundwater table. The annual rainfall average in Walvis Bay is less than 20mm / annum which comes very infrequently in about 3 events max of less than 10mm each. It is understood that there is little or no ingress of rainwater into the ground from the 'pooling' of rainwater in the whole of Walvis Bay as the clay bank forms an impermeable layer, and it often takes weeks for rainwater to evaporate rather than precipitate (Walvis Bay Municipality, 2021).

There have been 4 graveyard sites approved in Walvis Bay over a century with no known issues up to date (Walvis Bay Municipality, 2021). Based on the available data from Walvis Bay, the likely impacts as a result of poor quality seepage from the proposed cemetery will be marginal. However, stormwater drainage needs to be considered to keep water from entering burial sites, as per the statement above where ponding of water may take weeks to evaporate.

5.1.5 Fauna and Flora

The subject area falls within the Namib Desert Biome (Mendelsohn, Jarvis, Roberts, & Robertson, 2002). All endemic plant species found within the area are considered to be drought tolerant, drought resistant or succulent. Short lived annuals, which occur after local rainfalls and floods, provide a vital source of food for game grazing within the Namib plains. The subject site is sparsely vegetated as depicted in **Figure 5-3** below.



Figure 5-3: Site photos

5.1.6 Archaeological and Anthropological Resources

No archaeological and heritage sites are known to be located within the proposed development area.

5.2 Social Environment

5.2.1 Social Demographics

According to Namibia Statistics Agency (2011), the population of the Erongo Region is 150 809 people with the population of Walvis Bay being 62 096 people.

5.2.2 Economy

Farming is the main source of income in this region, while other income sources include wages and salaries, business etc. Similarly, in the Walvis Bay Urban Constituency wages and salaries is the main source of income (Namibia Statistics Agency, 2011).

5.2.3 Land Use

The land uses within the subject area are mainly Residential land uses.

6 PUBLIC CONSULTATION

6.1 Objective:

Public consultation forms an important component of an Environmental Assessment (EA) process. Public consultation provides potential Interested and Affected Parties (I&APs) with an opportunity to comment on and raise any issues relevant to the project for consideration as part of the assessment process. Public consultation has been done in accordance with both the EMA and its EIA Regulations.

The public consultation process assists the Environmental Assessment Practitioner (EAP) in identifying all potential impacts and to what extent further investigations are needed. Public consultation can also aid in the process of identifying possible mitigations measures.

6.2 Approach:

6.2.1 Interested and Affected Parties (I&APs)

GCS identified specific I&APs, who were considered interested in and/or affected by the proposed activities. The I&APs identified include: applicable organs of state (national, regional, and local) and other interested members of the public. These I&APs were contacted directly and registered as I&APs. In addition, notices regarding the project were placed in widely circulated national newspapers for two consecutive weeks inviting members of the public to register as I&APs. The detailed steps regarding the notification of I&APs are presented in Section 6.2.2. A summary of the I&APs identified are presented in Table 6-1. The complete list of I&APs is provided in Appendix C.

Table 6-1: Summary of Pre-Identified IAPs

List of IAPs	Description
	Ministry of Environment, Forestry and Tourism
	Ministry of Urban and Rural Development
	Walvis Bay Municipality
	NamWater
	Roads Authority
	National Heritage Council of Namibia (NHCN)
	National Botanical Research Institute (NBRI)
	Namport

6.2.2 Communication with I&APs

Regulation 21 of the EIA Regulations details steps to be taken during a given public consultation process and these have been used in guiding this process.

Communication with I&APs about the proposed development was facilitated in English through the following means and in this order:

- A Background Information Document (BID) containing descriptive information about the proposed township activities was compiled (**Appendix D**) and sent out to all identified and registered I&APs per email dated 9 August 2021;
- Notices were placed in *The Namib Times* on 6 August and 13 August 2021 as well as in *The Sun*, *Republikein* and *Algemeine Zeitung* newspapers dated 9 and 16 August 2021, briefly explaining the activity and its locality, inviting members of the public to register as I&APs (**Appendix E**);
- A site notice was fixed at the site (**Appendix F**);
- Surrounding property owners whose contact information was available on the municipalities system were notified via registered mail (18 August 2021) and/or SMS's (13 August 2021) (**Appendix D**);
- Notices regarding the intended development and scheduled public meeting was placed on the Municipality of Walvis Bay website and Facebook page, as well as the Namib Times Facebook page as indicated in **Figure 6-1** below;
- A public meeting was held on 20 August 2021 at 17h30 at the Kuissebmond Council Chambers. The public meeting was not well attended (**Appendix H**).




