

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

|          |   |           |
|----------|---|-----------|
| <b>1</b> | <b>INTRODUCTION</b> .....   | <b>1</b>  |
| 1.1      | <b>PROJECT BACKGROUND</b> .....   | <b>1</b>  |
| 1.2      | <b>PROJECT LOCATION, SIZE AND OWNERSHIP</b> .....                             | <b>2</b>  |
| 1.3      | <b>TERMS OF REFERENCE AND SCOPE OF PROJECT</b> .....                          | <b>4</b>  |
| 1.4      | <b>ASSUMPTIONS AND LIMITATIONS</b> .....                                      | <b>4</b>  |
| 1.5      | <b>CONTENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL ASSESSMENT REPORT</b> .....                       | <b>4</b>  |
| <b>2</b> | <b>LEGAL FRAMEWORK</b> .....  | <b>7</b>  |
| 2.1      | <b>LEGISLATION RELEVANT TO THE PROPOSED DEVELOPMENT</b> .....                 | <b>7</b>  |
| <b>3</b> | <b>ENVIRONMENTAL BASELINE DESCRIPTION</b> .....                               | <b>13</b> |
| 3.1      | <b>SOCIAL ENVIRONMENT</b> .....   | <b>13</b> |
| 3.1.1    | Socio-Economic Context .....  | 13        |
| 3.1.2    | Archaeological and Heritage Context .....                                     | 14        |
| 3.2      | <b>BIO-PHYSICAL ENVIRONMENT</b> .....   | <b>14</b> |
| 3.2.1    | Air Quality .....   | 14        |
| 3.2.2    | Climate .....   | 14        |
| 3.2.3    | Topography, Hydrogeology and Geology .....                                    | 16        |
| 3.3      | <b>TERRESTRIAL ECOLOGY</b> .....  | <b>18</b> |
| 3.3.1    | Flora and Fauna .....   | 18        |
| <b>4</b> | <b>PROJECT DESCRIPTION</b> .....  | <b>19</b> |
| 4.1      | <b>PROJECT COMPONENTS</b> .....   | <b>19</b> |
| 4.2      | <b>ALTERNATIVES</b> .....   | <b>19</b> |
| 4.2.1    | No – Go Alternative .....   | 19        |
| 4.3      | <b>THE PROPOSED DEVELOPMENT</b> .....   | <b>19</b> |
| 4.3.1    | Engineering Services and Access Provision .....                               | 24        |
| <b>5</b> | <b>PUBLIC PARTICIPATION PROCESS</b> .....                                     | <b>25</b> |
| 5.1      | <b>PUBLIC PARTICIPATION REQUIREMENTS</b> .....                                | <b>25</b> |
| 5.1.1    | Environmental Assessment Phase 2 .....  | 25        |
| <b>6</b> | <b>ASSESSMENT METHODOLOGY</b> .....   | <b>26</b> |
| 6.1      | <b>MITIGATION MEASURES</b> .....  | <b>28</b> |
| <b>7</b> | <b>ASSESSMENT OF POTENTIAL IMPACTS AND POSSIBLE MITIGATION MEASURES</b> ..... | <b>30</b> |
| 7.1      | <b>INTRODUCTION</b> .....   | <b>30</b> |
| 7.1      | <b>PLANNING AND DESIGN PHASE IMPACTS</b> .....                                | <b>30</b> |
| 7.1.1    | Existing Service Infrastructure Impacts .....                                 | 30        |
| 7.2      | <b>CONSTRUCTION PHASE IMPACTS ON THE BIOPHYSICAL ENVIRONMENT</b> .....        | <b>30</b> |
| 7.2.1    | Flora and Fauna Impacts (Biodiversity) .....                                  | 30        |
| 7.2.2    | Waste Generation .....  | 31        |

|            |  |           |
|------------|--|-----------|
| 7.2.3      | Surface and Ground Water Impacts.....                                    | 31        |
| <b>7.3</b> | <b>CONSTRUCTION PHASE IMPACTS ON THE SOCIO-ECONOMIC ENVIRONMENT.....</b> | <b>31</b> |
| 7.3.1      | Heritage impacts .....   | 31        |
| 7.3.2      | Health, Safety and Security Impacts .....                                | 31        |
| 7.3.3      | Traffic Impacts.....   | 32        |
| 7.3.4      | Noise Impacts.....   | 32        |
| 7.3.5      | Dust and Emission Impacts.....   | 32        |
| 7.3.6      | Municipal Services .....   | 32        |
| 7.3.7      | Storage and Utilisation of Hazardous Substances.....                     | 32        |
| <b>7.4</b> | <b>OPERATIONAL PHASE IMPACTS.....</b>                                    | <b>33</b> |
| 7.4.1      | Traffic Impacts.....   | 33        |
| 7.4.2      | Waste Generation .....   | 33        |
| 7.4.3      | Visual Impacts .....   | 33        |
| 7.4.4      | Noise Impacts.....   | 33        |
| 7.4.5      | Emission Impacts .....   | 33        |
| 7.4.6      | Employment creation .....  | 33        |
| <b>7.5</b> | <b>CUMULATIVE IMPACTS.....</b>   | <b>34</b> |
| <b>7.1</b> | <b>ENVIRONMENTAL MANAGEMENT PLAN.....</b>                                | <b>34</b> |
| <b>7.2</b> | <b>SUMMARY OF POTENTIAL IMPACTS .....</b>                                | <b>34</b> |
| <b>8</b>   | <b>CONCLUSION.....</b>   | <b>46</b> |
| <b>8.1</b> | <b>CONSTRUCTION PHASE IMPACTS .....</b>                                  | <b>46</b> |
| <b>8.2</b> | <b>OPERATIONAL PHASE .....</b>   | <b>46</b> |
| <b>8.3</b> | <b>LEVEL OF CONFIDENCE IN ASSESSMENT .....</b>                           | <b>46</b> |
| <b>8.4</b> | <b>MITIGATION MEASURES .....</b>   | <b>46</b> |
| <b>8.5</b> | <b>OPINION WITH RESPECT TO THE ENVIRONMENTAL AUTHORISATION .....</b>     | <b>47</b> |
| <b>8.6</b> | <b>WAY FORWARD .....</b>   | <b>47</b> |
| <b>9</b>   | <b>REFERENCES.....</b>   | <b>48</b> |

## LIST OF FIGURES

|   |    |
|---|----|
| Figure 1: Locality of proposed development in Keetmanshoop.....   | 3  |
| Figure 2: EIA flow Diagram.....   | 12 |
| Figure 3: Annual average temperature.....   | 15 |
| Figure 4: Average annual Rainfall .....   | 16 |
| Figure 5: Geology of Namibia.....   | 17 |
| Figure 6: Groundwater basins and hydrogeological regions in Namibia.....  | 18 |
| Figure 7: Permanent Closure of Erf B (a Portion of erf 825) St James Street measuring ± 3774 m <sup>2</sup> in extent as a Street, Keetmanshoop ..... | 21 |
| Figure 8: Subdivision of Erf 252, Keetmanshoop .....  | 22 |
| Figure 9: Consolidation of Erf A/252 and Erf B825 (Street), Keetmanshoop into Consolidated Erf X.....   | 23 |
| Figure 10: Mitigation Hierarchy .....   | 28 |

## LIST OF TABLES

|  |    |
|--|----|
| Table 1: List of triggered activities identified in the EIA Regulations which apply to the proposed project..... | 1  |
| Table 2: Contents of the Scoping / Environmental Assessment Report.....  | 4  |
| Table 3: Legislation applicable to the proposed development.....   | 7  |
| Table 4: Statistics of the Keetmanshoop Urban Constituency and //Karas Region .....                              | 13 |
| Table 5: Table of Public Participation Activities.....   | 25 |
| Table 6: Impact Assessment Criteria.....   | 26 |
| Table 7: Summary of the significance of the potential impacts .....  | 35 |
| Table 10: Proposed mitigation measures for the planning and design phase .....                                   | 41 |
| Table 8: Proposed mitigation measures for the construction phase .....   | 41 |
| Table 9: Proposed mitigation measures for the operational phase .....  | 45 |

## LIST OF ANNEXURES

|                    |  |
|--------------------|--|
| <b>Annexure A:</b> | Proof of Site Notices/ Posters   |
| <b>Annexure B:</b> | Proof of Advertisements  |
| <b>Annexure C:</b> | Public Participation process<br>I&AP Database & Registered List<br>Notification Letters and Emails sent of BID<br>Notification Letters and Emails sent of DESR<br>Comments and Response Report |
| <b>Annexure D:</b> | Curriculum Vitae and ID of Environmental Assessment Practitioner   |
| <b>Annexure E:</b> | Environmental Management Plan  |

