

Environmental Assessment Scoping Report for:

October 2022

*Subdivision and Rezoning of
Farm Neuweiler No. 525,
Windhoek, Khomas Region*

221024000160

Prepared for: Fabienne Metzger,
PO Box 90848, Windhoek
Contact Person: Fabienne Metzger,

Prepared by: Stubenrauch Planning Consultants
P.O. Box 41404, Windhoek
Contact Person: Bronwynn Basson
Contact Number: +264 (61) 25 11 89
Fax Number: +264 (61) 25 11 89
Email: bronwynn@spc.com.na



PROJECT DETAILS

Title	Environmental Scoping Report for the: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Subdivision and Rezoning of Farm Neuweiler No. 525 		
Report Status	Final		
SPC Reference	W/08034		
Proponent	Fabienne Metzger, PO Box 90848, Windhoek Contact Person: Fabienne Metzger		
Environmental Assessment Practitioner	Stubenrauch Planning Consultants P.O. Box 41404, Windhoek Contact Person: Bronwynn Basson Contact Number: +264 (61) 25 11 89 Fax Number: +264 (61) 25 11 89 Email: bronwynn@spc.com.na		
Report date	October 2022		
	Name	Signature	Date
Author	Michael Cloete		October 2022
Reviewer	Magnus van Rooyen		October 2022

LEGAL NOTICE

This report or any portion thereof and any associated documentation remain the property of SPC until the mandator effects payment of all fees and disbursements due to SPC in terms of the SPC Conditions of Contract and Project Acceptance Form. Notwithstanding the aforesaid, any reproduction, duplication, copying, adaptation, editing, change, disclosure, publication, distribution, incorporation, modification, lending, transfer, sending, delivering, serving or broadcasting must be authorised in writing by SPC.

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

Introduction

Fabienne Metzger, hereinafter referred to as the proponent intends to undertake the following activities:

- **Subdivision of Farm Neuweiler No. 525 into Portions A to G and Remainder, Windhoek;**
- **Registration of A 20m wide Right of Way Servitude over the Remainder of Farm Neuweiler No. 525 in favour of Portions A to G of Farm Neuweiler No. 525;**
- **Rezoning of Portions, A to G of Farm Neuweiler No. 525, Windhoek from “Agriculture” to “Single Residential”.**

The above development triggers listed activities in terms of the Environmental Management Act (No. 7 of 2007) and Environmental Impact Assessment Regulations (Government Notice No. 30 of 2012).

As such the proponent appointed Stubenrauch Planning Consultants (SPC) to undertake an independent Environmental Assessment (EA) in order to obtain an Environmental Clearance Certificate (ECC) for the above activities. The competent authority is the Ministry of Environment, Forestry and Tourism: Department of Environmental Affairs and Forestry (MEFT: DEAF).

Project Description

Farm Neuweiler is located between Windhoek and the Hosea Kutako International Airport along the B6 Main Road. Farm Neuweiler No. 525 currently has several residential properties and a warehouse erected on it. The residential properties are being subdivided from Farm Neuweiler and will then be sold to the various occupants of the dwelling units. The rest of the extent of the Farm is vacant and undeveloped. The proponent intends to subdivide Farm Neuweiler No. 525 into seven portions and the Remainder, in order to sell the portions to the current residents of the dwelling units on the Farm. Portion A to G will be rezoned to Single Residential purposes in order to sell the portions to the current residents of the dwelling units on the Farm. The remaining extent of Farm Neuweiler No. 525 will remain zoned for Agricultural Purposes.

The following statutory steps need to be undertaken as part of the intended development:

- **Subdivision of Farm Neuweiler No. 525 into Portions A to G and Remainder, Windhoek;**
- **Registration of a 20m wide Right of Way Servitude over the Remainder of Farm Neuweiler No. 525 in favour of Portions A to G of Farm Neuweiler No. 525;**
- **Rezoning of Portions A to G of Farm Neuweiler No. 525, Windhoek from “Agriculture” to “Single Residential”.**

Public Participation

Communication with Interested and Affected Parties (I&APs) about the proposed development was facilitated through the following means and in this order:

- A Background Information Document (BID) containing descriptive information about the proposed activities was compiled and sent out to all identified and registered I&APs via email on **23 August 2022**;
- Notices were placed in the Namibian, and the New Era newspapers dated **23 August 2022 and 30 August 2022**, briefly explaining the activity and its locality, inviting members of the public to register as I&APs (**Appendix B**); and
- A notice was fixed at the project site (see **Appendix A**).

Public consultation was carried out according to the Environmental Management Act's EIA Regulations. After the initial notification, the I&APs were given two weeks to submit their comments on the project (until **13 September 2022**).

The Draft Scoping Report was circulated from **28 September 2022 until the 10 October 2022** so that the public could review and comment on it. No comments were received during the above comment period. The comment period will remain open until the final scoping report is submitted to MEFT.

Conclusions and Recommendations

With reference to **Table 8**, none of the negative construction phase impacts were deemed to have a high significant impact on the environment. The construction impacts were assessed to a **Medium to Low (negative)** significance, without mitigation measures. With the implementation of the recommended mitigation measures in Chapter 7 as well as in the EMP, the significance of the construction phase impacts is likely to be reduced to a **Low (negative)**.

With reference to **Table 8**, none of the negative operational phase impacts were deemed to have a high significance impact on the environment. The operational impacts were assessed to a **Medium (negative)** significance, without mitigation measures. With the implementation of the recommended mitigation measures in Chapter 7 as well as in the EMP, the significance of the construction phase impacts is likely to be reduced to a **Low (negative)**.

It is recommended that this project be authorised because should the development not proceed the portion will remain mostly undeveloped and the proposed portions would not have access. None of the positive or negative impacts from the proposed development would be realized.

The “no go” alternative was thus deemed to have a High (negative) impact, as all the benefits resulting from the development would not be realised.

The significance of negative impacts can be reduced with effective and appropriate mitigation provided in this report and the EMP. If authorised, the implementation of the EMP should be included as a condition of approval.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

1	INTRODUCTION	1
1.1	PROJECT BACKGROUND	1
1.2	PROJECT LOCATION	2
1.3	TERMS OF REFERENCE AND SCOPE OF PROJECT	4
1.4	ASSUMPTIONS AND LIMITATIONS	4
1.5	CONTENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL ASSESSMENT REPORT	4
2	LEGAL FRAMEWORK	7
2.1	LEGISLATION RELEVANT TO THE PROPOSED DEVELOPMENT	7
3	ENVIRONMENTAL BASELINE DESCRIPTION	14
3.1	SOCIAL ENVIRONMENT	14
3.1.1	Socio-Economic Context	14
4	PROJECT DESCRIPTION	18
4.1	PROJECT COMPONENTS	18
4.2	ALTERNATIVES	18
4.2.1	No – Go Alternative	18
4.3	THE PROPOSED DEVELOPMENT	18
4.4	ENGINEERING SERVICES AND ACCESS PROVISION	21
4.4.1	Water	21
4.4.2	Power supply	21
4.4.3	Sewage	22
4.4.4	Access	22
5	PUBLIC PARTICIPATION PROCESS	23
5.1	PUBLIC PARTICIPATION REQUIREMENTS	23
5.1.1	Environmental Assessment Phase 2	23
6	ASSESSMENT METHODOLOGY	24
6.1	MITIGATION MEASURES	26
7	ASSESSMENT OF POTENTIAL IMPACTS AND POSSIBLE MITIGATION MEASURES	28
7.1	INTRODUCTION	28
7.1	PLANNING AND DESIGN PHASE IMPACTS	28
7.1.1	Flora and Fauna (Biodiversity)	28
7.2	CONSTRUCTION PHASE IMPACTS ON THE BIOPHYSICAL ENVIRONMENT	28
7.2.1	Flora and Fauna Impacts (Biodiversity)	29
7.2.2	Surface and Ground Water Impacts	29
7.2.3	Soil Erosion Impacts	29
7.3	CONSTRUCTION PHASE IMPACTS ON THE SOCIO-ECONOMIC ENVIRONMENT	29
7.3.1	Heritage impacts	29

