20 Annual Conservancy Status Summary & Natural Resource Report Audit Report

maximising wildlife returns by minimising threats...

Conservancy status summary

Returns from natural resources in 2016

the chart shows the main sources of returns and values and their percentage of the total returns

Approximate Total Returns N\$



Two of the most significant returns for the conservancy: ✓ cash income to the conservancy to cover running costs and invest in developments

✓ employment to conservancy residents

Conservancy	Conservancy income				
	Private Sector				
Employment	Conservancy				


Cost of natural resource conflicts in 2016

estimates are based on average national values

Estimated human wildlife conflict cost	N\$ 0
Estimated poached high value species loss	N\$ 0
Total conflict cost estimate	N\$ 0

Natural resource cost-return ratio in 2016

the chart shows the approximate ratio of returns to costs




Management performance in 2016

Category	Performance			
1 Adequate staffing				
2 Adequate expenditure				
3 Audit attendance				
4 NR management plan				
5 Zonation				
6 Leadership				
7 Display of material				
8 Event Book modules				
9 Event Book quality				
10 Compliance				
11 Game census				
12 Reporting & adaptive m/ment				
13 Law enforcement				
14 Human Wildlife Conflict				
15 Harvesting management				
16 Sources of NR income				
17 Benefits produced				
18 Resource trends				
19 Resource targets				

Human wildlife conflict


Human wildlife conflict trend

the chart shows the total number of incidents each year, subdivided by species, grouped as herbivores and predators




Most troublesome problem animals 2014-2016

the chart shows the number of incidents per species for the last 3 years; the darkest bar (on the right) indicates the current year for each species



Type of damage by problem animals 2014-2016


the chart shows the number of incidents per category for the last 3 years; the darkest bar (on the right) indicates the current year for each type




Wildlife removals - quota use and value

		Quota 201	.6	Animals actually used in 2016				Potential	Potential		
Species	Total	Trophy	Other Use	Trophy	Own Use & Premium	Shoot & Sell	Capture & Sale	Problem Animal	Total Use	Trophy Value N\$	Other use Value N\$
Baboon	5	5								200	
Cheetah	1	1								7,000	
Hyaena	1	1								5,000	
Jackal	5	5								100	
Kudu*	2	2								3,500	
Ostrich	5	2	3							800	600
Springbok	70	10	60			4			6	1,000	520
Steenbok	10	5	5							400	120

Poaching




Traps and firearms recovered number of incidents per category



Arrests and convictions number of incidents per category



Wildlife status summary in 2016



Potential value estimates (N\$) for species are based on:

- Potential trophy value the average trophy value for that species in the conservancy landscape
- trophy values vary depending on trophy quality, international recognition of the hunting operator and the hunting area
- · Potential other use value the average meat value for common species
- the average live sale value of each high value species (indicated with an *)[high value species are never used for meat]

Key to the status barometer





monitoring numbers and trends for a healthy conservancy...

Current wildlife numbers and status

Animals	Animals	Estimated	N	Wildlife Status			
Species	Seen 2016	population range	Count Trend	National Guideline	Desired Status		
Elephant							
Gemsbok							
Giraffe							
Jackal	2						
Klipspringer							
Kudu							
Mtn. zebra							
Ostrich	5	17 - 40					
Springbok	56	196 - 450					
Steenbok	7	23 - 220					

Wildlife Status


Count trend - gives the species status in the conservancy based on game count trend data.

National guideline - gives the species status in the conservancy using national guidelines for the conservancy; for example, lions may cause local problems, but are of high value and are rare at landscape level.


Desired number - gives the species status in the conservancy based on what the conservancy would like to have.

dark green (abundant) - there should be less; light green (common) - the desired number is reached; yellow (uncommon) - there should be more; light orange (rare) - there should be more than double; dark orange (very rare) - there should be more than triple; red (extinct) - the species needs to be reintroduced.

Wildlife mortalities





Locally rare species



Locally rare and endangered species are not found very often in the conservancy and need special conservation attention.

Annual rainfall






Wildlife introductions


Annual game count


charts show the number of animals seen each year per 100 km driven during the game count status barometers reflect the general count trend over the last 5 years




0 -2001 200 200 201 201 2012 2012 2014 2015 2016 2001 200 200 2010 2012 2012 2014 2015 2016 2001 200 200 2010 2012 2012 2014 2015 2016 201 208 209 202 201 202 202 202 201 201 201 pol por por pro pri pri pri pri pri pri pri

Predator monitoring charts show the average number of animals seen per Event Book each year status barometers reflect the general sightings trend over the last 5 years





Vegetation monitoring Green vegetation index (NDVI). Maps show vegetation cover during Feb-April of the current year and the difference between the current year and the long term average (2001-2015)



Ohungu **Institutional Report**

Not all institutional data are shown on this report: use your governance institution audit for more information

Enabling wise conservancy governance...

Conservancy statistics

Date Registered:	October 2006
Population (2011 census):	1150
Size (square kilometres):	1196

Conservancy Governance

Number of management committee members:	12	
Date of last AGM:	Sat, November 26, 2016	
Attendance at AGM:	Men: ; Women:	
Date of next AGM:		
Other important issues		
Budget approved?	×	
Work plan approved?	×	

Constitutional adherence

Approved constitution	*	
AGM held	*	
Management and utilisation plan	v	
Financial annual report approved at AGM	*	
Financial report external review	*	
Benefit distribution plan	v	



Employment

Conservancy staff: Male	1
Female	1
Community game guards:	1
Community resource monitors:	0
Lodge staff: Male	0
Female	0

Benefits

Cash	In Kind
	Social Benefits

Conservancy Self Evaluation How well does the conservancy consider it has performed in the past year?

Effectiveness of implementation	Poor	Fair	Good	Explanation of effectiveness rating
Game Management and Utilisation				According to GMUP.
Zonation Plan				Illegal settlers in wildlife areas sometimes hunt in settlements and cropping area.
Benefit Distribution				Not implementing what is in the benefit distribution plan.
Human Wildlife Conflict Management				MET HWCSR grant.
Sustainable Business and Financial Planning				
Tourism				
Staff Management				
Assets Management/Register				
HIV/AIDS				
Communication				