## LIST OF ACRONYMS

|                 |  |
|-----------------|--|
| <b>AIDS</b>     | Acquired Immune Deficiency Syndrome                                      |
| <b>CRR</b>      | Comments and response report   |
| <b>dB</b>       | Decibels   |
| <b>DESR</b>     | Draft Environmental Scoping Report                                       |
| <b>EA</b>       | Environmental Assessment   |
| <b>EAP</b>      | Environmental Assessment Practitioner                                    |
| <b>EAR</b>      | Environmental Assessment Report  |
| <b>ECC</b>      | Environmental Clearance Certificate                                      |
| <b>ECO</b>      | Environmental Control Officer  |
| <b>EIA</b>      | Environmental Impact Assessment  |
| <b>EMA</b>      | Environmental Management Act   |
| <b>EMP</b>      | Environmental Management Plan  |
| <b>FESR</b>     | Final Environmental Scoping Report                                       |
| <b>GG</b>       | Government Gazette   |
| <b>GTZ</b>      | Gesellschaft für Technische Zusammenarbeit                               |
| <b>HIV</b>      | Human Immunodeficiency Virus   |
| <b>I&amp;AP</b> | Interested and Affected Party  |
| <b>IUCN</b>     | International Union for Conservation of Nature                           |
| <b>MET</b>      | Ministry of Environment and Tourism                                      |
| <b>MET: DEA</b> | Ministry of Environment and Tourism: Department of Environmental Affairs |
| <b>M</b>        | Meter  |
| <b>Mm</b>       | Millimeter   |
| <b>MURD</b>     | Ministry of Urban and Rural Development                                  |
| <b>MWTC</b>     | Ministry of Works Transport and Communication                            |
| <b>NAMPAB</b>   | Namibia Planning Advisory Board  |
| <b>NHC</b>      | National Heritage Council  |
| <b>NPC</b>      | Namibia Planning Commission  |
| <b>PPP</b>      | Public Participation Process   |
| <b>SADC</b>     | Southern African Development Community                                   |
| <b>SPC</b>      | Stubenrauch Planning Consultants   |
| <b>USAID</b>    | United States Agency for International Development                       |
| <b>VMMC</b>     | Voluntary Medical Male Circumcision                                      |

# 1 INTRODUCTION

---

## 1.1 PROJECT BACKGROUND

The Keetmanshoop Municipality hereinafter referred to as the proponent intends to undertake the following activities:

- **Permanent Closure of Erf B (A Portion of Erf 825) St James Street measuring ± 3774 m<sup>2</sup> in extent as a Street, Keetmanshoop, Karas Region.**

The above are listed activities in terms of the Environmental Management Act (No. 7 of 2007) and Environmental Impact Assessment Regulations (Government Notice No. 30 of 2012).

In terms of the Environmental Management Act (No. 7 of 2007) and Environmental Impact Assessment Regulations (Government Notice No. 30 of 2012), the following listed activities in **Table 1** were triggered by the proposed project:

**Table 1:** List of triggered activities identified in the EIA Regulations which apply to the proposed project

| <b>Activity description and No(s):</b> | <b>Description of relevant activity</b>   | <b>The portion of the development as per the project description that relates to the applicable listed activity</b> |
|--|---|---|
| Activity 10.1 (b) Infrastructure       | The construction of Public roads  | The proposed project includes the permanent closure of street portions.   |
| Activity 10.2 (a) Infrastructure       | The route determination of roads and design of associated physical infrastructure where – it is a public road | The proposed project includes the permanent closure of street portions.   |


The above activities will be discussed in more detail in Chapter 4. The proponent appointed Stubenrauch Planning Consultants (SPC) to undertake an independent Environmental Assessment (EA) in order to obtain an Environmental Clearance Certificate (ECC) for the above activities. The competent authority is the Ministry of Environment and Tourism: Department of Environmental Affairs (MET: DEA).

The process will be undertaken in terms of the gazetted Namibian Government Notice No. 30 Environmental Impact Assessment Regulations (herein referred to as EIA Regulations) and the Environmental Management Act (No 7 of 2007) (herein referred to as the EMA). The EIA process will

investigate if there are any potential significant bio-physical and socio-economic impacts associated with the intended activities. The EIA process would also serve to provide an opportunity for the public and key stakeholders to provide comments and participate in the process.

### ***1.2 PROJECT LOCATION, SIZE AND OWNERSHIP***

Erf 825 is located adjacent to Erf 252 in the neighbourhood of Keetmanshoop, opposite the back of the Pupkewitz Megabuild building. Erf 825 is currently reserved as a street but is not being utilised as such. Erf 252 is zoned for Local Authority purposes and is currently undeveloped. Please refer to below locality map (**Figure 1**).



**Figure 1:** Locality of proposed development in Keetmanshoop

### 1.3 TERMS OF REFERENCE AND SCOPE OF PROJECT

The scope of this project is limited to conducting an environmental impact assessment and applying for an Environmental Clearance Certificate for the following as indicated in section 1.1 above:

- **Permanent Closure of Erf B (A Portion of Erf 825) St James Street measuring ± 3774 m<sup>2</sup> in extent as a Street, Keetmanshoop, Karas Region.**

### 1.4 ASSUMPTIONS AND LIMITATIONS

In undertaking this investigation and compiling the Environmental Scoping Report, the following assumptions and limitations apply:

- Assumes the information provided by the proponent is accurate and discloses all information available.
- The limitation that no alternative except for the preferred layout plans and the 'no-go' option was considered during this assessment. The unique character and appeal of Keetmanshoop were however taken into consideration with the design perspective. Various layout alternatives were initially considered by the proponent, also taking terrain and environmental constraints into account, thus the current design plans being the most feasible result.

### 1.5 CONTENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL ASSESSMENT REPORT

Section 8 of the gazetted EIA Regulations requires specific content to be addressed in a Scoping / Environmental Assessment Report. **Table 2** below is an extract from the EMA and highlights the required contents of a Scoping / Environmental Assessment Report whilst assisting the reader to find the relevant section in the report.

**Table 2:** Contents of the Scoping / Environmental Assessment Report

| Section | Description  | Section of FESR/ Annexure  |
|---------|--|----------------------------|
| 8 (a)   | The curriculum vitae of the EAPs who prepared the report;  | Refer to <b>Annexure D</b> |
| 8 (b)   | A description of the proposed activity;  | Refer to Chapter 4         |
| 8 (c)   | A description of the site on which the activity is to be undertaken and the location of the activity on the site;  | Refer to Chapter 3         |
| 8 (d)   | A description of the environment that may be affected by the proposed activity and the manner in which the geographical, physical, biological, social, economic and cultural aspects of the environment may be affected by the proposed listed activity; | Refer to Chapter 3         |



| <b>Section</b> | <b>Description</b>   | <b>Section of FESR/ Annexure</b>  |
|----------------|--|---|
| 8 (e)          | An identification of laws and guidelines that have been considered in the preparation of the scoping report;   | Refer to Chapter 2  |
| 8 (f)          | Details of the public consultation process conducted in terms of regulation 7(1) in connection with the application, including   | Refer to Chapter 5  |
|                | (i) the steps that were taken to notify potentially interested and affected parties of the proposed application  | Refer to Chapter 5  |
|                | (ii) proof that notice boards, advertisements and notices notifying potentially interested and affected parties of the proposed application have been displayed, placed or given;  | Refer to <b>Annexures A and B</b> for site notices and advertisements respectively. |
|                | (iii) a list of all persons, organisations and organs of state that were registered in terms of regulation 22 as interested and affected parties in relation to the application;   | Refer to <b>Annexure C</b>  |
|                | (iv) a summary of the issues raised by interested and affected parties, the date of receipt of and the response of the EAP to those issues;  | Refer to <b>Annexure C</b>  |
| 8 (g)          | A description of the need and desirability of the proposed listed activity and any identified alternatives to the proposed activity that are feasible and reasonable, including the advantages and disadvantages that the proposed activity or alternatives have on the environment and on the community that may be affected by the activity; | Refer to Chapter 4  |
| 8 (h)          | A description and assessment of the significance of any significant effects, including cumulative effects, that may occur as a result of the undertaking of the activity or identified alternatives or as a result of any  | Refer to Chapter 7  |

| Section | Description  | Section of FESR/ Annexure                                 |
|---------|--|---|
|         | construction, erection or decommissioning associated with the undertaking of the proposed listed activity; |   |
| 8 (i)   | terms of reference for the detailed assessment;  | NB – Assessment of impacts are included in this EA Report |
| 8 (j)   | An environmental management plan   | Refer to <b>Annexure E</b>                                |

## 2 LEGAL FRAMEWORK

### 2.1 LEGISLATION RELEVANT TO THE PROPOSED DEVELOPMENT

There are multiple legal instruments that regulate and have a bearing on good environmental management in Namibia. **Table 3** below provides a summary of the legal instruments considered to be relevant to this development and the environmental assessment process.

**Table 3:** Legislation applicable to the proposed development

| LEGISLATION/POLICIES  | RELEVANT PROVISIONS  | RELEVANCE TO PROJECT  |
|---|--|---|
| The Constitution of the Republic of Namibia as Amended                        | Article 91 (c) provides for duty to guard against “the degradation and destruction of ecosystems and failure to protect the beauty and character of Namibia.”<br><br>Article 95(l) deals with the “maintenance of ecosystems, essential ecological processes and biological diversity” and sustainable use of the country’s natural resources. | Sustainable development should be at the forefront of this development.   |
| Environmental Management Act No. 7 of 2007 (EMA)                              | Section 2 outlines the objective of the Act and the means to achieve that.<br><br>Section 3 details the principle of Environmental Management  | The development should be informed by the EMA.  |
| EIA Regulations GN 28, 29, and 30 of EMA (2012)                               | GN 29 Identifies and lists certain activities that cannot be undertaken without an environmental clearance certificate.<br><br>GN 30 provides the regulations governing the environmental assessment (EA) process.   | The following listed activities were triggered by the proposed development:<br><br><b>Activity 10.1 (b) Infrastructure</b><br><b>Activity 10.2 (a) Infrastructure</b> |
| Convention on Biological Diversity (1992)                                     | Article 1 lists the conservation of biological diversity amongst the objectives of the convention.   | The project should consider the impact it will have on the biodiversity of the area.  |
| Draft Procedures and Guidelines for conducting EIAs and compiling EMPs (2008) | Part 1, Stage 8 of the guidelines states that if a proposal is likely to affect people, certain guidelines should be considered by the proponent in the scoping process.   | The EA process should incorporate the aspects outlined in the guidelines.   |