7.3.2	Health, Safety and Security Impacts	29
7.3.3	Traffic Impacts.....	30
7.3.4	Noise Impacts.....	30
7.3.5	Dust and Emission Impacts.....	30
7.3.6	Municipal Services	30
7.3.7	Storage and Utilisation of Hazardous Substances.....	30
7.4	OPERATIONAL PHASE IMPACTS.....	31
7.4.1	Impacts on the surrounding area	31
7.4.2	Traffic Impacts.....	31
7.4.3	Dust Impacts	31
7.5	CUMULATIVE IMPACTS	31
7.1	ENVIRONMENTAL MANAGEMENT PLAN.....	31
7.2	SUMMARY OF POTENTIAL IMPACTS	32
8	CONCLUSION.....	42
8.1	CONSTRUCTION PHASE IMPACTS	42
8.2	OPERATIONAL PHASE	42
8.3	LEVEL OF CONFIDENCE IN ASSESSMENT	42
8.4	MITIGATION MEASURES	42
8.5	OPINION WITH RESPECT TO THE ENVIRONMENTAL AUTHORISATION	43
8.6	WAY FORWARD	43
9	REFERENCES.....	44

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 1: Locality of Farm Neuweiler No. 525	3
Figure 2: EIA flow Diagram	13
Figure 3: Annual average temperature (Acacia Project E1, n.d.).....	15
Figure 4: Average annual Rainfall (Acacia Project E1, n.d.)	16
Figure 5: Geology of Namibia (Acacia Project E1, n.d.).....	16
Figure 6: Groundwater basins and hydrogeological regions in Namibia	17
Figure 7: Aerial Image of the Subdivision of Farm Neuweiler No 525	20
Figure 9: Mitigation Hierarchy	26

LIST OF TABLES

Table 1: List of triggered activities identified in the EIA Regulations which apply to the proposed project	1
Table 2: Contents of the Scoping / Environmental Assessment Report	4
Table 3: Legislation applicable to the proposed development.....	7
Table 4: Statistics of the Windhoek Rural Constituency and Khomas Region	14
Table 5: Subdivision of Farm Neuweiler No.525.....	21
Table 6: Rezoning of Farm Neuweiler No. 525	21
Table 7: Table of Public Participation Activities.....	23
Table 8: Impact Assessment Criteria	24
Table 9: Summary of the significance of the potential impacts	33
Table 10: Proposed mitigation measures for the planning and design phase.....	37
Table 11: Proposed mitigation measures for the construction phase.....	37
Table 12: Proposed mitigation measures for the operational phase	41

LIST OF ANNEXURES

Annexure A:	Proof of Site Notice
Annexure B:	Proof of Advertisements
Annexure C:	Public Participation process I&AP Database & Registered List Notification Emails sent of BID Notification Emails sent of DESR Comments Received (if any)
Annexure D:	Curriculum Vitae of Environmental Assessment Practitioner
Annexure E:	Environmental Management Plan

LIST OF ACRONYMS

AIDS	Acquired Immune Deficiency Syndrome
CRR	Comments and response report
dB	Decibels
DESR	Draft Environmental Scoping Report
EA	Environmental Assessment
EAP	Environmental Assessment Practitioner
EAR	Environmental Assessment Report
ECC	Environmental Clearance Certificate
ECO	Environmental Control Officer
EIA	Environmental Impact Assessment
EMA	Environmental Management Act
EMP	Environmental Management Plan
FESR	Final Environmental Scoping Report
GTZ	Gesellschaft für Technische Zusammenarbeit
HIV	Human Immunodeficiency Virus
I&AP	Interested and Affected Party
IBA	Important Bird Area
IUCN	International Union for Conservation of Nature
MEFT	Ministry of Environment, Forestry and Tourism
MEFT: DEAF	Ministry of Environment, Forestry and Tourism: Department of Environmental Affairs and Forestry
MURD	Ministry of Urban and Rural Development
MWTC	Ministry of Works Transport and Communication
NAMPAB	Namibia Planning Advisory Board
NPC	Namibia Planning Commission
PPP	Public Participation Process
SADC	Southern African Development Community
SPC	Stubenrauch Planning Consultants
USAID	United States Agency for International Development
VMMC	Voluntary Medical Male Circumcision

1 INTRODUCTION

1.1 PROJECT BACKGROUND

Fabienne Metzger, hereinafter referred to as the proponent intends to undertake the following activities:

- **Subdivision of Farm Neuweiler No. 525 into Portions A to G and Remainder, Windhoek;**
- **Registration of a 20m wide Right of Way Servitude over the Remainder of Farm Neuweiler No. 525 in favour of Portions A to G of Farm Neuweiler No. 525;**
- **Rezoning of Portions A to G of Farm Neuweiler No. 525, Windhoek from “Agriculture” to “Single Residential”.**

The above development triggers listed activities in terms of the Environmental Management Act (No. 7 of 2007) and Environmental Impact Assessment Regulations (Government Notice No. 30 of 2012).

In terms of the Environmental Management Act (No. 7 of 2007) and Environmental Impact Assessment Regulations (Government Notice No. 30 of 2012), the following listed activities in **Table 1** were triggered by the proposed project:

Table 1: List of triggered activities identified in the EIA Regulations which apply to the proposed project

Activity description and No(s):	Description of relevant activity	The portion of the development as per the project description that relates to the applicable listed activity
Activity 10.1 (b) Infrastructure	The construction of Public roads	The proposed project includes the construction of a public road.
Activity 10.2 (a) Infrastructure	The route determination of roads and design of associated physical infrastructure where – it is a public road	The proposed project includes the construction of a public road.

The above activities will be discussed in more detail in Chapter 4. The proponent appointed Stubenrauch Planning Consultants (SPC) to undertake an independent Environmental Assessment (EA) in order to obtain an Environmental Clearance Certificate (ECC) for the above activities. The competent authority is the Ministry of Environment, Forestry and Tourism: Department of Environmental Affairs (MEFT: DEAF).

The process will be undertaken in terms of the gazetted Namibian Government Notice No. 30 Environmental Impact Assessment Regulations (herein referred to as EIA Regulations) and the Environmental Management Act (No 7 of 2007) (herein referred to as the EMA). The EIA process will investigate if there are any potential significant bio-physical and socio-economic impacts associated with the intended activities. The EIA process would also serve to provide an opportunity for the public and key stakeholders to provide comments and participate in the process.

1.2 PROJECT LOCATION

Farm Neuweiler is located between Windhoek and the Hosea Kutako International Airport along the B6 Main Road as depicted in **Figure 1** below. Farm Neuweiler No. 525 currently has several residential properties and a warehouse erected on it. The residential properties are being subdivided from Farm Neuweiler and will then be sold to the various occupants of the dwelling units. The rest of the extent of the Farm is vacant and undeveloped.




Figure 1: Locality of Farm Neuweiler No. 525

1.3 TERMS OF REFERENCE AND SCOPE OF PROJECT

The scope of this project is limited to conducting an environmental impact assessment and applying for an Environmental Clearance Certificate for the following as indicated in section 1.1 above:

- **Subdivision of Farm Neuweiler No. 525 into Portions A to G and Remainder, Windhoek;**
- **Registration of a 20m wide Right of Way Servitude over the Remainder of Farm Neuweiler No. 525 in favour of Portions A to G of Farm Neuweiler No. 525;**
- **Rezoning of Portions A to G of Farm Neuweiler No. 525, Windhoek from “Agriculture” to “Single Residential”.**

1.4 ASSUMPTIONS AND LIMITATIONS

In undertaking this investigation and compiling the Environmental Scoping Report, the following assumptions and limitations apply:

- Assumes the information provided by the proponent is accurate and discloses all information available.
- The limitation that no alternative except for the preferred layout plans and the ‘no-go’ option was considered during this assessment. The unique character and appeal of the Farm Neuweiler area were however taken into consideration with the design perspective.

1.5 CONTENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL ASSESSMENT REPORT

Section 8 of the gazetted EIA Regulations requires specific content to be addressed in a Scoping / Environmental Assessment Report. **Table 2** below is an extract from the EMA and highlights the required contents of a Scoping / Environmental Assessment Report whilst assisting the reader to find the relevant section in the report.

