Ongongo
Status Summary & Natural Resource Report

maximising wildlife returns by minimising threats...

Conservancy status summary

Returns from natural resources in 2014
the chart shows the main sources of returns and values and their percentage of the total returns

Approximate Total Returns N$

No data available

Combined tourism returns N$ 0 (%)

Combined hunting returns N$ 0 (%)

Veld product returns N$ 0 (%)

Other returns (e.g. interest) N$ 0 (%)

Two of the most significant returns for the conservancy:
✓ cash income to the conservancy to cover running costs and invest in developments
✓ employment to conservancy residents

| Conservancy income		N$
Employment	Private Sector	
	Conservancy	

Cost of natural resource conflicts in 2014
estimates are based on average national values

| Estimated human wildlife conflict cost	N$ 242,730
Estimated poached high value species loss	N$ 0
Total conflict cost estimate	N$ 242,730

Natural resource cost–return ratio in 2014
the chart shows the approximate ratio of returns to costs

Costs — Returns

Returns data not available at time of printing

Management performance in 2015

Category	Performance
1 Adequate staffing	
2 Adequate expenditure	
3 Audit attendance	
4 NR management plan	
5 Zonation	
6 Leadership	
7 Display of material	
8 Event Book modules	
9 Event Book quality	
10 Compliance	
11 Game census	
12 Reporting & adaptive m/ment	
13 Law enforcement	
14 Human Wildlife Conflict	
15 Harvesting management	
16 Sources of NR income	
17 Benefits produced	
18 Resource trends	
19 Resource targets	

Wildlife status summary in 2015

Elephant, Gemsbok, Giraffe, Jackal, Klipspringer, Kudu, Mtn. zebra, Ostrich, Springbok, Steenbok

Human wildlife conflict

Human wildlife conflict trend
the chart shows the total number of incidents each year, subdivided by species, grouped as herbivores and predators

Jackal, Hyena, Cheetah
Leopard, Lion, Other Predators
Elephant, Other Herbivores

(2006, 2007, 2008, 2009, 2010, 2011, 2012, 2013, 2014, 2015)

Most troublesome problem animals 2013-2015
the chart shows the number of incidents per species for the last 3 years; the darkest bar (on the right) indicates the current year for each species

The most troublesome species in 2015 are on the left

The least troublesome species in 2015 are on the right

Cheetah, Hyaena, Jackal, Leopard, Caracal, Elephant

Type of damage by problem animals 2013-2015
the chart shows the number of incidents per category for the last 3 years; the darkest bar (on the right) indicates the current year for each type

Livestock attack, Other damage, Crop damage, Human attack

Poaching

Number of incidents per year
Commercial poaching is a serious threat to conservancy benefits. The chart shows the number of incidents per category

Subsistence
Commercial
High Value

(2007, 2008, 2009, 2010, 2011, 2012, 2013, 2014, 2015)

Traps and firearms recovered
number of incidents per category

Firearms recovered
Traps/snares recovered

(2007, 2008, 2009, 2010, 2011, 2012, 2013, 2014, 2015)

Arrests and convictions
number of incidents per category

Arrests
Convictions

(2007, 2008, 2009, 2010, 2011, 2012, 2013, 2014, 2015)

Wildlife removals – quota use and value

Species	Quota 2015			Animals actually used in 2015						Potential Trophy Value N$	Potential Other use Value N$
	Total	Trophy	Other Use	Trophy	Own Use & Premium	Shoot & Sell	Capture & Sale	Problem Animal	Total Use		
Baboon	5	5								383	
Caracal	1	1								2,554	
Cheetah	1	1								9,450	
Duiker	1	1								1,916	
Jackal	5	5								128	
Klipspringer	1	1								4,980	
Kudu	2	2								5,491	
Ostrich	6	2	4							1,277	600
Springbok	12	4	8		3				3	2,937	520
Steenbok	1	1								1,532	
Mtn Zebra	12	4	8							5,108	3,320

Potential value estimates (N$) for species are based on:
- **Potential trophy value** - the average trophy value for that species in the conservancy landscape
  - trophy values vary depending on trophy quality, international recognition of the hunting operator and the hunting area
- **Potential other use value** - the average meat value for common species
  - the average live sale value of each high value species (indicated with an *)[high value species are never used for meat]