| LEGISLATION/POLICIES   | RELEVANT PROVISIONS  | RELEVANCE TO PROJECT   |
|--|--|--|
| Namibia Vision 2030  | Vision 2030 states that the solitude, silence and natural beauty that many areas in Namibia provide are becoming sought after commodities and must be regarded as valuable natural assets. | Care should be taken that the development does not lead to the degradation of the natural beauty of the area.  |
| Water Act No. 54 of 1956   | Section 23(1) deals with the prohibition of pollution of underground and surface water bodies.   | The pollution of water resources should be avoided during construction and operation of the development.   |
| The Ministry of Environment and Tourism (MET) Policy on HIV & AIDS | MET has recently developed a policy on HIV and AIDS. In addition, it has also initiated a programme aimed at mainstreaming HIV and gender issues into environmental impact assessments.    | The proponent and its contractor must adhere to the guidelines provided to manage the aspects of HIV/AIDS. Experience with construction projects has shown that a significant risk is created when migrant construction workers interact with local communities. |
| Township and Division of Land Ordinance 11 of 1963                 | The Townships and Division of Land Ordinance regulates subdivisions of portions of land falling within a Local Authority area  | In terms of Section 19 such applications are to be submitted to NAMPAB and Townships Board respectively.   |
| Local Authorities Act No. 23 of 1992                               | The Local Authorities Act prescribes the manner in which a town or municipality should be managed by the Town or Municipal Council.  | The development must comply with provisions of the Local Authorities Act.  |
| Labour Act no. 11 of 2007  | Chapter 2 details the fundamental rights and protections.<br>Chapter 3 deals with the basic conditions of employment.  | Given the employment opportunities presented by the development, compliance with the labour law is essential.  |
| National Heritage Act No. 27 of 2004                               | The Act is aimed at protecting, conserving and registering places and objects of heritage significance.  | All protected heritage resources (e.g. human remains etc.) discovered, need to be reported immediately to the National Heritage Council (NHC) and require a permit from the NHC before they may be relocated.  |

| LEGISLATION/POLICIES   | RELEVANT PROVISIONS  | RELEVANCE TO PROJECT   |
|--|--|--|
| Roads Ordinance 17 of 1972   | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Section 3.1 deals with width of proclaimed roads and road reserve boundaries</li> <li>• Section 27.1 is concerned with the control of traffic on urban trunk and main roads</li> <li>• Section 36.1 regulates rails, tracks, bridges, wires, cables, subways or culverts across or under proclaimed roads</li> <li>• Section 37.1 deals with Infringements and obstructions on and interference with proclaimed roads.</li> </ul> | Adhere to all applicable provisions of the Roads Ordinance.                                    |
| Public and Environmental Health Act of 2015                          | This Act (GG 5740) provides a framework for a structured uniform public and environmental health system in Namibia. It covers notification, prevention and control of diseases and sexually transmitted infections; maternal, ante-natal and neo-natal care; water and food supplies; infant nutrition; waste management; health nuisances; public and environmental health planning and reporting. It repeals the Public Health Act 36 of 1919 (SA GG 979).               | Contractors and users of the proposed development are to comply with these legal requirements. |
| Nature Conservation Ordinance no. 4 of 1975                          | Chapter 6 provides for legislation regarding the protection of indigenous plants   | Indigenous and protected plants must be managed within the legal confines.                     |
| Water Quality Guidelines for Drinking Water and Wastewater Treatment | Details specific quantities in terms of water quality determinants, which wastewater should be treated to before being discharged into the environment   | These guidelines are to be applied when dealing with water and waste treatment                 |
| Environmental Assessment Policy of                                   | The Policy seeks to ensure that the environmental consequences of development projects and policies  | This EIA considers this term of Environment.   |

| LEGISLATION/POLICIES                                     | RELEVANT PROVISIONS  | RELEVANCE TO PROJECT   |
|--|--|--|
| Namibia (1995)   | are considered, understood and incorporated into the planning process, and that the term ENVIRONMENT is broadly interpreted to include biophysical, social, economic, cultural, historical and political components.   |  |
| Water Resources Management Act No. 11 of 2013            | Part 12 deals with the control and protection of groundwater<br><br>Part 13 deals with water pollution control   | The pollution of water resources should be avoided during construction and operation of the development. Should water need to be abstracted, a water abstraction permit will be required from the Ministry of Water, Agriculture and Forestry. |
| Forest Act 12 of 2001 and Forest Regulations of 2015     | To provide for the establishment of a Forestry Council and the appointment of certain officials; to consolidate the laws relating to the management and use of forests and forest produce; to provide for the protection of the environment and the control and management of forest fires; to repeal the Preservation of Bees and Honey Proclamation, 1923 (Proclamation No. 1 of 1923), Preservation of Trees and Forests Ordinance, 1952 (Ordinance No. 37 of 1952) and the Forest Act, 1968 (Act No. 72 of 1968); and to deal with incidental matters. | Protected tree and plant species as per the Forest Act No 12 of 2001 and Forest Regulations of 2015 may not be removed without a permit from the Ministry of Agriculture, Water and Forestry.  |
| Atmospheric Pollution Prevention Ordinance No 45 of 1965 | Part II - control of noxious or offensive gases,<br><br>Part III - atmospheric pollution by smoke,<br><br>Part IV - dust control, and  | The development should consider the provisions outlined in the act. The proponent should apply for an Air Emissions permit from the Ministry of Health and Social Services (if needed).  |

| LEGISLATION/POLICIES                     | RELEVANT PROVISIONS  | RELEVANCE TO PROJECT  |
|--|--|---|
|  | Part V - air pollution by fumes emitted by vehicles.   |   |
| Hazardous Substance Ordinance 14 of 1974 | To provide for the control of substances which may cause injury or ill-health to or death of human beings by reason of their toxic, corrosive, irritant, strongly sensitizing or flammable nature or the generation of pressure thereby in certain circumstances; to provide for the division of such substances into groups in relation to the degree of danger; to provide for the prohibition and control of the importation, manufacture, sale, use, operation, application, modification, disposal or dumping of such substances; and to provide for matters connected therewith. | The handling, usage and storage of hazardous substances on site should be carefully controlled according to this Ordinance. |
| Soil Conservation Act No 76 of 1969      | Act to consolidate and amend the law relating to the combating and prevention of soil erosion, the conservation, improvement and manner of use of the soil and vegetation and the protection of the water sources  | The proposed activity should ensure that soil erosion and soil pollution is avoided during construction and operation.      |

This EIA process will be undertaken in accordance with the EIA Regulations. A Flow Diagram (refer to **Figure 2** below) provides an outline of the EIA process to be followed.




Figure 2: EIA flow Diagram



### 3 ENVIRONMENTAL BASELINE DESCRIPTION

#### 3.1 SOCIAL ENVIRONMENT

##### 3.1.1 Socio-Economic Context

The statistics shown in **Table 4** below are derived from the 2011 Namibia Population and Housing Census (Namibia Statistics Agency, 2013), and presented from a local and regional perspective.

**Table 4:** Statistics of the Keetmanshoop Urban Constituency and //Karas Region (Namibia Statistics Agency, 2011)

| KEETMANSHOOP URBAN   |                 |
|--|-----------------|
| ATTRIBUTE  | INDICATOR       |
| Population   | 19,447          |
| Females  | 9,970           |
| Males  | 9,477           |
| Population under 5 years                                   | 11%             |
| Population aged 5 to 14 years                              | 20%             |
| Population aged 15 to 59 years                             | 63%             |
| Population aged 60 years and above                         | 7%              |
| Female: male ratio   | 95:92           |
| Literacy rate of 15 years old and above                    | 97%             |
| People above 15 years who have never attended school       | 3%              |
| People above 15 years who are currently attending school   | 12%             |
| People above 15 years who have left school                 | 83%             |
| People aged 15 years and up who belong to the labour force | 72%             |
| Population employed  | 65%             |
| Homemakers   | 10%             |
| Students   | 44%             |
| Income from pension  | 10%             |
| Income from business and non-farming activities            | 7%              |
| Income from farming  | 1%              |
| Income from cash remittance                                | 5%              |
| Wages and salaries   | 73%             |
| //KARAS REGION   |                 |
| ATTRIBUTE  |                 |
| Population   | 77,421          |
| Rural population   | 46%             |
| Females  | 38,014          |
| Males  | 39,407          |
| Main Language  | Afrikaans (36%) |

### 3.1.2 Archaeological and Heritage Context

Keetmanshoop has a rich history and a number of architectural heritage buildings. These buildings form part of the history of the town and the uniqueness of the town which should be protected.

It is unlikely that the proposed project area will have any significant archaeological resources due to the fact that no major historical activity took place within close proximity to the sites. An accidental find procedure may, however, be required in the EMP.

## 3.2 BIO-PHYSICAL ENVIRONMENT


### 3.2.1 Air Quality

Air quality in Keetmanshoop urban is characterised to be comparatively good, since there are no current large-scale anthropogenic activities. With any construction activity the creation of fugitive dust will result which may be both a nuisance and a health risk. Dust may be generated by a variety of activities on site but taking the already high background dust levels into consideration, the increase resulting from this activity will be negligible under normal circumstances or when considered in combination with other activities.

### 3.2.2 Climate


The town of Keetmanshoop is situated in a semi-desert climate, with low rainfall, high evaporation and high day time temperatures. Day time temperatures in summer can reach between 32 - 36 °C. Night time temperatures in winter can get quite cold, with average temperatures between 4-6 °C (Mendelsohn, Jarvis, Roberts & Roberston, 2002). The average daily temperatures rise from about 13.8° C in July to about 26.7° C in January, a difference of 12.9 °C. These two months of December and January also experience the highest maximum temperatures for the year of about 36° C (Mendelsohn *et al.*, 2002).