Figure 6-1: Facebook notices

The comments received during the notification period and the public meeting are presented in the Issues and Response Trail (**Appendix I**).

The scoping report was made available to all I&APs for public review **from 28th October 2021 until 11th November 2021**. I&APs had until **11th November 2021** to submit their comments on the project. The comment period will remain open until the final scoping report is submitted to MEFT.

7 IMPACTS IDENTIFICATION, DESCRIPTION AND ASSESSMENT

7.1 Impact Assessment Methodology

The proposed activities have impacts on certain biophysical and social features. The identified impacts were assessed in terms of probability (likelihood of occurring), scale/extent (spatial scale), magnitude (severity) and duration (temporal scale) as presented in **Table 7-1**, **Table 7-2**, **Table 7-3** and **Table 7-4**. To enable a scientific approach to the determination of the environmental significance, a numerical value is linked to each rating scale. This methodology ensures uniformity and that potential impacts can be addressed in a standard manner so that a wide range of impacts are comparable.

It is assumed that an assessment of the significance of a potential impact is a good indicator of the risk associated with such an impact. The following process will be applied to each potential impact:

- Provision of a brief explanation of the impact;
- Assessment of the pre-mitigation significance of the impact; and
- Description of recommended mitigation measures.

The recommended mitigation measures prescribed for each of the potential impacts contribute towards the attainment of environmentally sustainable operational conditions of the project for various features of the biophysical and social environment.

The following criteria were applied in this impact assessment:

7.1.1 Extent (spatial scale)

Extent is an indication of the physical and spatial scale of the impact. **Table 7-1** shows rating of impact in terms of extent of spatial scale.

Table 7-1: Extent or spatial impact rating

Low (1)	Low/Medium (2)	Medium (3)	Medium/High (4)	High (5)
Impact is localised within the site boundary: Site only	Impact is beyond the site boundary: Local	Impacts felt within adjacent biophysical and social environments: Regional	Impact widespread far beyond site boundary: Regional	Impact extend National or over international boundaries

7.1.2 Duration

Duration refers to the timeframe over which the impact is expected to occur, measured in relation to the lifetime of the project. **Table 7-2** shows the rating of impact in terms of duration.

Table 7-2: Duration impact rating

Low (1)	Low/Medium (2)	Medium (3)	Medium/High (4)	High (5)
Immediate mitigating measures, immediate progress	Impact is quickly reversible, short term impacts (0-5 years)	Reversible over time; medium term (5-15 years)	Impact is long-term	Long term; beyond closure; permanent; irreplaceable or irretrievable commitment of resources

7.1.3 Intensity, Magnitude / severity

Intensity refers to the degree or magnitude to which the impact alters the functioning of an element of the environment. The magnitude of alteration can either be positive or negative. These were also taken into consideration during the assessment of severity. **Table 7-3** shows the rating of impact in terms of intensity, magnitude or severity.

Table 7-3: Intensity, magnitude or severity impact rating

Type of criteria	Negative				
	H-(10)	M/H-(8)	M-(6)	M/L-(4)	L-(2)
Qualitative	Very high deterioration, high quantity of deaths, injury of illness / total loss of habitat, total alteration of ecological processes, extinction of rare species	Substantial deterioration, death, illness or injury, loss of habitat / diversity or resource, severe alteration or disturbance of important processes	Moderate deterioration, discomfort, partial loss of habitat / biodiversity or resource, moderate alteration	Low deterioration, slight noticeable alteration in habitat and biodiversity. Little loss in species numbers	Minor deterioration, nuisance or irritation, minor change in species / habitat / diversity or resource, no or very little quality deterioration.

7.1.4 Probability of occurrence

Probability describes the likelihood of the impacts occurring. This determination is based on previous experience with similar projects and/or based on professional judgment. See **Table 7-4** for impact rating in terms of probability of occurrence.

