Environmental Assessment Scoping Report for:

December 2020

Township Establishment, creation of street
and installation of bulk services for
Mayara Informal Area on Portion 9 of
the Remainder of the Farm Nkurenkuru
Townlands No 1346 to become known
as Nkurenkuru Extension 14, Kavango
West Region

APP002198

Prepared for: Nkurenkuru Town Council
Po Box: P.O Box 6004 Nkurenkuru
Contact Number: +264 (66) 258089
Fax Number: +264 (66) 258091
Contact Person: Albertina Shatiwa
Email: ashatiwa@gmail.com



Prepared by: Stubenrauch Planning Consultants

P.O. Box 41404, Windhoek

Contact Person: Bronwynn Basson

Contact Number: +264 (61) 25 11 89 Fax Number: +264 (61) 25 21 57

Email: bronwynn@spc.com.na



PROJECT DETAILS

	Fording on the London	t. f t.l		
Title	 Environmental Scoping Report for the: Township Establishment, creation of street and installation of bulk services for Mayara Informal Area on Portion 9 of the Remainder of the Farm Nkurenkuru Townlands No 1346 to become known as Nkurenkuru Extension 14, Kavango West Region. 			
Report Status	Final	Final		
SPC Reference	Nku/034			
Proponent	Nkurenkuru Town Council Po Box: P.O Box 6004 Nkurenkuru Contact Number: +264 (66) 258089. Fax Number: +264 (66) 258091 Contact Person: Albertina Shatiwa Email: ashatiwa@gmail.com			
Environmental Assessment Practitioner	Stubenrauch Planning Consultants P.O. Box 41404, Windhoek Contact Person: Bronwynn Basson Contact Number: +264 (61) 25 11 89 Fax Number: +264 (61) 25 21 57 Email: bronwynn@spc.com.na			
Report date	December 2020			
	Name	Signature	Date	
Authors	Stephanie Strauss	Made	December 2020	

LEGAL NOTICE

This report or any portion thereof and any associated documentation remain the property of SPC until the mandator effects payment of all fees and disbursements due to SPC in terms of the SPC Conditions of Contract and Project Acceptance Form. Notwithstanding the aforesaid, any reproduction, duplication, copying, adaptation, editing, change, disclosure, publication, distribution, incorporation, modification, lending, transfer, sending, delivering, serving or broadcasting must be authorised in writing by SPC.

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

Introduction

The Nkurenkuru Town Council hereinafter referred to as the proponent intends to undertake the following activities:

 Township Establishment of Mayara Informal Area on Portion 9 of the Remainder of the Farm Nkurenkuru Townlands No 1346 to become known as Nkurenkuru Extension 14.

The above development triggers certain listed activities in terms of the Environmental Management Act (No. 7 of 2007) and Environmental Impact Assessment Regulations (Government Notice No. 30 of 2012).

As such the proponent appointed Stubenrauch Planning Consultants (SPC) to undertake an independent Environmental Assessment (EA) in order to obtain an Environmental Clearance Certificate (ECC) for the above activities. The competent authority is the Ministry of Environment, Forestry and Tourism: Department of Environmental Affairs (MEFT: DEA).

Project Description

The Nkurenkuru Town Council experienced an influx of households demanding space to erect informal housing units within proximity of the existing urban area. The proponent identified the area to the east of Extension 8 and 13 for the development of an informal area. Some households have already settled within the area, these are to be formalized as part of the planning process.

Public Participation

Communication with I&APs about the proposed development was facilitated through the following means and in this order:

- A Background Information Document (BID) containing descriptive information about the proposed activities was compiled and sent out to all identified and registered I&APs via email on 29 September 2020 (Appendix C);
- Notices were placed in The New Era and The Sun newspapers dated 29 September 2020 and 6
 October 2020, briefly explaining the activity and its locality, inviting members of the public to
 register as I&APs (Appendix B); and
- Notices were fixed at the project site (see Appendix A);
- A public meeting was held in Nkurenkuru on 9 October 2020 (Appendix C).

Public consultation was carried out according to the Environmental Management Act's EIA Regulations. After the initial notification, the I&APs were given two weeks to submit their

comments on the project (until **20 October 2020**). The comment period will remain open until the final scoping report is submitted to MET.

The Draft Scoping Report was circulated from the 11th November 2020 until the 26th November 2020 so that the public could review and comment on it. No comments were received during the comment period.

Conclusions and Recommendations

With reference to **Table 8**, the most significant impact is the impact on flora which was deemed to have a *High (negative)* significance impact on the environment. With mitigation the impact can be reduced to a *Medium (negative)* significance. The remaining construction impacts were assessed to a *Medium to Low (negative)* significance, without mitigation measures. With the implementation of the recommended mitigation measures in Chapter 7 as well as in the EMP, the significance of the construction phase impacts is likely to be reduced to a *Low (negative)*.

The most significant operational phase impact *Medium (positive)* is the social impact. This is as a result of the potential job and economic opportunities during operation as well the increased development within the area.

It is recommended that this project be authorised because should the development not proceed the subject area will remain vacant and undeveloped. Potential job and economic opportunities may be available to the local people of Nkurenkuru during construction and operation. The significance of the social impact was therefore deemed to be *Medium (positive)*.

The "no go" alternative was thus deemed to have a *High (negative)* impact, as all the benefits resulting from the development would not be realised.

The significance of negative impacts can be reduced with effective and appropriate mitigation provided in this report and the EMP. If authorised, the implementation of an EMP should be included as a condition of approval.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

1 1.1		DUCTION T BACKGROUND	
1.2		T LOCATION	
1.3		OF REFERENCE AND SCOPE OF PROJECT	
1.4		PTIONS AND LIMITATIONS	
1.5		NT OF ENVIRONMENTAL ASSESSMENT REPORT	
2		FRAMEWORK	
2.1		ATION RELEVANT TO THE PROPOSED DEVELOPMENT	
3	ENVIR	ONMENTAL BASELINE DESCRIPTION	13
3.1	SOCIAL	ENVIRONMENT	13
	3.1.1	Socio-Economic Context	13
	3.1.2	Archaeological and Heritage Context	14
3.2	ВІО-РН	YSICAL ENVIRONMENT	14
	3.2.1	Climate	14
	3.2.2	Topography, Geology and Soils	15
	3.2.3	Hydrology and Hydrogeology	16
3.3	TERRES	TRIAL ECOLOGY	17
	3.3.1	Flora and Fauna	17
4	PROJE	CT DESCRIPTION	19
4.1	PROJEC	T COMPONENTS	19
4.2	ALTERN	IATIVES	19
	4.2.1	No – Go Alternative	19
4.3	THE PR	OPOSED DEVELOPMENT	19
	4.3.1	Need and Desirability	19
	4.3.1	Engineering services	23
5		PARTICIPATION PROCESS	
5.1		PARTICIPATION REQUIREMENTS	
	5.1.1	Environmental Assessment Phase 2	
6		SMENT METHODOLOGY	
6.1		TION MEASURES	
7		SMENT OF POTENTIAL IMPACTS AND POSSIBLE MITIGATION MEASURES	
7.1		DUCTION	
7.2	7.2.1	Traffic Impacts	
	7.2.1 7.2.2	I raπic impactsImpacts on Existing Municipal Infrastructure	
7.3		RUCTION PHASE IMPACTS ON THE BIOPHYSICAL ENVIRONMENT	
7.3	7.3.1		
	/ l	LIVIA AITU LAUTA IITIVAVIS LDIVUIVETSILV)	1

	7.3.2	Surface and Ground Water Impacts	31
	7.3.3	Soil Erosion Impacts	31
7.4	CONSTI	RUCTION PHASE IMPACTS ON THE SOCIO-EONOMIC ENVIRONMENT	32
	7.4.1	Heritage impacts	32
	7.4.2	Health, Safety and Security Impacts	32
	7.4.3	Traffic Impacts	32
	7.4.4	Noise Impacts	32
	7.4.5	Dust and Emission Impacts	32
	7.4.6	Municipal Services	33
	7.4.7	Storage and Utilisation of Hazardous Substances	33
7.5	OPERA:	TIONAL PHASE IMPACTS	33
	7.5.1	Visual and Sense of Place Impacts	33
	7.5.2	Noise Impacts	34
	7.5.3	Emission Impacts	34
	7.5.4	Social Impacts	34
7.6	CUMUL	LATIVE IMPACTS	34
7.1	ENVIRO	DNMENTAL MANAGEMENT PLAN	34
7.2	SUMM	ARY OF POTENTIAL IMPACTS	34
8	CONCL	LUSION	47
8.1	CONSTI	RUCTION PHASE IMPACTS	47
8.2	OPERA:	TIONAL PHASE	47
8.3	LEVEL C	OF CONFIDENCE IN ASSESSMENT	47
8.4	MITIGA	ATION MEASURES	47
8.5	OPINIO	ON WITH RESPECT TO THE ENVIRONMENTAL AUTHORISATION	48
8.6	WAY FO	ORWARD	48
9	RFFFR	FNCFS	49