Average annual evaporation rates for Keetmanshoop are between 2,520 and 2,660 mm per year. The number of days of frost per year is low – between 1 – 5 days of frost per year (Mendelsohn *et al.*, 2002).



**Figure 3:** Annual average temperature (Acacia Project E1, n.d.)

Keetmanshoop is relatively dry with an annual average rainfall of between 100 – 150mm per year as indicated in **Figure 4** below. The main problem with the rainfall is that it is highly variable in terms of amount of rainfall and its distribution. The relative humidity for the Keetmanshoop area ranges between 50-60% in the highest humidity times (April) and between 10-20% in time of the least humidity (October) (Mendelsohn *et al.*, 2002).




**Figure 4:** Average annual Rainfall (Acacia Project E1, n.d.)

### 3.2.3 Topography, Hydrogeology and Geology

Keetmanshoop is situated within the Nama-Karoo Basin, which is a “large, flat lying plateau which dominates much of southern Namibia. Sedimentary rocks deposited in the Nama Basin and later in the same area in the Karoo Basin form the foundations of the landscape. The basin slopes from the north, where elevations are about 1,400 m above sea level, to the south, where altitudes are approximately 900 m above sea level. The Fish, Löwen and Konkiep rivers drain the landscape, all flowing south to the Orange River” (Mendelsohn, 2002).





**Figure 6:** Groundwater basins and hydrogeological regions in Namibia (Ministry of Agriculture Water and Rural Development, 2011)

### 3.3 TERRESTRIAL ECOLOGY

#### 3.3.1 Flora and Fauna

The vegetation type of Keetmanshoop town falls within the Nama Karoo biome. The Nama Karoo supports a “varied assemblage of plant communities, ranging from deciduous shrub vegetation to perennial grasslands and succulent shrubs. Although dwarfshrubs dominate, there is a wealth of plant species due to the great variety of geological substrates, soils and landforms” (Mendelsohn *et al.*, 2002). The area is characterised by vegetation of the Karas Dwarf Shrub land that is mostly found in Eutric Leptosols and Petric Calcisol soils. The vegetation is dominated by grasslands and low shrubs (Mendelsohn *et al.*, 2002).

The site is presently undeveloped and is situated within an urban area, as such no significant flora is expected to be found on the proposed site. No large wild animals are expected to be inhabitants except maybe for small rodents and insects that shelter in burrows and under rocks.

## 4 PROJECT DESCRIPTION

---

### 4.1 PROJECT COMPONENTS

As previously outlined in Section 1.1, the proposed project involves the following activities:

- **Permanent Closure of Erf B (A Portion of Erf 825) St James Street measuring  $\pm 3774 \text{ m}^2$  in extent as a Street, Keetmanshoop, Karas Region.**

These components will be described in further detail below, in terms of their design, layout and footprint.

### 4.2 ALTERNATIVES

As pointed out in Section 1.4 above various layout alternatives were initially considered by the proponent, ultimately resulting in the final layouts.

#### 4.2.1 No – Go Alternative

The no-go alternative is the baseline against which all alternatives are assessed. The no-go alternative would essentially entail maintaining the current situation, whereby the subject erf would remain undeveloped. As such the site would not be available to be sold and used for Business purposes. Thus, the residents will not benefit from the Business activities proposed for the site.

### 4.3 THE PROPOSED DEVELOPMENT

It is then intention of the proponent to permanently close Erf B (A Portion of Erf 825) St James Street measuring  $\pm 3774 \text{ m}^2$  in extent as a Street as depicted in **Figure 7**.

The proponent further intends to subdivide Erf 252 into Erf A and Remainder (**Figure 8**) and further consolidate Erf A/252 with Erf B/825 (**Figure 9**). The newly consolidated Erf will be rezoned from Local Authority to Business to be used for Business purposes. The subject site is located within a mixed land use area and would thus be suitable for the proposed development. The exact type of business to be developed on the site is not known. In terms of the Keetmanshoop Town Planning Scheme, the land uses depicted in **Table 5** below are permitted on a Business 2 zoned erf.

**Table 5:** Extract of Table B from Keetmanshoop Town Planning Scheme




| α  | ZONEα  | MAP-<br>REFERENCEα  | PRIMARY-USE-<br>(purposes for which land<br>may be used)α  | SPECIAL-CONSENT- (purposes-<br>for which land may be used and<br>buildings may be erected and<br>used with the special consent of<br>the council only)α  |
|----|--|---|--|--|
| Gα | Business-<br>Zone-2α<br>¶<br>(General-<br>Business)α | Purple-Fill¶<br>¶<br>α<br> | Shop, business building,<br>parking garage, hotel,<br>block of flats, residential<br>building, office, drive-in<br>café, bottle-storeα | Service industry, place of<br>assembly, place of<br>entertainment, institutional use,<br>warehouse, accommodation<br>establishment on ground floor,<br>gambling house, service station,<br>block of flats and residential<br>building on ground floorα |

Table 1: Table B extracted from the Keetmanshoop Town Planning Amendment Scheme No.4¶






**Figure 7:** Permanent Closure of Erf B (a Portion of erf 825) St James Street measuring ± 3774 m<sup>2</sup> in extent as a Street, Keetmanshoop



**Figure 8: Subdivision of Erf 252, Keetmanshoop**





**Figure 9: Consolidation of Erf A/252 and Erf B825 (Street), Keetmanshoop into Consolidated Erf X**





**Figure 10:** Google Maps clip of site

#### 4.3.1 Engineering Services and Access Provision

The erven are proposed to be connected to the existing municipal reticulation system. Since the exact Business to be developed is not know it is difficult to determine what the demand would be in terms of the water, sewage and electricity for the site. As such these need to be determined during the design of the business activities on site to ensure that sufficient capacity is available for the intended development.

Access to the newly created erf is proposed to be obtained via the internal street network of Keetmanshoop.

## 5 PUBLIC PARTICIPATION PROCESS

---

### 5.1 PUBLIC PARTICIPATION REQUIREMENTS

In terms of Section 21 of the EIA Regulations a call for open consultation with all I&APs at defined stages of the EIA process is required. This entails participatory consultation with members of the public by providing an opportunity to comment on the proposed project. Public Participation has thus incorporated the requirements of Namibia's legislation, but also takes account of international guidelines, including Southern African Development Community (SADC) guidelines and the Namibian EIA Regulations. Public participation in this project has been undertaken to meet the specific requirements in accordance with the international best practice. Please see **Table 6** below for the activities undertaken as part of the public participation process. The I&APs were given time to comment from **17 February 2020 to 9 March 2020**.

**Table 6:** Table of Public Participation Activities

| ACTIVITY  | REMARKS               |
|---|-----------------------|
| Placement of site notice in Keetmanshoop  | See <b>Annexure A</b> |
| Placing advertisements in two newspapers namely the New Era and The Sun ( <b>17 February and 24 February 2020</b> )     | See <b>Annexure B</b> |
| Written notice to surrounding property owners and Interested and Affected Parties via Email ( <b>17 February 2020</b> ) | See <b>Annexure C</b> |

No comments were received during the initial comment period (17 February 2020 until 9 March 2020).

#### 5.1.1 Environmental Assessment Phase 2

The second phase of the PPP involved the lodging of the Draft Environmental Scoping Report (DESR) to all registered I&APs for comment. Registered and potential I&APs were informed of the availability of the DESR for public comment *via* a letter/email dated **14 May 2020**. An Executive Summary of the DESR was also included in the letters to the registered I&APs. I&APs had until **29 May 2020** to submit comments or raise any issues or concerns they may have with regard to the proposed project. No comments were received during the circulation of the DESR for review and comment.

## 6 ASSESSMENT METHODOLOGY

*The purpose of this chapter is to describe the assessment methodology utilized in determining the significance of the construction and operational impacts of the proposed project, and where applicable the possible alternatives, on the biophysical and socio-economic environment.*

Assessment of predicted significance of impacts for a proposed development is by its nature, inherently uncertain – environmental assessment is thus an imprecise science. To deal with such uncertainty in a comparable manner, a standardised and internationally recognised methodology has been developed. Such accepted methodology is applied in this study to assess the significance of the potential environmental impacts of the proposed development, outlined as follows in **Table 7**.

