Otuzemba

conservancy Status Summary & Natural Resource Report

maximising wildlife returns by minimising threats...

Conservancy status summary

the chart shows the main sources of returns and values and their percentage of the total returns

Returns from natural resources in 2014

Approximate Total Returns N\$



- Combined hunting returns N\$0 (%)
- Veld product returns N\$0 (%) Other returns (e.g. interest)

Two of the most significant returns for the conservancy:

- √ cash income to the conservancy to cover running costs and invest in developments
- √ employment to conservancy residents

Conservancy income				
Private Sector				
Conservancy				
	Private Sector			


Cost of natural resource conflicts in 2014

estimates are based on average national values

Estimated human wildlife conflict cost	N\$ 118,160
Estimated poached high value species loss	N\$ 7,430
Total conflict cost estimate	N\$ 125,590

Natural resource cost-return ratio in 2014


the chart shows the approximate ratio of returns to costs




Management performance in 2015

Category	Performance			
1 Adequate staffing				
2 Adequate expenditure				
3 Audit attendance				
4 NR management plan				
5 Zonation				
6 Leadership				
7 Display of material				
8 Event Book modules				
9 Event Book quality				
10 Compliance				
11 Game census				
12 Reporting & adaptive m/ment				
13 Law enforcement				
14 Human Wildlife Conflict				
15 Harvesting management				
16 Sources of NR income				
17 Benefits produced				
18 Resource trends				
19 Resource targets				

Wildlife status summary in 2015




Human wildlife conflict




Most troublesome problem animals 2013-2015

the chart shows the number of incidents per species for the last 3 years; the darkest bar (on the right) indicates the current year for each species




Type of damage by problem animals 2013-2015

the chart shows the number of incidents per category for the last 3 years; the darkest bar (on the right) indicates the current year for each type




Poaching



Traps and firearms recovered

2001 200 2001 2010 2011 2013 2014 2015

number of incidents per category



Arrests and convictions

number of incidents per category




Wildlife removals – quota use and value

	Quota 2015				Animals actually used in 2015						Potential
Species	Total	Trophy	Other Use	Trophy	Own Use & Premium	Shoot & Sell	Capture & Sale	Problem Animal	Total Use	Potential Trophy Value N\$	Other use Value N\$
Baboon	5	5								383	
Cheetah	1	1								9,450	
Duiker	2	2		1					1	1,916	
Elephant*	1	1		1					1	204,320	
Hyaena	1	1								5,746	
B-f Impala	2	2		2					2	8,939	
Jackal	5	5								128	
Klipspringer	2	2								4,980	
Kudu	10	3	7		3				3	5,491	2,580
Leopard	1	1								51,080	
Ostrich	6	3	3							1,277	600
Steenbok	5	5								1,532	
Mtn Zebra	10	2	8		4				4	5,108	3,320


Potential value estimates (N\$) for species are based on:

- Potential trophy value the average trophy value for that species in the conservancy landscape
- trophy values vary depending on trophy quality, international recognition of the hunting operator and the hunting area
- Potential other use value the average meat value for common species
- the average live sale value of each high value species (indicated with an *)[high value species are never used for meat]

Key to the status barometer



Success/threat flags



action needed

Conservancies reduce environmental costs while increasing environmental returns. Returns from wildlife can far outweigh human wildlife conflict costs.




Not all data or species

use your Event Book for more information

monitoring numbers and trends for a healthy conservancy...

Current wildlife numbers and status



Wildlife Status


Count trend - gives the species status in the conservancy based on game count trend data.

National guideline - gives the species status in the conservancy using national guidelines for the conservancy; for example, lions may cause local problems, but are of high value and are rare at landscape level.

Desired number - gives the species status in the conservancy based on what the conservancy would like to have.


dark green (abundant) - there should be less; light green (common) - the desired number is reached; yellow (uncommon) - there should be more; light orange (rare) - there should be more than double; dark orange (very rare) – there should be more than triple; red (extinct) - the species needs to be reintroduced.

Locally rare species




Locally rare and endangered species are not found very often in the conservancy and need special conservation attention.


Wildlife introductions



Wildlife mortalities




Annual rainfall




Annual game count

charts show the number of animals seen each year per 100 km driven during the game count status barometers reflect the general count trend over the last 5 years




Predator monitoring charts show the average number of animals seen per Event Book each year status barometers reflect the general ciabilities to the second ciabilities to the



Vegetation monitoring

Green vegetation index (NDVI). Maps show vegetation cover in the first 10 days of April of the current year and the difference between the current year and the 10 year average (2001-2010)



Otuzemba Institutional Report

Not all institutional data are shown on this report: use your governance institution audit for more information

Enabling wise conservancy governance...

Conservancy statistics

Date Registered: February 2012

Members: 250

Size (square kilometres): 742

Conservancy Governance

Number of management committee members:	10
Date of last AGM:	
Attendance at AGM:	Men: 0; Women: 0
Date of next AGM:	Tue, February 16, 2016
Other important issues	
Financial report approved?	×
Budget approved?	×
Work plan approved?	×

Constitutional adherence

Approved constitution	4	
AGM held	×	
Management and utilisation plan	×	
Financial annual report approved at AGM	×	
Financial report external review	4	
Benefit distribution plan	×	



Employment

Conservancy staff: Male	0
Female	0
Community game guards:	5
Community resource monitors:	0
Lodge staff: Male	0
Female	0

Benefits

Meat Distribution	

Conservancy Self Evaluation How well does the conservancy consider it has performed in the past year?

Effectiveness of implementation	Poor	Fair	Good	Explanation of effectiveness rating
Game Utilisation and Management Plan				The plan was not implemented.
Zonation Plan				Zonation plan not developed.
Natural Resource Plan				The plan is not developed.
Human Wildlife Conflict Plan				The plan is not developed.
Tourism Plan				The plan is not developed.
Sustainable Financial Plan				The plan is not developed.
Benefit Distribution Plan				The plan is not developed.
Staff Plan				The plan is not developed.
Assets Plan				The plan is not developed.
HIV/AIDS Plan				The plan is not developed.
Communication Plan				The plan is not developed.