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 1: Locality of Proposed Nkurenkuru Extension 14	3
Figure 3: EIA flow Diagram	12
Figure 4: Annual average temperature	14
Figure 5: Average annual Rainfall	15
Figure 6: Geology of Namibia	16
Figure 7: Hydrography of Namibia	17
Figure 8: Biomes of Namibia	18
Figure 9: Layout Map of proposed Nkurenkuru Extension 14	22
Figure 9: Mitigation Hierarchy	28
LIST OF TABLES	
Table 1: List of triggered activities identified in the EIA Regulations which apply to the proposed	
project	1
Table 2: Contents of the Scoping / Environmental Assessment Report	4
Table 3: Legislation applicable to the proposed development	7
Table 4: Statistics of the Kavango West Region (Namibia Statistics Agency, 2011)	
Table 5: Summary table of land uses provided within Nkurenkuru Extension 14	
Table 6: Table of Public Participation Activities	24
Table 8: Impact Assessment Criteria	26
Table 9: Summary of the significance of the potential impacts	36
Table 9: Proposed mitigation measures for the planning and design phase	41
Table 10: Proposed mitigation measures for the construction phase	41
Table 11: Proposed mitigation measures for the operational phase	16

LIST OF ANNEXURES

Annexure A: Proof of Site Notices/ Posters
Annexure B: Proof of Advertisements
Annexure C: Public Participation process

I&AP Database & Registered List

Notification Letters and Emails sent of BID

Public Meeting Presentation

Notification Letters and Emails Sent of DESR Available for Comment

Comments Received (if any comments)

Annexure D: Nkurenkuru Town Council Approval

Annexure E: Curriculum Vitae and ID of Environmental Assessment Practitioner

Annexure F: Environmental Management Plan

LIST OF ACRONYMS

AIDS Acquired Immune Deficiency Syndrome

CRR Comments and response Report

dB Decibels

DESR Draft Environmental Scoping Report

EA Environmental Assessment

EAP Environmental Assessment Practitioner
EAR Environmental Assessment Report
ECC Environmental Clearance Certificate

ECO Environmental Control Officer

EIA Environmental Impact Assessment
EMA Environmental Management Act
EMP Environmental Management Plan
FESR Final Environmental Scoping Report

GTZ Gesellschaft für Technische Zusammenarbeit

HIV Human Immunodeficiency Virus

1&AP Interested and Affected Party

IUCN International Union for Conservation of Nature

MEFT Ministry of Environment, Forestry and Tourism

MEFT: DEA Ministry of Environment, Forestry and Tourism: Department of Environmental

Affairs

MURD Ministry of Urban and Rural Development

MWTC Ministry of Works Transport and Communication

NAMPAB Namibia Planning Advisory Board
 NPC Namibia Planning Commission
 NTC Nkurenkuru Town Council
 PPP Public Participation Process

SADC Southern African Development Community

SPC Stubenrauch Planning Consultants

USAID United States Agency for International Development

VMMC Voluntary Medical Male Circumcision

1.1 PROJECT BACKGROUND

The Nkurenkuru Town Council hereinafter referred to as the proponent intends to undertake the following activities:

 Township Establishment of Mayara Informal Area on Portion 9 of the Remainder of the Farm Nkurenkuru Townlands No 1346 to become known as Nkurenkuru Extension 14.

In terms of the Environmental Management Act (No. 7 of 2007) and Environmental Impact Assessment Regulations (Government Notice No. 30 of 2012), the following listed activities in **Table 1** were triggered by the proposed project:

Table 1: List of triggered activities identified in the EIA Regulations which apply to the proposed project

Activity description and No(s):	Description of relevant Activity	The portion of the development as per the project description that relates to the applicable listed activity
Activity10.1 (a) Infrastructure	The construction of oil, water, gas, and petrochemical and other bulk supply pipelines	The proposed project includes the installation of bulk services (water, electricity, and sewage)
Activity10.1 (b) (Infrastructure)	The construction of – Public roads.	The proposed project includes the construction of roads.
Activity 10.2 (a) (Infrastructure)	The route determination of roads and design of associated physical infrastructure where – it is a public road;	The proposed project includes the route determination of roads.

The above activities will be discussed in more detail in Chapter 4. The proponent appointed Stubenrauch Planning Consultants (SPC) to undertake an independent Environmental Assessment (EA) in order to obtain an Environmental Clearance Certificate (ECC) for the above activities. The competent authority is the Ministry of Environment, Forestry and Tourism: Department of Environmental Affairs (MEFT: DEA).

The process will be undertaken in terms of the gazetted Namibian Government Notice No. 30 Environmental Impact Assessment Regulations (herein referred to as EIA Regulations) and the Environmental Management Act (No 7 of 2007) (herein referred to as the EMA). The EIA process will

investigate if there are any potential significant bio-physical and socio-economic impacts associated with the intended activities. The EIA process would also serve to provide an opportunity for the public and key stakeholders to provide comments and participate in the process.

1.2 PROJECT LOCATION

Nkurenkuru Extension 14 is to be formalized to the east of Nkurenkuru Extensions 8 and 13. The existing NamPower powerline (and the land of the Traditional Authority) is forming the eastern boundary of the new extension. With the exception of the informal residential structures, the municipal water towers and the overhead NamPower grid line erected within the area to be used for the township establishment of Nkurenkuru Extension 14 the area can be considered to be a green field site. **Figure 1** below depicts the locality of the proposed township.




Figure 1: Locality of Proposed Nkurenkuru Extension 14

1.3 TERMS OF REFERENCE AND SCOPE OF PROJECT

The scope of this project is limited to conducting an environmental impact assessment and applying for an Environmental Clearance Certificate for the following as indicated in section 1.1 above:

 Township Establishment of Mayara Informal Area on Portion 9 of the Remainder of the Farm Nkurenkuru Townlands No 1346 to become known as Nkurenkuru Extension 14.

1.4 ASSUMPTIONS AND LIMITATIONS

In undertaking this investigation and compiling the Environmental Scoping Report, the following assumptions and limitations apply:

- Assumes the information provided by the proponent is accurate and discloses all information available.
- The limitation that no alternative except for the preferred layout plans and the 'no-go' option was considered during this assessment. The unique character and appeal of Nkurenkuru were however taken into consideration with the design perspective. Various layout alternatives were initially considered by the proponent, also taking terrain and environmental constraints into account, thus the current design plans being the most feasible result.

1.5 CONTENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL ASSESSMENT REPORT

Section 8 of the gazetted EIA Regulations requires specific content to be addressed in a Scoping / Environmental Assessment Report. **Table 2** below is an extract from the EMA and highlights the required contents of a Scoping / Environmental Assessment Report whilst assisting the reader to find the relevant section in the report.

Table 2: Contents of the Scoping / Environmental Assessment Report

Section	Description	Section of FESR/ Annexure
8 (a)	The curriculum vitae of the EAPs who	Refer to Annexure E
S (a)	prepared the report;	
8 (b)	A description of the proposed activity;	Refer to Chapter 4
	A description of the site on which the	
8 (c)	activity is to be undertaken and the location	Refer to Chapter 3
	of the activity on the site;	
	A description of the environment that may	
0 (4)	be affected by the proposed activity and the	Refer to Chapter 3
8 (d)	manner in which the geographical, physical,	neiei to Chaptel 3
	biological, social, economic and cultural	

Section	Description	Section of FESR/ Annexure
	aspects of the environment may be affected by the proposed listed activity;	
8 (e)	An identification of laws and guidelines that have been considered in the preparation of the scoping report;	Refer to Chapter 2
8 (f)	Details of the public consultation process conducted in terms of regulation 7(1) in connection with the application, including	Refer to Chapter 5
	(i) the steps that were taken to notify potentially interested and affected parties of the proposed application	Refer to Chapter 5
	(ii) proof that notice boards, advertisements and notices notifying potentially interested and affected parties of the proposed application have been displayed, placed or given;	Refer to Annexures A and B for site notices and advertisements respectively.
	(iii) a list of all persons, organisations and organs of state that were registered in terms of regulation 22 as interested and affected parties in relation to the application;	Refer to Annexure C
	(iv) a summary of the issues raised by interested and affected parties, the date of receipt of and the response of the EAP to those issues;	Refer to Annexure C
8 (g)	A description of the need and desirability of the proposed listed activity and any identified alternatives to the proposed activity that are feasible and reasonable, including the advantages and disadvantages that the proposed activity or alternatives have on the environment and on the community that may be affected by the activity;	Refer to Chapter 4
8 (h)	A description and assessment of the significance of any significant effects, including cumulative effects, that may occur	Refer to Chapter 7

Section	Description	Section of FESR/ Annexure
	as a result of the undertaking of the activity or identified alternatives or as a result of any construction, erection or decommissioning associated with the undertaking of the proposed listed activity;	
8 (i)	terms of reference for the detailed assessment;	NB – Assessment of impacts are included in this EA Report
8 (j)	An environmental management plan	Refer to Annexure F

2.1 LEGISLATION RELEVANT TO THE PROPOSED DEVELOPMENT

There are multiple legal instruments that regulate and have a bearing on good environmental management in Namibia. Table 3 below provides a summary of the legal instruments considered to be relevant to this development and the environmental assessment process.