Table 7-4: Probability of occurrence impact rating

Low (1)	Medium/Low (2)	Medium (3)	Medium/High (4)	High (5)
Improbable; low likelihood; seldom. No known risk or vulnerability to natural or induced hazards.	Likely to occur from time to time. Low risk or vulnerability to natural or induced hazards	Possible, distinct possibility, frequent. Low to medium risk or vulnerability to natural or induced hazards.	Probable if mitigating measures are not implemented. Medium risk of vulnerability to natural or induced hazards.	Definite (regardless of preventative measures), highly likely, continuous. High risk or vulnerability to natural or induced hazards.

7.1.5 Significance

Impact significance is determined through a synthesis of the above impact characteristics. The significance of the impact “without mitigation” is the main determinant of the nature and degree of mitigation required. As stated in the introduction to this chapter, for this assessment, the significance of the impact without prescribed mitigation actions was measured.

Once the above factors (Table 7-1, Table 7-2, Table 7-3 and Table 7-4) have been ranked for each potential impact, the impact significance of each is assessed using the following formula:

$$SP = (\text{magnitude} + \text{duration} + \text{scale}) \times \text{probability}$$

The maximum value per potential impact is 100 significance points (SP). Potential impacts were rated as high, moderate or low significance, based on the following significance rating scale (Table 7-5).

Table 7-5: Significance rating scale

<i>SIGNIFICANCE</i>	<i>ENVIRONMENTAL SIGNIFICANCE POINTS</i>	<i>COLOUR CODE</i>
High (positive)	>60	H
Medium (positive)	30 to 60	M
Low (positive)	<30	L
Neutral	0	N
Low (negative)	>-30	L
Medium (negative)	-30 to -60	M
High (negative)	>-60	H

For an impact with a significance rating of high, mitigation measures are recommended to reduce the impact to a low or medium significance rating, provided that the impact with a medium significance rating can be sufficiently controlled with the recommended mitigation measures. To maintain a low or medium significance rating, monitoring is recommended for a period of time to enable the confirmation of the significance of the impact as low or medium and under control.

The impact assessment for the proposed activities is given in subchapter 7.2, 7.3, 7.4 and 7.5.

7.2 Pre-operational Phase Impact Assessment

The pre-operational phase is mostly concerned with the preparation of the site for the proposed township and associated services and roads installations. The potential impacts during this phase include biodiversity impacts.

7.2.1 Impact Assessment of Biodiversity Loss

The preparation of the site for the proposed townships may involve clearing of certain areas on site. This may impact the existing biodiversity in the area. The construction of access roads within the townships may further impact biodiversity in the area. Care should be taken during the removal of vegetation for site preparation to ensure minimal disturbance in the area. The site is sparsely vegetated as such the envisaged impact on biodiversity at the project site, is not expected to be of such a magnitude and/ or significance that it will have irreversible impacts on the biodiversity and endemism of the area and Namibia at large. The assessment of this impact is presented in **Table 7-6**.

Table 7-6: Assessment of the impacts of the proposed activities on biodiversity loss

	Extent	Duration	Intensity	Probability	Significance
Pre-mitigation	L/M - 2	M - 2	M - 6	M - 3	M - 30
Post-mitigation	L - 1	L - 1	M/L - 4	M/L - 2	L - 16

7.2.1.1 Mitigations and recommendations to minimise biodiversity loss

- Vegetation should be cleared only where absolutely necessary and if cleared, numbers of protected, endemic and near endemic species removed should be documented.
- Trees with a trunk size of 150 mm and bigger should be surveyed, marked with paint (readily visible) and protected.
- Trees and plants protected under the Forest Act No 12 of 2001 are not to be removed without a valid permit from the local Department of Forestry.

7.3 Construction Phase Impact Assessment

The construction phase is mostly concerned with the impacts on the biophysical and socio-economic environment that is likely to occur during the construction phase of the development. These potential impacts are likely to be temporary in duration but may have longer lasting effects.

7.3.1 Impact Assessment of Biodiversity Loss

During the construction phase the existing biodiversity in the area may be impacted. The construction of access roads and installation of services within the townships may further impact biodiversity in the area. Care should be taken during the removal of vegetation for site preparation to ensure minimal disturbance in the area. The envisaged impact on biodiversity at the project site, is not expected to be of such a magnitude and/ or significance that it will have irreversible impacts on the biodiversity and endemism of the area and Namibia at large. The assessment of this impact is presented in **Table 7-7**.