Table 2: Contents of the Scoping / Environmental Assessment Report

Section	Description	Section of FESR/ Annexure
8 (a)	The curriculum vitae of the EAPs who prepared the report;	Refer to Annexure D
8 (b)	A description of the proposed activity;	Refer to Chapter 4
8 (c)	A description of the site on which the activity is to be undertaken and the location of the activity on the site;	Refer to Chapter 3
8 (d)	A description of the environment that may be affected by the proposed activity and the manner in which the geographical, physical, biological, social, economic and cultural	Refer to Chapter 3

Section	Description	Section of FESR/ Annexure
	aspects of the environment may be affected by the proposed listed activity;	
8 (e)	An identification of laws and guidelines that have been considered in the preparation of the scoping report;	Refer to Chapter 2
8 (f)	Details of the public consultation process conducted in terms of regulation 7(1) in connection with the application, including	Refer to Chapter 5
	(i) the steps that were taken to notify potentially interested and affected parties of the proposed application	Refer to Chapter 5
	(ii) proof that notice boards, advertisements and notices notifying potentially interested and affected parties of the proposed application have been displayed, placed or given;	Refer to Annexures A and B for site notices and advertisements respectively.
	(iii) a list of all persons, organisations and organs of state that were registered in terms of regulation 22 as interested and affected parties in relation to the application;	Refer to Annexure C
	(iv) a summary of the issues raised by interested and affected parties, the date of receipt of and the response of the EAP to those issues;	Refer to Annexure C
8 (g)	A description of the need and desirability of the proposed listed activity and any identified alternatives to the proposed activity that are feasible and reasonable, including the advantages and disadvantages that the proposed activity or alternatives have on the environment and on the community that may be affected by the activity;	Refer to Chapter 4
8 (h)	A description and assessment of the significance of any significant effects, including cumulative effects, that may occur	Refer to Chapter 7

Section	Description	Section of FESR/ Annexure
	as a result of the undertaking of the activity or identified alternatives or as a result of any construction, erection or decommissioning associated with the undertaking of the proposed listed activity;	
8 (i)	terms of reference for the detailed assessment;	NB – Assessment of impacts are included in this EA Report
8 (j)	An environmental management plan	Refer to Annexure E

2 LEGAL FRAMEWORK

2.1 LEGISLATION RELEVANT TO THE PROPOSED DEVELOPMENT

There are multiple legal instruments that regulate and have a bearing on good environmental management in Namibia. **Table 3** below provides a summary of the legal instruments considered to be relevant to this development and the environmental assessment process.

Table 3: Legislation applicable to the proposed development

LEGISLATION/POLICIES	RELEVANT PROVISIONS	RELEVANCE TO PROJECT
The Constitution of the Republic of Namibia as Amended	Article 91 (c) provides for duty to guard against “the degradation and destruction of ecosystems and failure to protect the beauty and character of Namibia.” Article 95(l) deals with the “maintenance of ecosystems, essential ecological processes and biological diversity” and sustainable use of the country’s natural resources.	Sustainable development should be at the forefront of this development.
Environmental Management Act No. 7 of 2007 (EMA)	Section 2 outlines the objective of the Act and the means to achieve that. Section 3 details the principle of Environmental Management	The development should be informed by the EMA.
EIA Regulations GN 28, 29, and 30 of EMA (2012)	GN 29 Identifies and lists certain activities that cannot be undertaken without an environmental clearance certificate. GN 30 provides the regulations governing the environmental assessment (EA) process.	The following listed activities are triggered by the proposed development: Activity 10.1 (b) Infrastructure Activity 10.2 (a) Infrastructure
Convention on Biological Diversity (1992)	Article 1 lists the conservation of biological diversity amongst the objectives of the convention.	The project should consider the impact it will have on the biodiversity of the area.
Draft Procedures and Guidelines for conducting EIAs and compiling EMPs (2008)	Part 1, Stage 8 of the guidelines states that if a proposal is likely to affect people, certain guidelines should be considered by the proponent in the scoping process.	The EA process should incorporate the aspects outlined in the guidelines.

LEGISLATION/POLICIES	RELEVANT PROVISIONS	RELEVANCE TO PROJECT
Namibia Vision 2030	Vision 2030 states that the solitude, silence and natural beauty that many areas in Namibia provide are becoming sought after commodities and must be regarded as valuable natural assets.	Care should be taken that the development does not lead to the degradation of the natural beauty of the area.
Water Act No. 54 of 1956	Section 23(1) deals with the prohibition of pollution of underground and surface water bodies.	The pollution of water resources should be avoided during construction and operation of the development.
The Ministry of Environment and Tourism (MET) Policy on HIV & AIDS	MET has recently developed a policy on HIV and AIDS. In addition, it has also initiated a programme aimed at mainstreaming HIV and gender issues into environmental impact assessments.	The proponent and its contractor must adhere to the guidelines provided to manage the aspects of HIV/AIDS. Experience with construction projects has shown that a significant risk is created when migrant construction workers interact with local communities.
Urban and Regional Planning Act No 5 of 2018	To consolidate the laws relating to urban and regional planning; to provide for a legal framework for spatial planning in Namibia; to provide for principles and standards of spatial planning; to establish the urban and regional planning board; to decentralise certain matters relating to spatial planning; to provide for the preparation, approval and review of the national spatial development framework, regional structure plans and urban structure plans; to provide for the preparation, approval, review and amendment of zoning schemes; to provide for the establishment of townships; to provide for the alteration of boundaries of approved townships, to provide for the disestablishment of approved townships; to provide for the change of name of approved townships; to provide for the subdivision and consolidation of land; to provide for the alteration,	The proposed development must adhere to the provisions regarding the subdivision and rezoning of land.

LEGISLATION/POLICIES	RELEVANT PROVISIONS	RELEVANCE TO PROJECT
	suspension and deletion of conditions relating to land; and to provide for incidental matters.	
Local Authorities Act No. 23 of 1992	The Local Authorities Act prescribes the manner in which a town or municipality should be managed by the Town or Municipal Council.	The development must comply with provisions of the Local Authorities Act.
Labour Act no. 11 of 2007	Chapter 2 details the fundamental rights and protections. Chapter 3 deals with the basic conditions of employment.	Given the employment opportunities presented by the development, compliance with the labour law is essential.
National Heritage Act No. 27 of 2004	The Act is aimed at protecting, conserving and registering places and objects of heritage significance.	All protected heritage resources (e.g. human remains etc.) discovered, need to be reported immediately to the National Heritage Council (NHC) and require a permit from the NHC before they may be relocated.
Roads Ordinance 17 of 1972	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Section 3.1 deals with width of proclaimed roads and road reserve boundaries • Section 27.1 is concerned with the control of traffic on urban trunk and main roads • Section 36.1 regulates rails, tracks, bridges, wires, cables, subways or culverts across or under proclaimed roads • Section 37.1 deals with Infringements and obstructions on and interference with proclaimed roads. 	Adhere to all applicable provisions of the Roads Ordinance.
Public and Environmental Health Act of 2015	This Act (GG 5740) provides a framework for a structured uniform public and environmental health system in Namibia. It covers notification, prevention and control of diseases and sexually transmitted infections; maternal, ante-natal and	Contractors and users of the proposed development are to comply with these legal requirements.

LEGISLATION/POLICIES	RELEVANT PROVISIONS	RELEVANCE TO PROJECT
	neo-natal care; water and food supplies; infant nutrition; waste management; health nuisances; public and environmental health planning and reporting. It repeals the Public Health Act 36 of 1919 (SA GG 979).	
Nature Conservation Ordinance no. 4 of 1975	Chapter 6 provides for legislation regarding the protection of indigenous plants	Indigenous and protected plants must be managed within the legal confines.
Water Quality Guidelines for Drinking Water and Wastewater Treatment	Details specific quantities in terms of water quality determinants, which wastewater should be treated to before being discharged into the environment	These guidelines are to be applied when dealing with water and waste treatment
Environmental Assessment Policy of Namibia (1995)	The Policy seeks to ensure that the environmental consequences of development projects and policies are considered, understood and incorporated into the planning process, and that the term ENVIRONMENT is broadly interpreted to include biophysical, social, economic, cultural, historical and political components.	This EIA considers this term of Environment.
Water Resources Management Act No. 11 of 2013	Part 12 deals with the control and protection of groundwater Part 13 deals with water pollution control	The pollution of water resources should be avoided during construction and operation of the development. Should water need to be abstracted, a water abstraction permit will be required from the Ministry of Water, Agriculture and Forestry.
Forest Act 12 of 2001 and Forest Regulations of 2015	To provide for the establishment of a Forestry Council and the appointment of certain officials; to consolidate the laws relating to the management and use of forests and	Protected tree and plant species as per the Forest Act No 12 of 2001 and Forest Regulations of 2015 may not be removed without a permit