Table 3: Legislation applicable to the proposed development

LEGISLATION/POLICIES	RELEVANT PROVISIONS	RELEVANCE TO PROJECT
The Constitution of the Republic of Namibia as Amended	Article 91 (c) provides for duty to guard against "the degradation and destruction of ecosystems and failure to protect the beauty and character of Namibia."	Sustainable development should be at the forefront of this development.
	Article 95(I) deals with the "maintenance of ecosystems, essential ecological processes and biological diversity" and sustainable use of the country's natural resources.	
Environmental Management Act No. 7 of 2007 (EMA)	Section 2 outlines the objective of the Act and the means to achieve that. Section 3 details the principle of	The development should be informed by the EMA.
EIA Regulations GN 28, 29,	Environmental Management GN 29 Identifies and lists certain	The following activities are triggered
and 30 of EMA (2012)	activities that cannot be undertaken without an environmental clearance	by the proposed development:
	certificate.	Activity 10.1 (a)
	GN 30 provides the regulations governing the environmental assessment (EA) process.	Activity 10.1 (b) Activity 10.2 (a)
Convention on Biological Diversity (1992)	Article 1 lists the conservation of biological diversity amongst the objectives of the convention.	The project should consider the impact it will have on the biodiversity of the area.
Draft Procedures and Guidelines for conducting EIAs and compiling EMPs (2008)	Part 1, Stage 8 of the guidelines states that if a proposal is likely to affect people, certain guidelines should be considered by the proponent in the scoping process.	The EA process should incorporate the aspects outlined in the guidelines.


LEGISLATION/POLICIES	RELEVANT PROVISIONS	RELEVANCE TO PROJECT
Namibia Vision 2030	Vision 2030 states that the solitude, silence and natural beauty that many areas in Namibia provide are becoming sought after commodities and must be regarded as valuable natural assets.	Care should be taken that the development does not lead to the degradation of the natural beauty of the area.
Water Act No. 54 of 1956	Section 23(1) deals with the prohibition of pollution of underground and surface water bodies.	The pollution of water resources should be avoided during construction and operation of the development.
The Ministry of Environment and Tourism (MET) Policy on HIV & AIDS	MET has recently developed a policy on HIV and AIDS. In addition, it has also initiated a programme aimed at mainstreaming HIV and gender issues into environmental impact assessments.	The proponent and its contractor have to adhere to the guidelines provided to manage the aspects of HIV/AIDS. Experience with construction projects has shown that a significant risk is created when migrant construction workers interact with local communities.
Township and Division of Land Ordinance 11 of 1963	The Townships and Division of Land Ordinance regulates subdivisions of portions of land falling within a Local Authority area	In terms of Section 19 such applications are to be submitted to NAMPAB and Townships Board respectively.
Urban and Regional Planning Act No. 5 of 2018	Chapter 7 deals with the Subdivision or Consolidation of Land.	The development must comply with the provision of the act.
Local Authorities Act No. 23 of 1992	The Local Authorities Act prescribes the manner in which a town or municipality should be managed by the Town or Municipal Council.	The development has to comply with provisions of the Local Authorities Act.
Labour Act no. 11 of 2007	Chapter 2 details the fundamental rights and protections. Chapter 3 deals with the basic conditions of employment.	Given the employment opportunities presented by the development, compliance with the labour law is essential.
National Heritage Act No. 27 of 2004	The Act is aimed at protecting, conserving and registering places and objects of heritage significance.	All protected heritage resources (e.g. human remains etc.) discovered, need to be reported immediately to the National Heritage Council (NHC) and require a permit from the NHC before they may be relocated.

LEGISLATION/POLICIES	RELEVANT PROVISIONS	RELEVANCE TO PROJECT
Roads Ordinance 17 of 1972 Public and Environmental Health Act of 2015	 Section 3.1 deals with width of proclaimed roads and road reserve boundaries Section 27.1 is concerned with the control of traffic on urban trunk and main roads Section 36.1 regulates rails, tracks, bridges, wires, cables, subways or culverts across or under proclaimed roads Section 37.1 deals with Infringements and obstructions on and interference with proclaimed roads. This Act (GG 5740) provides a framework for a structured uniform public and environmental health system in Namibia. It covers notification, prevention and control of diseases and sexually transmitted infections; maternal, ante-natal and neo-natal care; water and food supplies; infant nutrition; waste management; health nuisances; public and environmental health planning and reporting. It repeals the Public Health Act 36 of 1919 (SA GG 979). 	Adhere to all applicable provisions of the Roads Ordinance. Contractors and users of the proposed development are to comply with these legal requirements.
Nature Conservation Ordinance no. 4 of 1975	Chapter 6 provides for legislation regarding the protection of indigenous plants	Indigenous and protected plants have to be managed within the legal confines.
Water Quality Guidelines for Drinking Water and Wastewater Treatment	Details specific quantities in terms of water quality determinants, which wastewater should be treated to before being discharged into the environment (see Appendix B).	These guidelines are to be applied when dealing with water and waste treatment

LEGISLATION/POLICIES	RELEVANT PROVISIONS	RELEVANCE TO PROJECT
Environmental Assessment Policy of Namibia (1995)	The Policy seeks to ensure that the environmental consequences of development projects and policies are considered, understood and incorporated into the planning process, and that the term ENVIRONMENT is broadly interpreted to include biophysical, social, economic, cultural, historical and political components.	This EIA considers this term of Environment.
Water Resources Management Act No. 11 of 2013	Part 12 deals with the control and protection of groundwater Part 13 deals with water pollution control	The pollution of water resources should be avoided during construction and operation of the development. Should water need to be abstracted, a water abstraction permit will be required from the Ministry of Water, Agriculture and Forestry.
Forest Act 12 of 2001 and Forest Regulations of 2015	To provide for the establishment of a Forestry Council and the appointment of certain officials; to consolidate the laws relating to the management and use of forests and forest produce; to provide for the protection of the environment and the control and management of forest fires; to repeal the Preservation of Bees and Honey Proclamation, 1923 (Proclamation No. 1of 1923), Preservation of Trees and Forests Ordinance, 1952 (Ordinance No. 37 of 1952) and the Forest Act, 1968 (Act No. 72 of 1968); and to deal with incidental matters.	Protected tree and plant species as per the Forest Act No 12 of 2001 and Forest Regulations of 2015 may not be removed without a permit from the Ministry of Agriculture, Water and Forestry.
Atmospheric Pollution Prevention Ordinance No 45 of 1965	Part II - control of noxious or offensive gases,	The development should consider the provisions outlined in the act. The proponent should apply for an Air Emissions permit from the

LEGISLATION/POLICIES	RELEVANT PROVISIONS	RELEVANCE TO PROJECT
	Part III - atmospheric pollution by smoke,	Ministry of Health and Social Services (if needed).
	Part IV - dust control, and	
	Part V - air pollution by fumes emitted by vehicles.	
Hazardous Substance Ordinance 14 of 1974	To provide for the control of substances which may cause injury or ill-health to or death of human beings by reason of their toxic, corrosive, irritant, strongly sensitizing or flammable nature or the generation of pressure thereby in certain circumstances; to provide for the division of such substances into groups in relation to the degree of danger; to provide for the prohibition and control of the importation, manufacture, sale, use, operation, application, modification, disposal or dumping of such substances; and to provide for matters connected therewith.	The handling, usage and storage of hazardous substances on site should be carefully controlled according to this Ordinance.
Soil Conservation Act No 76 of 1969	Act to consolidate and amend the law relating to the combating and prevention of soil erosion, the conservation, improvement and manner of use of the soil and vegetation and the protection of the water sources	The proposed activity should ensure that soil erosion and soil pollution is avoided during construction and operation.

This EIA process will be undertaken in accordance with the EIA Regulations. A Flow Diagram (refer to **Figure 2** below) provides an outline of the EIA process to be followed.



3.1 SOCIAL ENVIRONMENT

3.1.1 Socio-Economic Context

The statistics shown in **Table 4** below are derived from the 2011 Namibia Population and Housing Census (Namibia Statistics Agency, 2013), and presented from a local and regional perspective.

Table 4: Statistics of the Kavango West Region (Namibia Statistics Agency, 2011)

KAVANGO REGION		
ATTRIBUTE	INDICATOR	
Population	223 352	
Females	96 559	
Males	80 115	
Population under 5 years	12%	
Population aged 5 to 14 years	21%	
Population aged 15 to 59 years	59%	
Population aged 60 years and above	8%	
Female: male ratio	83:100	
Literacy rate of 15 years old and above	96%	
People above 15 years who have never attended school	7%	
People above 15 years who are currently attending school	21%	
People above 15 years who have left school	68%	
People aged 15 years and above who belong to the labour	61%	
force		
Unemployment rate	37%	
Homemakers	6%	
Students	62%	
Retired or old age income recipients	32%	
Income from pension	19%	
Income from business and non-farming activities	17%	
Income from farming	13%	
Income from cash remittance	5%	
Wages and salaries	40%	
Main Language	Oshiwambo	
KAVANGO WEST REGION		
ATTRIBUTE	INDICATOR	
Population	86,529	
Literacy rate	77.3%	
Unemployment rate	25%	

3.1.2 Archaeological and Heritage Context

The archeological importance of the Kavango West Region is poorly known, however archaeological sites are believed to be concentrated along the Kavango River and the intermediate interior, in a ribbon no more than 5km wide (Ministry of Lands and Resettlement, 2015). The subject site is not known to be of any historical significance. No significant archaeological and heritage sites are known to be located within the proposed development area.

3.2 BIO-PHYSICAL ENVIRONMENT

3.2.1 Climate

The Kavango West Region is generally warm to hot. The average annual temperature ranges above 22°C as indicated in **Figure 3** below. The average maximum temperature for Nkurenkuru varies between less than 34 and 36°C with the average minimum temperature between 6 and 8°C.