**Table 7:** Impact Assessment Criteria

| CRITERIA  | CATEGORY   |
|---|--|
| <b>Impact</b>   | Description of the expected impact   |
| <b>Nature</b><br>Describe type of effect                              | <b>Positive:</b> The activity will have a social / economical / environmental benefit.<br><b>Neutral:</b> The activity will have no effect<br><b>Negative:</b> The activity will have a social / economical / environmental harmful effect   |
| <b>Extent</b><br>Describe the scale of the impact                     | <b>Site Specific:</b> Expanding only as far as the activity itself (onsite)<br><b>Small:</b> restricted to the site's immediate environment within 1 km of the site (limited)<br><b>Medium:</b> Within 5 km of the site (local)<br><b>Large:</b> Beyond 5 km of the site (regional)  |
| <b>Duration</b><br>Predicts the lifetime of the impact.               | <b>Temporary:</b> < 1 year (not including construction)<br><b>Short-term:</b> 1 – 5 years<br><b>Medium term:</b> 5 – 15 years<br><b>Long-term:</b> >15 years (Impact will stop after the operational or running life of the activity, either due to natural course or by human interference)<br><b>Permanent:</b> Impact will be where mitigation or moderation by natural course or by human interference will not occur in a particular means or in a particular time period that the impact can be considered temporary |
| <b>Intensity</b><br>Describe the magnitude (scale/size) of the Impact | <b>Zero:</b> Social and/or natural functions and/ or processes remain unaltered<br><b>Very low:</b> Affects the environment in such a way that natural and/or social functions/processes are not affected  |


| CRITERIA  | CATEGORY   |
|---|--|
|   | <p><b>Low:</b> Natural and/or social functions/processes are slightly altered</p> <p><b>Medium:</b> Natural and/or social functions/processes are notably altered in a modified way</p> <p><b>High:</b> Natural and/or social functions/processes are severely altered and may temporarily or permanently cease</p>  |
| <p><b>Probability of occurrence</b><br/>Describe the probability of the Impact <u>actually</u> occurring</p>  | <p><b>Improbable:</b> Not at all likely</p> <p><b>Probable:</b> Distinctive possibility</p> <p><b>Highly probable:</b> Most likely to happen</p> <p><b>Definite:</b> Impact will occur regardless of any prevention measures</p>   |
| <p><b>Degree of Confidence in predictions</b><br/>State the degree of confidence in predictions based on availability of information and specialist knowledge</p> | <p><b>Unsure/Low:</b> Little confidence regarding information available (&lt;40%)</p> <p><b>Probable/Med:</b> Moderate confidence regarding information available (40-80%)</p> <p><b>Definite/High:</b> Great confidence regarding information available (&gt;80%)</p>   |
| <p><b>Significance Rating</b><br/>The impact on each component is determined by a combination of the above criteria.</p>  | <p><b>Neutral:</b> A potential concern which was found to have no impact when evaluated</p> <p><b>Very low:</b> Impacts will be site specific and temporary with no mitigation necessary.</p> <p><b>Low:</b> The impacts will have a minor influence on the proposed development and/or environment. These impacts require some thought to adjustment of the project design where achievable, or alternative mitigation measures</p> <p><b>Medium:</b> Impacts will be experienced in the local and surrounding areas for the life span of the development and may result in long term changes. The impact can be lessened or improved by an amendment in the project design or implementation of effective mitigation measures.</p> <p><b>High:</b> Impacts have a high magnitude and will be experienced regionally for at least the life span of the development, or will be irreversible. The impacts could have the no-go proposition on portions of the development in spite of any mitigation measures that could be implemented.</p> |

\*NOTE: Where applicable, the magnitude of the impact has to be related to the relevant standard (threshold value specified and source referenced). The magnitude of impact is based on specialist knowledge of that particular field.

For each impact, the EXTENT (spatial scale), MAGNITUDE (size or degree scale) and DURATION (time scale) are described. These criteria are used to ascertain the SIGNIFICANCE of the impact, firstly in the case of no mitigation and then with the most effective mitigation measure(s) in place. The decision as to which combination of alternatives and mitigation measures to apply lies with the proponent, and their acceptance and approval ultimately with the relevant environmental authority.

The SIGNIFICANCE of an impact is derived by taking into account the temporal and spatial scales and magnitude. Such significance is also informed by the context of the impact, i.e. the character and identity of the receptor of the impact.

### 6.1 MITIGATION MEASURES



There is a mitigation hierarchy of actions which can be undertaken to respond to any proposed project or activity (See **Figure 11** below). These cover avoidance, minimization, restoration and compensation. It is possible and considered sought after to enhance the environment by ensuring that positive gains are included in the proposed activity or project. If negative impacts occur then the hierarchy indicates the following steps.

**Impact avoidance:** This step is most effective when applied at an early stage of project planning. It can be achieved by:

- not undertaking certain projects or elements that could result in adverse impacts;
- avoiding areas that are environmentally sensitive; and
- putting in place preventative measures to stop adverse impacts from occurring.

**Impact minimization:** This step is usually taken during impact identification and prediction to limit or reduce the degree, extent, magnitude, or duration of adverse impacts. It can be achieved by:

- scaling down or relocating the proposal;
- redesigning elements of the project; and
- taking supplementary measures to manage the impacts.

Figure 11: Mitigation Hierarchy

**Restoration:** This step is taken to improve degraded or removed ecosystems following exposure to impacts that cannot be completely avoided or minimised. Restoration tries to return an area to the



original ecosystem that occurred before impacts. Restoration is frequently needed towards the end of a project's life-cycle but may be possible in some areas during operation.

**Impact compensation:** This step is usually applied to remedy unavoidable residual adverse impacts. It can be achieved by:

- rehabilitation of the affected site or environment, for example, by habitat enhancement;
- restoration of the affected site or environment to its previous state or better; and
- replacement of the same resource values at another location (off-set), for example, by wetland engineering to provide an equivalent area to that lost to drainage or infill.

## **7 ASSESSMENT OF POTENTIAL IMPACTS AND POSSIBLE MITIGATION MEASURES**

---

### ***7.1 INTRODUCTION***

This Chapter describes the potential impacts on the biophysical and socio-economic environments, which may occur due to the proposed activities described in Chapter 4. These include potential impacts, which may arise during the operation of the proposed development (i.e. long-term impacts) as well as the potential construction related impacts (i.e. short to medium term). The assessment of potential impacts will help to inform and confirm the selection of the preferred layouts to be submitted to MET: DEA for consideration. In turn, MET: DEA's decision on the environmental acceptability of the proposed project and the setting of conditions of authorisation (should the project be authorised) will be informed by this chapter, amongst other information, contained in this EA Report.

The baseline and potential impacts that could result from the proposed development are described and assessed with potential mitigation measures recommended. Finally, comment is provided on the potential cumulative impacts which could result should this development, and others like it in the area, be approved.

### ***7.1 PLANNING AND DESIGN PHASE IMPACTS***

#### **7.1.1 Existing Service Infrastructure Impacts**

The subject erven are already connected to the municipal reticulation network of Keetmanshoop. It will then be incumbent of the proponent to apply for the appropriate services such as electricity and water, and the required demand for the proposed activity.

### ***7.2 CONSTRUCTION PHASE IMPACTS ON THE BIOPHYSICAL ENVIRONMENT***

The construction phase impacts are those impacts on the biophysical and socio-economic environment that would occur during the construction phase. These impacts are inherently temporary in duration but may have longer lasting effects.

#### **7.2.1 Flora and Fauna Impacts (Biodiversity)**

The proposed site is undeveloped and sparsely vegetated as such no significant impacts on biodiversity are anticipated for the proposed activity.

It is anticipated that the proposed development area and associated infrastructure (e.g. water, sewage, access route, etc.) would have localised negative implications on the environment and

associated fauna and flora should the proposed mitigation measures as outlined in the EMP be enforced.

### **7.2.2 Waste Generation**

During construction, waste may be generated on site which would have to be managed appropriately in accordance with the provisions for waste management in the EMP.

### **7.2.3 Surface and Ground Water Impacts**

Surface and groundwater impacts may be encountered during the construction and operation phase, especially if development takes place within the rainy season. The risk of contaminating such water sources can be increased by accidental spillage of oils and fuels and any other equipment used during construction. This risk is minimised by the fact that the construction phase will be a short-term activity.

## ***7.3 CONSTRUCTION PHASE IMPACTS ON THE SOCIO-ECONOMIC ENVIRONMENT***

### **7.3.1 Heritage impacts**

No archaeological and heritage resources are expected to be found on the site. The project management should however be made aware of the provisions of the National Heritage Act regarding the prompt reporting of archaeological finds. Section 3.1.2 provides an overview of the archaeological and heritage context of the town and region.

### **7.3.2 Health, Safety and Security Impacts**

Due to the demand for construction workers during the construction of the proposed project an influx of migrant workforce who will require temporary accommodation in Keetmanshoop might be experienced. Experience with other construction projects in a developing-world context has shown that, where migrant construction workers have the opportunity to interact with the local community, a significant risk is created for the development of social conditions and sexual behaviors that contribute to the spread of HIV and AIDS.

In response to the threat the pandemic poses, MET has developed a policy on HIV and AIDS. This policy, which was developed with support from USAID, GTZ and the German Development Fund, provides for a non-discriminatory work environment and for workplace programs managed by a Ministry-wide committee. The MET has also recently initiated a programme aimed at mainstreaming HIV and gender issues into environmental impact assessments.

### **7.3.3 Traffic Impacts**

Traffic is expected to increase during the construction phase of the project in areas where construction will take place. A number of trucks and other heavy machinery will be required to deliver, handle and position construction materials as well as to remove spoil material. Not only will the increase in traffic result in associated noise impacts, it will also impact on the roads in the area.

### **7.3.4 Noise Impacts**

Construction may result in associated noise impacts. These noise impacts will mainly be associated with construction machinery and construction vehicles. The impact is however limited mainly to the construction period only.

### **7.3.5 Dust and Emission Impacts**

Excavation and stockpiles during the construction phase could result in dust impacts, if not managed correctly. Dust could impact negatively on the health of the nearby community if mitigation measures are not implemented. Dust impacts are primarily associated with the construction phase.

### **7.3.6 Municipal Services**

The construction phase will result in additional people on-site, who will require provision of the following services:

- Potable water for domestic (ablution and drinking) and construction purposes.
- Temporary toilets during the construction phase.
- Solid waste management (domestic and construction waste).

These services if not managed well are likely to create an opportunity for water wastage; litter; solid and human waste pollution.