LEGISLATION/POLICIES	RELEVANT PROVISIONS	RELEVANCE TO PROJECT
	<p>forest produce; to provide for the protection of the environment and the control and management of forest fires; to repeal the Preservation of Bees and Honey Proclamation, 1923 (Proclamation No. 1 of 1923), Preservation of Trees and Forests Ordinance, 1952 (Ordinance No. 37 of 1952) and the Forest Act, 1968 (Act No. 72 of 1968); and to deal with incidental matters.</p>	<p>from the Ministry of Agriculture, Water and Forestry.</p>
<p>Atmospheric Pollution Prevention Ordinance No 45 of 1965</p>	<p>Part II - control of noxious or offensive gases, Part III - atmospheric pollution by smoke, Part IV - dust control, and Part V - air pollution by fumes emitted by vehicles.</p>	<p>The development should consider the provisions outlined in the act. The proponent should apply for an Air Emissions permit from the Ministry of Health and Social Services (if needed).</p>
<p>Hazardous Substance Ordinance 14 of 1974</p>	<p>To provide for the control of substances which may cause injury or ill-health to or death of human beings by reason of their toxic, corrosive, irritant, strongly sensitizing or flammable nature or the generation of pressure thereby in certain circumstances; to provide for the division of such substances into groups in relation to the degree of danger; to provide for the prohibition and control of the importation, manufacture, sale, use, operation, application, modification, disposal or dumping of such substances; and to provide for matters connected therewith.</p>	<p>The handling, usage and storage of hazardous substances on site should be carefully controlled according to this Ordinance.</p>

LEGISLATION/POLICIES	RELEVANT PROVISIONS	RELEVANCE TO PROJECT
Soil Conservation Act No 76 of 1969	Act to consolidate and amend the law relating to the combating and prevention of soil erosion, the conservation, improvement and manner of use of the soil and vegetation and the protection of the water sources	The proposed activity should ensure that soil erosion and soil pollution is avoided during construction and operation.

This EIA process will be undertaken in accordance with the EIA Regulations. A Flow Diagram (refer to **Figure 2** below) provides an outline of the EIA process to be followed.




Figure 2: EIA flow Diagram

3 ENVIRONMENTAL BASELINE DESCRIPTION

3.1 SOCIAL ENVIRONMENT

3.1.1 Socio-Economic Context

The statistics shown in **Table 4** below are derived from the 2011 Namibia Population and Housing Census (Namibia Statistics Agency, 2013a), and presented from a local and regional perspective.

Table 4: Statistics of the Windhoek Rural Constituency and Khomas Region (Namibia Statistics Agency, 2013b)

WINDHOEK RURAL CONSTITUENCY	
ATTRIBUTE	INDICATOR
Population	22 254
Females	10 167
Males	12 087
Population under 5 years	12%
Population aged 5 to 14 years	19%
Population aged 15 to 59 years	62%
Population aged 60 years and above	8%
Female: male ratio	100:101
Literacy rate of 15 years old and above	88%
People above 15 years who have never attended school	14%
People above 15 years who are currently attending school	10%
People above 15 years who have left school	74%
People aged 15 years and above who belong to the labour force	78%
Population employed	75%
Homemakers	3%
Students	6%
Retired or old age income recipients	9%
Income from pension	10%
Income from business and non-farming activities	8%
Income from farming	7%
Income from cash remittance	3%
Wages and salaries	67%
KHOMAS REGION	
ATTRIBUTE	INDICATOR
Population	342 141
Population aged 60 years and above	4%
Population aged 5 to 14 years	16%
Population aged 15 to 59 years	69%
Main Language	Oshiwambo Languages- 41%

3.1.2 Archaeological and Heritage Context

It is unlikely that the proposed project area will have any significant archaeological resources due to the fact that no major historical activity took place within close proximity to the sites. An accidental find procedure may, however, be required in the EMP.

3.2 BIO-PHYSICAL ENVIRONMENT

3.2.1 Climate

The Neuweiler area is classified as hot semi-arid (steppe) climate according to the Köppen climate classification ("Neuweiler, Khomas, Namibia", 2021). The average annual temperatures range between 19°C to 20°C as indicated in **Figure 3**.




Figure 3: Annual average temperature (Acacia Project E1, n.d.)

The median annual rainfall varies between about 300-350mm as indicated in **Figure 4**. Rainfall is experienced mostly within the summer months, with some thunderstorms experienced during October to April.




Figure 4: Average annual Rainfall (Acacia Project E1, n.d.)

3.2.2 Topography, Hydrology, Hydrogeology and Geology

The subject area forms part of the Damara Supergroup and Gariep Complex geological division as depicted in Figure 5. This area is characterised by sandstone rock type (Mendelsohn, Jarvis, Roberts, *et al.*, 2002).




Figure 5: Geology of Namibia (Acacia Project E1, n.d.)

The Neuweiler area falls within the Central Namib-Windhoek region as shown in **Figure 6**. The boreholes at both alluvial and fractured aquifers occur in the area east of Windhoek. Alluvial aquifers are found along the riverbeds of the Seeis River. The Seeis wellfield supplements the Neuweiler water scheme (74) established to supply the international airport. Neuweiler is on a fractured marble and quartzite aquifer of the Auas Formation (Khomas Subgroup) recharged by the Seeis River (Ministry of Agriculture Water and Rural Development, 2011). The Seeis River runs through the southern part of the portion in a southerly direction.




Figure 6: Groundwater basins and hydrogeological regions in Namibia (Ministry of Agriculture Water and Rural Development, 2011)

3.3 Terrestrial Ecology

3.3.1 Flora and Fauna

The subject area falls within the Acacia Tree and shrub Savanna biome. It is characterised by Highland Shrubland which is dominated by shrubs and low trees. The vegetation structure of the area is dense shrubland.

The area has medium terrestrial diversity in terms of animal and plant life. Plant diversity is recorded to be between 300-399 species. The area has high plant endemism with between 26-35 endemic species believed to be found within the area.

In terms of animals the bird diversity is recorded to be between 171-200 species, mammal diversity between 61 -75 species and reptile diversity between 61- 70 species.

The subject site is currently undeveloped and generally undisturbed. Some tracks have been made to allow access to the portion.

4 PROJECT DESCRIPTION

4.1 PROJECT COMPONENTS

As previously outlined in Section 1.1, the proposed project involves the following activities:

- **Subdivision of Farm Neuweiler No. 525 into Portions A to G and Remainder, Windhoek;**
- **Registration of A 20m wide Right of Way Servitude over the Remainder of Farm Neuweiler No. 525 in favour of Portions A to G of Farm Neuweiler No. 525;**
- **Rezoning of Portions, A to G of Farm Neuweiler No. 525, Windhoek from “Agriculture” to “Single Residential”.**

4.2 ALTERNATIVES

4.2.1 No – Go Alternative

The no-go alternative is the baseline against which all alternatives are assessed. The no-go alternative would essentially entail maintaining the current situation, whereby the existing portion will remain undeveloped. Thus, none of the positive or negative impacts associated with the intended development would realize.

4.3 THE PROPOSED DEVELOPMENT

Farm Neuweiler is located between Windhoek and the Hosea Kutako International Airport along the B6 Main Road. Farm Neuweiler No. 525 currently has several residential properties and a warehouse erected on it. The residential properties are being subdivided from Farm Neuweiler and will then be sold to the various occupants of the dwelling units. The rest of the extent of the Farm is vacant and undeveloped. It is the intention of the proponent to subdivide Farm Neuweiler No. 525 into seven (7) portions and the Remainder, in order to sell the portions to the current residents of the dwelling units on the Farm.

According to the Kappsfarm Zoning Scheme the minimum erf size for a “Single Residential” zoned property is 900m². The erf sizes of proposed portions A to G range between 0.6 Ha and 2 Ha, ensuring the new erven fall within the prescribed minimum erf size for “Single Residential” erven as indicated in the zoning scheme.

The owner further intends to rezone proposed portions A to G from “Agriculture” purposes to “Single Residential” purposes following their creation, as these portions will be used for residential purposes by the new owners.

This application also includes the creation of a 20m Right of Way servitude, which will be registered against the Remainder of Farm Neuweiler No. 525, in favour of the subdivided portions (Portion A-G

of the Farm Neuweiler No. 525). The Right of Way servitude will connect to the approved Roads Authority access point.

The proposed subdivision of Farm Neuweiler into Portions A to G and Remainder follows an existing trend, where it is becoming increasingly viable for development to take place on the fringes of Windhoek. This growth trend benefits the property owner, as well as the Windhoek Municipal Council, by meeting the demand for serviced residential and commercial land in Windhoek. It further benefits the new property owners who will now have freehold tenure, which provides tenure security as well as socio-economic development.




Figure 7: Aerial Image of the Subdivision of Farm Neuweiler No 525

Farm Neuweiler No. 525 will be subdivided into seven (7) portions and the Remainder as outlined in **Table 5** below.

