Sorris Sorris


Conservancy Status Summary & Natural Resource Report

maximising wildlife returns by minimising threats...

Conservancy status summary

Returns from natural resources in 2014 the chart shows the main sources of returns and values and their percentage of the total returns

Approximate Total Returns N\$ 759,390



Two of the most significant returns for the conservancy:

- ✓ cash income to the conservancy to cover running costs and invest in developments
- ✓ employment to conservancy residents

Conscivancy	149 307,440		
Employment	Private Sector	N\$ 190,420	
	Conservancy		N\$ 88,250


Cost of natural resource conflicts in 2014

estimates are based on average national values

Estimated poached high value species loss	N\$ 0
Total conflict cost estimate	N\$ 71,460

Natural resource cost-return ratio in 2014

the chart shows the approximate ratio of returns to costs



Natural resource returns outweigh approximate conflict costs

> **Total returns:** N\$ 759,390


Approximate conflict costs: N\$ 71,460

Approximate positive ratio 11:1


Management performance in 2015

Category	Performance			
1 Adequate staffing				
2 Adequate expenditure				
3 Audit attendance				
4 NR management plan				
5 Zonation				
6 Leadership				
7 Display of material				
8 Event Book modules				
9 Event Book quality				
10 Compliance				
11 Game census				
12 Reporting & adaptive m/ment				
13 Law enforcement				
14 Human Wildlife Conflict				
15 Harvesting management				
16 Sources of NR income				
17 Benefits produced				
18 Resource trends				
19 Resource targets				

Wildlife status summary in 2015




Human wildlife conflict




Most troublesome problem animals 2013-2015

the chart shows the number of incidents per species for the last 3 years; the darkest bar (on the right) indicates the current year for each species





Type of damage by problem animals 2013-2015

the chart shows the number of incidents per category for the last 3 years; the darkest bar (on the right) indicates the current year for each type




Poaching





Traps and firearms recovered

number of incidents per category



Arrests and convictions

number of incidents per category



Wildlife removals – quota use and value

		Quota 201	.5	Animals actually used in 2015		Animais actually used in 2015 Potentia		Potential	Potential		
Species	Total	Trophy	Other Use	Trophy	Own Use & Premium	Shoot & Sell	Capture & Sale	Problem Animal	Total Use	Trophy Value N\$	Other use Value N\$
Baboon	5	5								383	
Caracal	1	1								2,554	
Cheetah	1	1								9,450	
Gemsbok	15	5	10		9				9	4,725	2,160
Giraffe	1	1								10,854	
Hyaena	1	1								5,746	
Jackal	5	5								128	
Klipspringer	1	1								4,980	
Kudu	5	3	2		2				2	5,491	2,580
Leopard	1	1								51,080	
Ostrich	35	10	25		21	2			23	1,277	600
Springbok	50	20	30		9	1			10	2,937	520
Steenbok	4	4								1,532	
Mtn Zebra	12	6	6		6				6	5,108	3,320
Hartebeest	3	3								6,385	

Potential value estimates (N\$) for species are based on:

- Potential trophy value the average trophy value for that species in the conservancy landscape
- trophy values vary depending on trophy quality, international recognition of the hunting operator and the hunting area
- Potential other use value the average meat value for common species
 - the average live sale value of each high value species (indicated with an *)[high value species are never used for meat]

Key to the status barometer

Wildlife status very rare rare uncommon common abundant reasonable weak/bad good Management performance & other data

Success/threat flags

success/ benefit created weakness/

action needed


Conservancies reduce environmental costs while increasing environmental returns. Returns from wildlife can far outweigh human wildlife conflict costs.



Natural Resource Repo

monitoring numbers and trends for a healthy conservancy...

Current wildlife numbers and status



Wildlife Status


Count trend - gives the species status in the conservancy based on game count trend data.

National guideline - gives the species status in the conservancy using national guidelines for the conservancy; for example, lions may cause local problems, but are of high value and are rare at landscape level.

Desired number - gives the species status in the conservancy based on what the conservancy would like to have.

dark green (abundant) - there should be less; light green (common) - the desired number is reached; yellow (uncommon) - there should be more; light orange (rare) - there should be more than double; dark orange (very rare) – there should be more than triple; red (extinct) - the species needs to be reintroduced.

Locally rare species




are not found very often in the conservancy and need special conservation attention.


Wildlife introductions



Wildlife mortalities




Annual rainfall





Annual game count


charts show the number of animals seen each year per 100 km driven during the game count status barometers reflect the general count trend over the last 5 years




Predator monitoring charts show the average number of animals seen per Event Book each year status barometers reflect the general sightings trend over the last 5 years










Green vegetation index (NDVI). Maps show vegetation cover in the first 10 days of April of the



Sorris Sorris Institutional Report

Not all institutional data are shown on this report: use your governance institution audit for more information

Enabling wise conservancy governance...

Conservancy statistics

Date Registered: October 2001

Members: 4000

Size (square kilometres): 2290

Conservancy Governance

Number of management committee members:

Date of last AGM: Sat, November 21, 2015

Attendance at AGM: Men: ; Women:

Date of next AGM: Mon, February 29, 2016

Other important issues

Financial report approved?

Budget approved?

Work plan approved?

Constitutional adherence

Approved constitution


AGM held

Management and utilisation plan

Financial annual report approved at AGM

Financial report external review

Benefit distribution plan



Employment

Conservancy staff: Male	5
Female	2
Community game guards:	2
Community resource monitors:	0
Lodge staff: Male	8
Female	3

Benefits

	1	
Meat Distribution		

Conservancy Self Evaluation How well does the conservancy consider it has performed in the past year?

Effectiveness of implementation	Poor	Fair	Good	Explanation of effectiveness rating
Game Utilisation and Management Plan				The conservancy has no decent transport to execute all the planned programmes.
Zonation Plan				Conservancy is experiencing problems with one farmer who recently move into the wildlife zone.
Natural Resource Plan				This activity is not really a problem in our area.
Human Wildlife Conflict Plan				The new committee needs introduction trainings
Tourism Plan				Conservancy still to develop a tourism development plan.
Sustainable Financial Plan				There is a pending financial audit report.
Benefit Distribution Plan				The plan needs to go thought the consultative process during the year before AGM.
Staff Plan				The plan is partially implemented and other staff under paid.
Assets Plan				Still in process to update plan and recover conservancy assets.
HIV/AIDS Plan				People are aware of HIV/AIDS. Conservancy needs to establish HIV AIDS support groups.
Communication Plan				