Table 7-7: Assessment of the impacts of the proposed activities on biodiversity loss

	Extent	Duration	Intensity	Probability	Significance
Pre-mitigation	L/M - 2	L/M - 2	M - 6	M - 3	M - 30
Post-mitigation	L - 1	L - 1	M/L - 4	M/L - 2	L - 12

7.3.1.1 Mitigations and recommendations to minimise biodiversity loss

- Vegetation should be cleared only where absolutely necessary and, if cleared, numbers of protected, endemic and near endemic species removed should be documented.
- Trees with a trunk size of 150 mm and bigger should be surveyed, marked with paint (readily visible) and protected.
- Trees and plants protected under the Forest Act No 12 of 2001 are not to be removed without a valid permit from the local Department of Forestry.

7.3.2 Impact Assessment of Surface and Groundwater Impacts

Improper handling, storage and disposal of hydrocarbon products and hazardous materials at the site may lead to soil and groundwater contamination, in case of spills and leakages. Without any mitigation measures implemented, the impact can be rated as of a “medium” significance. After the implementation of the mitigations, the impact will be significantly reduced to “low” rating. The assessment of this impact is presented in **Table 7-8**.

Table 7-8: Assessment of the impacts of the proposed activities on surface and groundwater

	Extent	Duration	Intensity	Probability	Significance
Pre-mitigation	L/M - 3	M - 2	M - 6	M - 4	M - 44
Post-mitigation	L - 1	L - 1	L - 2	M/L - 1	L - 4

7.3.2.1 Mitigations and recommendations to minimise surface and groundwater impacts

- Careful storage and handling of hydrocarbons on site is essential.

- Workers responsible for the storage and handling of hydrocarbons should be suitably trained to do so and trained on spill prevention (e.g. the use of drip trays) and the handling of potential spills should they occur to be able to ensure implementation on site.
- Potential contaminants such as wastewater should be contained on site and disposed of in accordance with municipal wastewater discharge standards so that they do not contaminate surrounding soils and eventually groundwater.
- Contaminants such as hydrocarbons should be stored, handled, and managed appropriately. These must be collected on site and disposed at an appropriate facility that is licenced to receive such waste.
- An emergency plan should be available for major / minor spills at the site during operation activities (with consideration of air, groundwater, soil and surface water) and during the transportation of the product(s) to the site.

7.3.3 Impact Assessment of Soil Erosion Impacts

Soil erosion is likely to occur on site given the characteristics of the site, namely that it is sparsely vegetated. Without any mitigation measures implemented, the impact can be rated as of a “medium” significance. After the implementation of the mitigations, the impact will be significantly reduced to “low” rating. The assessment of this impact is presented in Table 7-9.

Table 7-9: Assessment of the impacts of the proposed activities on soil erosion

	Extent	Duration	Intensity	Probability	Significance
Pre-mitigation	L/M - 2	M - 2	M - 6	M - 3	M - 30
Post-mitigation	L - 1	L - 1	M/L - 4	M/L - 2	L - 12

7.3.3.1 Mitigations and recommendation to soil erosion

- Erosion control measures should be implemented to ensure that the topsoil is not washed away.
- Inspections must be carried out at regular intervals to identify areas where erosion is occurring.
- Appropriate remedial actions are to be undertaken wherever erosion is evident.

7.3.4 Impact Assessment of Archaeological and Heritage Impacts

The proposed activity is not taking place in an area that has significant archaeological or heritage resources. However, should these be encountered during the rehabilitation activities, mitigation measures need to be in place to ensure that these resources are not harmed. Without any mitigation measures implemented, the impact can be rated as of a “medium” significance. After the implementation of the mitigations, the impact will be significantly reduced to “low” rating. The assessment of this impact is presented in **Table 7-10**.