Table 5: Subdivision of Farm Neuweiler No.525

Portion Number	± Size (Ha)
Portion A	1.00 Ha
Portion B	1.99 Ha
Portion C	0.63 Ha
Portion D	2.00 Ha
Portion E	1,00 Ha
Portion F	1,00 Ha
Portion G	1,00 Ha
Rem/Farm Neuweiler No. 525	645. 28 Ha
Farm Neuweiler No. 525	653. 90 Ha

Portions A to G of the Farm Neuweiler No. 525 will be rezoned from “Agriculture” to “Single Residential”, as the portions will be used for residential properties by their new owners. The proposed rezoning is outlined in **Table 6** below. The Remainder of Farm Neuweiler No. 525 will remain zoned for “Agriculture” purposes.

Table 6: Rezoning of Farm Neuweiler No. 525

Portion Number	Current Zoning	Proposed Zoning
Portion A	Agriculture	Single Residential
Portion B	Agriculture	Single Residential
Portion C	Agriculture	Single Residential
Portion D	Agriculture	Single Residential
Portion E	Agriculture	Single Residential
Portion F	Agriculture	Single Residential
Portion G	Agriculture	Single Residential
Rem/Farm Neuweiler No. 525	Agriculture	Single Residential
Farm Neuweiler No. 525	Agriculture	

4.4 ENGINEERING SERVICES AND ACCESS PROVISION

4.4.1 Water

The water on Farm Neuweiler No. 525 is fully provided from boreholes.

4.4.2 Power supply

The electricity on Farm Neuweiler No. 525 is provided by the NamPower electrical grid, from the overhead powerlines.

4.4.3 Sewage

The sewerage on Farm Neuweiler No. 525 is catered to by French Drain sewer systems. Storm water run-off on the farm is accommodated as per the natural drainage patterns on the farm, which flows into the Seëls river.

The proponent is to appoint an engineer who will be responsible for service installations for the proposed development.

4.4.4 Access

Access to Farm Neuweiler No. 525 is currently obtained from the B6 road to Gobabis, which is a Roads Authority approved access point. Access to Portions A to G will be obtained via a proposed Right of

Proposed Portions A-J and the Remainder will make use of existing boreholes within the subject area as a source of water provision (as available). Should additional boreholes be required, the relevant procedures and permits as per the Water Resources Management Act are to be obtained as required.

Way servitude, which will be registered over the Remainder of Farm Neuweiler, in favour of the portions being created.

The following servitudes are to be registered in order to allow access to the proposed development:

- Registration of A 20m Wide Right of Way Servitude over the Remainder of Farm Neuweiler No. 525 in favour of Portions A to G of The Farm Neuweiler No. 525.

5 PUBLIC PARTICIPATION PROCESS

5.1 PUBLIC PARTICIPATION REQUIREMENTS

In terms of Section 21 of the EIA Regulations a call for open consultation with all I&APs at defined stages of the EIA process is required. This entails participatory consultation with members of the public by providing an opportunity to comment on the proposed project. Public Participation has thus incorporated the requirements of Namibia's legislation, but also takes account of international guidelines, including Southern African Development Community (SADC) guidelines and the Namibian EIA Regulations. Public participation in this project has been undertaken to meet the specific requirements in accordance with the international best practice. Please see **Table 7** below for the activities undertaken as part of the public participation process. The I&APs were given time to comment from **23 August 2022 to 13 September 2022**.

Table 7: Table of Public Participation Activities

ACTIVITY	REMARKS
Placement of site notice on site and at the City of Windhoek Municipality notice board.	See Annexure A
Placing advertisements in two newspapers namely the Namibian, and the New Era (23 and 30 August 2022)	See Annexure B
Written notice to surrounding property owners and Interested and Affected Parties via Email (23 August 2022)	See Annexure C

5.1.1 Environmental Assessment Phase 2

The second phase of the PPP involved the lodging of the Draft Environmental Scoping Report (DESR) to all registered I&APs for comment. Registered and potential I&APs were informed of the availability of the DESR for public comment *via* a letter/email dated **28 September 2022**. An Executive Summary of the DESR was included in the letters to the registered I&APs. I&APs had until **10 October 2022** to submit comments or raise any issues or concerns they may have with regard to the proposed project.

6 ASSESSMENT METHODOLOGY

The purpose of this chapter is to describe the assessment methodology utilized in determining the significance of the construction and operational impacts of the proposed project, and where applicable the possible alternatives, on the biophysical and socio-economic environment.

Assessment of predicted significance of impacts for a proposed development is by its nature, inherently uncertain – environmental assessment is thus an imprecise science. To deal with such uncertainty in a comparable manner, a standardised and internationally recognised methodology has been developed. Such accepted methodology is applied in this study to assess the significance of the potential environmental impacts of the proposed development, outlined as follows in **Table 8**.

Table 8: Impact Assessment Criteria

CRITERIA	CATEGORY
Impact	Description of the expected impact
Nature Describe type of effect	Positive: The activity will have a social / economical / environmental benefit. Neutral: The activity will have no effect Negative: The activity will have a social / economical / environmental harmful effect
Extent Describe the scale of the impact	Site Specific: Expanding only as far as the activity itself (onsite) Small: restricted to the site's immediate environment within 1 km of the site (limited) Medium: Within 5 km of the site (local) Large: Beyond 5 km of the site (regional)
Duration Predicts the lifetime of the impact.	Temporary: < 1 year (not including construction) Short-term: 1 – 5 years Medium term: 5 – 15 years Long-term: >15 years (Impact will stop after the operational or running life of the activity, either due to natural course or by human interference) Permanent: Impact will be where mitigation or moderation by natural course or by human interference will not occur in a particular means or in a particular time period that the impact can be considered temporary
Intensity Describe the magnitude (scale/size) of the Impact	Zero: Social and/or natural functions and/ or processes remain unaltered Very low: Affects the environment in such a way that natural and/or social functions/processes are not affected

CRITERIA	CATEGORY
	<p>Low: Natural and/or social functions/processes are slightly altered</p> <p>Medium: Natural and/or social functions/processes are notably altered in a modified way</p> <p>High: Natural and/or social functions/processes are severely altered and may temporarily or permanently cease</p>
<p>Probability of occurrence Describe the probability of the Impact <u>actually</u> occurring</p>	<p>Improbable: Not at all likely</p> <p>Probable: Distinctive possibility</p> <p>Highly probable: Most likely to happen</p> <p>Definite: Impact will occur regardless of any prevention measures</p>
<p>Degree of Confidence in predictions State the degree of confidence in predictions based on availability of information and specialist knowledge</p>	<p>Unsure/Low: Little confidence regarding information available (<40%)</p> <p>Probable/Med: Moderate confidence regarding information available (40-80%)</p> <p>Definite/High: Great confidence regarding information available (>80%)</p>
<p>Significance Rating The impact on each component is determined by a combination of the above criteria.</p>	<p>Neutral: A potential concern which was found to have no impact when evaluated</p> <p>Very low: Impacts will be site specific and temporary with no mitigation necessary.</p> <p>Low: The impacts will have a minor influence on the proposed development and/or environment. These impacts require some thought to adjustment of the project design where achievable, or alternative mitigation measures</p> <p>Medium: Impacts will be experienced in the local and surrounding areas for the life span of the development and may result in long term changes. The impact can be lessened or improved by an amendment in the project design or implementation of effective mitigation measures.</p> <p>High: Impacts have a high magnitude and will be experienced regionally for at least the life span of the development or will be irreversible. The impacts could have the no-go proposition on portions of the development in spite of any mitigation measures that could be implemented.</p>

*NOTE: Where applicable, the magnitude of the impact has to be related to the relevant standard (threshold value specified, and source referenced). The magnitude of impact is based on specialist knowledge of that particular field.

For each impact, the EXTENT (spatial scale), MAGNITUDE (size or degree scale) and DURATION (time scale) are described. These criteria are used to ascertain the SIGNIFICANCE of the impact, firstly in the case of no mitigation and then with the most effective mitigation measure(s) in place. The decision as to which combination of alternatives and mitigation measures to apply lies with the proponent, and their acceptance and approval ultimately with the relevant environmental authority.

The SIGNIFICANCE of an impact is derived by taking into account the temporal and spatial scales and magnitude. Such significance is also informed by the context of the impact, i.e. the character and identity of the receptor of the impact.

6.1 MITIGATION MEASURES




Figure 8: Mitigation Hierarchy

Restoration: This step is taken to improve degraded or removed ecosystems following exposure to impacts that cannot be completely avoided or minimised. Restoration tries to return an area to the

original ecosystem that occurred before impacts. Restoration is frequently needed towards the end of a project's life-cycle but may be possible in some areas during operation.