### **7.3.7 Storage and Utilisation of Hazardous Substances**

Hazardous substances are regarded by the Hazardous Substance Ordinance (No. 14 of 1974) as those substances which may cause injury or ill-health to or death of human beings by reason of their toxic, corrosive, irritant, strongly sensitizing or flammable nature or the generation of pressure thereby in certain circumstances. During the construction period, the use and storage of these types of hazardous substances, such as shutter oil, curing compounds, types of solvents, primers and adhesives and diesel, on-site could have negative impacts on the surrounding environment if these substances spill and enter the environment.

## **7.4 OPERATIONAL PHASE IMPACTS**

The operational phase impacts are those impacts on the biophysical and socio-economic environment that would occur during the operational phase of the proposed project and are inherently long-term in duration.

### **7.4.1 Traffic Impacts**

Traffic is not expected to be impacted significantly as the street being proposed to be closed is not currently being utilised as a street. Traffic may increase once the business erf becomes developed. The magnitude of the increase in traffic will depend on the type of business which will be established on the subject erf.

### **7.4.2 Waste Generation**

Waste will be generated during the operation of the proposed business. It is essential that a waste management plan be developed to ensure that the different kinds of waste being generated on site will be disposed of in an appropriate manner and according to standard and in line with regulations.

### **7.4.3 Visual Impacts**

The proposed development will result in a change in visual characteristics of the site as there will be new buildings developed on the site. The extent of this disturbance will depend on how highly the interested and affected parties valued the initial aesthetic quality of the site.

### **7.4.4 Noise Impacts**

The operational activities may result in associated noise impacts, depending on the exact type of activities taking place on the properties. However due to the nature of the land uses proposed for the subject erven it is not expected that the noise levels will be significant if managed well.

### **7.4.5 Emission Impacts**

The air quality in the area is considered to be fairly good. Additional emissions are not expected due to the land uses that are intended for the site.

### **7.4.6 Employment creation**

A small number of residents from Keetmanshoop could benefit from employment during construction and possibly during operation depending on the activities taking place at the site.

## **7.5 CUMULATIVE IMPACTS**

The cumulative impact of the proposed developments in regard to the degradation of the project area is very difficult to rate. If all proposed mitigation measures are however in place to minimise the overall impacts, then the cumulative impact can be expected to be rated as **Medium-Low (negative)** for the proposed developments.

### **7.1 ENVIRONMENTAL MANAGEMENT PLAN**

An Environmental Management Plan (EMP) is contained in **Annexure E** of this report. The purpose of the EMP is to outline the type and range of mitigation measures that should be implemented during the construction and decommissioning phases of the project to ensure that negative impacts associated with the development are avoided or mitigated.

### **7.2 SUMMARY OF POTENTIAL IMPACTS**

A summary of all the potential impacts from the proposed project assessed above is included in **Table 8**. The **Tables 9 – 11** provide a summary of the mitigation measures proposed for the impacts. While some difference in magnitude of the potential impacts would result from the proposed alternatives this difference was not considered to be significant for any of the potential impacts. As such, the table below applies to all proposed alternatives.

**Table 8:** Summary of the significance of the potential impacts

| Description of potential impact          | Project alternative  | No mitigation / mitigation | Extent | Magnitude | Duration    | SIGNIFICANCE | Probability | Confidence | Reversibility | Cumulative impact  |
|--|----------------------|----------------------------|--------|-----------|-------------|--------------|-------------|------------|---------------|--------------------|
| <b>PLANNING AND DESIGN PHASE</b>         |                      |                            |        |           |             |              |             |            |               |                    |
| <b>1. Existing services</b>              | Erf 825 Keetmanshoop | No mitigation              | Local  | Medium    | Medium term | Medium       | Probable    | Certain    | Reversible    | Medium-Low (-ve)   |
|  |                      | Mitigation                 | Local  | Low       | Medium term | Low          | Probable    | Certain    | Reversible    | Low (-ve)          |
|  | No go                | No mitigation              | Local  | Neutral   | Medium term | Neutral      | Probable    | Certain    | Reversible    | Neutral            |
|  |                      | Mitigation                 | Local  | Neutral   | Medium term | Neutral      | Probable    | Certain    | Reversible    | Neutral            |
| <b>CONSTRUCTION PHASE</b>                |                      |                            |        |           |             |              |             |            |               |                    |
| <b>2. Biodiversity (Fauna and Flora)</b> | Erf 825 Keetmanshoop | No mitigation              | Local  | Low       | Short term  | Low          | Probable    | Certain    | Reversible    | Medium (-ve)       |
|  |                      | Mitigation                 | Local  | Very Low  | Short term  | Very Low     | Probable    | Certain    | Reversible    | Low (-ve)          |
|  | No go                | No mitigation              | Local  | Neutral   | Short term  | Neutral      | Probable    | Certain    | Reversible    | Neutral            |
|  |                      | Mitigation                 | Local  | Neutral   | Short term  | Neutral      | Probable    | Certain    | Reversible    | Neutral            |
| <b>3. Surface &amp; ground water</b>     | Erf 825 Keetmanshoop | No mitigation              | Local  | Medium    | Short term  | Medium       | Probable    | Certain    | Reversible    | Medium (-ve)       |
|  |                      | Mitigation                 | Local  | Low       | Short term  | Medium - low | Probable    | Certain    | Reversible    | Medium - Low (-ve) |
|  | No go                | No mitigation              | Local  | Neutral   | Short term  | Neutral      | Probable    | Certain    | Reversible    | Neutral            |

| Description of potential impact       | Project alternative  | No mitigation / mitigation | Extent | Magnitude  | Duration   | SIGNIFICANCE | Probability | Confidence | Reversibility | Cumulative impact  |
|---------------------------------------|----------------------|----------------------------|--------|------------|------------|--------------|-------------|------------|---------------|--------------------|
|                                       |                      | Mitigation                 | Local  | Neutral    | Short term | Neutral      | Probable    | Certain    | Reversible    | Neutral            |
| <b>4. Waste Generation</b>            | Erf 825 Keetmanshoop | No mitigation              | Local  | Medium     | Short term | Medium       | Probable    | Certain    | Reversible    | Medium – low (-ve) |
|                                       |                      | Mitigation                 | Local  | Low        | Short term | Low          | Probable    | Certain    | Reversible    | Low (-ve)          |
|                                       | No go                | No mitigation              | Local  | Neutral    | Short term | Neutral      | Probable    | Certain    | Reversible    | Neutral            |
|                                       |                      | Mitigation                 | Local  | Neutral    | Short term | Neutral      | Probable    | Certain    | Reversible    | Neutral            |
| <b>5. Heritage</b>                    | Erf 825 Keetmanshoop | No mitigation              | Local  | Very low   | Short term | Very low     | Probable    | Certain    | Irreversible  | Very low(-ve)      |
|                                       |                      | Mitigation                 | Local  | Negligible | Short term | Negligible   | Probable    | Certain    | Irreversible  | Negligible (-ve)   |
|                                       | No go                | No mitigation              | Local  | Neutral    | Short term | Neutral      | Probable    | Certain    | Reversible    | Neutral            |
|                                       |                      | Mitigation                 | Local  | Neutral    | Short term | Neutral      | Probable    | Certain    | Reversible    | Neutral            |
| <b>6. Health, safety and security</b> | Erf 825 Keetmanshoop | No mitigation              | Local  | Medium-Low | Short term | Medium-Low   | Probable    | Certain    | Reversible    | Medium-Low (-ve)   |
|                                       |                      | Mitigation                 | Local  | Low        | Short term | Low          | Probable    | Certain    | Reversible    | Low (-ve)          |
|                                       | No go                | No mitigation              | Local  | Neutral    | Short term | Neutral      | Probable    | Certain    | Reversible    | Neutral            |
|                                       |                      | Mitigation                 | Local  | Neutral    | Short term | Neutral      | Probable    | Certain    | Reversible    | Neutral            |



| Description of potential impact        | Project alternative  | No mitigation / mitigation | Extent | Magnitude  | Duration   | SIGNIFICANCE | Probability | Confidence | Reversibility | Cumulative impact  |
|--|----------------------|----------------------------|--------|------------|------------|--------------|-------------|------------|---------------|--------------------|
| <b>7. Traffic impacts</b>              | Erf 825 Keetmanshoop | No mitigation              | Local  | Medium     | Short term | Medium       | Probable    | Certain    | Reversible    | Low (-ve)          |
|  |                      | Mitigation                 | Local  | Medium-Low | Short term | Medium-Low   | Probable    | Certain    | Reversible    | Very low           |
|  | No go                | No mitigation              | Local  | Neutral    | Short term | Neutral      | Probable    | Certain    | Reversible    | Neutral            |
|  |                      | Mitigation                 | Local  | Neutral    | Short term | Neutral      | Probable    | Certain    | Reversible    | Neutral            |
| <b>8. Noise impacts</b>                | Erf 825 Keetmanshoop | No mitigation              | Local  | Medium     | Short term | Medium - low | Probable    | Certain    | Reversible    | Medium - Low (-ve) |
|  |                      | Mitigation                 | Local  | Low        | Short term | Low          | Probable    | Certain    | Reversible    | Very low (-ve)     |
|  | No go                | No mitigation              | Local  | Neutral    | Short term | Neutral      | Probable    | Certain    | Reversible    | Neutral            |
|  |                      | Mitigation                 | Local  | Neutral    | Short term | Neutral      | Probable    | Certain    | Reversible    | Neutral            |
| <b>9. Dust &amp; emissions impacts</b> | Erf 825 Keetmanshoop | No mitigation              | Local  | Medium     | Short term | Medium - low | Probable    | Certain    | Reversible    | Medium - Low (-ve) |
|  |                      | Mitigation                 | Local  | Low        | Short term | Low          | Probable    | Certain    | Reversible    | Low (-ve)          |
|  | No go                | No mitigation              | Local  | Neutral    | Short term | Neutral      | Probable    | Certain    | Reversible    | Neutral            |
|  |                      | Mitigation                 | Local  | Neutral    | Short term | Neutral      | Probable    | Certain    | Reversible    | Neutral            |
| <b>10. Municipal services</b>          | Erf 825 Keetmanshoop | No mitigation              | Local  | Low        | Short term | Low          | Probable    | Certain    | Reversible    | Low (-ve)          |