Figure 3: Annual average temperature

The Kavango West Region experiences higher rainfall than other parts of the country. Rainfall in the region falls during the summer months between October/November to March/April. Average annual rainfall for Nkurenkuru is ranges between 500 to 550 mm per year as indicated in **Figure 4** below.




Figure 4: Average annual Rainfall

(Source: http://www.uni-koeln.de/sfb389/e/e1/download/atlas_namibia/pics/ climate/rainfall-annual.jpg)

3.2.2 Topography, Geology and Soils

The Kavango West Region consists of gently undulating plains of consolidated sands, sloping gradually down northwards to the Kavango River and eastwards to the lowest areas along the river before it enters Botswana(Ministry of Lands and Resettlement, 2015). The geology of the Kavango West Region falls within the Kalahari Group geological division as depicted in **Figure 5** below. The rock type

for the region is described as the Kalahari and Namib sands with sands being the dominant soils (Mendelsohn, Jarvis, Roberts & Roberston, 2002).




Figure 5: Geology of Namibia

(Source: http://www.uni-koeln.de/sfb389/e/e1/download/atlas_namibia/pics/physical/geology.jpg)

The area is not subject to flooding nor is any erosion gulley found within the subject area. As can be taken from the contours the site has a downward fall in a north-easterly direction and stormwater resulting from rainstorms can be channelled out of the area through the proposed street reserves.

3.2.3 Hydrology and Hydrogeology

The most important feature in the region is the perennial Kavango river. The river is the major source of water for rural communities that are concentrated along it. Water is also abstracted to supply Nkurenkuru and smaller towns and agricultural schemes.

The flat landscape and high permeability of the sandy soil provides very little surface water drainage. As such flooding could potentially occur during high rain events. However, water rarely collects and

flows in some of the shallow riverbeds. If they do it is often short-lived due to the vegetation and sediments in the river courses (Ministry of Lands and Resettlement, 2015).




Figure 6: Hydrography of Namibia

(Source: http://www.uni-koeln.de/sfb389/e/e1/download/atlas-namibia/pics/physical/hydrography1.jpg)

3.3 TERRESTRIAL ECOLOGY

3.3.1 Flora and Fauna

The Kavango West Region belongs to the Tree and Shrub Savanna Biome as depicted in **Figure 7** below. Most of the Kavango West is fairly homogenous Kalahari Woodland comprised of broadleafed, deciduous woodlands. These vary in terms topography and the soils that support them. Trees commonly found within the region are Kiaat (*Pterocarpus angolensis*), teak (*Baikaea plurijuga*), Silver Terminalia (*Terminalia sericea*), Red Seringa (*Burkea Africana*), False Mopane (*Guibourtia coleosperma*), Mangetti (*Schinziophyton rautanenii*) and Monkey Oranges (*Strychnos cocculoides*). Trees within the region are a valuable source of timber or food sources for rural livelihoods.

During site establishment the proposed township establishment is likely to impact the trees located on each site. It is essential that the protected trees located on site be preserved as they provide food (e.g. fruits) and refuge to fauna and people within the area. A such trees protected under the Forestry Act 12 of 2001 should be protected within the development. These trees may not be removed from site without a valid permit from the local Forestry Office.




Figure 7: Biomes of Namibia

(http://www.uni-koeln.de/sfb389/e/e1/download/atlas_namibia/pics/living_resources/biomes.jpg)

Much of the wildlife that used to occur along the Kavango River has now disappeared because so much of the natural vegetation has been cleared (Mendelsohn, 2009). The less inhabited parts of the Kavango West Region host a little wildlife – mammal species such as steenbok, kudu and warthog – but has some important conservation areas where key wildlife species occur.

4 PROJECT DESCRIPTION

4.1 PROJECT COMPONENTS

As previously outlined in Section 1.1, the proposed project involves the following activities:

 Township Establishment of Mayara Informal Area on Portion 9 of the Remainder of the Farm Nkurenkuru Townlands No 1346 to become known as Nkurenkuru Extension 14.

These components will be described in further detail below, in terms of their design, layout and footprint.

4.2 ALTERNATIVES

As pointed out in Section 1.4 above various layout alternatives were initially considered by the proponent, ultimately resulting in the final layouts.

4.2.1 No – Go Alternative

The no-go alternative is the baseline against which all alternatives are assessed. The no-go alternative would essentially entail maintaining the current situation, whereby the proposed townships would not be developed. Thus, the Town Council and the residents will not be able to receive the benefits which may result from the construction and operational phase of the development.

4.3 THE PROPOSED DEVELOPMENT

4.3.1 Need and Desirability

The Nkurenkuru Town Council experienced an influx of households demanding space to erect informal housing units within proximity of the existing urban area. The proponent identified the area to the east of Extension 8 and 13 for the development of an informal area. Some households have already settled within the area, these are to be formalized as part of the planning process.

4.3.1.1 The layout Nkurenkuru Extension 14

The layout for Nkurenkuru Extension 14 generally provides for residential erven which are 500m² in extent. The layout design was further informed by:

- a) The need to connect the new urban area to Nkurenkuru Extensions 8 and 13 townships.
- b) The need to respect the existing buildings/structures and infrastructure developments within the allocated site.
- c) The development restriction not to extend to the new township beyond the east of the NamPower grid line.
- d) The proponent's directive to provide residential erven of not less than 500m² each.

Furthermore, the following key drivers informed the design of the layout proposed for Nkurenkuru Extension 14:

- The general traffic linkages as proposed by the Nkurenkuru Structure Plan.
- The street linkages into the adjacent township areas (Extensions 8 & 13).
- The presence of the NamPower electricity line.
- The existing municipal water installation.
- The sites allocated for kindergarten and business purposes.
- The provision of a linear neighbourhood shopping and institutional node on the eastern edge of the development, inclusive of a local authority property which should be used for the development of a taxi rank and informal market.
- The provision of a park in support of the commercial node and surrounding residential area.
- The provision of neighbourhood parks where surrounding community can meet and children can play.
- The provision of three institutional sites for the development of a church, kindergarten or community facility.
- A large institutional site for the development of a public or private school.
- The provision of a GRN property to be allocated for the development of a clinic, a satellite police station or any other GRN community facility as may be required.
- A well-defined internal street hierarchy which prevent through traffic through the residential pockets created.

The following table provides an overview on the number of erven provided for within Nkurenkuru Extension 14.

 Table 5: Summary table of land uses provided within Nkurenkuru Extension 14

Land use	Number of erven	Spatial allocation (%)
Residential	296	47.44
Business	11	2.48
Institutional	4	8.18
Local Authority	4	1.55
Government	1	0.43
Public Open Space	14	10.00
Street	Remainder	29.92
Total	330 plus Remainder	100.00




Figure 8: Layout Map of proposed Nkurenkuru Extension 14

4.3.1 Engineering services

The site on which the township establishment is to take place has not been serviced in terms of the provision of municipal engineering infrastructure. As such it is recommended that proponent appoints a civil engineer for the design and construction of municipal services if and when required.

4.3.1.1 Roads and stormwater

The layout design makes use of a clearly defined road hierarchy. The street reserves range from 15 meters to 30 meters.

Use was made of a grid pattern design as this is a legible urban form suitable for the installation of an effective and simple stormwater management system. Within the central and southern part of the extension, where the existing homesteads are found, an interconnected strip of public open space (POS) has been introduced for stormwater management purposes. These areas can also be effectively used for pedestrian movement.

Existing protected trees found on site should be identified and mapped prior to construction to ensure that they protected as far as possible as these contribute to the unique character of the subject neighbourhood.

4.3.1.2 Water and sewage

It is recommended that the provision of potable water to the new area must be addressed by an engineer to be appointed by the proponent. The proponent however has erected a temporary water point within Portion 9 of the Farm Nkurenkuru Townlands No 1346, this facility has been accommodated on the proposed local authority property.

4.3.1.3 Electricity and Telephone

The existing NamPower overhead power line is to be accommodated within a linear green belt which borders the new township along its most eastern boundary. It is however desirable to register a 22m Powerline Servitude over Portion 9 in favor of NamPower.

No telephone lines are erected within the area.

5.1 PUBLIC PARTICIPATION REQUIREMENTS

In terms of Section 21 of the EIA Regulations a call for open consultation with all I&APs at defined stages of the EIA process is required. This entails participatory consultation with members of the public by providing an opportunity to comment on the proposed project. Public Participation has thus incorporated the requirements of Namibia's legislation, but also takes account of international guidelines, including Southern African Development Community (SADC) guidelines and the Namibian EIA Regulations. Public participation in this project has been undertaken to meet the specific requirements in accordance with the international best practice. Please see **Table 5** below for the activities undertaken as part of the public participation process. The I&APs were given time to comment from **8 June 2020 to 29 June 2020.**

Table 6: Table of Public Participation Activities

ACTIVITY	REMARKS
Placement of site notices/posters in Kahenge,	See Annexure A
Nkurenkuru	
Placing advertisements in two newspapers namely	See Annexure B
the New Era and The Namibian (29 September	
2020 and 6 October 2020)	
Written notice to surrounding property owners and	See Annexure C
Interested and Affected Parties via Email (29	
September 2020)	
Public Meeting held at the Nkurenkuru Community	See Annexure C
Hall on 9 October 2020 at 12h00	

The above public participation activities were utilised to invite IAPs to register and participate in the process. There were no IAPs in attendance at the scheduled public meeting. The following comment was received during the initial notification period:

Coleen Mannheimer, Botanist - Email dated 20 October 2020

I would like to offer the following comments.

