## maximising wildlife returns by minimising threats...

## Conservancy status summary

## Returns from natural resources in 2014

the chart shows the main sources of returns and values and their percentage of the total returns

Approximate Total Returns N\$


Two of the most significant returns for the conservancy: $\checkmark$ cash income to the conservancy to cover running costs and invest in developments
$\checkmark$ employment to conservancy residents


Cost of natural resource conflicts in 2014 estimates are based on average national values



Wildlife status summary in 2015

## Human wildlife conflict

## Human wildlife conflict trend

the chart shows the total number of incidents each year,


Most troublesome problem animals 2013-2015
the chart shows the number of incidents per species for the last 3 years; the darkest bar (on the right) indicates the current year for each species


Type of damage by problem animals 2013-2015
the chart shows the number of incidents per category for the last 3 years the darkest bar (on the right) indicates the current year for each type


## Poaching

Number of incidents per year Commercial poaching is a serious threat to conservancy benefits. The chart shows the number of incidents per category


Traps and firearms recovered number of incidents per category


## Arrests and convictions

number of incidents per category
$\square$ Arrests
. Convictions



Wildlife removals - quota use and value


Potential value estimates ( $\mathrm{N} \$$ ) for species are based on:

- Potential trophy value - the average trophy value for that species in the conservancy landscape
- trophy values vary depending on trophy quality, international recognition of the hunting operator and the hunting area

Potential other use value - the average meat value for common species

- the average live sale value of each high value species (indicated with an *)[high value species are never used for meat]


## Key to the status barometer



## monitoring numbers and trends for a healthy conservancy...

## Current wildlife numbers and status

| Species | $\begin{array}{c}\text { Animals } \\ \text { Seen } \\ \text { 2015 }\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{c}\text { Estimated } \\ \text { population } \\ \text { range }\end{array}$ | Wildlife Status |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  |  |  |  | $\begin{array}{c}\text { Count } \\ \text { Trend }\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{l}\text { National } \\ \text { Guideline }\end{array}$ |
| Duiker |  |  |  |  |  |
| Sesired |  |  |  |  |  |
| Status |  |  |  |  |  |$]$

## Locally rare species

Wildlife Status
Count trend - gives the species status in the conservancy based on game count trend data. National guideline - gives the species status in the conservancy using national guidelines for the conservancy for example, lions may cause local problems, but are of high value and are rare at landscape level.
Desired number - gives the species status in the conservancy based on what the conservancy would like to have.
dark green (abundant) - there should be less; light green (common) - the desired number is reached; yellow (uncommon) - there should be more; light orange (rare) - there should be more than double; dark orange (very rare) - there should be more than triple; red (extinct) - the species needs to be reintroduced.


## Wildlife introductions



Wildlife mortalities


Annual rainfall


## Annual game count currenty not done



Predator monitoring $\begin{aligned} & \text { charts show the average number of a aimals seen per Fvert Book each year } \\ & \text { status baromeers }\end{aligned}$


## Enabling wise conservancy governance...

## Conservancy statistics

|  |  |
| :--- | ---: |
| Date Registered: | September 2005 |
| Members: | 120 |
| Size (square kilometres): | 6133 |

## Conservancy Governance

| Number of management committee <br> members: |  |
| :--- | ---: |
| Date of last AGM: | Thu, October 29, 2015 |
| Attendance at AGM: | Men: 65; Women: 50 |
| Date of next AGM: | Thu, November 24, 2016 |
| Other important issues |  |
| Financial report approved? |  |
| Budget approved? |  |

## Employment

| Conservancy staff: Male |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| Female | 9 |
| Community game guards: | 9 |
| Community resource monitors: | 0 |
| Lodge staff: Male | 0 |
| Female | 0 |

## Constitutional adherence

Approved constitution
AGM held
Management and utilisation plan
Financial annual report approved at AGM
Financial report external review
Benefit distribution plan $\$$


## Benefits



Conservancy Self Evaluation How well does the conservancy consider it has performed in the past year?

| Effectiveness of implementation | Poor | Fair | Good | Explanation of effectiveness rating |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Game Utilisation and Management Plan |  |  |  |  |
| Zonation Plan |  |  |  |  |
| Natural Resource Plan |  |  |  |  |
| Human Wildlife Conflict Plan |  |  |  |  |
| Tourism Plan |  |  |  |  |
| Sustainable Financial Plan |  |  |  | It is in a 32 day account. |
| Benefit Distribution Plan |  |  |  | It was agreed at the AGM. |
| Staff Plan |  |  |  |  |
| Assets Plan |  |  |  |  |
| HIV/AIDS Plan |  |  |  |  |
| Communication Plan |  |  |  |  |