| Description of potential impact              | Project alternative  | No mitigation / mitigation | Extent | Magnitude | Duration   | SIGNIFICANCE | Probability | Confidence | Reversibility | Cumulative impact |
|--|----------------------|----------------------------|--------|-----------|------------|--------------|-------------|------------|---------------|-------------------|
|  | No go                | Mitigation                 | Local  | Very low  | Short term | Very low     | Probable    | Certain    | Reversible    | Very low (-ve)    |
|  |                      | No mitigation              | Local  | Neutral   | Short term | Neutral      | Probable    | Certain    | Reversible    | Neutral           |
|  |                      | Mitigation                 | Local  | Neutral   | Short term | Neutral      | Probable    | Certain    | Reversible    | Neutral           |
| <b>11. Disturbance surrounding residents</b> | Erf 825 Keetmanshoop | No mitigation              | Local  | Low       | Short term | Medium       | Probable    | Certain    | Reversible    | Medium (-ve)      |
|  |                      | Mitigation                 | Local  | Very low  | Short term | Medium-Low   | Probable    | Certain    | Reversible    | Medium-low (-ve)  |
|  | No go                | No mitigation              | Local  | Neutral   | Short term | Neutral      | Probable    | Certain    | Reversible    | Neutral           |
|  |                      | Mitigation                 | Local  | Neutral   | Short term | Neutral      | Probable    | Certain    | Reversible    | Neutral           |
| <b>12. Hazardous Substances</b>              | Erf 825 Keetmanshoop | No mitigation              | Local  | Low       | Short term | Medium       | Probable    | Certain    | Reversible    | Medium (-ve)      |
|  |                      | Mitigation                 | Local  | Very low  | Short term | Medium-Low   | Probable    | Certain    | Reversible    | Medium-low (-ve)  |
|  | No go                | No mitigation              | Local  | Neutral   | Short term | Neutral      | Probable    | Certain    | Reversible    | Neutral           |
|  |                      | Mitigation                 | Local  | Neutral   | Short term | Neutral      | Probable    | Certain    | Reversible    | Neutral           |
| <b>13. Waste</b>                             | Erf 825 Keetmanshoop | No mitigation              | Local  | Low       | Short term | Medium       | Probable    | Certain    | Reversible    | Medium (-ve)      |
|  |                      | Mitigation                 | Local  | Very low  | Short term | Medium-Low   | Probable    | Certain    | Reversible    | Medium low (-ve)  |

| Description of potential impact       | Project alternative  | No mitigation / mitigation | Extent | Magnitude  | Duration    | SIGNIFICANCE | Probability | Confidence | Reversibility | Cumulative impact |
|---------------------------------------|----------------------|----------------------------|--------|------------|-------------|--------------|-------------|------------|---------------|-------------------|
|                                       | No go                | No mitigation              | Local  | Neutral    | Short term  | Neutral      | Probable    | Certain    | Reversible    | Neutral           |
|                                       |                      | Mitigation                 | Local  | Neutral    | Short term  | Neutral      | Probable    | Certain    | Reversible    | Neutral           |
| <b>OPERATIONAL PHASE</b>              |                      |                            |        |            |             |              |             |            |               |                   |
| <b>1. Visual &amp; sense of place</b> | Erf 825 Keetmanshoop | No mitigation              | Local  | Medium     | Medium term | Medium       | Probable    | Certain    | Reversible    | Medium (-ve)      |
|                                       |                      | Mitigation                 | Local  | Medium-Low | Medium term | Medium-Low   | Probable    | Certain    | Reversible    | Medium-Low (-ve)  |
|                                       | No go                | No mitigation              | Local  | Neutral    | Medium term | Neutral      | Probable    | Certain    | Reversible    | Neutral           |
|                                       |                      | Mitigation                 | Local  | Neutral    | Medium term | Neutral      | Probable    | Certain    | Reversible    | Neutral           |
| <b>2. Waste</b>                       | Erf 825 Keetmanshoop | No mitigation              | Local  | Low        | Short term  | Medium       | Probable    | Certain    | Reversible    | Medium (-ve)      |
|                                       |                      | Mitigation                 | Local  | Very low   | Short term  | Medium-Low   | Probable    | Certain    | Reversible    | Medium low (-ve)  |
|                                       | No go                | No mitigation              | Local  | Neutral    | Short term  | Neutral      | Probable    | Certain    | Reversible    | Neutral           |
|                                       |                      | Mitigation                 | Local  | Neutral    | Short term  | Neutral      | Probable    | Certain    | Reversible    | Neutral           |
| <b>3. Noise</b>                       | Erf 825 Keetmanshoop | No mitigation              | Local  | Medium-Low | Medium term | Medium-Low   | Probable    | Certain    | Reversible    | Medium-Low (-ve)  |
|                                       |                      | Mitigation                 | Local  | Low        | Medium term | Low          | Probable    | Certain    | Reversible    | Low (-ve)         |

| Description of potential impact | Project alternative  | No mitigation / mitigation | Extent | Magnitude  | Duration    | SIGNIFICANCE | Probability | Confidence | Reversibility | Cumulative impact |
|---------------------------------|----------------------|----------------------------|--------|------------|-------------|--------------|-------------|------------|---------------|-------------------|
|                                 | No go                | No mitigation              | Local  | Neutral    | Medium term | Neutral      | Probable    | Certain    | Reversible    | Neutral           |
|                                 |                      | Mitigation                 | Local  | Neutral    | Medium term | Neutral      | Probable    | Certain    | Reversible    | Neutral           |
| <b>4. Dust &amp; emissions</b>  | Erf 825 Keetmanshoop | No mitigation              | Local  | Medium-Low | Medium term | Low          | Probable    | Certain    | Reversible    | Medium-Low (-ve)  |
|                                 |                      | Mitigation                 | Local  | Low        | Medium term | Medium-Low   | Probable    | Certain    | Reversible    | Low (-ve)         |
|                                 | No go                | No mitigation              | Local  | Neutral    | Medium term | Neutral      | Probable    | Certain    | Reversible    | Neutral           |
|                                 |                      | Mitigation                 | Local  | Neutral    | Medium term | Neutral      | Probable    | Certain    | Reversible    | Neutral           |
| <b>5. Social impact</b>         | Erf 825 Keetmanshoop | No mitigation              | Local  | Medium     | Long term   | Medium (+)   | Probable    | Probable   | Reversible    | High (+)          |
|                                 | No go                | No mitigation              | Local  | Neutral    | Long term   | Neutral      | Probable    | Probable   | Reversible    | Neutral           |

**Table 9:** Proposed mitigation measures for the planning and design phase

| PLANNING AND DESIGN PHASE IMPACTS |   |
|-----------------------------------|---|
| Impact                            | Mitigation Measures   |
| Existing Service Infrastructure   | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Water saving mechanisms should be considered for incorporation within the developments in order to further reduce water demands.</li> <li>Re-use of treated wastewater should be considered wherever possible to reduce the consumption of potable water.</li> </ul> |

**Table 10:** Proposed mitigation measures for the construction phase

| CONSTRUCTION PHASE IMPACTS |  |
|----------------------------|--|
| Impact                     | Mitigation Measures  |
| Flora and Fauna            | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Prevent the destruction of protected and endemic plant species.</li> <li>Do not clear cut the entire development site, but rather keep the few individual trees/shrubs not directly affecting the developments as part of the landscaping.</li> <li>The plants that are to be kept should be clearly marked with “danger tape” to prevent accidental removal.</li> <li>Regular inspection of the marking tool should be carried out.</li> <li>Recommend the planting of local indigenous species of flora as part of the landscaping as these species would require less maintenance than exotic species.</li> <li>Transplant removed plants where possible, or plant new plants in lieu of those that have been removed.</li> <li>Prevent the introduction of potentially invasive alien ornamental plant species such as; <i>Lantana</i>, <i>Opuntia</i>, <i>Prosopis</i>, <i>Tecoma</i>, etc.; as part of the landscaping as these species could infest the area further over time.</li> </ul> |
| Surface and Ground         |  |

| <b>CONSTRUCTION PHASE IMPACTS</b>  |  |
|------------------------------------|--|
| <b>Impact</b>                      | <b>Mitigation Measures</b>   |
| <b>Water Impacts</b>               | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• It is recommended that construction takes place outside of the rainy season in order to limit flooding on site and surface water pollution.</li> <li>• No dumping of waste products of any kind in or in close proximity to surface water bodies.</li> <li>• Heavy construction vehicles should be kept out of any surface water bodies and the movement of construction vehicles should be limited where possible to the existing roads and tracks.</li> <li>• Ensure that oil/ fuel spillages from construction vehicles and machinery are minimised and that where these occur, that they are appropriately dealt with.</li> <li>• Drip trays must be placed underneath construction vehicles when not in use to contain all oil that might be leaking from these vehicles.</li> <li>• Contaminated runoff from the construction sites should be prevented from entering the surface and ground water bodies.</li> <li>• All materials on the construction site should be properly stored.</li> <li>• Disposal of waste from the sites should be properly managed and taken to the designated landfill site.</li> <li>• Construction workers should be given ablution facilities at the construction sites that are located at least <b>30 m</b> away from any surface water and regularly serviced.</li> <li>• Washing of personnel or any equipment should not be allowed on site. Should it be necessary to wash construction equipment these should be done at an area properly suited and prepared to receive and contain polluted waters.</li> </ul> |
| <b>Heritage</b>                    | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• The project management should be made aware of the provisions of the National Heritage Act regarding the prompt reporting of archaeological finds.</li> <li>• In the event of such finds, construction must stop, and the project management or contractors should notify the National Heritage Council of Namibia immediately.</li> </ul>  |
| <b>Health, Safety and Security</b> | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Construction personnel should not overnight at the site, except the security personnel.</li> </ul>  |

