


maximising wildlife returns by minimising threats...

Conservancy status summary

Returns from natural resources in 2016

the chart shows the main sources of returns and values and their percentage of the total returns

Approximate Total Returns N\$



Two of the most significant returns for the conservancy:

- ✓ cash income to the conservancy to cover running costs and invest in developments
- ✓ employment to conservancy residents

Conservancy income		N\$
Employment	Private Sector	
	Conservancy	


Cost of natural resource conflicts in 2016

estimates are based on average national values

Estimated human wildlife conflict cost	N\$ 81,240
Estimated poached high value species loss	N\$ 4,850
Total conflict cost estimate	N\$ 86,090

Natural resource cost-return ratio in 2016


the chart shows the approximate ratio of returns to costs



Management performance in 2016


Category	Performance
1 Adequate staffing	
2 Adequate expenditure	
3 Audit attendance	
4 NR management plan	
5 Zonation	
6 Leadership	
7 Display of material	
8 Event Book modules	
9 Event Book quality	
10 Compliance	
11 Game census	
12 Reporting & adaptive m/ment	
13 Law enforcement	
14 Human Wildlife Conflict	
15 Harvesting management	
16 Sources of NR income	
17 Benefits produced	
18 Resource trends	
19 Resource targets	

Wildlife status summary in 2016



Key to the status barometer


Wildlife status




Management performance & other data



Success/threat flags




Conservancies reduce environmental costs while increasing environmental returns. Returns from wildlife can far outweigh human wildlife conflict costs.



Human wildlife conflict


Human wildlife conflict trend

the chart shows the total number of incidents each year, subdivided by species, grouped as herbivores and predators




Most troublesome problem animals 2014-2016

the chart shows the number of incidents per species for the last 3 years; the darkest bar (on the right) indicates the current year for each species



Type of damage by problem animals 2014-2016


the chart shows the number of incidents per category for the last 3 years; the darkest bar (on the right) indicates the current year for each type



Poaching


Number of incidents per year

Commercial poaching is a serious threat to conservancy benefits. The chart shows the number of incidents per category




Traps and firearms recovered

number of incidents per category



Arrests and convictions

number of incidents per category



Wildlife removals – quota use and value

Species	Quota 2016			Animals actually used in 2016					Potential Trophy Value N\$	Potential Other use Value N\$	
	Total	Trophy	Other Use	Trophy	Own Use & Premium	Shoot & Sell	Capture & Sale	Problem Animal			Total Use
Baboon	5	5								700	
Caracal	2	2								2,900	
Cheetah	1	1								16,300	
Gemsbok	5	2	3							3,900	2,160
Giraffe	2	1	1							10,900	11,200
Jackal	5	5								700	
Klipspringer	1	1								6,600	
Kudu*	20	5	15							8,100	72,750
Leopard	1	1								32,400	
Springbok	50	5	45							2,900	520
Steenbok	2	2								1,600	
Mtn Zebra	15	5	10							7,400	3,320

Potential value estimates (N\$) for species are based on:

- **Potential trophy value** - the average trophy value for that species in the conservancy landscape - trophy values vary depending on trophy quality, international recognition of the hunting operator and the hunting area
- **Potential other use value** - the average meat value for common species - the average live sale value of each high value species (indicated with an *) [high value species are never used for meat]

monitoring numbers and trends for a healthy conservancy...

Current wildlife numbers and status

Species	Animals Seen 2016	Estimated population range	Wildlife Status		
			Count Trend	National Guideline	Desired Status
Elephant			Dark Orange	Yellow	
Gemsbok			Dark Orange	Green	
Giraffe	10	15 - 20	Dark Green	Yellow	
Jackal	2		Green	Green	
Klipspringer			Dark Orange	Green	
Kudu			Dark Orange	Yellow	
Mtn. zebra			Dark Orange	Green	
Ostrich	14	27 - 60	Dark Green	Green	
Springbok	159	296 - 580	Dark Green	Green	
Steenbok	1	3 - 20	Yellow	Green	

Wildlife Status


Count trend – gives the species status in the conservancy based on game count trend data.

National guideline – gives the species status in the conservancy using national guidelines for the conservancy; for example, lions may cause local problems, but are of high value and are rare at landscape level.

Desired number – gives the species status in the conservancy based on what the conservancy would like to have.


dark green (abundant) – there should be less;
light green (common) – the desired number is reached;
yellow (uncommon) – there should be more;
light orange (rare) – there should be more than double;
dark orange (very rare) – there should be more than triple;
red (extinct) – the species needs to be reintroduced.

Locally rare species




Locally rare and endangered species are not found very often in the conservancy and need special conservation attention.


Wildlife introductions



Wildlife mortalities




Annual rainfall




Annual game count

charts show the number of animals seen each year per 100 km driven during the game count status barometers reflect the general count trend over the last 5 years




Predator monitoring

charts show the average number of animals seen per Event Book each year status barometers reflect the general sightings trend over the last 5 years



Vegetation monitoring

Green vegetation index (NDVI). Maps show vegetation cover during Feb-April of the current year and the difference between the current year and the long term average (2001-2015)



Enabling wise conservancy governance...

Conservancy statistics

Date Registered:	March 2013
Population (2011 census):	1402
Size (square kilometres):	1067

Conservancy Governance

Number of management committee members:	11
Date of last AGM:	Fri, September 30, 2016
Attendance at AGM:	Men: 53; Women: 53
Date of next AGM:	Fri, September 1, 2017
Other important issues	
Budget approved?	✘
Work plan approved?	✘

Constitutional adherence

Approved constitution	✓
AGM held	✓
Management and utilisation plan	✘
Financial annual report approved at AGM	✓
Financial report external review	✘
Benefit distribution plan	✘



Employment

Conservancy staff: Male	6
Female	0
Community game guards:	6
Community resource monitors:	0
Lodge staff: Male	0
Female	0

Benefits

Cash

In Kind

Social Benefits

Conservancy Self Evaluation

 How well does the conservancy consider it has performed in the past year?

Effectiveness of implementation	Poor	Fair	Good	Explanation of effectiveness rating
Game Management and Utilisation				
Zonation Plan				
Benefit Distribution				
Human Wildlife Conflict Management				Only problem animal recording done.
Sustainable Business and Financial Planning				The conservancy is currently generating very little to have financial administration.
Tourism				Only a dream
Staff Management				All staff are voluntary staff.
Assets Management/Register				Have no assets at all.
HIV/AIDS				No budget for this.
Communication				Other means of communication is not available.