


maximising wildlife returns by minimising threats...

Conservancy status summary

Returns from natural resources in 2016

the chart shows the main sources of returns and values and their percentage of the total returns

Approximate Total Returns N\$ 2,974,290



Two of the most significant returns for the conservancy:  
 ✓ cash income to the conservancy to cover running costs and invest in developments  
 ✓ Employment to conservancy residents

Conservancy income		N\$ 2,220,830	
Employment	Private Sector	46 staff	N\$ 611,980
	Conservancy	28 staff	N\$ 545,480


Cost of natural resource conflicts in 2016

estimates are based on average national values

Estimated human wildlife conflict cost	N\$ 17,520
Estimated poached high value species loss	N\$ 0
<b>Total conflict cost estimate</b>	<b>N\$ 17,520</b>

Natural resource cost-return ratio in 2016


the chart shows the approximate ratio of returns to costs



Management performance in 2016

Category	Performance
1 Adequate staffing	Good
2 Adequate expenditure	Good
3 Audit attendance	Good
4 NR management plan	Good
5 Zonation	Good
6 Leadership	Good
7 Display of material	Good
8 Event Book modules	Good
9 Event Book quality	Good
10 Compliance	Good
11 Game census	Good
12 Reporting & adaptive m/ment	Good
13 Law enforcement	Good
14 Human Wildlife Conflict	Good
15 Harvesting management	Good
16 Sources of NR income	Good
17 Benefits produced	Good
18 Resource trends	Weak
19 Resource targets	Weak

Wildlife status summary in 2016




Key to the status barometer



Human wildlife conflict


Human wildlife conflict trend

the chart shows the total number of incidents each year, subdivided by species, grouped as herbivores and predators




Most troublesome problem animals 2014-2016

the chart shows the number of incidents per species for the last 3 years; the darkest bar (on the right) indicates the current year for each species



Type of damage by problem animals 2014-2016


the chart shows the number of incidents per category for the last 3 years; the darkest bar (on the right) indicates the current year for each type



Poaching


Number of incidents per year

Commercial poaching is a serious threat to conservancy benefits. The chart shows the number of incidents per category




Traps and firearms recovered

number of incidents per category



Arrests and convictions

number of incidents per category



Wildlife removals – quota use and value

Species	Quota 2016			Animals actually used in 2016					Potential Trophy Value N\$	Potential Other use Value N\$	
	Total	Trophy	Other Use	Trophy	Own Use & Premium	Shoot & Sell	Capture & Sale	Problem Animal			Total Use
Baboon	5	5		3					3	500	
Buffalo	16	12	4	12	3			1	17	70,000	5,500
Bushbuck	1	1		1					1	2,700	
Crocodile	1	1								25,500	
Duiker	6		6		1				1		140
Elephant*	6	4	2	4	1				6	200,000	180,000
Hippo	4	2	2	2	1				4	25,000	5,500
Impala	10	4	6	4	6				10	2,700	680
Kudu*	4	2	2	2	2				4	5,000	9,700
Leopard	1	1								35,000	
Reedbuck	1	1		1					1	2,700	
Roan*	1	1		1					1	55,000	
Sable*	1	1		1					1	55,000	
Warthog	10	6	4	6	4				10	2,200	400
B. Zebra	2	2		2					2	3,500	

Potential value estimates (N\$) for species are based on:

- Potential trophy value - the average trophy value for that species in the conservancy landscape - trophy values vary depending on trophy quality, international recognition of the hunting operator and the hunting area
- Potential other use value - the average meat value for common species - the average live sale value of each high value species (indicated with an \*) [high value species are never used for meat]

Conservancies reduce environmental costs while increasing environmental returns. Returns from wildlife can far outweigh human wildlife conflict costs.



monitoring numbers and trends for a healthy conservancy...