Impact compensation: This step is usually applied to remedy unavoidable residual adverse impacts. It can be achieved by:

- rehabilitation of the affected site or environment, for example, by habitat enhancement;
- restoration of the affected site or environment to its previous state or better; and
- replacement of the same resource values at another location (off-set), for example, by wetland engineering to provide an equivalent area to that lost to drainage or infill.

7 ASSESSMENT OF POTENTIAL IMPACTS AND POSSIBLE MITIGATION MEASURES

7.1 INTRODUCTION

This Chapter describes the potential impacts on the biophysical and socio-economic environments, which may occur due to the proposed activities described in Chapter 4. These include potential impacts, which may arise during the operation of the proposed development (i.e. long-term impacts) as well as the potential construction related impacts (i.e. short to medium term). The assessment of potential impacts will help to inform and confirm the selection of the preferred layouts to be submitted to MEFT: DEAF for consideration. In turn, MEFT: DEAF's decision on the environmental acceptability of the proposed project and the setting of conditions of authorisation (should the project be authorised) will be informed by this chapter, amongst other information, contained in this EA Report.

The baseline and potential impacts that could result from the proposed development are described and assessed with potential mitigation measures recommended. Finally, comment is provided on the potential cumulative impacts which could result should this development, and others like it in the area, be approved.

7.1 PLANNING AND DESIGN PHASE IMPACTS

During the planning and design phase consideration should be given on aspects such as biodiversity.

7.1.1 Flora and Fauna (Biodiversity)

The subject site is mostly undeveloped and located within the urban surrounds of the city of Windhoek. As such the site may accommodate significant flora or fauna on site. The trees located on the subject site should be accommodated in the proposed tracks to be developed for access to the newly created portions. Trees protected under the Forestry Act 12 of 2001 should be protected within the development and may not be removed without a permit from the local Department of Forestry.

7.2 CONSTRUCTION PHASE IMPACTS ON THE BIOPHYSICAL ENVIRONMENT

The construction phase impacts are those impacts on the biophysical and socio-economic environment that would occur during the construction phase. These impacts are inherently temporary in duration but may have longer lasting effects.

7.2.1 Flora and Fauna Impacts (Biodiversity)

Trees protected under the Forestry Act 12 of 2001 should be protected especially during site clearance for the proposed development. The trees located on the subject site should be accommodated in the layout and proposed use for the erf as far as possible. Trees to be protected should be marked with danger tape or paint to ensure that they are not removed during the construction activities. It is anticipated that the proposed development would have localised negative implications on the environment and associated fauna and flora should the proposed mitigation measures as outlined in the EMP be enforced.

7.2.2 Surface and Ground Water Impacts

Surface and groundwater impacts may be encountered during the construction phase, especially if development takes place within the rainy season. The risk of contaminating such water sources can be increased by accidental spillage of oils and fuels and any other equipment used during construction of the access roads. This risk is minimised by the fact that the construction phase will be a short-term activity.

7.2.3 Soil Erosion Impacts

Given the characteristics of the proposed site, soil erosion is likely to be encountered especially if construction will take place during the rainy season, the removal of vegetation will render the soil vulnerable to erosion as they also serve the purpose of keeping the soils compacted.

7.3 CONSTRUCTION PHASE IMPACTS ON THE SOCIO-ECONOMIC ENVIRONMENT

7.3.1 Heritage impacts

No archaeological and heritage resources are expected to be found on the site. The project management should however be made aware of the provisions of the National Heritage Act regarding the prompt reporting of archaeological finds. Section 3.1.2 provides an overview of the archaeological and heritage context of the town and region.

7.3.2 Health, Safety and Security Impacts

Working conditions on site need to ensure that the health and safety of construction workers are ensured at all times. The use of local labour during construction is strongly encouraged so as to reduce the need for migrant workforce. Health and Safety requirements need to comply with the Labour Act No. 11 of 2007, local and international health and safety legislation and standards during construction.

7.3.3 Traffic Impacts

Traffic is expected to increase slightly during the construction phase of the project in areas where construction will take place. A number of trucks and other heavy machinery will be required to deliver, handle and position construction materials as well as to remove spoil material. Not only will the increase in traffic result in associated noise impacts, but it will also impact on the roads in the area. It will additionally create dust as most of the roads in the area are gravel roads.

7.3.4 Noise Impacts

Construction may result in associated noise impacts. These noise impacts will mainly be associated with construction machinery and construction vehicles. The impact is however limited mainly to the construction period only.

7.3.5 Dust and Emission Impacts

Excavation and stockpiles during the construction phase could result in dust impacts, if not managed correctly. Dust could impact negatively on the health of the nearby community if mitigation measures are not implemented. Dust impacts are primarily associated with the construction phase.

7.3.6 Municipal Services

The construction phase will result in additional people on-site, who will require provision of the following services:

- Potable water for domestic (ablution and drinking) and construction purposes.
- Temporary toilets during the construction phase.
- Solid waste management (domestic and construction waste).

These services should be managed in accordance with the EMP to reduce avoid water wastage; litter; solid and human waste pollution at the site.

7.3.7 Storage and Utilisation of Hazardous Substances

Hazardous substances are regarded by the Hazardous Substance Ordinance (No. 14 of 1974) as those substances which may cause injury or ill-health to or death of human beings by reason of their toxic, corrosive, irritant, strongly sensitizing or flammable nature or the generation of pressure thereby in certain circumstances. During the construction period, the use and storage of these types of

hazardous substances, such as shutter oil, curing compounds, types of solvents, primers and adhesives and diesel, on-site could have negative impacts on the surrounding environment if these substances spill and enter the environment.

7.4 OPERATIONAL PHASE IMPACTS

The operational phase impacts are those impacts on the biophysical and socio-economic environment that would occur during the operational phase of the proposed project and are inherently long-term in duration.

7.4.1 Impacts on the surrounding area

The proposed development is not expected to have a negative impact on the nature and character of the surrounding area as the development will retain its current zoning which is Agriculture. The land uses for the proposed portion is additionally in line with the surrounding land uses.

7.4.2 Traffic Impacts

Traffic is expected to increase slightly as the area becomes developed. Traffic is however not expected to increase significantly as the subject portions will retain the existing land use.

7.4.3 Dust Impacts

Tracks to be created to allow access to the newly created portions will most likely be gravel, as such dust is expected to be generated when vehicles are travelling on the roads. Dust levels are however not expected to be significant due to the land uses for the portions.

7.5 CUMULATIVE IMPACTS

The cumulative impacts of the proposed developments in regard to the degradation of the project area is very difficult to rate. If all proposed mitigation measures are however in place to minimise the overall impacts, then the cumulative impact can be expected to be rated as **Medium-Low (negative)** for the proposed developments.

7.1 ENVIRONMENTAL MANAGEMENT PLAN

An Environmental Management Plan (EMP) is contained in **Annexure E** of this report. The purpose of the EMP is to outline the type and range of mitigation measures that should be implemented during

the construction and decommissioning phases of the project to ensure that negative impacts associated with the development are avoided or mitigated.

7.2 SUMMARY OF POTENTIAL IMPACTS

A summary of all the potential impacts from the proposed project assessed above is included in **Table 8**. The **Tables 9 to 11** provide a summary of the mitigation measures proposed for the impacts. While some difference in magnitude of the potential impacts would result from the proposed alternatives this difference was not considered to be significant for any of the potential impacts. As such, the table below applies to all proposed alternatives.