Table 7-10: Assessment of the impacts of the proposed activities on Archaeological and Heritage Impacts

	Extent	Duration	Intensity	Probability	Significance
Pre-mitigation	L/M - 1	L/M - 4	M - 6	M - 1	M - 11
Post-mitigation	L - 1	L - 1	L - 2	L - 1	L - 4

7.3.4.1 Mitigations and recommendations to minimise Archaeological and Heritage Impacts

- All works are to be immediately ceased in an affected area should an archaeological or heritage resource be discovered.
- The National Heritage Council of Namibia (NHCN) should advise with regards to the removal, packaging and transfer of the potential resource

7.3.5 Impact Assessment of Health and Safety

Construction activities may cause health and safety risks to people operating on the site. Without any mitigation measures implemented, the impact can be rated as of a “medium” significance. After the implementation of the mitigations, the impact will be significantly reduced to “low” rating. The assessment of this impact is presented in **Table 7-11**.

Table 7-11: Assessment of the impacts of the proposed activities on health and safety

	Extent	Duration	Intensity	Probability	Significance
Pre-mitigation	L/M - 1	L/M - 4	M - 6	M - 1	L - 11
Post-mitigation	L - 1	L - 1	L - 2	L - 1	L - 4

7.3.5.1 Mitigations and recommendations to minimise health and safety impacts

- Construction workers should be provided with awareness training about the risks associated with the proposed construction work such as hydrocarbon handling and storage, the handling of heavy machinery etc.

- During the works conducted, workers should be properly equipped with personal protective equipment (PPE) such as coveralls, gloves, safety boots, safety glasses etc.
- The contractors should comply with the provisions with regards to health and safety as outlined in the Labour Act (No. 6 of 1992).

7.3.6 Impact Assessment of Noise Generation Impacts

Construction activities and the presence of construction vehicles may lead to the generation of noise which could impact the local surrounding residents negatively, if not properly managed. This may pose a disturbance on the surrounding residents. Without any mitigation measures implemented, the impact can be rated as of a “medium” significance. After the implementation of the mitigations, the impact will be significantly reduced to “low” rating. The assessment of this impact is presented in **Table 7-12**.

Table 7-12: Assessment of the impacts of the proposed activities on noise generation

	Extent	Duration	Intensity	Probability	Significance
Pre-mitigation	L/M - 1	M - 2	M - 6	M - 3	L - 27
Post-mitigation	L - 1	L - 1	L - 2	L - 1	L - 4

7.3.6.1 Mitigations and recommendations to minimise noise generation

- Construction activities should be limited to daytime hours (between 08h00 and 17h00) unless otherwise arranged with community members and businesses in the area.
- No amplified music should be allowed on site.
- Technology such as silencers should be installed on construction machinery.
- The use of horns as a general communication tool should not be allowed, they should only be used when necessary, as a safety measure.

7.3.7 Impact Assessment of Dust Generation Impacts

Construction activities including the operation of construction vehicles may lead to the generation of dust which could impact the local residents and businesses negatively, if not properly managed. Without any mitigation measures implemented, the impact can be rated as of a “medium” significance. After the implementation of the mitigations, the impact will be significantly reduced to “low” rating. The assessment of this impact is presented in **Table 7-13**.

Table 7-13: Assessment of the impacts of the proposed activities on dust generation

	Extent	Duration	Intensity	Probability	Significance
Pre-mitigation	L/M - 1	L/M - 2	M - 6	M - 3	L - 27

Post-mitigation	L - 1	L - 1	L - 2	L - 1	L - 4
-----------------	-------	-------	-------	-------	-------

7.3.7.1 Mitigations and recommendations to minimise dust generation

- Dust abatement techniques should be implemented e.g. spraying of water on site to reduce dust levels to an acceptable standard.
- The local community and surrounding businesses should be continuously consulted to ensure that the dust levels are acceptable.
- Residents and businesses should be informed prior to construction commencing so that they are aware of the planned construction.
- During high wind conditions the contractor must make the decision to cease works until the wind has settled.
- Stockpiles and sand being transported should be covered with plastic to reduce windblown dust.
- Workers should be provided with dust masks.

7.3.8 Impact Assessment of Waste Generation Impacts

Construction activities usually generate various types of waste which leads to environmental pollution, if not appropriately managed. This may result in blocked waterways should waste be blown into water pipelines; animals may choke on waste when ingested and additionally it may pose a negative visual impact on the surrounding environment. Without any mitigation measures implemented, the impact can be rated as of a “medium” significance. After the implementation of the mitigations, the impact will be significantly reduced to low rating. The assessment of this impact is presented in **Table 7-14**.