I see overall planning for Nkurenkuru has been done, and so the EIA process could become a rubber-stamping exercise from a biotic point of view. I believe that it is time for planning to take large, valuable protected trees that offer fruit and refuge to fauna (including man) into higher consideration that is presently the case country-wide.

The phrase "Where possible existing trees should be left standing within the street reserves as these do contribute to the unique character of the XYZ neighbourhood" neither says which trees or the species

involved, and does not discuss how important some of these species are, cumulative impacts (on Guibourtea coleosperma, for example), which are protected etc.

If the EIA and EMP do not involve mapping these trees individually and actually identifying which can and MUST be marked and retained during construction and development, then it is essentially a useless exercise from a botanical point of view, as can be seen from the existing town, especially the newest parts.

Thank you for offering me the opportunity to comment. I would appreciate a copy of the EIA and EMP, failing which I guess I can monitor it in the DEA website if it is functional.

5.1.1 Environmental Assessment Phase 2

The second phase of the PPP involveD the lodging of the Draft Environmental Scoping Report (DESR) to all registered I&APs for comment. Registered and potential I&APs were informed of the availability of the DESR for public comment *via* a letter/email dated **11**th **November 2020**. An Executive Summary of the DESR was also included in the letters to the registered I&APs. I&APs have until **26**th **November 2020** to submit comments or raise any issues or concerns they may have with regard to the proposed project.

The purpose of this chapter is to describe the assessment methodology utilized in determining the significance of the construction and operational impacts of the proposed project, and where applicable the possible alternatives, on the biophysical and socio-economic environment.

Assessment of predicted significance of impacts for a proposed development is by its nature, inherently uncertain — environmental assessment is thus an imprecise science. To deal with such uncertainty in a comparable manner, a standardised and internationally recognised methodology has been developed. Such accepted methodology is applied in this study to assess the significance of the potential environmental impacts of the proposed development, outlined as follows in **Table 7.**

Table 7: Impact Assessment Criteria

CRITERIA	CATEGORY	
Impact	Description of the expected impact	
Nature	Positive: The activity will have a social / economical /	
Describe type of effect	environmental benefit.	
	Neutral: The activity will have no effect	
	Negative: The activity will have a social / economical /	
	environmental harmful effect	
Extent	Site Specific: Expanding only as far as the activity itself (onsite)	
Describe the scale of the	Small: restricted to the site's immediate environment within 1 km	
impact	of the site (limited)	
	Medium: Within 5 km of the site (local)	
	Large: Beyond 5 km of the site (regional)	
Duration	Temporary: < 1 year (not including construction)	
Predicts the lifetime of the	Short-term: 1 – 5 years	
impact.	Medium term: 5 – 15 years	
	Long-term: >15 years (Impact will stop after the operational or	
	running life of the activity, either due to natural course or by	
	human interference)	
	Permanent: Impact will be where mitigation or moderation by	
	natural course or by human interference will not occur in a	
	particular means or in a particular time period that the impact can	
	be considered temporary	
Intensity	Zero: Social and/or natural functions and/ or processes remain	
Describe the magnitude	unaltered	
(scale/size) of the Impact	Very low: Affects the environment in such a way that natural	
	and/or social functions/processes are not affected	
	Low: Natural and/or social functions/processes are slightly	
	altered	

CRITERIA	CATEGORY
	Medium: Natural and/or social functions/processes are notably
	altered in a modified way
	High: Natural and/or social functions/processes are severely
	altered and may temporarily or permanently cease
Probability of occurrence	Improbable: Not at all likely
Describe the probability of	Probable: Distinctive possibility
the Impact <u>actually</u> occurring	Highly probable: Most likely to happen
	Definite: Impact will occur regardless of any prevention measures
Degree of Confidence in	Unsure/Low: Little confidence regarding information available
predictions	(<40%)
State the degree of	Probable/Med: Moderate confidence regarding information
confidence in predictions	available (40-80%)
based on availability of	Definite/High: Great confidence regarding information available
information and specialist	(>80%)
knowledge	
Significance Rating	Neutral: A potential concern which was found to have no impact
The impact on each	when evaluated
component is determined by	Very low: Impacts will be site specific and temporary with no
a combination of the above	mitigation necessary.
criteria.	Low: The impacts will have a minor influence on the proposed
	development and/or environment. These impacts require some
	thought to adjustment of the project design where achievable, or
	alternative mitigation measures
	Medium: Impacts will be experienced in the local and surrounding
	areas for the life span of the development and may result in long
	term changes. The impact can be lessened or improved by an
	amendment in the project design or implementation of effective
	mitigation measures.
	High: Impacts have a high magnitude and will be experienced
	regionally for at least the life span of the development or will be
	irreversible. The impacts could have the no-go proposition on
	portions of the development in spite of any mitigation measures
	that could be implemented.

*NOTE: Where applicable, the magnitude of the impact has to be related to the relevant standard (threshold value specified and source referenced). The magnitude of impact is based on specialist knowledge of that particular field.

For each impact, the EXTENT (spatial scale), MAGNITUDE (size or degree scale) and DURATION (time scale) are described. These criteria are used to ascertain the SIGNIFICANCE of the impact, firstly in the case of no mitigation and then with the most effective mitigation measure(s) in place. The decision as to which combination of alternatives and mitigation measures to apply lies with the proponent, and their acceptance and approval ultimately with the relevant environmental authority.

The SIGNIFICANCE of an impact is derived by taking into account the temporal and spatial scales and magnitude. Such significance is also informed by the context of the impact, i.e. the character and identity of the receptor of the impact.

6.1 MITIGATION MEASURES

There is a mitigation hierarchy of actions which can be undertaken to respond to any proposed project or activity (See **Figure 9** below). These cover avoidance, minimization, restoration and compensation. It is possible and considered sought after to enhance the environment by ensuring that positive gains are included in the proposed activity or project. If negative impacts occur then the hierarchy indicates the following steps.



Figure 9: Mitigation Hierarchy

Impact avoidance: This step is most effective when applied at an early stage of project planning. It can be achieved by:

- not undertaking certain projects or elements that could result in adverse impacts;
- avoiding areas that are environmentally sensitive; and
- putting in place preventative measures to stop adverse impacts from occurring.

Impact minimization: This step is usually taken during impact identification and prediction to limit or reduce the degree, extent, magnitude, or duration of adverse impacts. It can be achieved by:

- scaling down or relocating the proposal;
- redesigning elements of the project; and
- taking supplementary measures to manage the impacts.

Restoration: This step is taken to improve degraded or removed ecosystems following exposure to impacts that cannot be completely avoided or minimised. Restoration tries to return an area to the original ecosystem that occurred before impacts. Restoration is frequently needed towards the end of a project's life-cycle but may be possible in some areas during operation.

Impact compensation: This step is usually applied to remedy unavoidable residual adverse impacts. It can be achieved by:

- rehabilitation of the affected site or environment, for example, by habitat enhancement;
- restoration of the affected site or environment to its previous state or better; and
- replacement of the same resource values at another location (offset), for example, by wetland engineering to provide an equivalent area to that lost to drainage or infill.

7 ASSESSMENT OF POTENTIAL IMPACTS AND POSSIBLE MITIGATION MEASURES

7.1 INTRODUCTION

This Chapter describes the potential impacts on the biophysical and socio-economic environments, which may occur due to the proposed activities described in Chapter 4. These include potential impacts, which may arise during the operation of the proposed development (i.e. long-term impacts) as well as the potential construction related impacts (i.e. short to medium term). The assessment of potential impacts will help to inform and confirm the selection of the preferred layouts to be submitted to MEFT: DEA for consideration. In turn, MEFT: DEA's decision on the environmental acceptability of the proposed project and the setting of conditions of authorisation (should the project be authorised) will be informed by this chapter, amongst other information, contained in this EA Report.

The baseline and potential impacts that could result from the proposed development are described and assessed with potential mitigation measures recommended. Finally, comment is provided on the potential cumulative impacts which could result should this development, and others like it in the area, be approved.

7.2 PLANNING AND DESIGN PHASE IMPACTS

During the planning and design phase consideration should be given on aspects such as impacts of traffic and existing municipal infrastructure.

7.2.1 Traffic Impacts

The intended development may have an impact on traffic in the subject area as the site is currently undeveloped. Once the proposed site is developed traffic in the area is expected to increase. The layout makes provision for the development of a clearly defined road hierarchy which is expected to accommodate the increase in traffic. Street reserves which range between 15m and 30 m are provided for within the development. With mitigation traffic impacts are expected to be of low significance.

7.2.2 Impacts on Existing Municipal Infrastructure

The site on which the township establishment is to take place has not been serviced in terms of the provision of municipal engineering infrastructure. Once the area become connected to the municipal engineering services, these services will experience an increase in demand and pressure. As such it is

essential that the local authority appoints a civil engineer for the design and construction of municipal services if and when required. The engineer is to investigate the required demand for water, electricity and sewage of the proposed townships so as to ensure that the services will be sufficient to accommodate the increased demand. With mitigation the impact is expected to be of low significance.

7.3 CONSTRUCTION PHASE IMPACTS ON THE BIOPHYSICAL ENVIRONMENT

The construction phase impacts are those impacts on the biophysical and socio-economic environment that would occur during the construction phase. These impacts are inherently temporary in duration but may have longer lasting effects.