Table 9: Summary of the significance of the potential impacts

Description of potential impact	Project alternative	No mitigation / mitigation	Extent	Magnitude	Duration	Significance	Probability	Confidence	Reversibility	Cumulative impact
PLANNING AND DESIGN PHASE										
1. Biodiversity (Fauna and Flora)	Farm Neuweiler No 525	No mitigation	Local	Low	Short term	Medium	Probable	Certain	Reversible	Medium (-ve)
		Mitigation	Local	Very	Short term	Low	Probable	Certain	Reversible	Low (-ve)
	No go	No mitigation	Local	Neutral	Short term	Neutral	Probable	Certain	Reversible	Neutral
		Mitigation	Local	Neutral	Short term	Neutral	Probable	Certain	Reversible	Neutral
CONSTRUCTION PHASE										
2. Biodiversity (Fauna and Flora)	Farm Neuweiler No 525	No mitigation	Local	Low	Short term	Low	Probable	Certain	Reversible	Low (-ve)
		Mitigation	Local	Very	Short term	Very Low	Probable	Certain	Reversible	Very Low (-ve)
	No go	No mitigation	Local	Neutral	Short term	Neutral	Probable	Certain	Reversible	Neutral
		Mitigation	Local	Neutral	Short term	Neutral	Probable	Certain	Reversible	Neutral
3. Surface & ground water	Farm Neuweiler No 525	No mitigation	Local	Medium	Short term	Medium	Probable	Certain	Reversible	Medium (-ve)
		Mitigation	Local	Low	Short term	Medium - low	Probable	Certain	Reversible	Medium - Low (-ve)
	No go	No mitigation	Local	Neutral	Short term	Neutral	Probable	Certain	Reversible	Neutral
		Mitigation	Local	Neutral	Short term	Neutral	Probable	Certain	Reversible	Neutral
4. Soil erosion	Farm Neuweiler No 525	No mitigation	Local	Medium	Short term	Medium - low	Probable	Certain	Reversible	Medium - low (-ve)
		Mitigation	Local	Low	Short term	Low	Probable	Certain	Reversible	Low (-ve)

Description of potential impact	Project alternative	No mitigation / mitigation	Extent	Magnitude	Duration	Significance	Probability	Confidence	Reversibility	Cumulative impact
	No go	No mitigation	Local	Neutral	Short term	Neutral	Probable	Certain	Reversible	Neutral
		Mitigation	Local	Neutral	Short term	Neutral	Probable	Certain	Reversible	Neutral
5. Heritage	Farm Neuweiler No 525	No mitigation	Local	Very low	Short term	Very low	Probable	Certain	Irreversible	Very low(-ve)
		Mitigation	Local	Negligible	Short term	Negligible	Probable	Certain	Irreversible	Negligible (-ve)
	No go	No mitigation	Local	Neutral	Short term	Neutral	Probable	Certain	Reversible	Neutral
		Mitigation	Local	Neutral	Short term	Neutral	Probable	Certain	Reversible	Neutral
6. Health, safety and security	Farm Neuweiler No 525	No mitigation	Local	Medium-Low	Short term	Medium-Low	Probable	Certain	Reversible	Medium-Low (-ve)
		Mitigation	Local	Low	Short term	Low	Probable	Certain	Reversible	Low (-ve)
	No go	No mitigation	Local	Neutral	Short term	Neutral	Probable	Certain	Reversible	Neutral
		Mitigation	Local	Neutral	Short term	Neutral	Probable	Certain	Reversible	Neutral
7. Traffic impacts	Farm Neuweiler No 525	No mitigation	Local	Low	Short term	Low	Probable	Certain	Reversible	Low (-ve)
		Mitigation	Local	Very low	Short term	Very low	Probable	Certain	Reversible	Very low
	No go	No mitigation	Local	Neutral	Short term	Neutral	Probable	Certain	Reversible	Neutral
		Mitigation	Local	Neutral	Short term	Neutral	Probable	Certain	Reversible	Neutral
8. Noise impacts	Farm Neuweiler No 525	No mitigation	Local	Medium - Low	Short term	Medium - low	Probable	Certain	Reversible	Medium - Low (-ve)
		Mitigation	Local	Low	Short term	Low	Probable	Certain	Reversible	Low (-ve)
	No go	No mitigation	Local	Neutral	Short term	Neutral	Probable	Certain	Reversible	Neutral
		Mitigation	Local	Neutral	Short term	Neutral	Probable	Certain	Reversible	Neutral

Description of potential impact	Project alternative	No mitigation / mitigation	Extent	Magnitude	Duration	Significance	Probability	Confidence	Reversibility	Cumulative impact
9. Emissions impacts	Farm Neuweiler No 525	No mitigation	Local	Low	Short term	Low	Probable	Certain	Reversible	Low (-ve)
		Mitigation	Local	Very Low	Short term	Very Low	Probable	Certain	Reversible	Very Low (-ve)
	No go	No mitigation	Local	Neutral	Short term	Neutral	Probable	Certain	Reversible	Neutral
		Mitigation	Local	Neutral	Short term	Neutral	Probable	Certain	Reversible	Neutral
10. Municipal services	Farm Neuweiler No 525	No mitigation	Local	Low	Short term	Low	Probable	Certain	Reversible	Low (-ve)
		Mitigation	Local	Very low	Short term	Very low	Probable	Certain	Reversible	Very low (-ve)
	No go	No mitigation	Local	Neutral	Short term	Neutral	Probable	Certain	Reversible	Neutral
		Mitigation	Local	Neutral	Short term	Neutral	Probable	Certain	Reversible	Neutral
11. Waste	Farm Neuweiler No 525	No mitigation	Local	Low	Short term	Medium	Probable	Certain	Reversible	Medium (-ve)
		Mitigation	Local	Very low	Short term	Very Low	Probable	Certain	Reversible	low (-ve)
	No go	No mitigation	Local	Neutral	Short term	Neutral	Probable	Certain	Reversible	Neutral
		Mitigation	Local	Neutral	Short term	Neutral	Probable	Certain	Reversible	Neutral
12. Hazardous Substances	Farm Neuweiler No 525	No mitigation	Local	Medium	Short term	Medium	Probable	Certain	Reversible	Medium (-ve)
		Mitigation	Local	Low	Short term	Low	Probable	Certain	Reversible	Low (-ve)
	No go	No mitigation	Local	Neutral	Short term	Neutral	Probable	Certain	Reversible	Neutral
		Mitigation	Local	Neutral	Short term	Neutral	Probable	Certain	Reversible	Neutral

OPERATIONAL PHASE

Description of potential impact	Project alternative	No mitigation / mitigation	Extent	Magnitude	Duration	Significance	Probability	Confidence	Reversibility	Cumulative impact
1. Impacts on the surrounding area	Farm Neuweiler No 525	No mitigation	Local	Low	Medium term	Low	Probable	Certain	Reversible	Low (-ve)
		Mitigation	Local	Very low	Medium term	Very low	Probable	Certain	Reversible	Very low (-ve)
	No go	No mitigation	Local	Neutral	Medium term	Neutral	Probable	Certain	Reversible	Neutral
		Mitigation	Local	Neutral	Medium term	Neutral	Probable	Certain	Reversible	Neutral
2. Dust Impacts	Farm Neuweiler No 525	No mitigation	Local	Medium	Medium term	Medium	Probable	Certain	Reversible	Medium-Low (-ve)
		Mitigation	Local	Medium - Low	Medium term	Medium - Low	Probable	Certain	Reversible	Low (-ve)
	No go	No mitigation	Local	Neutral	Medium term	Neutral	Probable	Certain	Reversible	Neutral
		Mitigation	Local	Neutral	Medium term	Neutral	Probable	Certain	Reversible	Neutral
3. Traffic Impacts	Farm Neuweiler No 525	No mitigation	Local	Low	Medium term	Low	Probable	Certain	Reversible	Low (-ve)
		Mitigation	Local	Very low	Medium term	Very low	Probable	Certain	Reversible	Very low (-ve)
	No go	No mitigation	Local	Neutral	Medium term	Neutral	Probable	Certain	Reversible	Neutral
		Mitigation	Local	Neutral	Medium term	Neutral	Probable	Certain	Reversible	Neutral

Table 10: Proposed mitigation measures for the planning and design phase

PLANNING AND DESIGN PHASE IMPACTS	
Impact	Mitigation Measures
Flora and Fauna (Biodiversity)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Do not clear cut the entire development site, but rather keep the individual trees/shrubs not directly affecting the developments as part of the landscaping. Protected trees are not to be removed without a valid permit from the local Department of Forestry

Table 11: Proposed mitigation measures for the construction phase

CONSTRUCTION PHASE IMPACTS	
Impact	Mitigation Measures
Flora and Fauna	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Adapt the proposed developments to the local environment – e.g. small adjustments to the site layout could avoid potential features such as water bodies and vegetation. Prevent the destruction of protected and endemic plant species. Prevent contractors from collecting wood, veld food, etc. during the construction phase. Recommend the planting of local indigenous species of flora as part of the landscaping as these species would require less maintenance than exotic species. Prevent the introduction of potentially invasive alien ornamental plant species such as; <i>Lantana</i>, <i>Opuntia</i>, <i>Prosopis</i>, <i>Tecoma</i>, etc.; as part of the landscaping as these species could infest the area further over time. Protected trees and plants are not to be removed without a valid permit from the Ministry of Agriculture, Water and Forestry.
Surface and Ground Water Impacts	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> No dumping of waste products of any kind in or in close proximity to surface water bodies. Heavy construction vehicles should be kept out of any surface water bodies and the movement of construction vehicles should be limited where possible to the existing roads and tracks.