Table 7-14: Assessment of the impacts of the proposed activities on waste generation

	Extent	Duration	Intensity	Probability	Significance
Pre-mitigation	L - 1	L/M - 2	M/L - 4	M - 4	L - 28
Post-mitigation	L - 1	L - 1	L - 2	L - 1	L - 4

7.3.8.1 Mitigations and recommendations to minimise waste generation

- The construction site should be kept tidy at all times.
- All domestic and general construction waste produced on a daily basis should be cleaned and contained.
- No waste may be buried or burned on site or anywhere else.
- Waste containers (bins) should be emptied during and after the construction and the waste removed from site to the municipal waste disposal site.
- Separate waste containers (bins) for hazardous and domestic / general waste must be provided on site.

- Construction labourers should be sensitised to dispose of waste in a responsible manner and not to litter.
- No waste may remain on site after the completion of the project.
- The recycling of waste should be considered and implemented as far as possible

7.3.9 Impact Assessment of Temporary Employment Creation

The proposed activity may provide employment opportunities for the local people during construction. The impact can be rated as of a “low-positive” significance. The assessment of this impact is presented in **Table 7-15**.

Table 7-15: Assessment of the impacts of the proposed activities on temporary employment creation

	Extent	Duration	Intensity	Probability	Significance
Pre-mitigation	L/M + 1	L/M + 2	M + 2	M + 3	M + 15
Post-mitigation	L + 4	L + 3	L + 2	L + 3	L + 27

7.3.9.1 Mitigations and recommendations to encourage temporary employment creation

- Should any job opportunities result, they should be made available to the local people in the area as far as reasonably possible.

7.4 Operational Phase Impact Assessment

The potential impacts associated with the operational phase of the activities have been identified and assessed in this subchapter. The main impacts identified are; traffic, surface and groundwater, noise, and waste. Temporary potential impacts identified include dust and noise impacts.

7.4.1 Impact Assessment of Traffic Impacts

The intended development may have an impact on traffic in the subject area due the increased number of residents in the area. Additionally increased traffic may be experienced at the cemetery site during times when funerals are taking place which would likely only be certain days within the week. The impact on traffic is not expected to be significant as the erven are located in close proximity to an already developed area within the town. Without any mitigation measures implemented, the impact can be rated as of a “medium” significance. After the implementation of the mitigations, the impact will be significantly reduced to “low” rating. The assessment of this impact is presented in **Table 7-16**.

Table 7-16: Assessment of the impacts of the activities on traffic

	Extent	Duration	Intensity	Probability	Significance
Pre-mitigation	M - 3	M - 3	M - 6	M - 3	M - 36
Post-mitigation	L/M - 2	L/M - 2	L/M - 4	L/M - 2	L - 16

7.4.1.1 Mitigations and recommendations to minimise traffic impacts

- Ensure that road junctions have good sightlines.
- Provide formal road crossings at relevant areas.
- Provide for speed reducing interventions such as speed bumps at relevant road sections

7.4.2 Impact Assessment of Soil, Surface and Groundwater

Surface and groundwater impacts may be encountered during the operation phase, especially if development takes place within the rainy season. The operational activities on site should be conducted in a manner to avoid the contamination of soils, surface and groundwater.

The proposed cemetery is considered the highest risk for this area, in terms of potential poor-quality seepage into the groundwater table. Based on the available data, the likely impacts as a result of poor quality seepage from the proposed cemetery will be marginal. The pre-mitigation impact is assessed to be “medium” in significance and after mitigation the impact is assessed to have a “low” significance. The assessment of this impact is presented in Table 7-17.

Table 7-17: Assessment of the impacts of the activities on soil, surface, and groundwater

	Extent	Duration	Intensity	Probability	Significance
Pre-mitigation	M/H - 4	M/H - 4	M/H - 8	M - 3	M - 48
Post-mitigation	M - 3	L/M - 2	M - 6	L/M - 2	L - 22

7.4.2.1 Mitigations and recommendations to minimise soil, surface, and groundwater impacts

- Contaminated runoff from the various operational activities should be prevented from entering any surface or ground water bodies.
- Ensure that surface water accumulating on-site are channelled and captured through a proper storm water management system to be treated in an appropriate manner before disposal into the environment.
- Disposal of waste from the various activities should be properly managed.
- Ensure graves are not constructed in areas that are prone to cause overland runoff.