7.3.1 Flora and Fauna Impacts (Biodiversity)

As the project site is mostly undeveloped with large trees found on site. During site establishment the proposed township establishments are likely to impact the trees located on each site. It is essential that the protected trees located on site be preserved as they provide food (e.g. fruits) and refuge to fauna and people within the area. A such trees protected under the Forestry Act 12 of 2001 should be protected within the development. These trees may not be removed from site without a valid permit from the local forestry office. The impact on flora is expected to be of high significance should no mitigation measures be implemented. With mitigation the impact is expected to be of low significance.

As there are no significant fauna expected to be present on site, it is anticipated that the proposed development area and associated infrastructure (e.g. water, sewage, access route, etc.) would have localised negative implications on the environment and associated fauna should the proposed mitigation measures as outlined in the EMP be enforced.

7.3.2 Surface and Ground Water Impacts

Surface and groundwater impacts may be encountered during the construction and operation phase, especially if development takes place within the rainy season. The risk of contaminating such water sources can be increased by accidental spillage of oils and fuels and any other equipment used during construction. This risk is minimised by the fact that the construction phase will be a short-term activity. With mitigation the impact is expected to be of low significance.

7.3.3 Soil Erosion Impacts

Given the characteristics of the proposed site, soil erosion is likely to be encountered especially if construction will take place during the rainy season, the removal of the sparse vegetation will render

the soil vulnerable to erosion as they also serve the purpose of keeping the soils compacted. With mitigation the impact is expected to be of low significance.

7.4 CONSTRUCTION PHASE IMPACTS ON THE SOCIO-EONOMIC ENVIRONMENT

7.4.1 Heritage impacts

No archaeological and heritage resources are expected to be found on the site. The project management should however be made aware of the provisions of the National Heritage Act regarding the prompt reporting of archaeological finds. The impact is expected to be negligible.

7.4.2 Health, Safety and Security Impacts

Working conditions on site need to ensure that the health and safety of construction workers are ensured at all times. The use of local labour during construction is strongly encouraged to reduce the need for migrant workforce. Health and Safety requirements need to comply with the Labour Act no. 11 of 2007 and national health and safety regulations during construction. With mitigation the impact is expected to be of low significance.

7.4.3 Traffic Impacts

Traffic is expected to increase during the construction phase of the project in areas where construction will take place. A number of trucks and other heavy machinery will be required to deliver, handle and position construction materials as well as to remove spoil material. Not only will the increase in traffic result in associated noise impacts, it will also impact on the roads in the area. With mitigation the impact is expected to be of low significance.

7.4.4 Noise Impacts

Construction may result in associated noise impacts. These noise impacts will mainly be associated with construction machinery and construction vehicles. The impact is however limited mainly to the construction period only. With mitigation the impact is expected to be of low significance.

7.4.5 Dust and Emission Impacts

Excavation and stockpiles during the construction phase could result in dust impacts, if not managed correctly. Dust could impact negatively on the health of the nearby community if mitigation measures are not implemented. Dust impacts are primarily associated with the construction phase. With mitigation the impact is expected to be of low significance.

7.4.6 Municipal Services

The construction phase will result in additional people on-site, who will require provision of the following services:

- Potable water for domestic (ablution and drinking) and construction purposes.
- Temporary toilets during the construction phase.
- Solid waste management (domestic and construction waste).

These services if not managed well are likely to create an opportunity for water wastage; litter; solid and human waste pollution. With mitigation the impact is expected to be of low significance.

7.4.7 Storage and Utilisation of Hazardous Substances

Hazardous substances are regarded by the Hazardous Substance Ordinance (No. 14 of 1974) as those substances which may cause injury or ill-health to or death of human beings by reason of their toxic, corrosive, irritant, strongly sensitizing or flammable nature or the generation of pressure thereby in certain circumstances. During the construction period, the use and storage of these types of hazardous substances, such as shutter oil, curing compounds, types of solvents, primers and adhesives and diesel, on-site could have negative impacts on the surrounding environment if these substances spill and enter the environment. With mitigation the impact is expected to be of low significance.

7.5 OPERATIONAL PHASE IMPACTS

The operational phase impacts are those impacts on the biophysical and socio-economic environment that would occur during the operational phase of the proposed project and are inherently long-term in duration.

7.5.1 Visual and Sense of Place Impacts

There may be a change in visual characteristics of the site particularly a the proposed sites are currently undeveloped. The extent of this disturbance will depend on how highly the interested and affected parties valued the initial aesthetic quality of the site. The intended activities for the proposed site may alter the sense of place for the existing community and property owners situated in close proximity to the site, as well as the residents of Nkurenkuru who frequent the site.

7.5.2 Noise Impacts

The operational activities may result in associated noise impacts, depending on the exact type of activities taking place on the properties. However due to the nature of the land uses proposed for the subject erven it is not expected that the noise levels will be significant if managed well.

7.5.3 Emission Impacts

The air quality in the area is considered to be fairly good. Additional emissions are not expected due to the land uses that are intended for the site. With mitigation the impact is expected to be of low significance.

7.5.4 Social Impacts

From a social perspective, the development will provide for the establishment of three new townships which will offer residents the opportunity to acquire residential property. Furthermore, the land uses provided for within the proposed townships will offer economic and tourism opportunities to local business people in the town or from outside investors. The local people of Nkurenkuru are further expected to benefit from the employment opportunities that may be offered during construction. The social impact is expected to be positive and of medium significance.

7.6 CUMULATIVE IMPACTS

The cumulative impact of the proposed developments in regard to the degradation of the project area is very difficult to rate. If all proposed mitigation measures are however in place to minimise the overall impacts then the cumulative impact can be expected to be rated as *Medium-Low* (*negative*) for the proposed developments.

7.1 ENVIRONMENTAL MANAGEMENT PLAN

An Environmental Management Plan (EMP) is contained in **Annexure F** of this report. The purpose of the EMP is to outline the type and range of mitigation measures that should be implemented during the construction and decommissioning phases of the project to ensure that negative impacts associated with the development are avoided or mitigated.

7.2 SUMMARY OF POTENTIAL IMPACTS

A summary of all the potential impacts from the proposed project assessed above is included in **Table 8. Table 9, Table 10** and **Table 11** provide a summary of the mitigation measures proposed for the impacts. While some difference in magnitude of the potential impacts would result from the

proposed alternatives this difference was not considered to be significant for any of the potent impacts. As such, the table below applies to all proposed alternatives.	ial

 Table 8: Summary of the significance of the potential impacts

Description of potential impact	Project alternative	No mitigation / mitigation	Extent	Magnitude	Duration	Significance	Probability	Confidence	Reversibility	Cumulative impact
				PLANNING	AND DESIGN	PHASE				
	Nkurenkuru	No mitigation	Local	Medium	Medium term	Medium	Probable	Certain	Reversible	Medium (- ve)
1. Traffic Impacts	INKUTETIKUTU	Mitigation	Local	Low	Medium term	Low	Probable	Certain	Reversible	Low (-ve)
1. Hame impacts	No go	No mitigation	Local	Neutral	Medium term	Neutral	Probable	Certain	Reversible	Neutral
		Mitigation	Local	Neutral	Medium term	Neutral	Probable	Certain	Reversible	Neutral
	Nilaraalaraa	No mitigation	Local	Medium	Medium term	Medium	Probable	Certain	Reversible	Medium (- ve)
2. Existing	Nkurenkuru	Mitigation	Local	Low	Medium term	Low	Probable	Certain	Reversible	Low (-ve)
Municipal Services	No go	No mitigation	Local	Neutral	Medium term	Neutral	Probable	Certain	Reversible	Neutral
		Mitigation	Local	Neutral	Medium term	Neutral	Probable	Certain	Reversible	Neutral
				CONST	RUCTION PH	ASE				
	Nkurenkuru	No mitigation	Local	Medium- Low	Short term	High	Probable	Certain	Reversible	Medium (- ve)
3. Biodiversity		Mitigation	Local	Low	Short term	Medium	Probable	Certain	Reversible	Low (-ve)
(Fauna and Flora) No go	No go	No mitigation	Local	Neutral	Short term	Neutral	Probable	Certain	Reversible	Neutral
		Mitigation	Local	Neutral	Short term	Neutral	Probable	Certain	Reversible	Neutral
4. Surface & ground water	Nkurenkuru	No mitigation	Local	Medium	Short term	Medium	Probable	Certain	Reversible	Medium (- ve)