CONSTRUCTION PHASE IMPACTS	
Impact	Mitigation Measures
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Ensure that oil/ fuel spillages from construction vehicles and machinery are minimised and that where these occur, that they are appropriately dealt with. • Drip trays must be placed underneath construction vehicles when not in use to contain all oil that might be leaking from these vehicles. • Contaminated runoff from the construction sites should be prevented from entering the surface and ground water bodies. • All materials on the construction site should be properly stored. • Disposal of waste from the sites should be properly managed and taken to the designated landfill site. • Construction workers should be given ablution facilities at the construction sites that are located at least 30 m away from any surface water and regularly serviced. • Washing of personnel or any equipment should not be allowed on site. Should it be necessary to wash construction equipment these should be done at an area properly suited and prepared to receive and contain polluted waters.
Soil Erosion	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Appropriate erosion control structures must be put in place where soil may be prone to erosion. • Checks must be carried out at regular intervals to identify areas where erosion is occurring. • Appropriate remedial actions are to be undertaken wherever erosion is evident.
Heritage	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The project management should be made aware of the provisions of the National Heritage Act regarding the prompt reporting of archaeological finds. • In the event of such finds, construction must stop, and the project management or contractors should notify the National Heritage Council of Namibia immediately.
Health, Safety and Security	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Construction personnel should not overnight at the site, except the security personnel. • Ensure that all construction personnel are properly trained depending on the nature of their work. • Provide for a first aid kit and a properly trained person to apply first aid when necessary.

CONSTRUCTION PHASE IMPACTS	
Impact	Mitigation Measures
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Restrict unauthorised access to the site and implement access control measures. • Clearly demarcate the construction site boundaries along with signage of “no unauthorised access”. • Clearly demarcate dangerous areas and no-go areas on site. • Staff and visitors to the site must be fully aware of all health and safety measures and emergency procedures. • The contractor must comply with all applicable occupational health and safety requirements. • The workforce should be provided with all necessary Personal Protective Equipment where appropriate.
Traffic	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Limit and control the number of access points to the site. • Ensure that road junctions have good sightlines. • Construction vehicles’ need to be in a road worthy condition and maintained throughout the construction phase. • Transport the materials in the least number of trips as possible. • Adhere to the speed limit. • Implement traffic control measures where necessary.
Noise	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • No amplified music should be allowed on site. • Inform immediate neighbours of construction activities to commence prior to commencing and provide for continuous communication between the neighbours and contractor. • Limit construction times to acceptable daylight hours. • Install technology such as silencers on construction machinery. • Do not allow the use of horns as a general communication tool but use it only where necessary as a safety measure.

CONSTRUCTION PHASE IMPACTS	
Impact	Mitigation Measures
Dust and Emission	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • It is recommended that dust suppressants such as Dustex be applied to all the construction clearing activities to ensure at least 50% control efficiency on all the unpaved roads and reduce water usage. • Construction vehicles to only use designated roads. • During high wind conditions the contractor must make the decision to cease works until the wind has calmed down. • Cover any stockpiles with plastic to minimise windblown dust. • Provide workers with dust masks where necessary.
Waste	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • It is recommended that waste from the temporary toilets be disposed of at an approved Wastewater Treatment Works. • A sufficient number of waste bins should be placed around the site for the soft refuse. • A sufficient number of skip containers for the heavy waste and rubble should be provided for around the site. • Solid waste will be collected and disposed of at an appropriate local land fill or an alternative approved site, in consultation with the local authority.
Hazardous Substances	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Storage of the hazardous substances in a bunded area, with a volume of 120 % of the largest single storage container or 25 % of the total storage containers whichever is greater. • Refuel vehicles in designated areas that have a protective surface covering and utilise drip trays for stationary plant.

Table 12: Proposed mitigation measures for the operational phase

OPERATIONAL PHASE IMPACTS	
Impact	Mitigation Measures
Dust	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Should dust levels become significant dust suppression techniques should be applied. Waterless dust suppression means should be utilised within areas experiencing water scarcity.

8 CONCLUSION

The purpose of this Chapter is to briefly summarise and conclude the FESR and describe the way forward.

8.1 CONSTRUCTION PHASE IMPACTS

With reference to **Table 9**, none of the negative construction phase impacts were deemed to have a high significant impact on the environment. The construction impacts were assessed to a **Medium to Low (negative)** significance, without mitigation measures. With the implementation of the recommended mitigation measures in Chapter 7 as well as in the EMP, the significance of the construction phase impacts is likely to be reduced to a **Low (negative)**.

8.2 OPERATIONAL PHASE

With reference to **Table 9**, none of the negative operational phase impacts were deemed to have a high significance impact on the environment. The operational impacts were assessed to a **Medium (negative)** significance, without mitigation measures. With the implementation of the recommended mitigation measures in Chapter 7 as well as in the EMP, the significance of the construction phase impacts is likely to be reduced to a **Low (negative)**.

8.3 LEVEL OF CONFIDENCE IN ASSESSMENT

With reference to the information available at the project planning cycle, the confidence in the environmental assessment undertaken is regarded as being acceptable for the decision-making, specifically in terms of the environmental impacts and risks. The Environmental Assessment Practitioner believes that the information contained within this FESR is adequate to allow MEFT: DEAF to be able to determine the environmental acceptability of the proposed project.

It is acknowledged that the project details will evolve during the detailed design and construction phases. However, these are unlikely to change the overall environmental acceptability of the proposed project and any significant deviation from what was assessed in this FESR should be subject to further assessment. If this was to occur, an amendment to the Environmental Authorisation may be required in which case the prescribed process would be followed.

8.4 MITIGATION MEASURES

With the implementation of the recommended mitigation measures in Chapter 7 as well as in the EMP, the significance of the construction and operational phase impacts is likely to be reduced to a **Medium - Low (negative)**. **It is further extremely important to include an Environmental Control**

Officer (ECO) on site during the construction phase of the proposed project to ensure that all the mitigation measures discussed in this report and the EMP are enforced.

It is noted that where appropriate, these mitigation measures and any others identified by MEFT: DEAF could be enforced as Conditions of Approval in the Environmental Authorisation, should MEFT: DEAF issue a positive Environmental Authorisation.

8.5 OPINION WITH RESPECT TO THE ENVIRONMENTAL AUTHORISATION

Regulation 15(j) of the EMA, requires *that the EAP include an opinion as to whether the listed activity must be authorised and if the opinion is that it must be authorised, any condition that must be made in respect of that authorisation.*

It is recommended that this project be authorised because should the development not proceed the portion will remain mostly undeveloped and the proposed portions would not have access. None of the positive or negative impacts from the proposed development would be realized.

The “no go” alternative was thus deemed to have a **High (negative)** impact, as all the benefits resulting from the development would not be realised. The significance of negative impacts can be reduced with effective and appropriate mitigation provided in this report and the EMP. If authorised, the implementation of the EMP should be included as a condition of approval.

8.6 WAY FORWARD

The FESR is herewith submitted to MEFT: DEAF for consideration and decision making. If MEFT: DEAF approves, or requests additional information / studies all registered I&APs and stakeholders will be kept informed of progress throughout the assessment process.

9 REFERENCES

- Acacia Project E1. n.d.* Digital Atlas of Namibia. [Online], Available: http://www.uni-koeln.de/sfb389/e/e1/download/atlas_namibia/main_namibia_atlas.html.
- Green Earth Environmental Consultants. 2019.* Environmental Impact Assessment for Sand Mining in a Section of the Seëis River Located on the Remainder of Farm Ondekaremba.
- Mendelsohn, J., Jarvis, A., Roberts, C. & Roberston, T. 2002.* Atlas of Namibia.
- Ministry of Agriculture Water and Rural Development. 2011.* Groundwater in Namibia an explanation to the Hydrogeological Map.
- Namibia Statistics Agency. 2013a.* Namibia 2011 Population & Housing Census - Main Report. 214. [Online], Available: http://www.nsa.org.na/files/downloads/Namibia_2011_Population_and_Housing_Census_Main_Report.pdf.
- Namibia Statistics Agency. 2013b.* Khomas 2011 Census Regional Profile. [Online], Available: <https://d3rp5jatom3eyn.cloudfront.net/cms/assets/documents/p19dptss1ri5r1f2kt6i5931i31g.pdf>.
- Ondekaremba, Khomas, Namibia. 2021. [Online], Available: <https://zh.mindat.org/feature-3354244.html> [2021, August 10].