Current wildlife numbers and status

Species	Animals Seen	Estimate	Wildlife Status		
			Count Trend	National Guideline	Desired Number
B. Zebra			Dark Orange	Dark Orange	
Duiker	2	30	Light Green	Light Green	
Elephant			Light Green	Light Green	
Giraffe			Dark Orange	Dark Orange	
Impala			Dark Orange	Yellow	
Kudu			Dark Orange	Yellow	
Roan	2	7	Dark Green	Dark Orange	
Sable			Dark Orange	Dark Orange	
Steenbok			Dark Orange	Yellow	
Warthog			Dark Orange	Yellow	

Wildlife Status

**Count trend** – gives the species status in the conservancy based on game count trend data.


**National guideline** – gives the species status in the conservancy using national guidelines for the conservancy; for example, lions may cause local problems, but are of high value and are rare at landscape level.

**Desired number** – gives the species status in the conservancy based on what the conservancy would like to have.


**dark green** (abundant) – there should be less;  
**light green** (common) – the desired number is reached;  
**yellow** (uncommon) – there should be more;  
**light orange** (rare) – there should be more than double;  
**dark orange** (very rare) – there should be more than triple;  
**red** (extinct) – the species needs to be reintroduced.

Locally rare species


Sightings indicator □ 2014 □ 2015 □ 2016




Locally rare and endangered species are not found very often in the conservancy and need special conservation attention.




Wildlife introductions



Wildlife mortalities




Annual rainfall




Fixed route patrols

charts show the number of sightings of each species per fixed route foot patrol each year




Predator monitoring


charts show the average number of animals seen per Event Book each year status barometers reflect the general sightings trend over the last 5 years



Vegetation monitoring



Fire monitoring



Wildlife provides a wide range of benefits. Some wildlife can cause conflicts, but all wildlife is of value to tourism, trophy hunting and a healthy environment.



By using all the available information and adapting and improving activities, threats such as human wildlife conflict, poaching and other issues can be minimised.



## Enabling wise conservancy governance...

### Conservancy statistics

<b>Date Registered:</b>	December 1999
<b>Population (2011 census):</b>	1140
<b>Size (square kilometres):</b>	148

### Conservancy Governance

<b>Number of management committee members:</b>	8
<b>Date of last AGM:</b>	
<b>Attendance at AGM:</b>	Men: 23; Women: 76
<b>Date of next AGM:</b>	Sun, December 10, 2017
<b>Other important issues</b>	
Budget approved?	✓
Work plan approved?	✓

### Constitutional adherence

<b>Approved constitution</b>	✗
<b>AGM held</b>	✓
<b>Management and utilisation plan</b>	✓
<b>Financial annual report approved at AGM</b>	✓
<b>Financial report external review</b>	✗
<b>Benefit distribution plan</b>	✗



### Employment

<b>Conservancy staff: Male</b>	20
<b>Female</b>	8
<b>Community game guards:</b>	10
<b>Community resource monitors:</b>	4
<b>Lodge staff: Male</b>	0
<b>Female</b>	0

### Benefits

Cash	In Kind
	Cash Benefits
	Other Benefits
	Social Benefits

### Conservancy Self Evaluation How well does the conservancy consider it has performed in the past year?

Effectiveness of implementation	Poor	Fair	Good	Explanation of effectiveness rating
<b>Game Management and Utilisation</b>			✓	Everything was done according to plan.
<b>Zonation Plan</b>			✓	People know the plan and they are aware.
<b>Benefit Distribution</b>			✓	Benefits were distributed as per plan.
<b>Human Wildlife Conflict Management</b>		✗		HWCSRS claims not paid.
<b>Sustainable Business and Financial Planning</b>			✓	Activities implemented satisfactorily.
<b>Tourism</b>			✓	Upgrading and negotiating of JVs was done.
<b>Staff Management</b>			✓	Satisfactory execution of activities.
<b>Assets Management/Register</b>				
<b>HIV/AIDS</b>		✗		Awareness meetings not frequently undertaken.
<b>Communication</b>			✓	Information circulated on time.