Description of potential impact	Project alternative	No mitigation / mitigation	Extent	Magnitude	Duration	Significance	Probability	Confidence	Reversibility	Cumulative impact
		Mitigation	Local	Low	Short term	Medium - low	Probable	Certain	Reversible	Medium - Low (-ve)
	No go	No mitigation	Local	Neutral	Short term	Neutral	Probable	Certain	Reversible	Neutral
		Mitigation	Local	Neutral	Short term	Neutral	Probable	Certain	Reversible	Neutral
	Nkurenkuru	No mitigation	Local	Medium	Short term	Medium – low	Probable	Certain	Reversible	Medium – low (-ve)
5. Soil erosion		Mitigation	Local	Low	Short term	Low	Probable	Certain	Reversible	Low (-ve)
5. Sui erosiuri	No go	No mitigation	Local	Neutral	Short term	Neutral	Probable	Certain	Reversible	Neutral
		Mitigation	Local	Neutral	Short term	Neutral	Probable	Certain	Reversible	Neutral
	Nkurenkuru	No mitigation	Local	Very low	Short term	Very low	Probable	Certain	Irreversible	Very low(-ve)
6. Heritage		Mitigation	Local	Negligible	Short term	Negligible	Probable	Certain	Irreversible	Negligible (- ve)
	No go	No mitigation	Local	Neutral	Short term	Neutral	Probable	Certain	Reversible	Neutral
		Mitigation	Local	Neutral	Short term	Neutral	Probable	Certain	Reversible	Neutral
	Nkurenkuru	No mitigation	Local	Medium- Low	Short term	Medium- Low	Probable	Certain	Reversible	Medium- Low (-ve)
7. Health, safety		Mitigation	Local	Low	Short term	Low	Probable	Certain	Reversible	Low (-ve)
and security	No go	No mitigation	Local	Neutral	Short term	Neutral	Probable	Certain	Reversible	Neutral
		Mitigation	Local	Neutral	Short term	Neutral	Probable	Certain	Reversible	Neutral
8. Traffic impacts	Nkurenkuru	No mitigation	Local	Low	Short term	Low	Probable	Certain	Reversible	Low (-ve)
		Mitigation	Local	Very low	Short term	Very low	Probable	Certain	Reversible	Very low

Description of potential impact	Project alternative	No mitigation / mitigation	Extent	Magnitude	Duration	Significance	Probability	Confidence	Reversibility	Cumulative impact
		No	Local	Neutral	Short term	Neutral	Probable	Certain	Reversible	Neutral
	No go	mitigation			a l					
		Mitigation	Local	Neutral	Short term	Neutral	Probable	Certain	Reversible	Neutral
	Allermanilerm	No mitigation	Local	Medium	Short term	Medium - low	Probable	Certain	Reversible	Medium - Low (-ve)
9. Noise impacts	Nkurenkuru	Mitigation	Local	Low	Short term	Low	Probable	Certain	Reversible	Very low (- ve)
	No go	No mitigation	Local	Neutral	Short term	Neutral	Probable	Certain	Reversible	Neutral
		Mitigation	Local	Neutral	Short term	Neutral	Probable	Certain	Reversible	Neutral
	Nkurenkuru	No mitigation	Local	Medium	Short term	Low	Probable	Certain	Reversible	Medium - Low (-ve)
10. Emissions		Mitigation	Local	Low	Short term	Very Low	Probable	Certain	Reversible	Low (-ve)
impacts	No go	No mitigation	Local	Neutral	Short term	Neutral	Probable	Certain	Reversible	Neutral
		Mitigation	Local	Neutral	Short term	Neutral	Probable	Certain	Reversible	Neutral
	Niloungalounu	No mitigation	Local	Low	Short term	Low	Probable	Certain	Reversible	Low (-ve)
11. Municipal	Nkurenkuru	Mitigation	Local	Very low	Short term	Very low	Probable	Certain	Reversible	Very low (- ve)
services	No go	No mitigation	Local	Neutral	Short term	Neutral	Probable	Certain	Reversible	Neutral
		Mitigation	Local	Neutral	Short term	Neutral	Probable	Certain	Reversible	Neutral
13 Wasta	Nkuropkuru	No mitigation	Local	Low	Short term	Medium	Probable	Certain	Reversible	Low (-ve)
12. Waste	Nkurenkuru	Mitigation	Local	Very low	Short term	Low	Probable	Certain	Reversible	Very low (- ve)

Description of potential impact	Project alternative	No mitigation / mitigation	Extent	Magnitude	Duration	Significance	Probability	Confidence	Reversibility	Cumulative impact
		No	Local	Neutral	Short term	Neutral	Probable	Certain	Reversible	Neutral
	No go	mitigation								
		Mitigation	Local	Neutral	Short term	Neutral	Probable	Certain	Reversible	Neutral
		No	Local	Low	Short term	Medium	Probable	Certain	Reversible	Low (-ve)
	Nkurenkuru	mitigation								
13. Hazardous Substances		Mitigation	Local	Very low	Short term	Low	Probable	Certain	Reversible	Very low (- ve)
Substances		No	Local	Neutral	Short term	Neutral	Probable	Certain	Reversible	Neutral
	No go	mitigation								
		Mitigation	Local	Neutral	Short term	Neutral	Probable	Certain	Reversible	Neutral
				OPE	RATIONAL PH	ASE				
		No	Local	Medium	Medium	Medium	Probable	Certain	Reversible	Low (-ve)
	Nkurenkuru	mitigation			term					
		Mitigation	Local	Medium-	Medium	Medium-	Probable	Certain	Reversible	Very-Low (-
1. Surface &				Low	term	Low				ve)
ground water		No	Local	Low	Medium	Neutral	Probable	Certain	Reversible	Neutral
	No go	mitigation			term					
	- 0	Mitigation	Local	Low	Medium term	Neutral	Probable	Certain	Reversible	Neutral
2. Visual & sense		No	Local	Medium	Medium	Medium	Probable	Certain	Reversible	Medium (-
of place	Nkurenkuru	mitigation			term					ve)
IVA	TTKAT CITICAT A	Mitigation	Local	Medium-	Medium	Medium-	Probable	Certain	Reversible	Medium-
				Low	term	Low				Low (-ve)
	No go	No	Local	Neutral	Medium	Neutral	Probable	Certain	Reversible	Neutral
		mitigation			term		2 1 11			
		Mitigation	Local	Neutral	Medium term	Neutral	Probable	Certain	Reversible	Neutral

Descri	ption of potential impact	Project alternative	No mitigation / mitigation	Extent	Magnitude	Duration	Significance	Probability	Confidence	Reversibility	Cumulative impact
3.	Noise		No	Local	Medium-	Medium	Medium-	Probable	Certain	Reversible	Medium-
		Nkurenkuru	mitigation		Low	term	Low				Low (-ve)
			Mitigation	Local	Low	Medium term	Low	Probable	Certain	Reversible	Low (-ve)
		No go	No mitigation	Local	Neutral	Medium term	Neutral	Probable	Certain	Reversible	Neutral
			Mitigation	Local	Neutral	Medium term	Neutral	Probable	Certain	Reversible	Neutral
4.	Emissions		No	Local	Medium-	Medium	Low	Probable	Certain	Reversible	Medium-
			mitigation		Low	term					Low (-ve)
		Nkurenkuru	Mitigation	Local	Low	Medium term	Very Low	Probable	Certain	Reversible	Low (-ve)
		No go	No	Local	Neutral	Medium	Neutral	Probable	Certain	Reversible	Neutral
			mitigation			term					
			Mitigation	Local	Neutral	Medium term	Neutral	Probable	Certain	Reversible	Neutral
5.	Social impact		No	Local	High	Long term	Medium (+)	Probable	Probable	Reversible	High (+)
		Nkurenkuru	mitigation								
			Mitigation	Local	High	Long term	Medium (+)	Probable	Probable	Reversible	High (+)
		No go	No	Local	Neutral	Long term	Neutral	Probable	Probable	Reversible	Neutral
			mitigation								
			Mitigation	Local	Neutral	Long term	Neutral	Probable	Probable	Reversible	Neutral

Table 9: Proposed mitigation measures for the planning and design phase

PLANNING AND DESIGN PHASE IMPACTS						
Impact	Mitigation Measures					
Existing Service	Water saving mechanisms should be considered for incorporation within the developments in order to further reduce water demands.					
Infrastructure	 Re-use of treated wastewater should be considered wherever possible to reduce the consumption of potable water. 					

 Table 10: Proposed mitigation measures for the construction phase

	CONSTRUCTION PHASE IMPACTS						
Impact	Mitigation Measures						
Flora and Fauna	 Adapt the proposed developments to the local environment – e.g. small adjustments to the site layout could avoid potential features such as water bodies and vegetation. Prevent the destruction of protected and endemic plant species. Prevent contractors from collecting wood, veld food, etc. during the construction phase. Do not clear cut the entire development site, but rather keep the few individual trees/shrubs not directly affecting the developments as part of the landscaping. The plants that are to be kept should be clearly marked with "danger tape" to prevent accidental removal. Regular inspection of the marking tool should be carried out. The very important plants should be "camped off" to prevent the unintended removal or damage to these trees. 						

	CONSTRUCTION PHASE IMPACTS
Impact	Mitigation Measures
	 Recommend the planting of local indigenous species of flora as part of the landscaping as these species would require less maintenance than exotic species. Transplant removed plants where possible, or plant new plants in lieu of those that have been removed. Prevent the introduction of potentially invasive alien ornamental plant species such as; <i>Lantana</i>, <i>Opuntia</i>, <i>Prosopis</i>, <i>Tecoma</i>, etc.; as part of the landscaping as these species could infest the area further over time.
Surface and Ground Water Impacts	• It is recommended that construction takes place outside of the rainy season in order to limit flooding on site and surface water pollution.
	 No dumping of waste products of any kind in or in close proximity to surface water bodies. Heavy construction vehicles should be kept out of any surface water bodies and the movement of construction vehicles should be limited where possible to the existing roads and tracks. Ensure that oil/ fuel spillages from construction vehicles and machinery are minimised and that where these occur, that they are appropriately dealt with. Drip trays must be placed underneath construction vehicles when not in use to contain all oil that might be leaking from these vehicles. Contaminated runoff from the construction sites should be prevented from entering the surface and ground water bodies. All materials on the construction site should be properly stored. Disposal of waste from the sites should be properly managed and taken to the designated landfill site. Construction workers should be given ablution facilities at the construction sites that are located at least 30 m away from any surface water and regularly serviced. Washing of personnel or any equipment should not be allowed on site. Should it be necessary to wash construction equipment these should be done at an area properly suited and prepared to receive and contain polluted waters.