- Ensure all burial sites are covered with a slab, to reduce infiltration into the graves.
- Ensure proper stormwater systems are installed at the cemetery. All water on-site should be naturally diverted away from the burial sites.
- Ensure that there are two (2) downstream and one (1) upstream borehole drilled to monitor any potential pollution migration. Mitigation actions can then be formulated.

7.4.3 Impact Assessment of Noise

The operational activities may result in associated noise impacts, depending on the type of activities taking place on the properties. However, due to the nature of the land uses proposed for the subject erven which is predominantly residential, it is not expected that the noise levels will be significant, if managed effectively. Without any mitigation measures implemented, the impact can be rated as of a “medium” significance. After the implementation of the mitigations, the impact will be significantly reduced to “low” rating. The assessment of this impact is presented in **Table 7-18**.

Table 7-18: Assessment of the impacts of the activities on noise

	Extent	Duration	Intensity	Probability	Significance
Pre-mitigation	M/H - 4	M/H - 4	M/H - 8	M - 3	M - 48
Post-mitigation	M - 3	L/M - 2	M - 6	L/M - 2	L - 22

7.4.3.1 Mitigations and recommendations to minimise noise

- Do not allow commercial activities that generate excessive noise levels.
- No activity having a potential noise impact should be allowed to operate after 18h00 if possible.

7.4.4 Impact Assessment of Waste

Improper disposal of waste materials at the townships may lead to pollution of the neighbourhood and resultant environmental degradation. The pre-mitigation impact is assessed to be “low” in significance and after mitigation the impact is assessed to have a “low” significance. The assessment of this impact is presented in **Table 7-19**.

Table 7-19: Assessment of the impacts of the activities on waste

	Extent	Duration	Intensity	Probability	Significance
Pre-mitigation	M/L - 2	M/L - 2	M/L - 4	M - 3	L - 24
Post-mitigation	L - 1	L - 1	L - 2	M/L - 2	L - 8

7.4.4.1 Mitigations and recommendations to minimise waste

- Waste generated on site is to be collected and disposed of weekly at the nearest licenced landfill.
- Households are to adhere to the municipal regulations with regards to waste disposal.
- No waste may be buried or burned on site or anywhere else.

7.4.5 Impact Assessment of Dust

Dust generation may occur during operational activities. The pre-mitigation impact is assessed to be “medium” in significance and after mitigation the impact is assessed to have a “low” significance. The assessment of this impact is presented in **Table 7-20**.

Table 7-20: Assessment of the impacts of the activities on dust generation

	Extent	Duration	Intensity	Probability	Significance
Pre-mitigation	L/M - 2	L/M - 2	M/H - 8	M - 3	M - 36
Post-mitigation	L - 1	L - 1	M - 6	M/L - 2	L - 16

7.4.5.1 Mitigations and recommendations to minimise dust generation

- If dust levels become excessive dust abatement techniques should be implemented e.g., spraying of water. However, caution should be taken during times of low water availability then waterless dust suppression means should be considered.
- Consider the tarring of the internal street network.

7.4.6 Impact Assessment of Social Environment

Some activities within the proposed townships may provide employment opportunities for the local people. The assessment of this impact is presented in **Table 7-21**.

Table 7-21: Assessment of the impacts of the activities on social environment

	Extent	Duration	Intensity	Probability	Significance
Pre-mitigation	L - 1	L/M - 2	L - 2	M - 3	L - 15
Post-mitigation	L - 2	M - 3	M - 6	M/H - 4	M - 44

7.4.6.1 Mitigations and recommendations to improve social environment

- Should any job opportunities result it should be made available to the local people in the area.

7.5 Decommissioning Phase

The proposed activities are expected to be a permanent activity and is thus not anticipated to be decommissioned in future. As such, the decommissioning impacts for the proposed activity are not assessed.

