Ohungu

Conservancy Status Summary & Natural Resource Report


maximising wildlife returns by minimising threats...

Conservancy status summary

Returns from natural resources in 2017 the chart shows the main sources of returns and values

and their percentage of the total returns

Approximate Total Returns N\$ 53,690



Two of the most significant returns for the conservancy:

- ✓ cash income to the conservancy to cover running costs and invest in developments
- ✓ employment to conservancy residents

Conservancy	149 33,030		
Employment	Private Sector		
	Conservancy	3 staff	N\$ 4,800


Cost of natural resource conflicts in 2017

estimates are based on average national values

Estimated human wildlife conflict cost	N\$ 22,360
Estimated poached high value species loss	N\$ 0
Total conflict cost estimate	N\$ 22,360

Natural resource cost—return ratio in 2017

the chart shows the approximate ratio of returns to costs



Natural resource returns outweigh approximate conflict costs

> Total returns: N\$ 53.690

Approximate conflict costs: N\$ 22,360

Approximate positive ratio 2:1

Management performance in 2017

	Category	Performance				
	1 Adequate staffing					
2	2 Adequate expenditure					
	3 Audit attendance					
4	4 NR management plan					
	5 Zonation					
(6 Leadership					
-	7 Display of material					
8	8 Event Book modules					
9	9 Event Book quality					
10	O Compliance					
1	1 Game census					
12	2 Reporting & adaptive m/ment					
13	3 Law enforcement					
14	4 Human Wildlife Conflict					
15	5 Harvesting management					
16	6 Sources of NR income					
17	7 Benefits produced					
18	8 Resource trends					
19	9 Resource targets					

Wildlife status summary in 2017




Human wildlife conflict

Human wildlife conflict trend the chart shows the total number of incidents each year, subdivided by species, grouped as herbivores and predators Jackal Cheetah Leopard Other Predators Elephant Other Herbivores 50 40 30 20 10 tog tog tog tog tog tog tog tog


Most troublesome problem animals 2015-2017

the chart shows the number of incidents per species for the last 3 years; the darkest bar (on the right) indicates the current year for each species




Type of damage by problem animals 2015-2017

the chart shows the number of incidents per category for the last 3 years; the darkest bar (on the right) indicates the current year for each type




Poaching





Traps and firearms recovered

number of incidents per category



Arrests and convictions

number of incidents per category



Wildlife removals – quota use and value

		Quota 201	L 7	Animals actually used in 2017			- Potential	Potential			
Species	Total	Trophy	Other Use	Trophy	Own Use & Premium	Shoot & Sell	Capture & Sale	Problem Animal	Total Use	Trophy Value N\$	Other use Value N\$
Baboon	5	5								100	
Cheetah	0.33	0.33								6,000	
Hyaena	1	1								6,200	
Jackal	5	5		1					1	100	
Kudu*	2	1	1							4,100	7,750
Leopard	0.33	0.33								11,700	
Ostrich	5	2	3							700	720
Springbok	65	10	55	1					1	900	624
Warthog	3	3								2,100	


Potential value estimates (N\$) for species are based on:

- · Potential trophy value the average trophy value for that species in the conservancy landscape
- trophy values vary depending on trophy quality, international recognition of the hunting operator and the hunting area
- Potential other use value the average meat value for common species
- the average live sale value of each high value species (indicated with an *)[high value species are never used for meat]

Key to the status barometer



Success/threat flags




action needed

Conservancies reduce environmental costs while increasing environmental returns. Returns from wildlife can far outweigh human wildlife conflict costs.



monitoring numbers and trends for a healthy conservancy...

Current wildlife numbers and status



Wildlife Status


Count trend - gives the species status in the conservancy based on game count trend data.

Landscape status- gives the species status in the focal landscape; for example, lions may cause local problems, but are of high value and may be rare at landscape level.

Desired number - gives the species status in the conservancy based on what the conservancy would like to have.


dark green (abundant) - there should be less; light green (common) - the desired number is reached; yellow (uncommon) - there should be more; **light orange** (rare) – there should be more than double; dark orange (very rare) - there should be more than triple; red (extinct) - the species needs to be reintroduced.

Locally rare species




Locally rare and endangered species are not found very often in the conservancy and need special conservation attention.


Wildlife introductions



Wildlife mortalities




Annual rainfall




Annual game count


Charts show the number of animals seen each year per 100 km driven during the game count. As a point of reference the dashed horizontal line represents the combined 10 year average in Palmwag and Etendeka concessions. Status barometers reflect the general count trend over the last 5 years



Predator monitoring charts show the average number of animals seen per Event Book each year status barometers reflect the general sightings to a line of the second sighting to a line of the second sightings to a line of the second sighting to a line of the second sightings to a line of the second sightings to a line of the second sightings to a line of the second sighting to a line of



Vegetation monitoring Green vegetation index (NDVI). Maps show vegetation cover during Feb-April of the current year and the long term average (2001-2016)



Ohungu Institutional Report

Enabling wise conservancy governance...

Conservancy statistics

Date Registered: October 2006

Population (2011 census): 1150

Size (square kilometres): 1196

Conservancy Governance

Number of management committee
members:

Men: 6; Women: 5

Date of last AGM:

O7 December 2017

Attendance at AGM:

Men: ; Women:

Date of next AGM:

30 November 2018

Other important issues


Financial report approved?

Budget approved?

Work plan approved?

Chairperson's report approved?

Key Compliance Requirements





Employment

Conservancy staff: Male Female	2 1
Community game guards:	2
Community resource monitors:	0
Lodge staff: Male	0
Female	0

Benefits

In Kind

Conservancy Self Evaluation How well does the conservancy consider it has performed in the past year?

Effectiveness of implementation	Poor	Fair	Good	Prev. Year	Explanation of effectiveness rating
Game Management and Utilisation					
Zonation Plan					
Benefit Distribution					
Human Wildlife Conflict Management					
Sustainable Business and Financial Planning					
Tourism					
Staff Management					
Assets Management/Register					
HIV/AIDS					
Communication					