	CONSTRUCTION PHASE IMPACTS								
Impact	Mitigation Measures								
Soil Erosion	 It is recommended that construction takes place outside of the rainy season in order to limit potential flooding and the runoff of loose soil causing further erosion. Appropriate erosion control structures must be put in place where soil may be prone to erosion. Checks must be carried out at regular intervals to identify areas where erosion is occurring. Appropriate remedial actions are to be undertaken wherever erosion is evident. 								
Heritage	 The project management should be made aware of the provisions of the National Heritage Act regarding the prompt reporting of archaeological finds. In the event of such finds, construction must stop, and the project management or contractors should notify the National Heritage Council of Namibia immediately. 								
Health, Safety and Security	 Construction personnel should not overnight at the site, except the security personnel. Ensure that all construction personnel are properly trained depending on the nature of their work. Provide for a first aid kit and a properly trained person to apply first aid when necessary. A wellness program should be initiated to raise awareness on health issues, especially the impact of sexually transmitted diseases as described above. Provide free condoms in the workplace and to local community throughout the construction period and promote their usage. Facilitate access to Antiretroviral (ARV) medication. Encourage HIV counselling and testing. Encourage Voluntary Medical Male Circumcision (VMMC). Provide awareness on the prevention of mother to child HIV Transmission. Restrict unauthorised access to the site and implement access control measures. 								
	 Clearly demarcate the construction site boundaries along with signage of "no unauthorised access". Clearly demarcate dangerous areas and no-go areas on site. 								

	CONSTRUCTION PHASE IMPACTS
Impact	Mitigation Measures
	 Staff and visitors to the site must be fully aware of all health and safety measures and emergency procedures. The contractor must comply with all applicable occupational health and safety requirements. The workforce should be provided with all necessary Personal Protective Equipment where appropriate.
Traffic	 Limit and control the number of access points to the site. Ensure that road junctions have good sightlines. Construction vehicles' need to be in a road worthy condition and maintained throughout the construction phase. Transport the materials in the least number of trips as possible. Adhere to the speed limit. Implement traffic control measures where necessary.
Noise	 No amplified music should be allowed on site. Inform immediate neighbours of construction activities to commence and provide for continuous communication between the neighbours and contractor. Limit construction times to acceptable daylight hours. Install technology such as silencers on construction machinery. Do not allow the use of horns as a general communication tool but use it only where necessary as a safety measure.
Dust and Emission	 It is recommended that dust suppressants such as Dustex be applied to all the construction clearing activities to ensure at least 50% control efficiency on all the unpaved roads and reduce water usage. Construction vehicles to only use designated roads. During high wind conditions the contractor must make the decision to cease works until the wind has calmed down. Cover any stockpiles with plastic to minimise windblown dust.

	CONSTRUCTION PHASE IMPACTS							
Impact	Mitigation Measures							
	Provide workers with dust masks.							
Waste	It is recommended that waste from the temporary toilets be disposed of at an approved Wastewater Treatment Works.							
	A sufficient number of waste bins should be placed around the site for the soft refuse.							
	A sufficient number of skip containers for the heavy waste and rubble should be provided for around the site.							
	Solid waste will be collected and disposed of at an appropriate local land fill or an alternative approved site, in consultation with the local authority.							
Hazardous Substances	• Storage of the hazardous substances in a bunded area, with a volume of 120 % of the largest single storage container or 25 % of the total storage containers whichever is greater.							
	Refuel vehicles in designated areas that have a protective surface covering and utilise drip trays for stationary plant.							

 Table 11: Proposed mitigation measures for the operational phase

OPERATIONAL PHASE IMPACTS	
Impact	Mitigation Measures
Surface and	A no-go buffer area of at least 15 m should be allocated to any water bodies in the area.
Ground Water	No dumping of waste products of any kind in or in close proximity to any surface water bodies.
	Contaminated runoff from the various operational activities should be prevented from entering any surface or
	ground water bodies.
	• Ensure that surface water accumulating on-site are channeled and captured through a proper storm water
	management system to be treated in an appropriate manner before disposal into the environment.
	Disposal of waste from the various activities should be properly managed.
Visual and Sense	• It is recommended that more 'green' technologies be implemented within the architectural designs and
of Place	building materials of the development where possible in order to minimise the visual prominence of such a
	development within the more natural surrounding landscape.
	Natural colours and building materials such as wood and stone should be incorporated as well as the use of
	indigenous vegetation in order to help beautify the development.
	• Visual pollutants can further be prevented through mitigations (i.e. keep existing trees, introduce tall
	indigenous trees; keep structures unpainted and minimising large advertising billboards).
Noise	Do not allow commercial activities that generate excessive noise levels.
	Continuous monitoring of noise levels should be conducted to make sure the noise levels does not exceed
	acceptable limits.
	No activity having a potential noise impact should be allowed after 18:00 hours if possible.
Emissions	Consider tarring of the internal road network.
	Manage activities that generate emissions.
	Use vapour recovery equipment and techniques to avoid air pollution and minimise fuel loss.
	Train fuel area staff in vapour recovery procedures.
Social Impacts	No specific mitigation measures are required, only that the local community be consulted in terms of possible job creation opportunities and must be given first priority if unspecialised job vacancies are available.

8 CONCLUSION

The purpose of this Chapter is to briefly summarise and conclude the DESR and describe the way forward.

8.1 CONSTRUCTION PHASE IMPACTS

With reference to **Table 8**, the most significant impact is the impact on flora which was deemed to have a *High (negative)* significance impact on the environment. With mitigation the impact can be reduced to a *Medium (negative)* significance. The remaining construction impacts were assessed to a *Medium to Low (negative)* significance, without mitigation measures. With the implementation of the recommended mitigation measures in Chapter 7 as well as in the EMP, the significance of the construction phase impacts is likely to be reduced to a *Low (negative)*.

8.2 OPERATIONAL PHASE

The most significant operational phase impact *Medium (positive)* is the social impact. This is as a result of the potential job and economic opportunities during operation as well the increased development within the area.

8.3 LEVEL OF CONFIDENCE IN ASSESSMENT

With reference to the information available at the project planning cycle, the confidence in the environmental assessment undertaken is regarded as being acceptable for the decision-making, specifically in terms of the environmental impacts and risks. The Environmental Assessment Practitioner believes that the information contained within this FESR is adequate to allow MEFT: DEA to be able to determine the environmental acceptability of the proposed project.

It is acknowledged that the project details will evolve during the detailed design and construction phases. However, these are unlikely to change the overall environmental acceptability of the proposed project and any significant deviation from what was assessed in this FESR should be subject to further assessment. If this was to occur, an amendment to the Environmental Authorisation may be required in which case the prescribed process would be followed.

8.4 MITIGATION MEASURES

With the implementation of the recommended mitigation measures in Chapter 7 as well as in the EMP, the significance of the construction and operational phase impacts is likely to be reduced to a Low (negative). It is further extremely important to include an Environmental Control Officer (ECO) on site during the construction phase of the proposed project to ensure that all the mitigation measures discussed in this report and the EMP are enforced.

It is noted that where appropriate, these mitigation measures and any others identified by MEFT: DEA could be enforced as Conditions of Approval in the Environmental Authorisation, should MEFT: DEA issue a positive Environmental Authorisation.

8.5 OPINION WITH RESPECT TO THE ENVIRONMENTAL AUTHORISATION

Regulation 15(j) of the EMA, requires that the EAP include an opinion as to whether the listed activity must be authorised and if the opinion is that it must be authorised, any condition that must be made in respect of that authorisation.

It is recommended that this project be authorised because should the development not proceed the subject area will remain vacant and undeveloped. Potential job and economic opportunities may be available to the local people of Nkurenkuru during construction and operation. The significance of the social impact was therefore deemed to be *Medium (positive)*.

The "no go" alternative on the other hand was deemed to have a *High (negative)* impact, as all the social benefits resulting from the development would not be realised.

The significance of negative impacts can be reduced with effective and appropriate mitigation provided in this report and the EMP. If authorised, the implementation of an EMP should be included as a condition of approval.

8.6 WAY FORWARD

The FESR is herewith submitted to MEFT: DEA for consideration and decision making. If MEFT: DEA approves or requests additional information / studies all registered I&APs and stakeholders will be kept informed of progress throughout the assessment process.

9 REFERENCES

Mendelsohn, J. 2009. Land Use in Kavango: Past, Present and Future.

Mendelsohn, J., Jarvis, A., Roberts, C. & Roberston, T. 2002. Atlas of Namibia.

Ministry of Lands and Resettlement. 2015. Integrated Regional Land Use Plan for the Kavango West Region Baseline Report (Volume 1).

Namibia Statistics Agency. 2013. Namibia 2011 Population & Housing Census - Main Report. 214. [Online], Available: http://www.nsa.org.na/files/downloads/Namibia 2011 Population and Housing Census Main Report.pdf.