8 RECOMMENDATIONS AND CONCLUSION

8.1 Conclusion

The key potential biophysical impact related to the pre-operational, construction, operational and maintenance of the proposed project were identified and assessed. Suitable mitigation measures (where required and possible) were recommended, and the impacts can be summarised as follows:

- **Impacts on biodiversity loss (during pre-operational phase and construction):**
There is the possibility of loss of vegetation during the site clearing and construction for the proposed activity. However, as the site is sparsely vegetated, the impact can be adequately addressed by the recommendations given under subchapter 7.2.1, 7.3.1 and management actions given in the EMP (Chapter 3).
- **Impacts on soil, surface and groundwater (during construction and operational phases):** Improper handling, storage and disposal of hydrocarbon products and hazardous materials at the site by spills and leakages may lead to soil and groundwater contamination during construction. Furthermore, Surface and groundwater impacts may be encountered during the operation phase, especially if development takes place within the rainy season. The operational activities on site should be conducted in a manner to avoid the contamination of soils, surface and groundwater. The proposed cemetery is considered the highest risk for this area, in terms of potential poor-quality seepage into the groundwater table. Based on the available data, the likely impacts as a result of poor quality seepage from the proposed cemetery will be marginal. Further mitigation measures are given under subchapters 7.3.2, 7.4.2 and management actions given in the EMP (Chapter 3).
- **Impacts of erosion (during construction phase):** Soil erosion is likely to occur on site given the characteristics of the site, such as that it is sparsely vegetated. The impact can be adequately addressed by the recommendations given under subchapters 7.3.3 and management actions given in the EMP (Chapter 3).
- **Impacts on archeological and heritage resources (during construction phase):**
The proposed activity is not taking place in an area that has significant archaeological or heritage resources. However, should these be encountered during the rehabilitation activities, mitigation measures need to be in place to ensure that these resources are not harmed. The impact can be adequately addressed by the recommendations given under subchapter 7.3.4 and management actions given in the EMP (Chapter 3).

- **Impacts on health and safety (during construction phase):** Construction activities may cause health and safety risks to people operating on the site. The impact can be adequately addressed by the recommendations given under subchapter 7.3.5 and management actions given in the EMP (Chapter 3).
- **Impacts on dust and noise (during construction phase):** Construction activities may increase dust and noise generated around the site area. The impact can be adequately addressed by the recommendations given under subchapter 7.3.6, 7.3.7, 7.4.3, 7.4.5 and management actions given in the EMP (Chapter 3).
- **Impacts on waste (during construction and operation phase):** Improper disposal of waste materials at the site may lead to pollution of the site and resultant environmental degradation. The impact can be adequately addressed by the recommendations given under subchapters 7.4.4, 7.3.8 and management actions given in the EMP (Chapter 3).
- **Impact on social environment (during construction and operational phase):** The proposed activity may provide employment opportunities for the local people. The impact can be adequately addressed by the recommendations given under subchapter 7.3.9, 7.4.6 and management actions given in the EMP (Chapter 3).
- **Impact on traffic (during operational phase):** The intended development may have an impact on traffic in the subject area. The traffic is not expected to increase significantly as the erven are located in close proximity to an already developed area within the town. The impact can be adequately addressed by the recommendations given under subchapter 7.4.1 and management actions given in the EMP (Chapter 3).

8.2 Recommendation

Based on the information provided in this report, GCS is confident the identified risks associated with the establishment of the proposed townships can be reduced to acceptable levels, should the measures recommended in the EMP be implemented and monitored effectively.

9 REFERENCES

- Erongo Consulting Group. 2021. *EIA Scoping Report Fisherman's Village: Affordable Housing Project of 660 dwelling units: 5ha Portion of Erf 5757, Kusebmond, Walvis Bay, Erongo Region of Namibia.*
- GCS Water and Environment. 2021. *Geohydrological Assessment for the Proposed Establishment of Townships in Walvis Bay Municipality Area.*
- Geological Survey of Namibia. 2012. *Strategic Environmental Assessment of the Central Namib Uranium Rush.*
- Ministry of Agriculture Water and Rural Development. 2011. *Groundwater in Namibia an explanation to the Hydrogeological Map.*
- Remmert, D. & Ndhlovu, P. 2018. Housing in Namibia: Rights, Challenges and Opportunities. *Institute for Public Policy Research*. 84. [Online], Available: <http://www.ippr.org.na>.
- Urban Dynamics. 2014. *Integrated Urban Spatial Development Framework for Walvis Bay.*
- Walvis Bay Municipality. 2021. *Correspondence with Andre Burger (Pr. Eng) - General Manager: Roads and Building Control.*