| <b>CONSTRUCTION PHASE IMPACTS</b> |  |
|-----------------------------------|--|
| <b>Impact</b>                     | <b>Mitigation Measures</b>   |
|                                   | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Ensure that all construction personnel are properly trained depending on the nature of their work.</li> <li>• Provide for a first aid kit and a properly trained person to apply first aid when necessary.</li> <li>• Restrict unauthorised access to the site and implement access control measures.</li> <li>• Clearly demarcate the construction site boundaries along with signage of “no unauthorised access”.</li> <li>• Clearly demarcate dangerous areas and no-go areas on site.</li> <li>• Staff and visitors to the site must be fully aware of all health and safety measures and emergency procedures.</li> <li>• The contractor must comply with all applicable occupational health and safety requirements.</li> <li>• The workforce should be provided with all necessary Personal Protective Equipment where appropriate.</li> </ul> |
| <b>Traffic</b>                    | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Limit and control the number of access points to the site.</li> <li>• Ensure that road junctions have good sightlines.</li> <li>• Construction vehicles’ need to be in a road worthy condition and maintained throughout the construction phase.</li> <li>• Transport the materials in the least number of trips as possible.</li> <li>• Adhere to the speed limit.</li> <li>• Implement traffic control measures where necessary.</li> </ul>   |
| <b>Noise</b>                      | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• No amplified music should be allowed on site.</li> <li>• Inform immediate neighbours of construction activities to commence and provide for continuous communication between the neighbours and contractor.</li> <li>• Limit construction times to acceptable daylight hours.</li> <li>• Install technology such as silencers on construction machinery.</li> <li>• Do not allow the use of horns as a general communication tool but use it only where necessary as a safety measure.</li> </ul>   |



| <b>CONSTRUCTION PHASE IMPACTS</b> |  |
|-----------------------------------|--|
| <b>Impact</b>                     | <b>Mitigation Measures</b>   |
| <b>Dust and Emission</b>          | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Dust abatement techniques should be implemented if dust levels are found to be significant.</li> <li>• Construction vehicles to only use designated roads.</li> <li>• During high wind conditions the contractor must make the decision to cease works until the wind has calmed down.</li> <li>• Cover any stockpiles with plastic to minimise windblown dust.</li> <li>• Provide workers with dust masks if dust levels are significant.</li> </ul>   |
| <b>Waste</b>                      | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• It is recommended that waste from the temporary toilets be disposed of at an approved Wastewater Treatment Works.</li> <li>• A sufficient number of waste bins should be placed around the site for the soft refuse.</li> <li>• A sufficient number of skip containers for the heavy waste and rubble should be provided for around the site.</li> <li>• Solid waste must be collected and disposed of at an appropriate local landfill or an alternative approved site, in consultation with the local authority.</li> </ul> |
| <b>Hazardous Substances</b>       | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Storage of the hazardous substances in a bunded area, with a volume of 120 % of the largest single storage container or 25 % of the total storage containers whichever is greater.</li> <li>• Refuel vehicles in designated areas that have a protective surface covering and utilise drip trays for stationary plant.</li> </ul>   |

**Table 11:** Proposed mitigation measures for the operational phase

| <b>OPERATIONAL PHASE IMPACTS</b> |  |
|----------------------------------|--|
| <b>Impact</b>                    | <b>Mitigation Measures</b>   |
| <b>Surface and Ground Water</b>  | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• A no-go buffer area of at least 15 m should be allocated to any water bodies in the area.</li> <li>• No dumping of waste products of any kind in or in close proximity to any surface water bodies.</li> <li>• Contaminated runoff from the various operational activities should be prevented from entering any surface or ground water bodies.</li> <li>• Ensure that surface water accumulating on-site are channeled and captured through a proper storm water management system to be treated in an appropriate manner before disposal into the environment.</li> <li>• Disposal of waste from the various activities should be properly managed.</li> </ul>                         |
| <b>Visual and Sense of Place</b> | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• It is recommended that more 'green' technologies be implemented within the architectural designs and building materials of the development where possible in order to minimise the visual prominence of such a development within the more natural surrounding landscape.</li> <li>• Natural colours and building materials such as wood and stone should be incorporated as well as the use of indigenous vegetation in order to help beautify the development.</li> <li>• Visual pollutants can further be prevented through mitigations (i.e. keep existing trees, introduce tall indigenous trees; keep structures unpainted and minimising large advertising billboards).</li> </ul> |
| <b>Noise</b>                     | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Continuous monitoring of noise levels should be conducted to make sure the noise levels does not exceed acceptable limits.</li> <li>• No activity having a potential noise impact should be allowed after 18:00 hours if possible.</li> </ul>   |
| <b>Emissions</b>                 | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Manage activities that generate emissions.</li> </ul>   |
| <b>Social Impacts</b>            | No specific mitigation measures are required, only that the local community be consulted in terms of possible job creation opportunities and must be given first priority if unspecialised job vacancies are available.  |

## 8 CONCLUSION

---

*The purpose of this Chapter is to briefly summarise and conclude the DESR and describe the way forward.*

### **8.1 CONSTRUCTION PHASE IMPACTS**

With reference to **Table 8**, none of the negative construction phase impacts were deemed to have a high significance impact on the environment. The construction impacts were assessed to a **Medium to Low (negative)** significance, without mitigation measures. With the implementation of the recommended mitigation measures in Chapter 7 as well as in the EMP, the significance of the construction phase impacts is likely to be reduced to a **Low (negative)**.

### **8.2 OPERATIONAL PHASE**

With reference to **Table 8**, none of the negative operational phase impacts were deemed to have a high significance impact on the environment. The construction impacts were assessed to a **Medium to Low (negative)** significance, without mitigation measures. With the implementation of the recommended mitigation measures in Chapter 7 as well as in the EMP, the significance of the construction phase impacts is likely to be reduced to a **Low (negative)**.

### **8.3 LEVEL OF CONFIDENCE IN ASSESSMENT**

With reference to the information available at the project planning cycle, the confidence in the environmental assessment undertaken is regarded as being acceptable for the decision-making, specifically in terms of the environmental impacts and risks. The Environmental Assessment Practitioner believes that the information contained within this FESR is adequate to allow MET: DEA to be able to determine the environmental acceptability of the proposed project.

It is acknowledged that the project details will evolve during the detailed design and construction phases. However, these are unlikely to change the overall environmental acceptability of the proposed project and any significant deviation from what was assessed in this FESR should be subject to further assessment. If this was to occur, an amendment to the Environmental Authorisation may be required in which case the prescribed process would be followed.

### **8.4 MITIGATION MEASURES**

With the implementation of the recommended mitigation measures in Chapter 7 as well as in the EMP, the significance of the construction and operational phase impacts is likely to be reduced to a **Low (negative)**. **It is further extremely important to include an Environmental Control Officer (ECO)**

**on site during the construction phase of the proposed project to ensure that all the mitigation measures discussed in this report and the EMP are enforced.**

It is noted that where appropriate, these mitigation measures and any others identified by MET: DEA could be enforced as Conditions of Approval in the Environmental Authorisation, should MET: DEA issue a positive Environmental Authorisation.

#### **8.5 OPINION WITH RESPECT TO THE ENVIRONMENTAL AUTHORISATION**

Regulation 15(j) of the EMA, requires *that the EAP include an opinion as to whether the listed activity must be authorised and if the opinion is that it must be authorised, any condition that must be made in respect of that authorisation.*

It is recommended that this project be authorised as the significance of negative impacts can be reduced with effective and appropriate mitigation provided in this report and the EMP. If authorised, the implementation of an EMP should be included as a condition of approval.

#### **8.6 WAY FORWARD**

The FESR is herewith submitted to MET: DEA for consideration and decision making. If MET: DEA approves or requests additional information / studies all registered I&APs and stakeholders will be kept informed of progress throughout the assessment process.

## 9 REFERENCES

---

*Acacia Project E1. n.d. Digital Atlas of Namibia. [Online], Available: [http://www.uni-koeln.de/sfb389/e/e1/download/atlas\\_namibia/main\\_namibia\\_atlas.html](http://www.uni-koeln.de/sfb389/e/e1/download/atlas_namibia/main_namibia_atlas.html).*

*Mendelsohn, J., Jarvis, A., Roberts, C. & Roberston, T. 2002. Atlas of Namibia.*

*Ministry of Agriculture Water and Rural Development. 2011. Groundwater in Namibia an explanation to the Hydrogeological Map.*

*Namibia Statistics Agency. 2011. Karas Census Regional Profile.*

*Namibia Statistics Agency. 2013. Namibia 2011 Population & Housing Census - Main Report. 214. [Online], Available: [http://www.nsa.org.na/files/downloads/Namibia\\_2011\\_Population\\_and\\_Housing\\_Census\\_Main\\_Report.pdf](http://www.nsa.org.na/files/downloads/Namibia_2011_Population_and_Housing_Census_Main_Report.pdf).*