Key to the status barometer

Wildlife status
extinct, very rare, rare, uncommon, common, abundant

weak/bad, reasonable, good

Management performance & other data

Success/threat flags
success/ benefit created

weakness/ action needed

Conservancies reduce environmental costs while increasing environmental returns. Returns from wildlife can far outweigh human wildlife conflict costs.

monitoring numbers and trends for a healthy conservancy…

Current wildlife numbers and status

Species	Animals Seen 2015	Estimated population range	Wildlife Status		
			Count Trend	National Guideline	Desired Status
Elephant					
Gemsbok					
Giraffe					
Jackal					
Klipspringer					
Kudu					
Mtn. zebra					
Ostrich	31	116 - 220			
Springbok	20	75 - 170			
Steenbok					

Wildlife Status

Count trend – gives the species status in the conservancy based on game count trend data.

National guideline – gives the species status in the conservancy using national guidelines for the conservancy; for example, lions may cause local problems, but are of high value and are rare at landscape level.

Desired number – gives the species status in the conservancy based on what the conservancy would like to have.

dark green (abundant) – there should be less;
light green (common) – the desired number is reached;
yellow (uncommon) – there should be more;
light orange (rare) – there should be more than double;
dark orange (very rare) – there should be more than triple;
**red** (extinct) – the species needs to be reintroduced.

Locally rare species

Sightings indicator

☐ 2013   ☐ 2014   ☐ 2015

B-f Impala   Elephant

Locally rare and endangered species are not found very often in the conservancy and need special conservation attention.

Wildlife introductions

Number of Animals

Wildlife mortalities

Number of Animals

☐ Mtn Zebra   ☐ Ostrich
☐ Springbok   ☐ Other

2006 2007 2008 2009 2010 2011 2012 2013 2014 2015

Annual rainfall

in millimetres

2007 2008 2009 2010 2011 2012 2013 2014 2015

Years with no rain show gaps in data collection

Annual game count

charts show the number of animals seen each year per 100 km driven during the game count
status barometers reflect the general count trend over the last 5 years

Gemsbok

Springbok

Elephant

Giraffe

Ostrich

Mtn. Zebra

**Kudu**

Steenbok

Klipspringer

Jackal

Predator monitoring

charts show the average number of animals seen per Event Book each year
status barometers reflect the general sightings trend over the last 5 years

Hyaena

Cheetah

Leopard

Lion

Vegetation monitoring

Green vegetation index (NDVI). Maps show vegetation cover in the first 10 days of April of the current year and the difference between the current year and the 10 year average (2001-2010)

Vegetation Index

Dense

Moderate

Sparse

NDVI (Apr 1-10) 2015

Ongongo

Otjiu-West

(NDVI Apr 1-10, 2015) - (LT Average Apr 1-10)

Ongongo

Otjiu-West

Vegetation Difference

Positive

No Difference

Negative

By using all the available information and adapting and improving activities, threats such as human wildlife conflict, poaching and other issues can be minimised.

Ongongo
Institutional Report

Enabling wise conservancy governance…

Conservancy statistics

Date Registered:	February 2012
Members:	248
Size (square kilometres):	501

Conservancy Governance

Number of management committee members:	11
Date of last AGM:	Sat, August 1, 2015
Attendance at AGM:	Men: ; Women:
Date of next AGM:	Wed, July 27, 2016

Other important issues

Financial report approved?	✔
Budget approved?	✖
Work plan approved?	✔

Constitutional adherence

Approved constitution	✔
AGM held	✔
Management and utilisation plan	✔
Financial annual report approved at AGM	✔
Financial report external review	✖
Benefit distribution plan	✔

Employment

Conservancy staff: Male	5
Female	0
Community game guards:	5
Community resource monitors:	0
Lodge staff: Male	0
Female	0

Benefits

Meat Distribution
Meat Distribution
Meat Distribution

Conservancy Self Evaluation How well does the conservancy consider it has performed in the past year?

Effectiveness of implementation	Poor	Fair	Good	Explanation of effectiveness rating
Game Utilisation and Management Plan				
Zonation Plan				
Natural Resource Plan				
Human Wildlife Conflict Plan				
Tourism Plan				
Sustainable Financial Plan				
Benefit Distribution Plan				
Staff Plan				
Assets Plan				
HIV/AIDS Plan				
Communication Plan				